The synchrotron x-ray solution scattering profiles of microtubules assembled from purified GDP- or GTP-tubulin with the antitumor drug docetaxel (Taxotere®) are consistent with identical non-globular α and β-tubulin monomers ordered within the known surface lattice of microtubules, with a center to center lateral spacing of 5.7 ± 0.1 nm. The higher angle part of the scattering profile, and therefore the structure of the microtubule wall is identical in Taxotere- and Taxol-induced microtubules, to the resolution of the measurements. However, Taxotere-induced microtubules have a mean diameter of 24.2 ± 0.4 nm, which is 1.12 ± 0.01 times larger than that of paclitaxel (Taxol®) induced microtubules. The population of Taxotere microtubules has on average 12 protofilaments, which is similar to control microtubules assembled with glycerol but is in marked contrast with Taxol-induced microtubules, which have on average 13 protofilaments under identical solution conditions. Model populations of Taxotere and Taxol microtubules with the distributions of protofilament numbers determined by electron microscopy reproduce the positions and approximate intensities of the experimental x-ray scattering data. Comparison of the structures and activities of both taxoids strongly suggests that the change of the more frequent lateral bond angle between tubulin molecules from 152.3° (13-protofilament microtubules) to 150° (12protofilament microtubules) is linked to the binding of the side chain of Taxol. Optimal microtubule formation is obtained with unitary Taxotere to tubulin heterodimer ratio; however, ligand molecules in excess over tubulin dimers cause a loss of cylindrical scattering features, consistent with microtubule opening. The results are compatible with the observed biochemical and thermodynamic properties of this ligand-induced microtubule assembly system and also with the simple working hypothesis that taxoids would bind between adjacent microtubule protofilaments.

Microtubules are dynamic components of the cytoskeleton essential in cellular organization and main constituents of the mitotic spindle. They are formed by reversible assembly of the αβ-tubulin dimer into long hollow cylinders, typically made of 13 protofilaments, to whose outer surface microtubule-associated proteins and cytoplasmic motors bind. Tubulin is the target of mitosis-arresting drugs, many of which inhibit its correct assembly (1, 2). Taxol® is an antitumor compound extracted in small amounts from the non-renewable bark of the Pacific yew (3–6), which is now clinically available as an anticancer drug. Taxol is effective against a number of advanced tumors, including recurrent advanced ovarian cancer and breast cancer (7, 8). Taxol has the unique property of inducing microtubule assembly in vitro and in cells (9–11) and appears to block cell division by kinetically stabilizing spindle microtubules (12). The scarcity of Taxol has stimulated the search of alternative sources. Taxol is also obtained from cell cultures of Taxus (13) or of Taxomyces, a fungal endophyte of the Pacific yew (14). The total synthesis of Taxol has recently been achieved (15, 16).

10-Deacetyl baccatin III, isolated from the renewable needles of the yew, has been identified as the best precursor permitting the preparation of large amounts of semisynthetic Taxol (17) and of the new compound Taxotere (5, 18–20). Taxotere is more water-soluble and slightly more active than taxol (21–25), and it is in advanced clinical trials (26, 27). Taxol and Taxotere represent most significant landmarks among naturally occurring anticancer agents. Recent affinity photolabeling results with 3′-(N-p-azidobenzamido)taxol indicate that the activated site chain of the drug covalently binds to the N-terminal 13-amino acid fragment of β-tubulin (28), while the results with an (azidophenyl)ureido)taxol indicate predominant labeling of β-over α-tubulin (29). The taxoid-induced polymerization of purified tubulin constitutes a simplified model system of microtubule assembly and structure, which provides clues into the molecular mechanisms of action of these drugs. It was suggested that Taxol induces the lateral association of tubulin molecules in the microtubule wall (30). Taxoid-induced microtubule assembly has been shown to proceed even from inactive GDP-tubulin, and Taxotere apparently has twice the affinity of Taxol for the same binding site (31). The binding of both taxoids is thermodynamically linked to the assembly process, allowing it to proceed even in the cold, while binding to unassembled tubulin is practically undetectable (32). It has been proposed that the binding of the taxoids to oligomers (nucleation) and to microtubule ends (elongation) induces the switching of the
GDP-tubulin structure into the active conformation, and that these ligands might constitute molecular matchmakers that participate in the protein-protein interaction interfaces between the protofilaments of microtubules (32).

X-ray scattering methods are well suited to study different protein conformational and assembly states in solution. With high intensity synchrotron radiation, they give access to time-resolved low resolution structure (33-38). The low resolution structure of Taxol-induced microtubules has been shown to conform to the known microtubule surface lattice with non-globular tubulin monomers; however, microtubules assembled from purified tubulin and Taxol have on average only 12 protofilaments (37). Taxotere-induced microtubules have been examined in rigorous comparison with Taxol microtubules by synchrotron x-ray solution methods. The induction of structural differences in microtubules by the taxane side chain is evidenced. This gives further insight into microtubule structure and the mechanisms of taxoid interaction with microtubules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The preparation and taxoid-induced assembly of highly purified GDP- and GTP-tubulin and ligands was described (31, except as otherwise indicated. X-ray scattering experiments were made at station 2.1 of the Daresbury Laboratory Synchrotron Radiation Source. Data acquisition and processing, computer modeling, and electron microscopy were as described previously (35, 37, 38). Absolute values of the scattering vector (defined as \( S = 2 \sin \theta / \lambda \), where \( \theta \) is the angle of incidence to scattered radiation and \( \lambda \) the x-ray wavelength) were calibrated in this work employing all the observable diffraction orders of the 67-nm repeat in wet rat tail collagen. A 3-m camera length was employed, effectively covering an \( S \) range from approximately 0.02 nm\(^{-1}\) to 0.35 nm\(^{-1}\). The low resolution x-ray solution scattering patterns of microtubules were interpreted as described (37) by reference to their fiber diffraction, indexed on the basis of the well known helical surface lattice of microtubules (39).

RESULTS

Synchrotron X-ray Scattering of Taxotere-induced Microtubule Assembly—Time-resolved x-ray scattering of solutions of GDP-tubulin while undergoing assembly induced by equimolar Taxotere or Taxol, initiated by a slow temperature increase from 4 to 37 °C, showed that the increase in central scattering (due to the overall degree of polymerization) and the appearance of the microtubule features take place at lower temperatures for Taxotere than for Taxol (not shown). This indicated that Taxotere is a more powerful inducer of microtubules from GDP-tubulin than Taxol, which is fully consistent with the thermodynamics of this ligand-induced protein assembly system (32). Fig. 1 shows an experiment in which the Taxotere to tubulin heterodimer ratio was varied from nil to over 4-fold, assembly was initiated by a rapid temperature shift to 37 °C, and the time-independent x-ray scattering profiles of the end assembly products were measured to 3-nm resolution. In the absence of Taxotere there is no microtubule assembly (curve 1), though the steep central scattering indicates the formation of protein oligomers. The first, second, and third subsidiary maxima of the \( J_0 \) Bessel function correspond to the low resolution transform of the excess electron density of the cylindrical structures relative to the solvent. They grow with increasing drug concentration, are optimally formed at unitary Taxotere to tubulin dimer ratio (curve 4), and decay in excess ligand. The next three peaks at higher angles are sensitive to the microtubule surface lattice and to the shape of the monomer (37). They correspond to the equatorial \( J_3 \) Bessel function and the helical \( J_2 \) and \( J_{-3} \) Bessel functions, arising, respectively, from the electron density periodicity around a \( n \) protofilament microtubule and from the features in the direction the three-start and the \( n - 3 \)-start helices in the microtubule lattice. The \( J_3 \) maximum appears with increasing Taxotere, and its intensity reaches maximum value around one ligand molecule per tubulin heterodimer. In excess ligand the \( J_0 \) intensity remains essentially invariant, in contrast to the decrease of the \( J_0 \) peak, as shown by Fig. 1 (inset). The \( J_3 \) and \( J_{-3} \) peaks (whose intensities can be observed to decrease in opening microtubule models; not shown), appear to raise and decrease, similarly to those of the \( J_0 \), though the noise is larger at these higher angles. These results indicate that the microtubules lose cylindrical features in the presence of excess Taxotere. Sedimentation measurements have indicated that the amount of tubulin assembled is maximal at unitary ligand to protein ratio and remains essentially invariant at higher ratios (31). At the protein concentration of the x-ray experiment and up to a total ligand to protein mole ratio 3, the Taxotere bound was 1.01 ± 0.04 molecules ligand/GDP-tubulin dimer assembled, without any detectable trend (data from Ref. 31; Table I). Fig. 2 compares the final x-ray scattering profiles of GTP- and GDP-tubulin assembled with Taxotere and Taxol. The four scattering curves 1, 2, 4, and 5 are related and correspond to a majority of microtubules in each sample. There is no significant difference between GTP and GDP. The Taxotere and Taxol microtubule scattering profiles are essentially identical at higher angles. However, at low angles it can be observed that the
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Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition of microtubules</th>
<th>$d_{41}$</th>
<th>$d_{43}$</th>
<th>$d_{33}$</th>
<th>$d_{31}$</th>
<th>$d_{23}$</th>
<th>Mean helical radius$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin-Taxotere$^b$</td>
<td>0.0496 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>0.092 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.137 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.193 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.256 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.285 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin-Taxol$^b$</td>
<td>0.0547 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>0.103 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.154 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.213 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.276 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.305 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin-glycerol$^b$</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin-Taxol$^b$</td>
<td>0.0538</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulin-glycerol$^b$</td>
<td>0.0486</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxotere model mixture$^c$</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxol model mixture$^c$</td>
<td>0.0844</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Helical radii measured from the positions of the three first subsidiary maxima of the $J_0$ Bessel function (37, 38).
$^b$ Average and standard error of 14 different measurements of Taxotere microtubules.
$^c$ Average and standard error of 17 different measurements of Taxol microtubules.

$^d$ Data from previous measurements (37) with a slightly less accurate calibration of $S$ values at high angles than in the present work, shown for comparison.

Fig. 2. X-ray scattering profiles of Taxotere- and Taxol-induced microtubules. GDP-tubulin in 10 mM phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 7 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM GDP buffer, pH 6.7 (plus 1 mM GTP added to the GTP-tubulin samples; Ref. 31), was assembled with equimolar taxoid by a temperature shift to 37°C. Curve 1, 90.5 μM GTP-tubulin-Taxotere; curve 2, 82.5 μM GDP-tubulin-Taxotere; curve 3, model microtubule population consisting of a mixture of microtubules of different protofilament numbers (Fig. 3), with the protofilament number distribution of Fig. 4B; curve 4, 140 μM GTP-tubulin-Taxol; curve 5, 78 μM GDP-tubulin-Taxol; curve 6, model microtubule population with the protofilament number distribution of Fig. 4C. The valleys between the $J_0$ peaks in both curves 3 and 6 could be made to approach their corresponding data by inclusion of a 30% proportion of opened microtubules or 15% small tubulin oligomers (not shown).
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Fig. 3. Panel A, x-ray scattering corrected intensity profiles of microtubules assembled from GTP-tubulin-Taxotere (solid line), in comparison to GTP-tubulin-Taxol (dashed line). Panel B, GTP-tubulin-Taxotere microtubules (solid line) in comparison with microtubules assembled from GTP-tubulin with glycerol (dashed line). The insets are enlargements of the ordinate scale. For glycerol-induced assembly, tubulin was equilibrated in 10 mM sodium phosphate, 3.4 mM glycerol, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM GTP to which 6 mM MgCl₂ was added, final pH 6.5. Panel C, model calculations of the x-ray scattering profiles for 12 (dot-dash line), 13 (continuous line), and 14 protofilament (dashed line) microtubules, in comparison to Taxotere microtubule data (dots). To facilitate comparison, incoherent scattering has been approximately subtracted from the data (points) from panel A by passing a polynomial through the expected zeros of a microtubule scattering pattern. The structure of the microtubule wall was modeled first, as indicated by the inset. At these angles the model fitting is practically independent of the number of protofilaments (12 ≤ n ≤ 14) and of subtracting or not subtracting the incoherent scattering from the data. The non-globular tubulin monomer employed is the same as that previously used to model Taxol-induced microtubules and consists of a set of 21 solid spheres of diameter 1.2 nm, within a roughly triaxial ellipsoidal envelope of axes ∼4 × 7 × 8 nm (37, 38). The monomers were placed in a three-start n-protofilament 18-monomer-long helical microtubule lattice (pitch 12.3 nm), and their orientation and lateral spacing were systematically varied. The best fit was obtained for the same orientation and at a lateral spacing of 5.7 nm, as shown by solid curve 2 (inset). The dashed and thin-line curves 2 correspond to similarly constructed four-start (pitch 16.4 nm) and two-start (pitch 8.2 nm) lattices, respectively. Curves 1 and 3 (inset) are three-start models in which the lateral spacing is 6.9 and 5.5 nm, respectively. When a non-optimized tubulin monomer shape derived from the dimer solution scattering pattern (37) was directly employed, essentially the same 5.7 ± 0.1-nm fit to the J₄ peak was obtained. The three-start microtubule models with a 5.7-nm lateral spacing were modified to contain 12 (diameter 21.8 nm), 13 (diameter 23.6 nm), or 14 protofilaments (diameter 25.4 nm), with the resulting changes in the position of their J₄ maxima. The effect of changing the microtubule wall thickness without modifying the microtubule mean diameter was also computed, comparing otherwise identical microtubules with 10% thicker or 10% thinner wall (not shown). This procedure gives an approximately similar change of mass of the microtubule as adding or taking out one protofilament, and it changes the intensities but not the positions of the scattering maxima, which is in contrast to the effect observed in panel A and is not compatible with the Taxotere and Taxol microtubule data.
While the resulting microtubule model cannot be demonstrated to be unique, it describes the solution structure of microtubules with non-globular tubulin monomers. The substruture of the wall of microtubules can be modeled directly from the solution scattering and the helical monomer surface lattice. The Taxotere-induced microtubules are well known to consist of a mixture of different protofilament numbers, as known in cellular microtubules, although microtubules with other protofilament numbers are known (46, 47). In vitro assembled microtubules are well known to consist of a mixture of protofilament numbers that depends on solution conditions (42, 48). Taxotere-induced microtubules also have a distribution of different protofilament numbers, as shown by electron microscopy and by fitting their corrected x-ray scattering profiles. Modelling mixtures of different protofilament numbers has permitted fit of the positions and approximate intensities of the different maxima of the uncorrected scattering profiles of the assembled tubulin solutions. The similarity of Taxotere to control microtubules assembled with glycerol or associated proteins (this work and Ref. 37) suggests non-significant perturbation induced by this ligand, in marked contrast with the case of the majority of 12protofilament in Taxol-induced microtubules. While the ability to change the number of protofilaments is a property of microtubule assembly, the differences observed are clearly induced by the taxoids binding under otherwise identical solution conditions. The predominant 12protofilament lattice of Taxol-induced microtubules conforms to the known surface lattice of microtubules (39) with non-globular tubulin monomers. The substruture of the wall of microtubules can be modeled directly from the solution scattering and the helical monomer surface lattice. The Taxotere-induced microtubule model (Fig. 3C) is very similar to triton microscopy and fiber diffraction models (39, 43, 44). The Taxotere-induced microtubule model (Fig. 3C) is very similar to a previous model of Taxol-induced microtubules (see Figs. 5C and 11 in Ref. 37), but with 13 instead of 12 protofilaments. This is representative of the majority of the Taxotere-induced microtubules in this study and of the typical number of protofilaments in cellular microtubules (45), although microtubules with other protofilament numbers are known (46, 47). In vitro assembled microtubules are well known to consist of a mixture of protofilament numbers that depends on solution conditions (42, 48). Taxotere-induced microtubules also have a distribution of different protofilament numbers, as shown by electron microscopy and by fitting their corrected x-ray scattering profiles. Modelling mixtures of different protofilament numbers has permitted fit of the positions and approximate intensities of the different maxima of the uncorrected scattering profiles of the assembled tubulin solutions. The similarity of Taxotere to control microtubules assembled with glycerol or associated proteins (this work and Ref. 37) suggests non-significant perturbation induced by this ligand, in marked contrast with the case of the majority of 12protofilament in Taxol-induced microtubules. While the ability to change the number of protofilaments is a property of microtubule assembly, the differences observed are clearly induced by the taxoids binding under otherwise identical solution conditions. The predominant 12protofilament lattice of Taxol-induced microtubules should simplify x-ray fiber diffraction analysis of the structure of microtubules, because the phases for the equatorial maxima are either 0 or π (since the projection on the basal plane of the microtubule, i.e. the transform of the equator, is centrosymmetric). On the other hand, the better solubility, microtubule assembly-inducing activity (31, 32), and availability of Taxotere leads us to prefer this ligand to experimentally stabilize microtubules, monitored by appropriate electron microscopy controls (37, 41, 42).
Effects of the Binding of the Taxol Side Chain on Microtubule Structure—Induction of tubulin assembly with Taxol instead of Taxotere results in apparently identical microtubule wall substructure but changes the more frequent lateral bonding angle between tubulin molecules from 152.3° to 150°, i.e. from the 13- to the 12-protofilament microtubule forming geometry respectively. Which changes in the binding of ligand to tubulin are responsible for the change of the assembled protein structure? Views of the three-dimensional structures of Taxotere (N-tert-butoxycarbonyl-10-deacetyl-N-debenzoyl Taxol) and Taxol are compared in Fig. 6. Two large parts can be clearly distinguished in these molecules, the taxane ring system (consisting of rings A, B, C, and D) and the side chain at C-13. Among several modifications of the taxane ring system, opening of the oxirane ring D or deletion of the O-benzoyl group in position 2 drastically reduce activity. However, deacetylation at C-10 or substitution of the hydroxyl at position C-7 (top part of the molecules), are known to cause insignificant changes (4-6). The side chain (with a free hydroxyl group at position 2' and a phenyl group at 3') is essential for taxane activity, which is reduced more than 1000-fold in baccatin III (4, 5). The side chain modification in Taxotere consists in substitution of an N-tert-butoxycarbonyl in place of the N-benzoyl group of Taxol. This changes the Van der Waals contour of the molecule by a few tenths of a nanometer in that region (see Fig. 6), and causes very minor modification of the computed charge distribution (not shown). This strongly suggests, assuming little effect of the deacetylation at C-10, that the change observed in the protofilament number between Taxotere- and Taxol-induced microtubules is linked to the binding of the side chain of Taxol to microtubules.

Gueritte-Voegelien et al. (19) have proposed that the taxane skeleton (which is active on Physarum tubulin) binds to its site on microtubules, the binding being stabilized by a specific interaction of the attached side chain, which is inactive by itself (19). In this sense the taxol-tubulin binding might resemble the interactions with tubulin of other bidentate ligands, such as colchicine (49) and Vinca alkaloids (50), in which the binding of the two covalently linked ligand moieties to its specific subsite is entropically favored over the independent binding of the two separate parts (51). Swindell et al. (20) have proposed that the Taxol recognition site on microtubules possesses a hydrophobic cleft that accepts a side chain having the appropriate sterochemistry and hydrogen bonding, which is exemplified by the Taxotere side chain. Among several possible explanations to the change in protofilament number, we currently favor the simple hypothesis that the taxoids would more likely bind to microtubules between protofilaments (30) and modify their lateral contact angle. This is fully compatible with the independent thermodynamically based proposal that taxoids may constitute double-sided ligands, which bind to tubulin at the microtubule end and participate in a contact interface with the newly added tubulin molecule, thus stabilizing the assembly, while allosteric mechanisms cannot be excluded (32). Analysis of the time-resolved x-ray scattering data during taxoid-induced assembly is compatible with the notion that these ligands induce the lateral accretion of protofilaments.2 The location of the taxoid binding site on the three-dimensional structure of microtubules could be approached by x-ray scattering employing heavy atom-labeled derivatives.

2 J. F. Diaz, J. M. Andreu, G. Diakun, and J. Bordas, unpublished data.
Microtubule Lattice Defects, Opening by Taxotere Excess, and Taxoid-induced Microtubule Flexibility—It is known that the number of profilin tubules can change along individual microtubules, implying defects in their surface lattice (48), and that assembled microtubules can associate end to end (52, 53). The optimal proportion of one Taxotere molecule per tubulin dimer for microtubule assembly, as determined by x-ray scattering in this study, is in full agreement with the biochemical properties of the system (31). However, cylindrical features diminish in ligand excess (Fig. 1). A similar effect had been preliminarily observed with Taxol (37). The simplest interpretation is that microtubules in taxoid excess open with respect to those in unitary ligand to tubulin ratio. Consider the taxoid binding site hypothetically constituted by two half-sites in adjacent tubulin molecules (see above and Fig. 9 in Ref. 32). In excess ligand it is possible that two different taxoid molecules occupy the two half-sites at equilibrium, causing the dissociation of the adjacent tubulin molecules. Having a small percentage of tubulin molecules doubly liganded in one microtubule would induce binding mismatches in the microtubule lattice. Such a defect would be enough to close the microtubule walls. Clearly, local opening of the microtubules may also facilitate bending. Both later effects might be related to a small fraction tubulin molecules doubly liganded to taxoid. On the other hand, Venier et al. (57) have indicated that Taxol and Taxotere affect the superstructure of microtubules, apparently inducing a helicoidal shape of pitch ~15 µm. If this bending was related to a change in profilin tubulin number, it would be periodic (going from 13 to 12 or 14 profilin tubules by lattice tilting can be calculated to generate respectively a right- or left-handed profilin twist of period ~4 µm; Ref. 37 and present work), and it would change among different microtubules in the population, even in taxoid-less microtubules. Otherwise the bending should be due to the taxoid binding itself.
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