Melittin, a small protein containing 26 amino acid residues, is the principal toxic component of bee venom. It is water-soluble as a tetramer, but it spontaneously integrates into lipid bilayers and is thought to act as a lytic agent. We describe here the three-dimensional structure of tetrameric melittin as determined by x-ray crystallographic analysis at 2-Å resolution. The structure is discussed in terms of the interactions between the four polypeptide chains and is related to NMR and CD experiments of others.

Each melittin chain is composed of two a-helical segments and its overall shape is that of a bent rod. The NH2-terminal 20 residues are arranged asymmetrically about the bent rod according to their polarity. Ten large apolar residues in each chain are on one side of the bent rod, and the opposite face contains four polar side chains, four small apolar side chains, and the prolyl residue. The C-terminal 6 residues of the chain are entirely polar.

The four chains in the tetramer are packed together with nearly perfect 222 symmetry in such a fashion that the interior is composed almost entirely of apolar residues, and the electrostatic repulsion of the 24 positive charges in the tetramer is such that melittin is tetrameric at concentrations prevailing in the venom sac of the bee and monomeric at the minimum concentrations required for cell lysis. A possible pathway for folding of the melittin monomer into the tetramer is proposed, partly on the basis of NMR studies of others.

Melittin is the principal toxic component of the venom of the honey bee, Apis mellifera (1). Although it is water-soluble as a monomer or as a tetramer (2, 3), this polypeptide readily integrates into and disrupts both natural and synthetic phospholipid bilayers (4-15). Possibly as a result of this membrane disruption, melittin enhances the activity of phospholipase A2 (16, 17) and has numerous effects on living cells (18-25).

Reflecting these properties, the primary structure of melittin is amphiphilic. Thirteen of the first 20 residues are hydrophobic and only two are charged, while four of the last six are charged and the remaining two are polar. The complete sequence of melittin is as follows (26).

\[
\text{NH}_2-\text{Gly-Ile-Gly-Ala-Leu-Lys-Val-Leu-Thr-Thr-Gly-Leu-Pro-} \\
\text{1} \quad \text{17}
\]
\[
\text{Leu-Ile-Trp-Ile-Arg-Lys-Arg-Gln-Gln-CO} \text{NH}_2 \\
\text{18} \quad \text{26}
\]

In order to understand the structural basis of the properties of melittin, we have grown several crystal forms of melittin from aqueous solutions (27). We discuss here the structure of tetrameric melittin in the form II crystals, determined as outlined in the preceding paper (28). The possible relationships of the molecular and crystal structures of melittin to its lytic and surface properties will be discussed elsewhere (29).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Hydrogen Bonding—Main chain amide nitrogen atoms were considered potentially hydrogen-bonded to an oxygen atom if the obtuse angle formed by the amide nitrogen, the amide hydrogen, and the oxygen was 150 to 180° and the distance between the amide nitrogen and the oxygen was less than 3.5 Å.*

*Density and Packing Density of a Group of Protein Atoms—In order to assess the tightness of packing and to calculate the "density" of portions of the melittin tetramer, a procedure similar to that of Richards (30) was followed. Given the coordinates of a group of protein atoms, the volume occupied by these atoms was defined as the volume which would be inaccessible to water molecules if this group of atoms were placed in water. This volume was estimated by assuming that water molecules have an effective van der Waals radius of 1.4 Å and that water molecules can occupy any position as long as the van der Waals envelope of the water molecule does not penetrate that of any protein atom. The protein atom van der Waals radii suggested by Richards (30) were used except that the atoms in tryptophanyl fused rings and in guanido groups were treated as ellipsoids of revolution with similar effective dimensions.*

*Once the volume occupied by a group of protein atoms was determined, the specific volume of the group of atoms in water was defined as the ratio of the total occupied volume to the mass of the protein atoms. The "density" of the region was defined as the reciprocal of the specific volume. The packing density of the region was defined as the ratio of the volume inside the van der Waals envelope of the protein atoms to the total occupied volume. (The packing density for closed-packed spheres is 0.74, and for close-packed cylinders it is 0.91.)*

*Helix-Helix Crossing Angles—The polypeptide backbone of a segment of a helical protein structure was treated as a cylinder; the location of the cylinder axis was determined by a "least squares" fit of the main chain N, C, and carbonyl C atoms to the surface of the cylinder. The crossing angle between two cylinder axes was defined as the angle between their projections on the plane midway between them.*

*Exposure of Atoms to Solvent—An atom in a structure was considered exposed to the solvent if a sphere 1.4 Å in radius could be placed touching the van der Waals envelope of the atom without penetrating the van der Waals envelope of any other atom.*
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FIG. 1. Conformation of one melittin chain from the tetramer. a, schematic drawing of chain A (see Fig. 2) of melittin. (Drawing by Mallory Pearce.) b, stereo pair showing chain A in approximately the orientation in a. Atoms are drawn with radii equal to 15% of their van der Waals radii.

RESULTS
Polypeptide Backbone Structure and Hydrogen Bonding—
The polypeptide backbone of each melittin chain in the tetramer has the overall shape of a bent rod (31), with a bend angle of about 120°. Residues 1-10 form an α-helical structure which is connected, through the bend at residues 11 and 12, to a longer α-helix containing residues 13-26 (Fig. 1). Even in the region of the bend, however, the polypeptide backbone is in a generally helical, but not α-helical, conformation. The principal deviation from an α-helix is at the peptide group linking residues 11 and 12, which is turned about 180° away from that expected in an α-helix. The hydrogen bonding pattern for the chain other than this peptide group appears to be that of an α-helix (see Fig. 1), except, of course, that the carbonyl oxygen of Thr-10 does not hydrogen bond to Pro-14. In chain A, the amide nitrogen of Leu-13 is hydrogen bonded to the carbonyl oxygen of Leu-9 although they are on opposite sides of the bend. In chain B, the amide nitrogen of Leu-13 hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl oxygen of Val-8. We note also that because the bend in residues 11 and 12 is helical in nature, the two α-helical segments of the melittin chain match to form a helix the length of the entire bent chain.

Spatial Distribution of Apolar and Polar Amino Acid Side Chains on the Melittin Helix—Although the side chains of residues 1-6 are all hydrophobic, one side of the helix is somewhat more apolar than the other even in this region. The reason is that the bulky side chains of Ile-2, Val-5, and Leu-6 are all positioned (Fig. 1) towards the “inner” side or the “upper” side of the bent rod, while the opposite face of the helix has only the small Ala-4 chain. There is a nearly complete spatial segregation of apolar and polar side chains in residues 7-20. The most apolar residues Val-8, Leu-9, Leu-13, Leu-16, Ile-17, Trp-19, and Ile-20 are all on the inner surface or the upper surface of the chain; the hydrophilic residues Lys-7, Thr-10, Thr-11, and Ser-18 are all on the outer surface of the bent rod. Pro-14 is the only large apolar side chain that is on the outer surface of the bent rod.

The final six residues in the chain are all hydrophilic; the four positively charged lysine and arginine side chains extend almost directly away from the backbone of the helix so that they are well separated and the entire circumference of the helix is charged in this region.

The melittin chain can thus be divided into three regions based on the distribution of polar and apolar side chains: 1) a hydrophobic NH₂-terminal region, 2) a central section with hydrophobic and hydrophilic faces, and 3) an entirely hydrophilic C-terminal region.

Symmetry of the Tetramer—The four melittin chains in the tetramer are nearly identical in conformation. The two chains in the asymmetric unit (chains A and B in Fig. 2) are related by an approximate 2-fold axis of symmetry. These chains run antiparallel with their “upper” surfaces (Fig. 1) in close contact. An exact 2-fold axis of symmetry, perpendicular to the approximate one, relates chains A and B to the other two chains in the tetramer (chains C and D in Fig. 2), placing the “inner” surfaces of all four chains close together. The overall symmetry of the tetramer is approximately 222.

Subunit Contacts in the Interior of Tetrameric Melittin—Essentially all the subunit-subunit contacts in the melittin tetramer are hydrophobic. There appears to be no hydrogen bonding between chains and the closest charge-charge interaction is between lysines 23 of chains A and C and between lysines 23 of chains B and D. The distances between these pairs of atoms appears to be 4-6 Å, although this is uncertain since the locations of the side chains of residues 21-26 were not clear in our multiple isomorphous replacement map (28).
Interpretation of the Structure of Melittin

As is illustrated in Fig. 2, the hydrophobic residues on the "inner" and "upper" surfaces of each melittin chain are all packed in the interior of the tetramer, which is completely apolar. In this region, the side chains of 40 valyl, leucyl, isoleucyl, and tryptophanyl residues are packed very closely together and amino acid residues from separate chains are highly interdigitated (Fig. 3A).

Since each melittin chain is mostly α-helical, the subunit-subunit contacts in the tetramer can be described in terms of helix-helix contacts. There are four distinct types of close helix-helix contacts in the melittin tetramer. Since the symmetry of the tetramer is only approximately 222, not all examples of a particular type of helix-helix crossing are identical. Fig. 4 shows the crossing angle and the intercalation of the side chains in one example of each type. It can be seen that in each case, all the side chains from the lower helix (represented by solid patches in Fig. 4) fall between the side chains of the upper helix (represented by open patches).

Melittin-Solvent Interactions—While the internal contacts in tetrameric melittin are almost exclusively between apolar residues, the melittin-solvent interactions involve some apolar and essentially all the polar residues. Nearly all the hydrophilic side chains are at least partly exposed to the solvent by the criterion given under "Materials and Methods". (The ε-amino groups of the four lysines-23 are inaccessible to solvent in our model, but the positions of these side chains are quite uncertain (28).) The highly charged C-termini of the four chains are spaced well apart and, except for the four Lys-23 side chains, all charges on neighboring chains are at least 7 Å apart. Additionally, the side chains for the Lys-7, Thr-10, Thr-11, and Ser-18 residues all point directly out into the solvent. Coupled with the symmetry of the tetramer, the result is that the polar side chains form a hydrophilic "coat" around the tetramer (Fig. 2). Of the 192 non-hydrogen atoms in hydrophilic side chains in the tetramer, 156 are exposed to solvent. In contrast, the apolar residues forming the core of the tetramer are almost completely shielded from the solvent by the hydrophilic side chains and by the polypeptide backbones; of the 198 atoms in hydrophobic side chains, only 66 are accessible to the solvent.

During the refinement of the melittin structure, we were...
Environment of the Tryptophanyl Side Chains—Because of the numerous fluorescence studies of melittin (e.g. Refs. 2, 9, 12), the tryptophanyl side chains are of special interest. Each of these four residues in the melittin tetramer is in contact with both polar and apolar groups (Fig. 3C). N_e and one or two other atoms in each tryptophanyl side chain are exposed to the solvent, and the indole ring of each tryptophanyl residue is within 4–6 Å of three or four charged groups. In addition, each indole ring is in close contact with many apolar side chains.

Packing of the Tetramers in the Form II Crystals—Fig. 5 shows schematically a section perpendicular to the c axis one tetramer thick through a form II crystal of melittin. It can be seen that this array of tetramers is composed of two layers of dimers sandwiched together: an upper layer in which pairs of chains from each tetramer run from lower left to upper right and a lower one in which the chains run from upper left to lower right. In each layer, the end-to-end contacts between chains are very close, as are the side-to-side contacts within dimers. These end-to-end dimers form long melittin “strings.” On the other hand, the side-to-side contacts between chains not part of the same tetramer are quite distant; the closest approach between the strings of dimers is 10 Å. Thus, there are essentially no contacts within a layer holding the strings of end-to-end dimers together. Nevertheless, as each dimer in the upper layer is attached to a dimer in the lower plane through intramolecular hydrophobic contacts, the whole array of tetramers is held firmly. It may also be seen by inspection of Figs. 1, 2, and 5 that each of the two layers of chains in Fig. 5 is amphiphilic; one surface of the layer is hydrophilic (the outsides of the tetramers) and the other surface is hydrophobic (the interiors of the tetramers).

The packing of the arrays of tetramers in the c direction (perpendicular to the page in Fig. 5) is fairly simple. They are stacked vertically on top of each other, except that every other one is turned 180° about the c axis before stacking. A melittin crystal may thus be viewed as a stack of parallel protein bilayers.

DISCUSSION

Overall Design of the Tetramer—The structure of tetrameric melittin seems ideally suited for conferring high aqueous solubility on a small protein that has a predominance of apolar residues. Most of the charged and polar amino acid side chains are spread out over the surface of the tetramer; along with the polypeptide backbones of the four chains, these residues form a hydrophilic coat which shields the central core of apolar side chains from the solvent. Additionally, since the melittin tetramers contain only positive charges, the electrostatic repulsion between them probably contributes to melittin’s aqueous solubility.

The hydrophobic core of the tetramer has a “density” of about 0.74 g/cm³, similar to that of liquid decane (0.73 g/cm³, Ref. 32). The tetramer as a whole has a packing density of 0.80, that is, 80% of the space in the tetramer is within the van der Waals radius of an atom in the tetramer, and a mass density of 1.41 g/cm³. Richards (30) has calculated the packing density and mass “density” of ribonuclease S in a similar fashion; the values obtained were 0.74 and 1.29 g/cm³, respectively. The greater density of melittin may arise from its formation from four α-helical rods, without the necessity to be able to locate by difference map analysis several solvent molecules which are tightly bound to the melittin tetramer. One solvent molecule is located 2.6 Å from the carbonyl oxygen of Ser-18 and 3.7 Å from N_e of Arg-22 in chains A and C. As discussed in the previous paper (28), this might correspond to a water molecule which is nearly always present at this site or to a sulfate or formate ion from the crystallization medium only present part of the time. One other readily identifiable solvent molecule is located near the NH$_2$ terminus of each chain in the tetramer. Each of these is also near the side chains of Trp-19 and Arg-24 of chains in adjacent tetramers in the crystal and is between 3.4 and 6 Å away from a total of eight separate charged or polar groups. As discussed in the previous paper (28), these solvent molecules are probably formate or sulfate ions. Fig. 3B shows a model of the region near one of these ions.
incorporate lower density turns.

**Helix-Helix Crossings**—The tightly packed interior of the melittin tetramer is made up of one side of each of the eight $\alpha$-helical segments in the tetramer. Chothia et al. (33) have studied the packing of pairs of $\alpha$-helices and have found that there are a few patterns of helix-helix crossings which can account for nearly all of the close contacts between pairs of $\alpha$-helices in ten different proteins. In these common helix-helix crossing patterns, the side chains of the two helices interdigitate in a "ridges into grooves" fashion. All four types of helix-helix crossings found in the melittin tetramer fall into one of these patterns. **Crossing A** in Fig. 4 is a type 3-4 crossing (33) in which the ridge formed by residues 2 and 6 in the lower helix (chain A) runs parallel to the ridge formed by residues 21 and 24 in the upper helix (chain B). The crossing angle is 33°; the value suggested by Chothia et al. (33) for a type 3-4 helix crossing with a helix-helix distance of 11 Å is 20°. **Crossing B** is a type 3-4 "crossed ridge" packing. Residue 12 on the lower helix is a glycine, so residue 5 in the upper helix can pack directly above it (33). In this crossing, the ridges formed by residues 8 and 12 and by 3 and 9 of the lower helix pack across the ridge formed by residues 5 and 8 of the upper helix. The crossing angle in this case is 45°; the value suggested by Chothia et al. (33) is $\approx 55°$. **Crossings C and D** are both type 1-4 crossings. In crossing C, the ridge formed by residues 5 and 9 of the lower helix runs parallel to that which would be formed by residues 15, 16, and 17 in the upper helix. The side chains of residues 15 and 17 in the upper helix actually do not cross the plane midway between the two helices and are thus not shown in Fig. 4. Similarly, in crossing D, residues 19 and 23 of the lower chain form a ridge running parallel to residues 18, 19, and 20 of the upper helix (but only residue 19 of the upper helix actually is in contact with the lower helix). The crossing angles for crossings C and D are $-110°$ and $-113°$; those suggested by Chothia et al. (33) are $-109$ and $-114°$, respectively.

**Role of the Melittin Sequence**—We can envision several major roles for the 5 lysine and arginine residues in the melittin chains. First, as mentioned above, the +24 charge on a melittin tetramer (including the NH$_2$ termini) probably helps to prevent the aggregation of melittin tetramers in solution. On a second level, the equilibria between melittin monomers and tetramers in solution is probably affected by the six positive charges on each monomer. In solutions with physiological ionic strength and pH, tetrameric melittin is present in roughly equal proportions with monomeric melittin when the total melittin concentration is about 200 $\mu$M (3). This is about 100 times the concentration of melittin required
to produce 50% lysis of a dilute suspension of erythrocytes (4) and is probably less than 1/100 the concentration found in the venom sac of the worker bee (estimated from information in (35) and (36)). The monomer-tetramer equilibrium is probably determined by a combination of the apolar "attraction" between the hydrophobic side chains of melittin and the electrostatic repulsion of the charged side chains. Since melittin appears to be monomeric in lipid bilayers (11, 13), this equilibrium ratio between tetramer and monomer may be important to the biological function of the protein. Finally, once melittin is bound to a membrane containing phospholipids, the positive charges in melittin might interact with the phosphate groups in the lipids, binding melittin firmly to the membrane and possibly affecting the lipid structure. Habermann and Kowallek (37) have prepared a modification of melittin in which the NH2-terminal amino and lysine ε-amino groups are succinylated. This modified melittin was substantially less lytic than melittin, although it was not shown that this was caused directly by the substitution of negative for positive charges.

Proline-14 may contribute in several ways to the formation of the bend in the melittin chain although it is actually part of the second α-helical region of the chain. First, since Pro-14 does not form a hydrogen bond to Thr-10, the α-helix is destabilized between residues 10 and 14. Second, the atoms in the proline side chains would be very close to the carboxyl oxygen atom of Thr-10 if the chain remained in an α-helical conformation. The formation of the bend relieves this close contact. One should note, however, that DeGrado et al. (15) have found that a synthetic melittin analog which does not contain proline forms a tetramer in aqueous solution.

An effect of the bend at residues 11 and 12 in each melittin chain is to allow more contact between the melittin chains than would otherwise be possible. This may be understood by noting that if all four chains were straight α-helices, each side-by-side pair of chains would have some point of close contact and would diverge from that point (34) (unless all chains run parallel, in which case the side chains from separate helices cannot pack closely together according to the model of Chothia et al. (33)). In the melittin tetramer, each pair of side-by-side chains has two close contacts because the bend allows the helices to cross in two places. Consequently, all four chains are in close proximity throughout residues 1–20.

Possible Folding Pathway from Monomeric to Tetrameric Melittin—Lauterwein et al. (38) have studied monomeric melittin in aqueous solution using nuclear magnetic resonance methods and have found that although monomeric melittin is predominantly in a flexible extended (non-α-helical) form, residues 5–9 and 14–20 are more highly structured than the rest of the chain. They suggested that these two hydrophobic segments might serve as nucleation centers in the folding of nonmonomeric melittin into tetramers. Upon inspection of our model, we note that nearly all the residues involved in subunit-subunit contacts in the melittin tetramer are contained in these two segments (see Fig. 4). Each chain of melittin makes contact with one other chain at both of these segments and with each of the other two chains at one or the other of these segments.

We suggest that the folding pathway from monomeric to tetrameric melittin might consist of the formation of α-helical structures in residues 5–9 and 14–20 with a flexible hinge in residues 10–13, followed by contact and adhesion of the hydrophobic side chains of two antiparallel melittin protomers to form an AB dimer as in Fig. 2. We expect that this adhesion would occur when each chain has the ~120° bend between the two α-helical regions allowing close packing of the side chains from the two protomers. The final stage in the folding pathway might either be contact between two melittin dimers or the separate addition of two chains to a dimer to form a tetramer.

Comparison of Results with Those of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and of Circular Dichroism—The NMR studies of Brown et al. (3) indicated that Ile-2 side chains are in close proximity to a tryptophanyl residue in tetrameric melittin. In our model Cα of Ile-2 in chain A is about 3 Å from Cα of Trp-19 in chain B and Cα of Ile-2 in chain B is about 4 Å from Cα of Trp-19 in chain A. Brown et al. (3) also suggest that the C-terminal hydrophobic residues of melittin in the tetrameric state adopt an increasing variety of conformations as the temperature is raised from 30 to 90°C while those of most of the NH2-terminal 20 residues remain in an essentially fixed conformation. This seems reasonable because there are many interchain contacts between the hydrophobic residues in the tetramer in residues 1–20 but there are only few interchain contacts for residues 21–26. Thus, the C-terminal region can probably adopt a flexible conformation without affecting the overall packing of the tetramer.

The crystallographic results presented here agree only qualitatively with the CD measurements performed recently on tetrameric melittin. Tetrameric melittin was found to be 48% α-helical using CD (11) while we find that about 90% of the backbone of melittin can be described as α-helix. However, these CD measurements were performed at much lower salt concentrations than those used in the crystallization of melittin. Perhaps the positively charged COOH-terminal helix unwind at low ionic strength as well as at high temperature.
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