Two Discontinuous Segments in the Carboxyl Terminus Are Required for Membrane Targeting of the Rat γ-Aminobutyric Acid Transporter-1 (GAT1)*
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Like all members of the Na+/Cl−-dependent neurotransmitter transporter family, the rat γ-aminobutyric acid transporter-1 (GAT1) is sorted and targeted to specialized domains of the cell surface. Here we identify two discontinuous signals in the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 that cooperate to drive surface expression. This conclusion is based on the following observations. Upon deletion of the last 37 amino acids, the resulting GAT1-D37 remained trapped in the endoplasmic reticulum. The presence of 10 additional residues (GAT1-D127) sufficed to support the interaction with the coat protein complex II component Sec24D; surface expression of GAT1-D127 reached 50% of the wild type level. Additional extensions up to the position −3 (GAT1-D3) did not further enhance surface expression. Thus the last three amino acids (AYI) comprise a second distal signal. The sequence AYI is reminiscent of a type II PDZ-binding motif; accordingly substituting Glu for Ile abrogated the effect of this motif. Neither the AYI motif nor the last 10 residues rescued the protein from intracellular retention when grafted onto GAT1-D37 and GAT1-D32; the AYI motif was dispensable for targeting of GAT1 to the growth cone of differentiating PC12 cells. We therefore conclude that the two segments act in a hierarchical manner such that the proximal motif (569VMI571) supports endoplasmic reticulum export of the protein and the distal AYI motif places GAT1 under the control of the exocyst.

Neurotransmission at synaptic junctions in the central nervous system is terminated by reuptake of the neurotransmitter into the synaptic ends (1). This is achieved by neurotransmitter transporters. GABA is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain. There are three GABA transporters, referred to as GAT1, GAT2, and GAT3, which all belong to the Na+/Cl−-dependent neurotransmitter transporter family. Members of this family share several features including a characteristic topology, i.e. intracellular amino and the carboxyl termini and a hydrophobic core composed of 12 transmembrane-spanning segments that are presumed to be predominantly α-helical. In the brain, GAT1 is the most widely distributed isoform. The transporter is of obvious therapeutic relevance: increases in synaptic GABA reduces excitability and prevents excessive neuronal firing. This action provides a rationale for the use of tiagabine in the treatment of epilepsy (2).

In neurons, transporters must reach the presynaptic specialization. Thus, their biosynthetic pathway must also comprise mechanisms that afford the sorting and targeting to the axonal compartment and/or specific retention at perisynaptic sites (3). In addition, they undergo quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum (4) and posttranslational modification in the Golgi stacks. We recently demonstrated that neurotransmitter transporters such as GAT1 and the serotonin transporter form constitutive oligomers (5). If oligomerization of GAT1 is disrupted, the transporter is no longer expressed at the cell surface but is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum (6). However, while exit from the endoplasmic reticulum is contingent on the association of transporter homo-oligomers, oligomerization does not suffice, and additional sequence elements in the intracellular segments are required. In fact, if the entire carboxyl terminus is truncated, GAT1 is also retained (6). Perego et al. (7) showed that deletion of 36 amino acids from the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 did not affect membrane targeting and polarized sorting of the transporter in MDCK cells. The authors concluded that the major part of the GAT1 carboxyl terminus was dispensable for sorting the protein into the correct compartment. Thus, based on these results, we initially focused on the part of GAT1 adjacent to the last transmembrane segment to identify sequence elements that specify targeting to the plasma membrane. In the present work, we show that GAT1 mutants, which lack up to 29 amino acids of the carboxyl terminus, are retained within the cell. We uncovered two discontinuous signals, which act in a hierarchical manner. The proximal one (569VMI571) is responsible for sorting the protein into the correct compartment. The distal signal is comprised of the last three amino acids, which apparently fulfill the criteria of a type II PDZ interaction domain, and functions to accelerate membrane insertion.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials, Reagents, and Mutagenesis—ER Tracker Blue-White DPX, a fluorescent dye that specifically stains the endoplasmic reticulum, was from Molecular Probes (Leiden, The Netherlands). The sources of the other chemicals and reagents have been listed previously (6). Plasmids encoding amino-terminally tagged YFP-Sec24D (from rat) and various versions of Exo70 (from rat) were kind gifts from Drs. R.  
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Pepperkok (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany) and T. T. Marten (Genentech Inc., San Francisco, CA). The construction of CFP- and YFP-tagged versions of rGAT1 has also been described previously (5). Mutations were introduced by PCR. Carboxy-terminal deletions were created by inserting a stop codon with an ApaI restriction site at the proper position. In the case of point mutations, the codon of the targeted amino acid was replaced by the appropriate triplet to generate the intended amino acid substitution. The codon was again inserted with an ApaI restriction site. The integrity of all constructs was verified by sequencing the entire reading frame.

Cell Culture and Transfection—HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, t-glutamine, and antibiotics. MDCK cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, t-glutamine, nonessential amino acids, and antibiotics. PC12 cells were cultured in OptiMEM-1 medium supplemented with 10% horse serum, 5% fetal bovine serum, t-glutamine, and antibiotics. Media were changed every other day. For experiments with HEK293 and MDCK cells, 0.3 × 10^6 cell (unless indicated otherwise) were plated into 6-well plates. For microscopy, cells were seeded on poly-L-lysine-coated glass coverslips at the same cell density. The CaPO_4_ precipitation method was used to transiently transfect HEK293 and MDCK cells. The transfection efficiencies were 50–70 and 5–10% in HEK293 and MDCK cells, respectively. If not otherwise indicated, transfections were done 24 h after cell seeding. PC12 cells were seeded at a density of 0.15 × 10^6 cells on glass coverslips coated with collagen. If differentiation was to be induced, the medium was changed on the next day to OptiMEM-1 medium supplemented with 5% horse serum, t-glutamine, and antibiotics, and 50 ng/ml nerve growth factor to induce differentiation. After 48 h, PC12 cells were transfected using LipofectAMINE Plus™ (Invitrogen). The transfection efficiency was 5–10%. Fluorescence microscopy was carried out 48 h later. Hippocampal neurons were prepared from neonatal rats as in Ref. 8. Gial cells were depleted by a 16-h incubation in the presence of 1 μM cytarabine (AraC). Neurons were transfected with LipofectAMINE 2000™ (Invitrogen) in 6-well dishes containing 3 × 10^5 dissociated cells. The expression of YFP-tagged GAT1 and the appropriately mutated forms was visualized by fluorescence microscopy started 24–48 h after transfection.

Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Microscopy—Three methods were used to detect FRET: (i) donor photobleaching, (ii) donor recovery after acceptor photobleaching (DRAP), and (iii) the three-filter method to calculate net FRET. The setup has been described in detail elsewhere (6).

Donor photobleaching FRET was done by continuous illumination for 60 s using a mercury arc lamp (Zeiss HBO 100-W) with the CFP filter settings (excitation 440 nm, dichroic mirror 455 nm, emission 480 nm). An image was captured every 3 s. This procedure bleached the donor (CFP) to less than 20%. Regions of interest were selected, and the time-dependent decrease in fluorescence emission bleached the donor (CFP) to less than 20%. Regions of interest were bleached by adding 1 ml of ice-cold Krebs-HEPES buffer. Cells were lysed in 1% SDS.

### RESULTS

Membrane Targeting of GAT1 Is Disrupted by Deletion of 37 Amino Acids of the Carboxyl Terminus—To monitor the cellular localization of our various GAT1 constructs, we generated fusion proteins in which the GFP variants (YFP or CFP) were fused to the amino terminus of GAT1. Our previous experiments showed that the addition of a fluorescent protein to the transporter did not interfere with membrane targeting or affect the functional properties (that is the affinity for substrates and inhibitors as well as the velocity of substrate translocation) or the endocytosis and recycling of GAT1 in response to protein kinase C activation (6). Under the transfection conditions used, wild type YFP-rGAT1 was almost exclusively visualized at the cell surface in transiently transfected HEK293 cells (Fig. 1A). In contrast, if the carboxyl-terminal 37 amino acids were removed, the resulting truncated transporter CFP-rGAT1Δ37 was not found at the plasma membrane but was completely retained within the cell (Fig. 1B). We stained transiently transfected cells with the selective dye ER Tracker Blue-White DPX (Fig. 1F, green pseudocolor) and also recorded the fluorescence emitted by YFP-rGAT1Δ37 (Fig. 1E, red pseudocolor). The overlay of both images resulted in uniform yellow coloring of those cells that expressed the transporter (Fig. 1G). This colocalization confirmed that the intracellular compartment in which GAT1Δ37 resided was the endoplasmic reticulum.

The Carboxyl-terminally Truncated Mutant Interacts with Wild Type GAT1—We have reported previously that GAT1 assemblies into constitutive oligomers, which are formed in the endoplasmic reticulum (5, 6). This has also been proposed for other neurotransmitter transporters (11). Oligomer formation can thus be viewed as an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) quality control mechanism. This is underscored by the observation that membrane targeting of GAT1 is absent when oligomerization is disrupted (6). We therefore investigated whether the observed intracellular retention of CFP-GAT1Δ37 is due to defective oligomerization. We co-transfected HEK293 cells with CFP-GAT1Δ37 (donor) and YFP-rGAT1wt (acceptor). To measure FRET we used donor photobleaching to calculate the decay time of fluorescence intensity as well as DRAP to calculate the FRET efficiency. In the first approach, energy transfer protects the donor against bleaching because energy is absorbed by the acceptor. Conversely DRAP relies on the fact that photodestruction of the acceptor results in enhanced fluorescence of the donor due to interruption of energy transfer. Both methods allow for quantification and thus for a statistical comparison. Fig. 2A shows a representative set of bleaching curves from one experimental day; the concomitant expression of YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 (Fig. 2A, open symbols) caused a delay in photobleaching when compared with cells that only expressed the CFP-tagged truncated GAT1Δ37 (Fig. 2A, open symbols). As can be seen from Fig. 2B, there was a statistically significant difference in the average photobleaching lifetimes (τ) determined in the absence and presence of acceptor (that is wild
type GAT1). Similarly we also detected a robust FRET by DRAP (Fig. 2C). In the absence of FRET (i.e. in the absence of an acceptor), there was also a modest decrease in fluorescence intensity of the donor; this is due to the fact that bleaching with the YFP settings results in slight but appreciable cross-bleaching of CFP.

Detection of FRET using the three-filter method offers the advantage that it visualizes the cellular compartment in which the proposed protein-protein interaction takes place. Fig. 3 shows that CFP-GAT1-Δ37 and YFP-rGAT-wt indeed interact intracellularly. The results of our FRET experiments clearly rule out the possibility that retention is due to defective oligomerization. Instead the observations indicate that the carboxyl-terminal deletion mutant is able to directly interact with the wild type transporter. Thus, due to its defective surface expression, the truncated GAT1-Δ37 apparently also traps the wild type protein within the cell. This interpretation was verified by exploiting the fact that YFP and CFP can be visualized separately within the same cell by the appropriate filter sets. Cells were co-transfected with plasmids encoding wild type YFP-GAT1 and CFP-GAT1-Δ37. This resulted in intracellular retention of the wild type transporter (Fig. 1D) in cells that also expressed the truncated transporter (Fig. 1C). In contrast, in those cells that did not express the deletion mutant, wild type YFP-GAT1 was found at the membrane (Fig. 1D, exemplified by the upper cell). Transporters that are retained within the cell are irrelevant to the uptake of [3H]GABA. Thus, coexpression of the carboxyl-terminally truncated mutant ought to abrogate transport mediated by the wild type transporter. This was the case. HEK293 cells expressing only YFP-rGAT-wt displayed a robust uptake of [3H]GABA (Fig. 1H, left-hand bar), while cells coexpressing CFP-rGAT-Δ37 did not show any significant uptake of radioactive substrate (Fig. 1H, right-hand bar). As predicted from the absence of surface-associated fluorescence (Fig. 1B), cells that only expressed CFP-rGAT-Δ37 did not accumulate [3H]GABA (Fig. 1E, middle bar). To rule out that defective membrane targeting was due to misfolding of the protein, we performed GABA uptake experiments in membrane vesicles prepared from appropriately transfected cells (6). Under these conditions, CFP-GAT1-Δ37 and wild type CFP-GAT1 translocated the substrate [3H]GABA with similar affinity ($K_a = 7.6 \pm 4.8$) and comparable velocity (data not shown).

**CFP-GAT1-Δ36 Is Not Inserted into the Plasma Membrane**—It has been reported previously that deletion of 36 amino acids from the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 did not interfere with membrane targeting of the transporter (7). GAT1-Δ37 and GAT1-Δ36 differ by a leucine residue at position 563. We aligned the carboxyl termini of several Na+/Cl⁻-dependent neurotransmitter transporters (Fig. 4A) and noted that Leu⁵⁶₃ was conserved among many family members and was replaced by phenylalanine in serotonin transporter and isoleucine in dopamine transporter, which are nevertheless hydrophobic amino acids. Because of the conserved nature of this amino acid, we surmised that Leu⁵⁶₃ played an essential role in the targeting process. We therefore generated CFP-GAT1-Δ36 and expressed this mutant in HEK293 cells. The representative example shown in Fig. 4B illustrates that the protein was only found in intracellular compartments. Expression of CFP-GAT1-Δ36 also failed to confer [3H]GABA uptake to cells (not shown). Because Perego et al. (7) had expressed their construct in the polarized epithelial cell line MDCK, we also used this cell line. In MDCK cells wild type GAT1 was efficiently targeted to the cell surface (Fig. 4C). In contrast, CFP-GAT1-Δ36 only accumulated within the cell (Fig 4D). MDCK cells and other polarized epithelial cells are used as a surrogate for neuronal cells. Because neurotransmitter transporters are expressed predominantly in neurons, we also investigated the fate of CFP-GAT1-Δ36 in differentiated PC12 cells. In these cells, YFP-GAT1-wt was present exclusively in the plasma membrane and was highly enriched at the sites of sprouting neurites extensions (Fig. 4E). This is to be expected because GAT1 is enriched in the axonal compartment (12). On the other hand, as in the other cell lines, CFP-GAT1-Δ36 was only found within the differentiated PC12 cell (Fig 4F). Finally we performed the same experiments in hippocampal neurons because these are among the neurons that express GAT1 endogenously (13). In these cells, the wild type GAT1 was found on the somatic cell...
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Fig. 2. FRET microscopy of YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 and CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37. A and B, donor photobleaching FRET. HEK293 cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37 (closed symbol) alone or in combination with that coding for YFP-tagged wild type (open symbol). After 24–48 h, photobleaching of the donor (CFP) was achieved by illuminating the center of the visual field with a mercury arc lamp (Zeiss HBO, 100 watts) for 60 s. An image was captured and digitized every 3 s; the decay of fluorescence intensity was followed in consecutive images over regions of interest using the MetaFluor software package. The initial fluorescence at time = 0 s was set as 100%. The data points represent the average ± S.D. from decay curves that were recorded on the same experimental day in eight cells each. The photobleaching lifetime (τ) was calculated by fitting the decline in intensity to an equation describing a monoexponential decay. B shows the averages from a total of 24 cells each (recorded on three different experimental days). C, DRAP-FRET. Evaluation of FRET efficiency. HEK293 cells were transfected with the plasmid coding for CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37 alone or in combination with a plasmid encoding YFP-tagged wild type transporter as indicated. Bleaching was achieved by illuminating the center of the visual field with a mercury lamp for 90 s. Images with the CFP filter settings were captured before and after photodestruction of the acceptor. FRET efficiency was calculated as indicated under “Experimental Procedures.” Results presented are means ± S.E. (n = 24 in B and 12 in C). The asterisk indicates a significant difference at p ≤ 0.001 (unpaired t test).

To further prove that membrane expression of CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 is indeed lower than that of the wild type protein, we transfected HEK293 cells with increasing amounts of plasmid DNA. Uptake of [3H]GABA was determined at a GABA concentration (10 μM) that was within the \( K_m \) concentration range. This resulted in a robust signal-to-noise ratio without requiring the use of excessive amounts of radioactive tracer. Because the \( K_m \) values of the various mutated versions of GAT1 were virtually identical (see Fig. 5F), the transport velocity determined at this concentration only reflects the level of transporter at the cell surface. As can be seen from Fig. 5G, there was a hyperbolic relation between the amount of plasmid DNA and transport velocity for both wild type YFP-GAT1 (open diamonds) and CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 (closed triangles), and the amount of DNA required to support half-maximum expression of the two transporters did not differ appreciably. However, transport velocity was significantly lower in cells expressing CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 than in those expressing YFP-rGAT-wt, and this was not overcome by the addition of excess plasmid (Fig. 5G). This indicated that plasma membrane expression of the mutant was lower than that of the wild type transporter. On average the surface expression of CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 was 50% of that of the corre-

Plasma Membrane Targeting Relies on Two Different Regions in GAT1 Carboxyl Terminus—It is evident that the amino acids that are important for targeting must lie in more distal portions of the carboxyl terminus. Thus, we elongated GAT1-Δ37 stepwise by 10 amino acids. CFP-GAT1-Δ27 was inserted into the cell membrane as was CFP-rGAT1-Δ17 and -Δ7 (Fig. 5A, B, and C, respectively). Interestingly much of the protein was nevertheless located intracellularly. We verified that all these proteins were functional by performing saturation experiments on transiently transfected HEK293 cells. Fig. 5F shows a set of representative saturation curves that were obtained in parallel. The calculated \( K_m \) values for the mutants did not differ significantly from that of the wild type (wild type = 8.7 ± 2.6, GAT1-Δ27 = 9.3 ± 3.1, GAT1-Δ17 = 6.7 ± 2.3, GAT1-Δ7 = 9.9 ± 3.7).

The degree of conservation, Leu563 is not essential for targeting the transporter to the plasma membrane. More importantly, CFP-GAT1-37 alone or in combination with that coding for YFP-tagged wild type transporter as indicated (open symbol). After 24 h, the cell surface of hippocampal neurons (Fig. 4B). The calculated \( K_m \) obtained in parallel. The calculated \( F \) that all these proteins were functional by performing saturation experiments on transiently transfected HEK293 cells. Fig. 5F shows a set of representative saturation curves that were obtained in parallel. The calculated \( K_m \) values for the mutants did not differ significantly from that of the wild type (wild type = 8.7 ± 2.6, GAT1-Δ27 = 9.3 ± 3.1, GAT1-Δ17 = 6.7 ± 2.3, GAT1-Δ7 = 9.9 ± 3.7).

surface (Fig. 4G, left) and in neurite extensions (Fig. 4G, right). Somatic expression is most likely due to overexpression of the transporter. More importantly, CFP-GAT1-Δ36 was not visualized at the cell surface of hippocampal neurons (Fig. 4H). Based on these observations, we conclude that, despite its high degree of conservation, Leu563 is not essential for targeting the transporter to the plasma membrane.
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3. Three-filter FRET microscopy of HEK293 cells expressing YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 and CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37. Cells were transfected with a combination of YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 and CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37. Images were acquired using the CFP, YFP, and FRET filter setting as outlined under “Experimental Procedures.” Background fluorescence was subtracted from all images. CFP and YFP images were corrected for the bleed-through factors (36% for the donor and 4% for the acceptor). The corrected CFP and YFP intensities were subtracted from the FRET image resulting in the net FRET image shown at the right side of the figure. The upper and lower row show a representative selection of a cell or cells, respectively, to illustrate that FRET is exclusively seen in intracellular compartments but not at the cell surface. cor., corrected.

4. Localization of YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 and CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ36 in HEK293 cells, MDCK cells, differentiating PC12 cells, and hippocampal neurons. A, alignment of the proximal part of the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 with the respective regions in the serotonin transporter (SERT), the dopamine transporter (DAT), the glycine transporter type 1 (GLYT1), and the GABA transporter types 2 and 3 (GAT2 and GAT3) to illustrate the conserved leucine residue. Arrows show the site of truncation for the indicated mutants. B, HEK293 cells were transfected with CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ36. C and D, MDCK cells were transfected with YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 (C) or CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ36 (D). E and F, PC12 cells were subjected to differentiating conditions for 48 h prior to transfection with YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 (E) or CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ36 (F). Images were captured 24 h (B–D) and 48 h (E and F) after transfection. G and H, hippocampal neurons were transfected with YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 (G) or CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ36 (H). Images were acquired 24 h later.
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M^{555}FLTLKGLSKQRL^{565}QVMIQ^{575}PSEDIVRPEN^{585}GPEQPQAGSS^{595}ASKE^{605}AYI

FIG. 5. Subcellular localization, transport velocity, and surface biotinylation as an estimate for surface expression of CFP-tagged carboxyl-terminally truncated GAT1 mutants. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ27 (A), GAT1-Δ17 (B), GAT1-Δ7 (C), GAT1-Δ32 (D), and GAT1-Δ3 (E). The sequence of the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 (starting with Met^{555}) is shown on top of the micrographs; the arrows mark the sites of truncation. F, saturation curves for YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 as well as for CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ27, GAT1-Δ17, GAT1-Δ7, and GAT1-Δ3; assay conditions were as outlined under “Experimental Procedures.” Data are from a representative experiment that was carried out in parallel and done in triplicate. Two additional experiments gave comparable results. G, HEK293 cells were transfected with increasing amounts of plasmids encoding YFP-tagged wild type GAT1 (diamonds), CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ27 (triangles), GAT1-Δ3 (crosses), and GAT1-Δ37 (squares). The total DNA amount was kept constant. Uptake of [3H]GABA (10 μM) was assayed as in F. Results are means ± S.E. (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences of truncated GAT1 from the wild type control at p < 0.05 (one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s test). H, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding YFP-GAT1-wt (wt; 7.5 μg/10-cm dish) or YFP-GAT1-Δ27 (Δ27; 15 μg/10-cm dish). U indicates a lysate from HEK293 cells that were not transfected. Surface biotinylation, immunoprecipitation, and immunoblotting were performed as outlined under “Experimental Procedures.”

The cellular distribution and the extent of membrane expression of CFP-rGAT-Δ3 was very similar to that observed with CFP-rGAT-Δ27 as determined by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 5A) and by [3H]GABA uptake with increasing amounts of plasmid transfected (Fig. 5C). Based on these observations, we conclude that the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 carries two separate targeting signals. The first is the hydrophobic motif located between Val^{569} and Ile^{571}, and the second is represented by the last three amino acids.

It is conceivable that each signal acts independently. Alternatively there may be a hierarchy among both signals. To differentiate between these two possibilities, we fused the AYA motif to CFP-GAT1-Δ37 and transfected it into HEK293 cells. If both signals acted independently from each other, addition of this motif should drive membrane expression of the truncated protein. The result was unequivocal: addition of AYA did not rescue GAT1-Δ37 from intracellular retention (Fig. 7A). Reports in the literature point to an important role of amino acids that are located upstream of the PDZ interaction motif (17). To rule out the effect of any other amino acids upstream of the AYA motif, we fused the last 10 amino acids to CFP-GAT1-Δ37 (Fig. 7B) and to CFP-GAT1-Δ32 (Fig. 7C). Both constructs did not reach the plasma membrane. If there is a hierarchy of both signals, the mutation of the proximal signal ought to cause retention even if the distal signal is present in its correct context, that is the otherwise intact carboxyl terminus. Therefore we replaced the three hydrophobic amino acids (Val^{569}/Met^{571}) by serines (YFP-rGAT1-SSS). This mutant did not reach the plasma membrane (Fig. 7D). Thus the two signals act in a hierarchical manner.

The Terminal Three Amino Acids of GAT1 Represent a PDZ Interaction Domain—It was inferred by McHugh et al. (15) that the terminal three amino acids (AYI) comprise a PDZ interaction domain. The formal proof for this conjecture was not provided. The consensus sequence for a PDZ interaction domain is (T/S)Xφ (φ indicates a hydrophobic amino acid) for interaction with class I PDZ domains and φφφ for interaction with class II PDZ domains. The sequence AYA implies an interaction with a class II PDZ domain. The presence of a terminal hydrophobic residue is crucial to support interaction with the PDZ domain. We therefore mutated the terminal isoleucine to alanine. Despite its small size (by comparison with isoleucine), alanine is not expected to disrupt the interaction with the PDZ domain because it is non-polar. In contrast substitution with a polar amino acid ought to abrogate the interaction with a PDZ domain. Hence we replaced the isoleucine by a glutamate. Cell surface expression of these two point mutants was assessed by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 8, A and B) and by measuring cellular uptake of [3H]GABA (Fig. 8C). CFP-GAT1-AYA (i.e. the mutant in which isoleucine was substituted by alanine) was predominantly if not exclusively visualized at the cell surface (Fig. 8A). In contrast, copious amounts of CFP-GAT1-AYE were detected in intracellular compartments (Fig. 8B) resulting in pictures that were comparable to those found with CFP-rGAT1-Δ3 (cf. Fig. 5E). These results were confirmed by cellular [3H]GABA uptake assays, which reflect average surface expression in the entire cell population of the dish and thus eliminate observer bias (Fig. 8C). [3H]GABA uptake in cells expressing CFP-GAT1-AYA was comparable to those expressing wild type GAT1. In contrast, transport velocity was reduced...
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Fig. 6. Subcellular localization of GAT1-Δ27 and characterization of the proximal motif in rGAT1 carboxy terminus. A, B, and C, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with YFP-tagged rGAT1-Δ27. On the next day cells were incubated with ER Tracker Blue-White DPX (600 nM) for 30 min. The fluorophores were visualized using the YFP filter setting for the GAT1 mutant (red color in A) and CFP filter settings for the ER Tracker Blue-White DPX (green color in B). Both images were overlaid using the MetaMorph software (C). D, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding CFP-tagged rGAT1-Δ31, -Δ30, -Δ29, and -Δ28. Uptake of [3H]GABA (10 μM) was determined as outlined under “Experimental Procedures.” To normalize for interassay differences in transient transfections, [3H]GABA uptake by cells that expressed solely the wild type transporter was used as a reference and set as 100%. This value amounted to 157 ± 6 pmol·min⁻¹·10⁻⁶ cells. Results are means ± S.E. from three independent experiments that were carried out in parallel and done with triplicate determinations. The asterisk indicates a significant difference from the wild type at p = 0.001 (unpaired t test).

Expression of truncated GAT1 from the carboxy terminus of GAT1: A, YFP-tagged wild type GAT1; B, CFP-tagged wild type GAT1; C, CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ27. Images were acquired 24 h after transfection. The CFP filter setting for the GAT1 mutant (red color in A) and CFP filter settings for the ER Tracker Blue-White DPX (green color in B). Both images were overlaid using the MetaMorph software (C). D, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with CFP-tagged rGAT1-Δ27 (CFP-rGAT1-Δ27-C10). YFP-tagged GAT1 in which the VMI motif was replaced by three serines (GAT1-Δ37-AYI) or the last 10 amino acids (B, GAT1-Δ37-C10) were grafted. C, the last 10 amino acids were fused to CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 (CFP-rGAT1-Δ32-C10). D, YFP-tagged GAT1 in which the VMI motif was replaced by three serines (GAT1-Δ37-AYI).

Fig. 7. Hierarchy of signals in the carboxy terminus of GAT1. A and B, HEK293 cells were transfected with a plasmid driving the expression of CFP-tagged GAT1-Δ37 onto which the last three amino acids (A, GAT1-Δ37-AYI) or the last 10 amino acids (B, GAT1-Δ37-C10) were grafted. C, the last 10 amino acids were fused to CFP-rGAT1-Δ27 (CFP-rGAT1-Δ32-C10). D, YFP-tagged GAT1 in which the VMI motif was replaced by three serines (GAT1-Δ37-AYI).

by about 50% in cells expressing CFP-rGAT1-AYE and CFP-rGAT1-Δ3. It is worth pointing out that the HEK293 cells were transfected in parallel with 2 μg each of the appropriate plasmid. This amount of plasmid sufficed to saturate cell surface expression (Fig. 5G). Finally we also investigated the contribution of the hydroxyl group of tyrosine by replacing this residue with phenylalanine. This substitution did not have any appreciable effect (data not shown).

The PDZ Interaction Domain in GAT Carboxy Terminus Is Not Necessary for Polarized Sorting—The fact that surface expression of CFP-rGAT1-Δ3 was lower than that of the wild type transporter implies that the PDZ domain is important for interaction with a rate-limiting factor, which might support membrane insertion. In this case, the protein must already be sorted en route to its definitive compartment. If this model were correct, the AYE motif should not play a role in the process of sorting because the interaction with the putative PDZ domain protein presumably only controls the rate of membrane insertion. Thus, the mutants that lack the PDZ interaction motif are expected to be targeted as efficiently to specialized cell surface destinations as the wild type protein. This prediction was verified in differentiating PC12 cells by comparing the sorting of the wild type transporter with that of constructs in which the PDZ interaction motif had been mutated or deleted. Indeed YFP-rGAT1-wt as well as CFP-rGAT1-Δ3, -AYA, and -AYE were all enriched at the sites of sprouting neurite extensions (Fig. 9, A–D). These results therefore confirm the conjecture that the proximal portion of the GAT1 carboxy terminus suffices for sorting the protein to the correct compartment.

Sec24D Binds to the Carboxy Terminus of GAT1—Export of proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum occurs by bulk flow or
by recruitment of the proteins of the ER export machinery to specific signals of the cargo protein (for a review, see Ref. 18). In the latter case proteins are sorted into coat protein complex II (COPII) vesicles. As rGAT1Δ37 is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum although it is competent of forming oligomers, it is conceivable that it cannot bind to proteins of the COPII complex; rGAT1Δ27, however, is exported because it can recruit the COPII constituents. In the COPII coat, Sec24 is thought to bind cargo molecules via a set of at least three distinct binding sites (19, 20). We therefore transfected HEK293 cells with plasmids encoding YFP-tagged Sec24D together with CFP-tagged versions of rGAT1Δ37 and rGAT1Δ27. DRAP was used to visualize any interaction. A representative set of fluorescence images is shown in Fig. 10, namely images captured for CFP-rGAT1Δ27 prior to (Fig. 10A) and after bleaching of the acceptor YFP-Sec24D (Fig. 10B). The increase in fluorescence intensity was readily seen upon bleaching of the acceptor (cf. Fig. 10, A and B), and it was confined to those intracellular areas where YFP-Sec24D had accumulated (Fig. 10C). To allow for quantification, we calculated the FRET efficiency in each individual bleaching experiment. These data are summarized in Fig. 10D. It is evident that we failed to record any appreciable resonance energy transfer between CFP-rGAT1Δ37 and YFP-Sec24D. Thus the observations were consistent with the interpretation that CFP-rGAT1Δ27, but not CFP-rGAT1Δ37, bound to YFP-Sec24D.

As an additional control we used the L97A,L104A mutant of rGAT1 that fails to form a homo-oligomer (6). A CFP-tagged version of this mutant was also retained in the endoplasmic reticulum as shown by colocalization with the ER Tracker dye (Fig. 10, E–G). The carboxyl terminus is identical in wild type GAT1 and in the L97A,L104A mutant. Therefore, the L97A,L104A mutant is expected to bind Sec24D because it accumulates in the endoplasmic reticulum. Thus, we coexpressed a CFP-tagged version of rGAT1-L97A,L104A with Sec24p-YFP in HEK293 cells and monitored the interaction by DRAP-FRET. The efficiency of resonance energy transfer between the two proteins was comparable to that seen with CFP-rGAT1Δ27 and YFP-Sec24D (Fig. 10D). Thus, the comparison of the three different lengths of the carboxyl terminus (full length, Δ37, and Δ27) highlights the importance of the 10 amino acids between position −37 and −27 for export from the endoplasmic reticulum via the COPII-dependent machinery.

**The Terminal Three Amino Acids Confer Sensitivity of GAT1 Membrane Insertion to the Exocyst—**It has recently been shown that the exocyst complex is required for the regulated insertion into the plasma membrane of proteins such as the glucose transporter GLUT4 (21) and the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (21); insertion can be disrupted by dominant negative versions of Exo70. We used Exo70-GFP where the GFP moiety is fused to the carboxyl terminus of Exo70. When expressed in PC12 cells, this protein blocked differentiation (not shown) in a manner consistent with a dominant negative effect on exocyst function (23). We surmised that the reduced membrane expression of GAT1Δ3 was due to a defect in recruiting the exocyst complex. Conversely, if membrane expression of GAT1 depended on the exocyst, coexpression of Exo70-GFP ought to decrease surface expression of the transporter. Thus, we transiently expressed wild type rGAT1 in HEK293 cells in the absence and presence of increasing amounts of Exo70-GFP. Cell surface expression was quantified by measuring cellular uptake of [3H]GABA. Co-transfection with the plasmid encoding Exo70-GFP reduced [3H]GABA uptake by the wild type transporter (Fig. 11). In contrast, in HEK293 cells transfected with rGAT1Δ3, coexpression of Exo70-GFP did not cause any appreciable reduction in [3H]GABA uptake (Fig. 11). A similar result was obtained using a different Exo70 construct that has been shown previously to exert a dominant negative effect on surface expression of GLUT4 (21) (Fig. 11).
The Carboxyl Terminus of GAT1 Is Required for Targeting

In the current work, we show that the carboxyl terminus of GAT1, in particular the last 28 amino acids, are crucial for expression of GAT1 at the cell surface. Our data unequivocally demonstrate the following. (i) The carboxyl terminus contains two short sequence elements (569VMI571 and the carboxyl-terminal AYI) that are required for cell surface expression. (ii) These act in a hierarchical manner; that is the segment 569VMI571 exerts its effects upstream of the AYI motif. This conclusion is based on the observation that the AYI motif did not rescue a GAT1 mutant that lacked the 569VMI571 segment or in which it had been substituted by three serines. It is further supported by the observation that the two segments serve different roles during the process of sorting and delivery. The proximal segment supports the interaction with Sec24D and is thus required in the initial steps that lead to assembly of COPII vesicles. The carboxyl-terminal three amino acids are required for a later step; in their absence membrane delivery is insensitive to blockage of the exocyst. (iii) While these two segments are required for export from the endoplasmic reticulum, they do not suffice when presented solely in the context of a monomeric transporter. This conclusion is based on the observation that transporters that are deficient in oligomerization are also retained in the ER, although they are capable of recruiting Sec24 family members. In addition, the truncated version of GAT1 exerted a dominant negative effect on surface expression of wild type GAT1. This observation can also be accounted for by postulating that ER export is contingent on more than one docking site for the COPII machinery in a transporter oligomer.

It is evident that our observations are somewhat difficult to reconcile with results published earlier (7). This earlier work concluded that the last 36 amino acids of GAT1 carboxyl terminus are not relevant for membrane sorting in the polarized epithelial cell line MDCK. We are at a loss to resolve the discrepancy between our observations and those of Perego et al. (7). We stress that we also introduced precisely the same mutation studied by Perego and coworkers (that is GAT1-336), and we also used three polarized cell types, namely the renal MDCK cell line, differentiated PC12 cell line, and most importantly hippocampal neurons. We consider the observations with the PC12 cells as well as with the neurons of particular relevance because neurotransmitter transporters are obviously enriched in the presynaptic specialization and thus targeted to the axonal compartment. In contrast, sorting in epithelial cells may be less conclusive because it relies on an extrapolation from the approximative rule that apical sorting in polarized epithelial cells predicts axonal targeting in neuronal cells. In fact, there is precedence for transmembrane proteins that do not conform to this rule (24, 25). Finally we do not consider that our analysis is confounded by the presence of a fluorescent tag at the amino terminus of GAT1 because YFP-GAT1 was delivered to the correct membrane compartment, that is the plasma membrane of the neurite extension of differentiated PC12 cells as well as to the axonal compartment of hippocampal neurons. The amino terminus of GAT1 is the site of the interaction with syntaxin 1A (26). This interaction is subject to regulation by protein kinase C, and this is thought to underlie the ability of protein kinase C to drive endocytosis and recycling of GAT1 (27). However, the amino-terminal YFP does not interfere with protein kinase C-induced removal of GAT1 from the cell surface: following transient activation of protein kinase C, unmodified GAT1 and GAT1 that carries YFP on its amino terminus undergoe internalization and recycling with comparable kinetics (6). Recently Chia et al. (13) reported in a mouse knock-in model that the murine GAT1, when tagged on its amino terminus with GFP, was retained within the cell. However, we observed that amino-terminally tagged rat GAT1 was efficiently delivered to the cell surface and to the axonal compartment of rat hippocampal neurons. While we cannot and do not formally rule out a role of the amino terminus of GAT1 in sorting and membrane targeting, our data clearly identify a prominent contribution of the carboxyl terminus. We are at a loss to explain this discrepancy by any means other than to invoke a difference between murine and rat GAT1.

PDZ domains function as protein-protein interaction modules. Originally they were found to mediate interaction with specific carboxyl-terminal motifs (28); more recently, however, interactions with non-terminal motifs have also been documented (29). The PDZ-binding motif is composed of three amino acids. Interaction with class I PDZ domains requires the presence of a hydroxy group at the -2 position (serine or threonine) (29). For interaction with class II PDZ domains a hydrophobic or non-polar amino acid at -2 is required (30). In the case of GAT1, position -2 is occupied by alanine, which fulfills the criterion of a non-polar residue. This suggests that GAT1 carboxyl terminus interacts with a class II PDZ domain-containing protein. When used as a prey in a yeast two-hybrid interaction hunt, the carboxyl terminus of GAT1 interacted with Pals-1 (15). Importantly Pals-1 contains a class II PDZ domain with a high homology to CASK/Lin-2 (15). It is, however, unlikely that Pals-1 is an obligate interaction partner for GAT1. This argument is based on the fact that Pals-1 is not expressed in HEK293 cells (16); nevertheless GAT1 is efficiently inserted into the plasma membrane of these cells. Our results imply that the PDZ-binding motif is responsible for the interaction with a rate-limiting factor rather than being responsible for targeting and sorting the protein to the plasma membrane compartment. This interpretation is supported by the following findings. (i) GAT1 in which the PDZ interaction motif was deleted or mutated was targeted to the plasma membrane of HEK293 cells, but surface expression was significantly lesser than in wild type GAT1. (ii) The mutant GAT1 in which the PDZ-binding motif was ablated was still enriched in the growth cone and at the sites of sprouting neurites in differentiated PC12 cells in a manner similar to the wild type protein. Thus targeting was not impaired, although a significant portion of the mutant was detected intracellularly. (iii) Fusing the
AYI motif to GAT1-Δ37 did not rescue the protein from intracellular retention. Taken together these data show that the proximal portion of the carboxyl terminus suffices to specify delivery of GAT1 to the correct membrane compartment. The PDZ interaction domain at the carboxyl terminus confers exocyst sensitivity to GAT1.

The docking of exocytic vesicles to the plasma membrane in eukaryotic cells is mediated by a multiprotein complex known as the exocyst. The exocyst is composed of eight proteins: Sec3p,Sec6p,Sec8p,Sec10p,Sec15p,Exo70, and Exo84. The exocyst proteins localize to areas of active cell surface expansion including sprouting neurites and axonal synapses (31). Recently Exo70 was also shown to mediate the regulated insertion of GLUT4 into the plasma membrane (21). Here we clearly demonstrate that surface expression of GAT1 is also dependent on Exo70 and thus on the exocyst. An analogous finding was reported for the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit NR2 (22); surface expression of NR2 was reduced by coexpression of a dominant negative version of Sec8p (Sec8pΔ4). Interestingly we observed that rGAT1-Δ3 was completely insensitive to Exo70-GFP as well as to the previously described dominant negative Exo70-C. Again there is striking analogy with NR2: removal of the last seven amino acids from NR2 renders the resulting mutant insensitive to the dominant negative action of Sec8-Δ4 (22). This observation led Sans et al. (22) to postulate the existence of a default, exocyst-independent pathway for delivery of receptor subunits to the plasma membrane. We speculate that the same holds true for the GABA transporter and that this pathway allows GAT1-Δ27 and the mutants truncated up to position –3 to reach the plasma membrane albeit less efficiently.

Plasma membrane proteins are subject to quality control in intracellular compartments, in particular in the ER and the Golgi: if they fail to pass, they are retained. We have previously shown that GAT1 assembles into homo-oligomeric complexes and that this is an early event in biogenesis because it can be observed during ongoing synthesis in the ER (6). If the oligomerization is disrupted by point mutations, the monomeric version of GAT1 is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum, although it is not misfolded. Thus, because it is a prerequisite for ER export, oligomer formation presumably serves as an element of ER proofreading (that goes beyond the normal ER quality control system for misfolded proteins); similar conclusions have also been reached by Torres et al. (11) for the dopamine transporter. The present observations confirm and extend the model. The truncated versions of GAT1 that were retained were not misfolded (provided that they were assayed in vesicular preparations, they translocated substrate in a manner indistinguishable from the wild type); in addition, they also formed oligomers with the wild type protein. This was confirmed by three independent methods of FRET microscopy. This complex formation resulted in intracellular retention of wild type GAT1. Originally proteins were thought to be retained based on the exposure of ER retention signals (e.g. RXR). During protein synthesis and maturation, these signals become masked, allowing proteins to escape to the Golgi and ultimately to the plasma membrane. However, in recent years it has increasingly been appreciated that exit from the ER is an active process that depends on signals in the protein. Examples include diacidic and dihydrophobic motifs. The diacidic motif (DIE) of the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (32) has been shown to accelerate the rate of ER export. Kir2.1 potassium channels also contain a functionally relevant diacidic motif (underlined in the sequence FCYNE) mutation of this signal leads to intracellular retention of the protein (33). Dihydrophobic motifs are usually found at the extreme end of the carboxyl terminus (e.g. ERGIC-53, Ref. 34). There is, however, precedence for the presence dihydrophobic signals within the polypeptide chain (e.g. in Erv41p and Erv46p, Ref. 35). It is tempting to speculate that, in the carboxyl terminus of GAT1, the sequence may represents an ER export motif. Mutation of all three hydrophobic residues to serine led to complete retention of the transporter in the ER. Mutation of any of the hydrophobic residues to serine affected surface expression, but the mutants were still sensitive to the exocyst.2 Thus, the presence of all three hydrophobic residues is crucial for ER export. Importantly vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein forms oligomers. If the export signal of vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein is mutated, the protein is still capable of assembling into a homotrimer, but export of the protein to the cell surface is disrupted (32). There is a self-evident analogy between these observations and our results with mutated versions of GAT1. In view of this similarity, we propose that a single export motif, provided by one monomer, does not suffice to mediate ER export. This hypothesis is supported by the distinct abilities of various GAT1 mutants to interact with Sec24D. The rationale for choosing a Sec24 representative is as follows: Sec24 proteins are members of the COPII vesicle components. COPII vesicles are composed of the Sar1 GTPase, Sec33p-Sec24p complex, and Sec13p-Sec31p complex. Cargo molecules present different signals that are deciphered by the COPII components, and Sec24 members are the prime candidate for mediating initial cargo recognition (36, 37); in fact, yeast Sec24p contains a minimum of three distinct binding regions (19, 20). We used FRET microscopy because it allowed the visualization of the interaction between Sec24D and GAT1 mutants within living cells. The results obtained further supported our hypothesis that ER export is contingent upon oligomerization. (i) The mutant rGAT1-L97A,L104A is indistinguishable from the wild type transporter as activity is assessed in vesicles (6); thus it is not misfolded. Nevertheless it is not expressed at the cell surface but rather retained in the ER, and this may be related to its inability to form oligomers (6). Sec24D interacted with rGAT1-L97A,L104A, which does not suffice to support ER export. (ii) Sec24D did not bind to CFP-rGAT1-Δ37. This mutant was not deficient in oligomerization (see Figs. 2 and 3), but it lacked the export signal. (iii) Overexpression of CFP-rGAT1-Δ37 retained the wild type transporter in the cell. Thus, in the resulting mixing of oligomers, the signal provided by wild type rGAT1 became limiting; in other words, a single signal does not suffice to support ER export. We therefore propose that oligomerization serves to bring export signals together to ensure efficient export of the protein from intracellular compartments.
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