Mammary Serine Protease Inhibitor (Maspin) Binds Directly to Interferon Regulatory Factor 6

IDENTIFICATION OF A NOVEL SERPIN PARTNERSHIP

Since its reported discovery in 1994, maspin (mammary serine protease inhibitor) has been characterized as a class II tumor suppressor by its ability to promote apoptosis and inhibit cell invasion. Maspin is highly expressed in normal mammary epithelial cells but reduced or absent in aggressive breast carcinomas. However, despite efforts to characterize the mechanism(s) by which maspin functions as a tumor suppressor, its molecular characterization has remained somewhat elusive. Therefore, in an attempt to identify maspin-interacting proteins and thereby gain insight into the functional pathways of maspin, we employed a maspin-baited yeast two-hybrid system and subsequently identified Interferon Regulatory Factor 6 (IRF6) as a maspin-binding protein. IRF6 belongs to the IRF family of transcription factors, which is best known for its regulation of interferon and interferon-inducible genes following a pathogenic stimulus. Although many of the IRF family members have been well characterized, IRF6 remains poorly understood. We report that IRF6 is expressed in normal mammary epithelial cells and that it directly associates with maspin in a yeast two-hybrid system and in vitro. The interaction occurs via the conserved IRF protein association domain and is regulated by phosphorylation of IRF6. We have shown that, similar to maspin, IRF6 expression is inversely correlated with breast cancer invasiveness. We further demonstrated that the transient re-expression of IRF6 in breast cancer cells results in an increase of N-cadherin and a redistribution of vimentin commensurate with changes in cell morphology, suggestive of an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition event. Concomitantly, we showed that maspin acts as a negative regulator of this process. These findings help to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of maspin and suggest an interactive role between maspin and IRF6 in regulating cellular phenotype, the loss of which can lead to neoplastic transformation.

Maspin (mammary serine protease inhibitor, SerpinB5) was first isolated by subtractive hybridization and differential display as a protein that is expressed in normal mammary epithelial cells but reduced or absent in breast carcinomas (1). Since its initial discovery, maspin has been shown to inhibit tumor invasion and metastasis in breast cancer cells (2). Further studies implicate maspin as an angiogenesis inhibitor by its ability to block neovascularization and reduce tumor-associated microvessels and also demonstrate a role for maspin in the induction of apoptosis of tumor cells (3–4). In addition, the overexpression of maspin in transgenic mice disrupts normal mammary gland development by increasing apoptosis and disrupting cell differentiation (5). Despite the characterization of maspin as a tumor suppressor, the molecular mechanisms underlying maspin function are complex and remain predominantly unknown. Therefore, in an effort to decipher the molecular mechanisms of maspin, we employed a yeast two-hybrid system, in which we expressed full-length maspin as bait, to identify maspin-binding proteins. This approach led to the identification of Interferon Regulatory Factor 6 (IRF6) as a possible maspin-binding protein.

IRF6 belongs to the nine-member Interferon Regulatory Factor (IRF) family of transcription factors. IRFs are characterized by two conserved functional domains, namely a distinctive N-terminal DNA binding domain and a protein interaction domain. The protein interaction domain, termed the IRF association domain (IAD), is located near the C-terminal end of the protein. It is thought that protein-protein interaction involving the IAD, including homo- and heterodimerization among other IRF family members, are mediated through phosphorylation of one or multiple serine residues present within the serine-rich region near the C terminus, thus allowing interaction with other proteins (6–9). For several IRFs, this activation step is initiated by various pathogenic stimuli that induce IRF phosphorylation, leading to dimerization and subsequent translocation to the nucleus, where IRFs function to modulate the activation of type I interferons (10–11). Additionally, apart from their role in host defense, IRFs have been linked to the cellular processes of apoptosis, tumor suppression, and embryonic development (12–17). Although sequence alignment suggests that IRF6 possesses the conserved functional domains of the IRF family, there is no evidence to suggest that IRF6 functions in a similar manner to that of other IRFs nor has the initiating signal for IRF6 activation yet been determined. Here, we have demonstrated that IRF6 is expressed in normal mammary epithelial cells and that it interacts with maspin. IRF6 expression, similar to that of maspin, is reduced or lost as cells acquire a neoplastic state and progress toward a metastatic phenotype. We have also provided evidence implicating IRF6 in the regulation of cell differentiation through alterations in N-cadherin and vimentin, important phenotype markers associated with epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Importantly, changes induced by the re-expression of IRF6 are
mitigated by the presence of maspin, all of which furthers our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of maspin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Adenoviral Transfection—Normal, primary human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC 1331) were purchased from BioWhittaker, Inc. (Walkersville, MD) and maintained in defined mammary epithelial cell basal medium provided by the company. The 1436N1 cell line, an HPV16E6 immortalized normal mammary epithelial cell line, was a gift from Dr. Shijie Sheng, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI and was maintained in D-complete medium (1:1 α-minimal essential medium and HAM F-12 supplemented with 1% fetal calf serum, 1 ng/ml cholera toxin, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.7, 50 μM ascorbic acid, and Mitomycin C with gentamicin. The human breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, T47-D, MDA-MB-231, and H5837T were maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and gentamicin.

IRF6 transfection of MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-231 green fluorescent protein-maspin was performed using the pacAd5CMV construct developed at the University of Iowa DNA vector core (supplied at 10¹¹ particles/ml) at varying multiplicities of infection (m.o.i.) ranging from 50 to 250. Cells were treated in RPMI containing 1% serum with no antibiotic for 2 h, following which RPMI complete medium was added to the cells. Transfection efficiency was verified by Western blot analysis of transfected cells. All experiments were performed at 7 days post-transfection.

Semiquantitative PCR and Real-time PCR—Semiquantitative and real-time PCR were performed using standard protocols (18): for IRF6, forward 5′-GAGCCGCTAAGGAAAGC-3′ and reverse 5′-TGATGCAGAAGGGAAG-3′ and for maspin, forward 5′-CCACAGGCTTGGAGAAGATGTA-3′ and reverse 5′-GGTCAGCATTCAATCATCCTGT-3′. Primers for GAPDH were purchased from Clontech (Palo Alto, CA). PCR was performed for 27 cycles with a melting temperature of 60 °C. For maspin and GAPDH, primers were reported previously. For real-time PCR, total RNA was reverse transcribed using the Advantage PCR kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech). Real-time PCR was performed on a 7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using TaqMan® gene expression human primer/probe sets for the genes IRF6 (Hs00196213_m1) and N-cadherin (Hs00170423_m1) (Applied Biosystems). Briefly, 5 μl of cDNA, 1.25 μl of 20X Gene Expression Assay Mix, and 12.5 μl of 2X TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix in a total of 25 μl were amplified with the following thermocycler protocol: 1 cycle at 50 °C for 2 min, 1 cycle at 95 °C for 10 min, and 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s/60 °C for 1 min. All data were analyzed with Sequence Detection software (version 1.2.3; Applied Biosystems). The expression of each target gene was normalized to an endogenous control gene (GAPDH, 4333764F).

Cellular Fractionation and Western Blot Analysis—Cytosolic fractionation of cell lysate was performed similar to that previously described (19). Briefly, cells were washed in cold PBS and lysed in Buffer A (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1% Nonidet P-40 with 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 mM NaF plus protease inhibitor). Cells were scraped, and the slurry was incubated on ice for 30 min. Cells were then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Following the spin, the cytoplasmic fraction was removed and the pellet was washed three times in Buffer A. The remaining pellet was then lysed with Buffer B (20

FIGURE 1. Amino acid sequence of IRF6. Shading indicates identity (black) or similarity (gray) with other IRFs (IRF3–9). Inverted triangles (†) indicate sites for introns with the number representing the following exon. The start codon is at the beginning of exon 3, and the stop codon is in exon 9. The functional domains consist of the DNA binding domain (*), and potential phosphorylation sites (orange).

FIGURE 2. Validation of IRF6 antibody (a) and IRF6 and maspin (b–d) expression profile in normal primary and cultured mammary epithelial cells. Post-nuclear cytosolic fractions from 1436N1 cells and Ad5 IRF6-infected MDA-MB-231 cells were evaluated by Western blot (a) before and after preincubation of the antibody with blocking peptides, demonstrating the specificity of the IRF6 antibody. Shown are semiquantitative PCR (b), Western blot analysis (c), and confocal microscopy (d) of IRF6 and maspin in normal primary human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) and the immortalized mammary cell line 1436N1. Protein from the cytosolic fraction was evaluated by SDS-PAGE on a 10% gel. Actin was used as a protein loading control. GAPDH was used as an RNA loading control. For confocal microscopy, 1436N1 cells were dual-stained for maspin (green) and IRF6 (red; original magnification ×40).
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**Maspin-IRF6 Interaction**

**FIGURE 3.** *a,* effect of phosphatase treatment on IRF6 and *in vitro* confirmation (*b–c*) of maspin-IRF6 interaction. *a,* post-nuclear cytosolic fractions from HMEC-C cells were treated with lambda-phosphatase (0.4 units) for 1 h at room temperature in the absence of phosphatase inhibitors NaF and sodium orthovanadate. Samples were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and Western blot. *b,* 500 µg of total protein from the cytosolic fraction of 1436N1 cells was pre-cleared with Protein A-coated Sepharose beads for 30 min and then incubated for 2 h with anti-IRF6 polyclonal antibody at room temperature. Protein A-coated Sepharose beads were added to the lysate and incubated for an additional hour at room temperature. Beads were washed three times in PBS, and then protein was eluted with 2× sample buffer with SDS for 5 min. For phosphatase-treated samples, 500 µg of protein was treated with 2 units of phosphatase for 30 min at room temperature prior to the addition of primary antibody. Lysate was evaluated prior to and following immunoprecipitation and shows the efficient removal of IRF6 from the lysate, whereas only a small amount of maspin was removed. *c,* full-length IRF6 and eight different IRF6 deletion mutant constructs were expressed as prey and co-transformed with maspin (bait) in the yeast strain AH109. -Leu/-Trp dropout medium was used to select for bait and prey plasmids. -Leu/-Trp/-Ade/-His is a high stringency dropout medium. The p53-large T antigen interaction was used as a positive control. The graph on the left illustrates the various IRF6 deletion constructs, with arrows depicting the IRF6 fragment used as prey (full-length IRF6 contains exons 3–9). Protein interactions were measured by yeast growth on appropriate selective media.

**Co-immunoprecipitation Assay—** 500 µg of protein from cytosolic fraction of 1436N1 cell lysate was pre-cleared with 30 µl of protein A-Sepharose (Sigma). The lysate was then incubated with IRF6 polyclonal antibody for 2 h at room temperature. Immune complex was precipitated by the addition of Protein A-Sepharose (1 h at room temperature), washed 3× in PBS, and eluted using 2× SDS reducing buffer (sample buffer) for 5 min at room temperature. Elutions were assessed using Western blot on 10% acrylamide gels. For phosphatase-treated samples, cell lysate was treated with 2 units of lambda-phosphatase for 30 min at room temperature prior to the addition of the primary antibody.

**Yeast Two-hybrid Assays—** Yeast two-hybrid experiments were performed using the Matchmaker™ Gal4 Two-hybrid System 3 from Clontech. We cloned the full-length maspin coding sequence into pGBKT7 to generate the pGBK7-maspin bait plasmid in which maspin is fused with the Gal4 DNA binding domain. The human mammary gland Matchmaker™ cDNA library was expressed in the vector pGADT7 as prey. All procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Selection was performed on S.D.-Leu/-Trp/-Ade/-His medium containing 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-α-D-galactoside as a visual selection marker. Plasmids were isolated from yeast using a standard smash-and-grab protocol with glass beads (425–500 microns, acid-washed; Sigma). Sequencing of the prey plasmids was performed at the University of Iowa DNA Sequencing Facility. For co-transformation of IRF6 deletion mutant constructs, competent AH1109 yeast was prepared using a standard lithium acetate protocol. In brief, yeast was suspended in TE/LiAc (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM lithium acetate, pH 7.5). Bait and prey plasmids were added to competent cells in the presence of polyethylene glycol (40%). 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM lithium acetate, and subjected to heat shock for 15 min at 42°C. Herring testis DNA was used as a carrier. Successful co-transformation was selected on S.D.-Leu/-Trp and bait-prey interactions were assayed on S.D.-Leu/-Trp/-Ade/-His quadruple knockout medium. All medium supplements were obtained from Clontech.

**Tissue Specimens and Immunohistochemistry—** Archival tissue from patients with no prior drug treatment was obtained from the Depart-
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RESULTS

Yeast Two-hybrid and in Vitro Verification of Maspin-IRF6 Interaction—Because the tumor suppressive functions of maspin were first identified in mammary epithelial cells, we utilized a cDNA library derived from normal human mammary epithelial cells in a maspin-baited yeast two-hybrid assay. Evaluation of the resultant clones revealed IRF6 as a putative maspin-binding protein, with the amino acid sequence from residues 139 to 334 spanning almost the entire IRF6 proline-rich region and two-thirds of the IAD domain identified as the interaction site (highlighted in the box, Fig. 1). Following the identification of IRF6 as a possible target for maspin interaction, we confirmed the presence of endogenous IRF6 in human mammary epithelial cells (HMEpC) by semiquantitative PCR and Western blot and verified the interaction between maspin and IRF6 in vitro through co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2). Post-nuclear cytosolic fractions from 1436N1 cells and Ad5 IRF6-infected MDA-MB-231 cells were evaluated by Western blot before and after preincubation of the antibody with blocking peptides (Fig. 2a) to demonstrate the specificity of the IRF6 antibody. Both mRNA (Fig. 2b) and protein levels (Fig. 2c) of endogenous IRF6 are clearly demonstrated in HMEpC and the immortalized mammary epithelial cell line 1436N1. Importantly, cell lysates used in the Western blot analysis represent the post-nuclear cytosolic fraction, where both IRF6 and maspin primarily reside. Under the experimental conditions used, no IRF6 was detected in the nuclear fraction (see supplemental Fig. S2). This is supported by confocal microscopy, which demonstrates the cellular localization of these proteins in the cytoplasmic compartment of cultured mammary epithelial cells (Fig. 2d).

When examined by Western blot, IRF6 appears as a doublet with molecular masses of ~59 and ~63 kDa. Because it is known that phosphorylation of IRFs is important for IRF activation, we tested whether the IRF6 doublet represents different phosphorylation states of IRF6 in HMEpCs. Treatment of HMEpC cell lysate with the nonspecific lambda-phosphatase resulted in the disappearance of the upper band, whereas the intensity of the lower band increased, indicating that the upper band represents a phosphorylated form of IRF6 (Fig. 3a). To further confirm the phosphorylation status of IRF6 and identify the target amino acid, we tested immunoprecipitated IRF6 with commercially available antibodies to phosphoserine, threonine, and tyrosine. We were unable to confirm the phosphorylation status using this approach, and because no phospho-specific antibody to IRF6 is yet available, further work is required to resolve this important point.

To verify the maspin-IRF6 interaction in cell culture, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation assay utilizing the cytosolic fraction from 1436N1 cells. As shown in Fig. 3b, immunoprecipitation with anti-IRF6 antibody precipitated endogenous IRF6 and co-precipitated maspin, indicative of an interaction between these two proteins in cultured mammary epithelial cells. It is important to note that the amount of maspin interacting with IRF6 under these conditions represents a small

![FIGURE 4. IRF6 and maspin expression profiles in poorly invasive and highly invasive metastatic breast cancer lines. Semiquantitative PCR (a) and Western blot analysis (b) of IRF6 and maspin in the poorly invasive neoplastic breast cell lines MCF-7 and T47-D and the highly invasive metastatic cell lines MDA-MB-231 and HS578T. The post-nuclear cytosolic fraction was analyzed. GAPDH was used as an RNA loading control.](http://www.jbc.org/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>Summary of in vivo analysis of maspin and IRF6 expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient age</td>
<td>Tumor type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td>Infiltrating ductal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>heterogeneous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fraction of the total maspin found in the cells, and further work must be
done to quantify the stoichiometric ratios of this protein interaction.

To examine the role of IRF6 phosphorylation in regulating the
maspin-IRF6 interaction, we performed co-immunoprecipitation with
cell lysate pretreated with lambda-phosphatase (Fig. 3b). This led to a
significant decrease in the amount of maspin interacting with IRF6,
indicating a role for phosphorylation in the regulation of the maspin-
IRF6 interaction. These observations were further strengthened by IRF6
protein truncation analysis (Fig. 3c). The IRF family shares at least two
common domains, namely an N-terminal DNA binding domain and a
protein association domain termed the IRF association domain (IAD).
Because the IAD is the primary site of protein interaction among
the IRFs, we hypothesized that the IAD would be necessary for an interac-
tion with maspin. To test this, we constructed a series of IRF6 deletion
mutant constructs and co-expressed these constructs with the maspin-
bait vector in a yeast two-hybrid system to evaluate interaction effi-
ciency. The results demonstrate that the IAD (exons 7–8) is both nec-
essary and sufficient for growth on selective media, verifying the IRF6
IAD as the site of interaction with maspin. Importantly, the inclusion
of exon 9 in the IRF6 vector was sufficient to effectively abrogate this
growth response, indicating an inefficient maspin-IRF6 interaction
when exon 9 is present.

Maspin and IRF6 Expression in Breast Cancer—As we attempted to
assess the biological relevance of the maspin-IRF6 interaction, we
focused our studies on breast cancer, where the expression of maspin is
inversely correlated with the advancement of tumor progression. In
HMEpCs, maspin is present in high levels but dramatically reduced in
non-aggressive mammary tumors and absent in a majority of highly
invasive, metastatic breast carcinomas. Because of maspin’s implica-
tions in breast cancer development and progression, we examined IRF6
expression as it relates to maspin expression in a series of neoplastic cell
lines. Semiquantitative PCR analysis of the non-aggressive, poorly inva-
sive breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and T47-D revealed a moderate
reduction in IRF6 mRNA compared with HMEpC and 1436N1 (Fig. 4a).
No IRF6 mRNA was detected in the highly invasive, metastatic cell lines
MDA-MB-231 and HS578T, which mimics the expression pattern of
maspin in these same cells. This IRF6 expression pattern was confirmed
at the protein level by Western blot analysis, where the loss of IRF6 in
both the poorly invasive and highly invasive cell lines is more pro-
nounced (Fig. 4b). These data were confirmed by in situ immunohisto-
chemistry of patient tissues. Immunohistochemical analysis of maspin
and IRF6 in tissue samples from normal mammary gland, non-invasive
ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive ductal carcinoma revealed an

\[ \text{FIGURE 5. Immunohistochemical analysis of IRF6 and maspin in normal and neo-} \]
\[ \text{plastic tissue sections. A comparative analysis of maspin and IRF6 immunoreactivity in} \]
\[ \text{archival tissue samples from normal mammary and breast cancer patient tissues with} \]
\[ \text{ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and invasive ductal carcinoma. Diaminobenzidine was} \]
\[ \text{used as the chromogen.} \]

\[ \text{FIGURE 6. Phenotypic analysis of MDA-MB-231 neo and MDA-MB-231 green fluorescent} \]
\[ \text{protein-maspin cells following transient infection with Ad5 IRF6. Representative phase-} \]
\[ \text{contrast micrographs of MDA-MB-231 neo (MB-} \]
\[ \text{231neo) cells untransfected for IRF6 expression} \]
\[ (A) \text{ and Ad5 IRF6 infected} \]
\[ (B) \text{ at an m.o.i. of 100} \]
\[ \text{were taken 7 days post-infection. The MDA-MB-} \]
\[ \text{231 green fluorescent protein-maspin-trans-} \]
\[ \text{fected cells (MB-231maspin) were either nega-} \]
\[ \text{tive for IRF6 expression (C, untransfected) or} \]
\[ \text{infected with Ad5 IRF6 (D). Representative sam-} \]
\[ \text{ples were also fixed for immunohistochemistry} \]
\[ \text{and stained for vimentin (red) and N-cadherin} \]
\[ \text{(green) as shown under the representative} \]
\[ \text{phase-contrast micrographs. The insert depicts} \]
\[ \text{immunofluorescence of MB-231maspin cells.} \]
\[ \text{Original magnification was } \times 20 \text{ for all pictures.} \]
expression pattern in situ similar to that seen in the cell lines tested, where non-invasive neoplastic cells demonstrated a modest reduction of IRF6 and the loss of both maspin and IRF6 coincided with the appearance of invasive cancer cells (Fig. 5 and TABLE ONE).

In an attempt to decipher a possible role for IRF6 in mammary epithelial cells and the consequences resulting from the loss of both IRF6 and maspin, we transiently infected MDA-MB-231 neo (MB-231neo) and MDA-MB-231 green fluorescent protein-maspin-transfected (MB-231maspin) cells with an adenovirus containing wild-type IRF6 (Ad5 IRF6) at different m.o.i., ranging from 50 to 250. At 7 days post-infection, an altered phenotype was noticeable in MB-231neo cells. However, no changes were seen in MB-231maspin cells following Ad5 IRF6 infection (Fig. 6, A–D). The presence of maspin and IRF6 was confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy of the cells and real-time PCR, respectively (Figs. 6B and 7A).

We next sought to define the altered phenotype induced by IRF6 re-expression by examining the expression of the known phenotypic markers vimentin and N-cadherin. Real-time PCR analysis of transfected and non-transfected MB-231neo cells indicated an increase in the expression of N-cadherin (Fig. 7A). This was further confirmed at the protein level by immunohistochemical analysis of the fixed cells (Fig. 6, A and B). For vimentin, there was no significant change at the mRNA level, but at the protein level the presence of IRF6 exerted a change in the distribution of vimentin (Fig. 6, A and B). Notably, the alterations in N-cadherin and vimentin were not evident in the MB-231maspin cells infected with Ad5 IRF6 (Fig. 6, C and D).

**DISCUSSION**

This study presents new findings from a yeast two-hybrid system in which we identified a novel interaction between the tumor suppressor maspin and IRF6, a member of the IRF transcription factor family. Our studies indicate that IRF6 is expressed in normal human mammary epithelial cells, and this interaction with maspin has been verified by co-immunoprecipitation. IRF6 is the least understood of the nine-member IRF family. Functions for this family of transcription factors have generally been associated with the induction and regulation of type I interferons and interferon-inducible genes following pathogenic insult but have also been linked to the cellular processes of growth control, apoptosis, tumor suppression, and embryonic development (12–17, 21–25). Notably, recent studies indicate a crucial role for IRF6 in palatal development (26–27). Palatal fusion requires the dispersion and elimination of the medial edge epithelium lining opposing palatal shelves, which occurs through a combination of apoptosis, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and cell migration (28–30). IRF6 is highly expressed in the medial edge epithelium immediately prior to palatal fusion, indicating a possible role for IRF6 in one or more of these tissue-remodeling processes.

Our studies show for the first time that IRF6 is expressed in mammary epithelial cells where, under our experimental conditions, it resides primarily in the cytoplasm. SDS-PAGE of the cytosolic fraction demonstrated that IRF6 appears as two distinct bands with molecular masses of ~59 and ~63 kDa. Our data indicate that the upper ~63-kDa band represents a phospho-modified form of IRF6, as treatment with lambda-phosphatase abolished the upper band. Phosphorylation is a central step in the activation of many IRFs and acts to facilitate protein interactions involving the IAD. Phosphorylation normally occurs in the serine-rich region of the C terminus, which, in a non-phosphorylated state, exerts an auto-inhibitory effect by blocking protein-protein interactions (31–33). Through detailed IRF6 truncation analysis, we have demonstrated a similar auto-inhibitory effect caused by the IRF6 C-terminal serine-rich region. We have shown that the IRF6 IAD is both necessary and sufficient for an interaction with maspin and that the inclusion of the C-terminal serine-rich region abrogates this interaction. Furthermore, our co-immunoprecipitation data indicate that IRF6 phosphorylation plays a significant role in the maspin-IRF6 interaction, because treatment of cell lysate with lambda-phosphatase prior to co-immunoprecipitation resulted in a significant decrease in the amount of maspin interacting with IRF6. Taken together, we postulate that phosphorylation of IRF6 facilitates its interaction with maspin by relieving the auto-inhibitory effect of the C terminus in a manner similar to other IRFs.

Co-immunoprecipitation studies also suggest that in normal mammary epithelial cells only a small portion of maspin is interacting with IRF6. Because it appears that the interaction between maspin and IRF6 occurs primarily in the cytoplasmic compartment, it is possible that the interaction with maspin serves to regulate IRF6 by controlling its translocation to the nucleus. This type of negative regulation has been reported with other IRFs, such as the cytoplasmic retention of IRF9 by its binding partner Stat2 (34). Indeed, this is supported by our transfection studies that demonstrated the ability of maspin to protect against the effects of IRF6 re-expression. Because of the role of maspin in tumor suppression, we evaluated the expression of IRF6 in both poorly invasive and highly invasive breast cancer cell lines. A comparison of maspin and IRF6 expression by semi-

**FIGURE 7. Real-time PCR analysis demonstrating changes in N-cadherin expression following Ad5 IRF6 infection of MDA-MB-231 cells.** A, verification of IRF6 expression in MB-231neo and MB-231maspin cells following infection with various concentrations of Ad5 IRF6 (m.o.i. 50, 100, 250). B, changes in N-cadherin expression in the same cells infected with Ad5 IRF6 (m.o.i. 50, 100, 250).
quantitative PCR demonstrated a moderate decrease in IRF6 mRNA levels during progression from a normal to a neoplastic, poorly invasive phenotype, whereas IRF6 expression was absent in the highly invasive and metastatic cells. This differs from maspin expression, which is highly reduced or completely absent in the poorly invasive cells. Studies have shown that methylation of the maspin promoter is involved in maspin silencing and is an early event in breast cancer development (35). In contrast, the moderate down-regulation of IRF6 in poorly invasive cells suggests an altered regulatory pathway rather than epigenetic gene silencing. The reduction of IRF6 is more pronounced at the level of protein expression, where Western blot analysis revealed only minimal amounts of IRF6 in the poorly invasive cell lines. Importantly, the stable re-expression of maspin in MDA-MB-231 cells did not result in any changes in IRF6 expression nor did the re-expression of IRF6 lead to changes in maspin expression, suggesting that neither of these genes directly regulates the expression of the other. We postulate that the stepwise decline of IRF6 could be indicative of a role for IRF6 in regulating cellular differentiation, because the poorly invasive cells still maintain some epithelial characteristics, whereas the aggressive, metastatic cells have adopted a mesenchymal phenotype. Studies indicate that silencing of the maspin gene is one of the initial steps in tumor progression (35). It is plausible to speculate that this could result in deregulated IRF6, leading to transcriptional activation of genes involved in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. This is supported by our IRF6 transfection approach, which indicates that in the absence of maspin deregulated IRF6, leading to transcriptional activation of genes involved in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition-associated genes such as N-cadherin. It is also possible that IRF6 is temporally required for gene regulation (as in the case in palatal fusion (26)) and the presence of maspin regulates this process. In the absence of maspin, continual activation of IRF6 might lead to its silencing, which might explain the loss of IRF6 in highly invasive breast cancer cells. The increase in N-cadherin (and decrease in E-cadherin) in cancer cells with epithelial origin has been reported to facilitate the infiltration of cancer cells into the host basement membrane. Indeed, studies have identified the up-regulation of N-cadherin as characteristic of a more invasive and less differentiated breast cancer cell phenotype (36–38).

In conclusion, we have shown that the transcription factor IRF6 is expressed in normal mammary epithelium and that it interacts with the tumor suppressor maspin. We further demonstrated that IRF6 expression is lost in invasive breast cancer cells and hypothesize that the maspin-IRF6 interaction is essential in maintaining a normal mammary epithelial cell phenotype. We further postulate that the maspin-IRF6 interaction plays an important role in tissue remodeling by regulating cell differentiation, an important aspect of mammalian gland morphogenesis. This work helps elucidate the tumor suppressive mechanisms of maspin and supports a model in which maspin realizes its function in part through the regulation of IRF6. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of maspin and its interaction with IRF6 will allow us to translate the potential of maspin as a possible therapeutic agent and prognostic indicator in breast cancer.
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