Phosphomimetic Mutations Increase Phospholamban Oligomerization and Alter the Structure of Its Regulatory Complex
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To investigate the effect of phosphorylation on the interactions of phospholamban (PLB) with itself and its regulatory target, SERCA, we measured FRET from CFP-SERCA or CFP-PLB to YFP-PLB in live AAV-293 cells. Phosphorylation of PLB was mimicked by mutations S16E (PKA site) or S16E/T17E (PKA+CaMKII sites). FRET increased with protein concentration up to a maximum (FRET\textsubscript{max}) that was taken to represent the intrinsic FRET of the bound complex. The concentration dependence of FRET yielded dissociation constants ($K_D$) for the PLB-PLB and PLB-SERCA interactions. PLB-PLB FRET data suggest pseudo-phosphorylation of PLB increased oligomerization of PLB but did not alter PLB pentamer quaternary structure. PLB-SERCA FRET experiments showed an apparent decrease in binding of PLB to SERCA and an increase in the apparent PLB-SERCA binding cooperativity. It is likely that these changes are secondary effects of increased oligomerization of PLB; a change in the inherent affinity of monomeric PLB for SERCA was not detected. In addition, PLB-SERCA complex FRET\textsubscript{max} was reduced by phosphomimetic mutations, suggesting the conformation of the regulatory complex is significantly altered by PLB phosphorylation.

Phospholamban (PLB)\textsuperscript{2} is a 52-amino acid peptide localized primarily to the sarcoplasmic reticulum of cardiac muscle cells. The peptide comprises two $\alpha$-helical domains connected by a flexible linker. NMR studies suggest an L-shaped tertiary structure; the PLB cytoplasmic domain N-terminal $\alpha$-helix (domain IA) is significantly parallel to the bilayer surface, while the transmembrane helix (domain II) is roughly normal to the membrane (1, 2). The monomeric form of PLB binds and inhibits the sarco(endo)plasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (SERCA) (3). Inhibition is partially relieved by phosphorylation of PLB by PKA (4) and CaMKII (5), and PLB is a major effector of adrenergic signaling (6). PLB also binds to itself, forming pentamers (7). Most evidence suggests that the pentamer is unable to bind or regulate SERCA (8, 9). NMR and EPR (10) and FRET (10, 11) data suggest the pentamer is a “pinwheel” quaternary complex of $L$-shaped subunits, however, other diverse structures have been proposed (12–14).

Phosphorylation has been proposed to alter the architecture of PLB. Wegener and Jones (7) observed a significant shift in the PLB pentamer electrophoretic mobility in SDS gels, and postulated that mobility was changed as a result of altered quaternary conformation of the pentamer. Specifically, they envisioned PLB cytoplasmic domains assuming a more compact conformation after phosphorylation (15). Consistent with such a structural transition is a recent NMR study showing that phosphorylation decreases the membrane association of the phospholamban cytoplasmic domain (16). Furthermore, Karim et al. (17) found that phosphorylation influenced the proportion of a structurally dynamic form of PLB. To test whether phosphorylation stabilizes a compact pentamer conformation, we obtained distance constraints by measuring fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between N-terminal fluorescent protein fusion tags. In this study, we mimicked phosphorylation of PLB by PKA and CaMKII with glutamate substitutions at positions 16 and 17 (18, 19). The extent to which glutamate substitutions recapitulate phosphorylation of PLB is not known. However, the S16E mutation has been shown to ameliorate the disease process in a heart failure animal model (18), making it attractive as an experimental tool and potential therapy.

In addition, we investigated the effect of phosphorylation on the structure and affinity of the PLB regulatory complex with SERCA. Several groups have provided evidence that the interaction of PLB with SERCA is altered by PLB phosphorylation, either by partial dissociation (20), or by a change in PLB-SERCA tertiary/quaternary structure (17, 21–23). The question of whether PLB dissociation from SERCA is a primary or secondary effect is complicated by the linkage of this binding interaction to the equilibrium of PLB oligomeric forms. For example, the PLB pentamer destabilizing I40A mutation shifts the pentamer-monomer equilibrium toward the monomer, resulting in more binding of monomeric PLB to SERCA (9, 10) Under-scoring the functional interplay of these coupled equilibria is the observation that the I40A mutant is a “superinhibitor” of the pump (9). Inversely, shifting PLB to the pentamer is expected to partially deplete the monomer pool, and by mass action reduce binding of PLB to SERCA. A shift toward the pentamer form could be accomplished by phosphorylation of
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PLB, which has been shown to increase oligomerization in vitro (24). To address whether both binding equilibria are directly and independently regulated, it is necessary to measure the energetic consequence of phosphorylation on PLB oligomerization in the biologically relevant environment of the cell membrane. In the present study, we endeavor to quantify pseudo-phosphorylation-induced equilibrium shifts in live cells using FRET to detect binding of CFP-SERCA or CFP-PLB to YFP-PLB. Our findings address unanswered questions relating to phosphorylation effects on the structure of the PLB pentamer and regulatory complex and the affinity of the PLB-PLB and PLB-SERCA interactions. We propose an integrated model in which these features determine the functional regulation of calcium handling in the heart.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Molecular Biology and Cell Culture—*PLB was mutated using the QuickChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) to create pseudo-phosphorylations at the PKA site (S16E) or PKA/CaMKII sites (S16E/T17E). Mutants were compared with a PKA-nonphosphorylatable (S16A) control. CFP-PLB or CFP-SERCA and YFP-PLB were co-expressed in AAV-293 cells as previously described (10, 25). The calcium pump with an N-terminal CFP fusion tag retains normal calcium sensitivity and 40–50% catalytic activity, indicating this labeling method does not prevent enzymatic cycling (26). After transfection, cells were trypsinized and re-plated on poly-D-lysine-coated glass bottom culture dishes and allowed to adhere to the surface for 40–50% catalytic activity, indicating this labeling method does not prevent enzymatic cycling (26). After transfection, cells were trypsinized and re-plated on poly-D-lysine-coated glass bottom culture dishes and allowed to adhere to the surface for 2 h. This treatment resulted in well-separated cells, reducing cross-talk of fluorescence from adjacent cells. In addition, the short time of adherence yielded cells with uniform spherical morphology, which facilitated analysis of fluorescence images.

**FRET Measurement—**Cells were imaged with an inverted microscope (Nikon TE2000-U) equipped with a metal halide lamp, an APO 60× 1.49 NA objective, and a back-thinned CCD camera (iXon 887; Andor Technology, Belfast, Northern Ireland). The CCD camera was cooled to −100 °C, using a recirculating liquid coolant system (Koolance, Inc., Auburn, WA). The illumination was introduced through an excitation filter wheel equipped with 427/10 nm (for CFP) and 504/12 nm (for YFP) bandpass filters and a stationary multiple band dichroic mirror (Semrock, Rochester NY). Emission was detected through the same dichroic mirror and an emission filter wheel equipped with 472/30 nm (for CFP) and 542/27 nm (for YFP) filters. The system’s relative sensitivity to CFP and YFP was calibrated by imaging drops of purified CFP and YFP at a series of known concentrations. FRET between CFP- and YFP-labeled proteins was quantified by progressive acceptor-selective photobleaching of the entire microscopic field of observation, as previously described (10). Image acquisition and acceptor photobleaching was automated with custom software macros in Meta-Morph (Molecular Devices Corp., Downingtown, PA) that controlled motorized excitation/emission filter wheels (Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA). The progressive photobleaching protocol was as follows: 100-ms acquisition of CFP image and 40-ms acquisition of YFP image, followed by 10-s exposure to YFP-selective photobleaching (504/12 nm). The intensity of this YFP photobleaching excitation was 230 micro-watts measured at the sample. At this power level, YFP fluorescence is reduced by more than 95% in 10 min, but CFP fluorescence is preserved. This protocol has been validated with standard samples (10). For intrapentameric FRET experiments, the relative protein expression of each cell was assessed as a sum of the starting YFP fluorescence (prebleach) and the final CFP fluorescence after FRET was abolished (postbleach). A limitation of this retrospective analysis is that it does not yield absolute concentrations in units of protein molar fraction or species per unit area (e.g. mol/m²). However, this method offers the advantage of not being restricted to reconstituted systems of defined lipid/protein ratio. Thus it is broadly applicable to quantifying relative protein concentrations in living cells.

The observed FRET was calculated for each cell from the extent of donor fluorescence enhancement after acceptor photobleaching according to $E = 1 - (F_{\text{prebleach}}/F_{\text{postbleach}})$. Observed FRET was compared cell-by-cell to starting YFP fluorescence, which was taken as an index of protein concentration. CFP-PLB to YFP-PLB FRET concentration dependence was fit to a hyperbolic curve of the form $y = (F_{\text{max}})X/(K_D + X)$, where $X$ = protein concentration in arbitrary units (AU). The parameter $F_{\text{max}}$ was taken to represent the intrinsic FRET of the pentamer. $K_D$ is the dissociation constant (in arbitrary units) of the pentamer. Fitting of SERCA-S16A-PLB FRET data were performed as above, but the concentration dependence of FRET to S16E and S16E/T17E pseudo-phosphorylated mutants was best described by a Hill function of the form $y = (F_{\text{max}})X^n/(K_D^{n/2} + X^n)$ where $n$ is the Hill coefficient. Values of $n$ greater than 1 indicate positive cooperativity of binding.

**Distance Measurement—**Regulatory complex probe separation distance was calculated from intrinsic FRET efficiency ($F_{\text{max}}$) according to the relationship $r = R_0(1/2 F_{\text{max}} - 1)^{1/6}$ (27). Fig. 1A is the simulation of FRET as a function of distance with a Foster radius ($R_0$) of 49.2 Å for CFP-YFP energy transfer (28). The distance between fluorescent protein probes in pentameric PLB was calculated using a model of FRET within a ring-shaped oligomer (29) as previously described (10). This model assumes random mixing of donor/acceptor and a symmetric ring-shaped assembly of $n$ PLB subunits. The fluorescence intensity decay of the $n$-mer is given by Equation 1,

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(0)} = \exp(-k_D t) \prod_{j=2}^{n} \left[1 - p_a + p_s \exp(-k_D t)\right]$$

(Eq. 1)

where $k_D$ is the decay rate of the donor alone, $p_a$ is the molar fraction of acceptor, $1 - p_a$ is the molar fraction of donor. The term $k_j$ is given by Equation 2,

$$k_j = k_0 (r/R_0)^{-6} = k_0 \left[\frac{R \sin (\pi (j - 1)/n)}{R_0 \sin (\pi/n)}\right]^{-6}$$

(Eq. 2)

where $R_0$ is the Förster distance, $r$ is the distance between the donor and the acceptor on subunit $j$ in the $n$-mer ring, and $R$ is the distance between fluorophores on adjacent subunits. If oli-
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RESULTS

Intrapentameric FRET—For AAV-293 cells expressing non-phosphorylatable CFP-S16A-PLB and YFP-S16A-PLB, we measured a population average FRET efficiency of 33 ± 1.1%. Overall FRET progressively increased with phosphorylation-mimicking glutamate substitutions at the PKA (Ser-16) and CaMKII (Thr-17) sites (Fig. 2A). The average intrapentameric FRET efficiency of S16E-PLB was (36 ± 1.1%), and the average FRET efficiency of the double phosphorylation mimic S16E/T17E-PLB was (41 ± 1.6%). To determine whether this change in overall FRET resulted from increased oligomerization or a pentamer conformational change, we compared the measured FRET efficiency of each cell with the brightness of its YFP emission. This fluorescence intensity was taken as an index of protein concentration (10). Fig. 2B shows that FRET efficiency increased with protein concentration, up to a maximum value. A hyperbolic fit of the FRET versus [Protein] relationship (Fig. 2B) yielded estimates of relative $K_p$ and FRET$_{\text{max}}$. The data in Fig. 2B are pooled for clarity of presentation. Examples of the raw data from which $K_p$ and FRET$_{\text{max}}$ parameters were obtained are presented as supplemental information. Average parameter values from several such experiments ($n = 4–5$) are summarized in Fig. 2C and Table 1. Compared with the non-phosphorylatable S16A-PLB, the pseudo-phosphorylation mutants S16E-PLB and S16E/T17E-PLB showed decreased $K_p$. The data indicate an increased oligomerization affinity with pseudo-phosphorylation. The FRET$_{\text{max}}$ parameter of the hyperbolic fit was taken to indicate the intrinsic FRET efficiency of the pentamer complex and was 47.1 ± 1.3%, 46.9 ± 1.7%, and 46.8 ± 0.5% for S16A, S16E, and S16E/T17E, respectively.
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TABLE 1
Summary of effects of phosphomimetic mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S16A</th>
<th>S16E</th>
<th>S16E/T17E</th>
<th>PLB Pentamer Mean FRET (%)</th>
<th>FRET$_{max}$ (%)</th>
<th>KD$_{C}$ (AU)</th>
<th>KD$_{P}$ (AU)</th>
<th>FRETmax (%)</th>
<th>Acceptor mol fraction</th>
<th>Probe distance (Å)</th>
<th>Apparent KD$_{C}$ (AU)</th>
<th>Hill coefficient</th>
<th>Sample size$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S16A</td>
<td>33.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>40.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>47.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>46.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>46.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.01</td>
<td>58.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>58.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>58.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16E/T17E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Sample size indicates the number of cells analyzed. Fit parameters are reported as mean ± S.E. for 3–5 independent data sets.

Results indicate value was not determined.

$^b$ Value was obtained by Hill fit.

FRET increased with protein concentration to a maximum (Fig. 3B). As with PLB oligomerization (Fig. 2B), this relationship is approximately hyperbolic (10). However, the phosphomimetic mutants showed apparent cooperative binding to SERCA and were best described by a Hill function of the form $y = (FRET_{max})X^n/(K_D^2X^n + X^n)$ where $n$ is the Hill coefficient. An interpretation of this result is offered under “Discussion.” The data in Fig. 3B are pooled for clarity. Parameters $K_D^2$ and FRET$_{max}$ were obtained from raw data (supplemental information). The apparent $K_D^2$ and FRET$_{max}$ values obtained by hyperbolic regression of S16A or Hill fits of S16E and S16E/T17E are summarized in Fig. 3C and Table 1 ($n = 3–5$). The data suggest that PLB binding to SERCA is reduced by phosphomimetic mutations (increased $K_D^2$).

Compared with the non-phosphorylatable PLB (S16A), the PKA site mutant (S16E) and PKA/CaMKII sites mutant (S16E/T17E) showed progressively decreased FRET$_{max}$ (Table 1). The change in FRET$_{max}$ is consistent with a change in the conformation of the regulatory complex with phosphorylation.

DISCUSSION

The present observations relating to PLB structure and affinity provide insight into the mechanism of kinase regulation of SERCA inhibition by PLB. Fig. 4 shows a conceptual model of SERCA regulation, highlighting possible effects of phosphorylation on reversible regulatory transitions. In this scheme, the “inhibited” complex of PLB and SERCA is depicted in blue (Fig. 4, low $Ca^{2+}$ affinity). For clarity, phosphorylation is shown as having a stimulatory effect on rates or paths leading away from the low $Ca^{2+}$ affinity inhibitory complex (Fig. 4, A–D), though one could posit other mechanisms.

PLB Pentamer Structure and Equilibrium—We did not detect a pentamer conformational change (Fig. 4A) with phosphomimetic mutations. The PLB-PLB FRET$_{max}$ parameter, a measure of the probe separation distance, did not change with pseudo-phosphorylation indicating the quaternary structure was unaffected. These results are consistent with a recent NMR study that observed no significant changes to short-range distance constraints with the S16E mutation (19). According to a computational model of intrapentamer energy transfer based on ring-oligomer FRET theory (29), the average nearest-neighbor

**FIGURE 2. Effects of phosphomimetic mutations on PLB-PLB FRET.**

A, mean intrapentameric FRET increases with pseudo-phosphorylation. B, concentration dependence of FRET for S16A (black squares), S16E (red circles), and S16E/T17E (blue triangles). C, mean $K_D^1$ and FRET$_{max}$ parameters obtained by hyperbolic fitting of data as in B. * indicates $p < 0.05$ versus S16A.

**Regulatory Complex FRET**—To investigate the effect of pseudo-phosphorylation mutations on the structure and apparent affinity of the regulatory complex, we measured FRET between CFP-SERCA and PLB mutants labeled with YFP. Of the three PLB variants, S16A showed the highest overall regulatory complex FRET efficiency 25 ± 1.2%. FRET from CFP-SERCA to YFP-PLB decreased with pseudo-phosphorylation mutations; 14 ± 1.3% for S16E-PLB and 16 ± 0.8% for S16E/T17E (Fig. 3A).
bor probe separation distance was 58.7 Å for S16A-PLB, 58.5 Å for S16E-PLB, and 58.3 Å for S16E/T17E-PLB. Notably, these probe separation distances are in very good agreement with the distance of 58.7 Å we previously reported for WT-PLB (10). We conclude that the phosphorylation-induced changes in PLB structure reported in the literature (16, 22, 32–34) do not result in a large-scale transition to a compact pentamer conformation, as was suspected from gel mobility shift experiments (7). The strong dependence of FRET efficiency on distance makes this structural conclusion robust; a 1% difference in probe separation distance yields a 3% difference in FRET.

The fraction of oligomeric PLB is probably a more salient regulatory indicator than the architecture of the pentamer. Indeed, the data suggest the monomer-pentamer equilibrium is strongly regulated by phosphorylation (Fig. 4B). We found that phosphomimetic mutations increase PLB oligomerization in the membranes of live cells by decreasing the measured $K_D$ from 2.21 ± 0.24 for S16A to 1.05 ± 0.27 for S16E/T17E-PLB (Fig. 2C and Table 1). Thus, the observed increase in overall pentamer FRET (Fig. 2A) must arise from the increased oligomerization of phosphorylation-mimicking mutations, rather than a change in quaternary conformation.

To determine the effect of phosphorylation-mimicking mutations on PLB charge distribution we performed electrostatics calculations on PLB phosphomimetic mutants. Fig. 5 shows calculated surface potential represented from $-1/kT/e$ (red) to $+1/kT/e$ (blue). The WT pentamer (Fig. 5A) is characterized by significantly positive cytoplasmic domains (Fig. 5B) except for a small region of negative charge conferred by Glu-19 near the hub of the pinwheel. S16E (Fig. 5C) and S16E/T17E (Fig. 5D) substitutions expand and intensify this negative region, but do not significantly increase regions of neutral potential (white), nor abolish the positive charges at the extremities of the PLB cytoplasmic domains. This model predicts that putative electrostatic forces repelling PLB cytoplasmic domains away from normal (7) would not be alleviated by phosphorylation, as repellant positive charges are replaced by repellant negative charges. Moreover, it is unlikely that long-range charge repulsion is a major structural determinant since such charges are well-screened at physiological salt concentrations and are not expected to project significant force over many tens of angstroms. Instead, short-range electrostatic interactions may dominate the prevailing pentamer structure, such as between PLB and lipid headgroups (16, 35). Short-range interactions between PLB subunits may also stabilize the
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PLB-PLB FRET data (Fig. 3). However, unlike S16A-PLB or the previously investigated WT- or I40A-PLB (10), the pseudo-phosphorylated regulatory complex FRET data were not well-described by a hyperbola of the form \( y = \frac{a}{x} \). Regression was significantly improved by using a Hill function of the form \( y = \frac{FRET_{\text{max}}X^n}{(K_D^n + X^n)} \), in which \( n \) is a measure of apparent cooperativity. Fig. 3B shows regulatory complex FRET data with a hyperbolic fit of S16A and Hill fits of S16E and S16E/T17E data. Because we cannot distinguish between the monomeric and pentameric forms of YFP-PLB in the CFP-SERCA/YFP-PLB FRET assay, the PLB\textsubscript{1}-SERCA equilibrium cannot be observed in isolation from the PLB\textsubscript{5}-PLB\textsubscript{1} equilibrium. This has several practical consequences for interpreting SERCA-PLB FRET concentration dependence. First, the \( K_D \) fit parameter is not an absolute indicator of the affinity of PLB for SERCA and the apparent increase in \( K_D \) with pseudo-phosphorylation does not necessarily imply a decrease in the intrinsic affinity of monomeric PLB for SERCA (17, 20) (Fig. 4C). Rather, reduced PLB\textsubscript{1} binding to SERCA is simply due to increased oligomerization; the observed 1.9-fold increase in \( K_D \) (Fig. 3) can be accounted for by the 2.1-fold decrease in \( K_D \) (Fig. 2). Second, the apparent cooperativity observed for S16E and S16E/T17E mutants does not necessarily reflect multiple binding sites for PLB on SERCA. The concentration of monomeric PLB is depleted by oligomerization, reducing its availability to SERCA and shifting the onset of SERCA binding to higher concentrations. Once the concentration of protein exceeds both \( K_D \) and \( K_D \), binding to SERCA increases markedly. Inaccessibility of PLB at low concentrations (near \( K_D \)) combined with a decrease in high concentration (above \( K_D \)) makes the binding curve steep and apparently cooperative. This effect is most pronounced when the disparity between \( K_D \) and \( K_D \) is large, as it is for pseudo-phosphorylated PLB.

Also obtained from the SERCA-PLB FRET concentration dependence is the maximal regulatory complex FRET (FRET\textsubscript{max}), which gives the intrinsic FRET efficiency of the complex. As far as can be detected by FRET, CFP-SERCA and YFP-PLB comprise a bimolecular complex (10). Therefore, the probe separation distance can be obtained from FRET\textsubscript{max} by the relationship \( r = R_0[1/(FRET_{\text{max}})^{1/n}] \) (27). Assuming random relative dipolar orientations (\( \kappa^2 = 2/3 \)), and accounting for 3% nonspecific energy transfer (10), the measured intrinsic FRET efficiencies correspond to probe separation distances of 58.3 \( \pm \) 0.7, 60.1 \( \pm \) 0.8 and 62.5 \( \pm \) 0.03Å for S16A, S16E, and S16E/T17E, respectively (Table 1). The 58.3 \( \pm \) 0.7Å distance of the S16A-PLB-SERCA complex is consistent with previous measurements of SERCA bound to WT- and I40A-PLB, and is compatible with models of the regulatory complex in which the n-termini of PLB and the pump are on opposite sides of the complex (36, 37). The measured decrease in FRET\textsubscript{max} suggests an increase in probe separation distance with phosphorylation. This implies a rearrangement of the PLB-SERCA complex that further separates the protein N termini, without loss of binding. Other alternative models for the regulation of SERCA by calcium and PLB phosphorylation have also been proposed (17, 20, 23, 38, 39).

Functional Implications and Physiological Significance—It is likely that the observed structural rearrangement inferred from...
the observed decrease in intrinsic regulatory complex FRET is important for regulating SERCA function. We envision a simple regulatory scheme in which both the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated PLB-SERCA complexes are catalytically active but characterized by different quaternary structures and different effective calcium affinities (Fig. 4). The conformations resolved in the present study (Fig. 3) may be useful for screening small molecule drug libraries to identify candidates that stabilize the high calcium affinity (low-FRET) structure.

It is a limitation of the present study that we cannot fully evaluate the structural transition that gives rise to the observed decrease in FRET$^\text{max}$ with phosphomimetic mutations. Future high resolution studies will be helpful in this regard. However, co-crystals of PLB-SERCA suitable for diffraction studies have been elusive, perhaps because of the rapid subunit exchange kinetics of the regulatory complex (25). The lack of high resolution structure information has motivated a variety of alternative theoretical and experimental approaches, including the present FRET imaging study.

The observed shifts in PLB binding equilibria are also expected to be functionally significant. Fig. 2C shows that phosphorylation-mimicking mutations of PLB result in a 2.1-fold increase in the oligomerization affinity of PLB in the biological membranes of live cells. This effect was matched by a shift in the PLB-SERCA binding equilibrium that was of approximately equal magnitude (1.9-fold lower apparent affinity of PLB for SERCA), leading to the conclusion that there is not a direct effect of phosphorylation on the intrinsic PLB affinity for SERCA (Fig. 4C). It is remarkable that there is so little direct effect of phosphorylation on the thermodynamics of the PLB-SERCA complex, particularly since the pseudo-phosphorylated PLB-SERCA complex quaternary conformation is different by more than 4 Å (Fig. 4D and Table I). It may be that the putative conformational change does not involve aspects of the interface that contribute significant binding energy. Nevertheless, the seemingly modest effect of phosphorylation on the monomer-pentamer equilibrium (and indirectly on the PLB-SERCA equilibrium) compares favorably with the known functional effect of PLB phosphorylation. SERCA activity increases about 2-fold in submicromolar calcium after phosphorylation of PLB (40). By comparison, the shifts in the coupled equilibria with pseudo-phosphorylation are approximately half the magnitude of those observed for the superinhibitory I40A mutation (10) and of opposite direction, consistent with the respective functional effects of these mutations (9, 18).

In the heart, the relative contributions of structural changes and equilibria shifts to functional regulation must be determined by the absolute concentration of PLB and SERCA in the native sarcoplasmic reticulum. The effect of altered binding equilibria would be most pronounced at low protein concentrations. At very high concentrations PLB could saturate SERCA binding sites regardless of phosphorylation, and the regulatory effects of structural changes would dominate. Because transgenic studies indicate the PLB-pump interaction is not saturated (41), it is likely that both mechanisms play complimentary roles in vivo: Phosphorylation directly increases PLB$_5$ at the expense of PLB$_1$ (Figs. 2 and 4B), indirectly causing unbinding of PLB$_1$ from SERCA (Figs. 3 and 4C); it also stabilizes a regulatory complex structure with a high calcium affinity (Figs. 3 and 4D). Together, these mechanisms promote faster calcium uptake and result in positive lusitropy (faster cardiac relaxation). Increased calcium transport also supports a larger sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium load and results in positive inotropy (more forceful contractions). Thus, the regulation of SERCA by PLB plays an important role in the heart’s inotropic and lusitropic responses to adrenaline.
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