Resolving Holliday Junctions with *Escherichia coli* UvrD Helicase
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**Background:** The ability of UvrD, a DNA helicase, to unwind a Holliday junction has not been directly tested.

**Results:** UvrD catalyzed robust unwinding of a Holliday junction producing a forked structure.

**Conclusion:** UvrD unwinds a Holliday junction by binding to the junction and translocating along opposite arms.

**Significance:** This result is likely to have relevance in recombination and replication.

The *Escherichia coli* UvrD helicase is known to function in the mismatch repair and nucleotide excision repair pathways and has also been suggested to have roles in recombination and replication restart. The primary intermediate DNA structure in these two processes is the Holliday junction. UvrD has been shown to unwind a variety of substrates including partial duplex DNA, nicked DNA, forked DNA structures, blunt duplex DNA and RNA-DNA hybrids. Here, we demonstrate that UvrD also catalyzes the robust unwinding of Holliday junction substrates. To characterize this unwinding reaction we have employed steady-state helicase assays, pre-steady-state rapid quench helicase assays, DNaseI footprinting, and electron microscopy. We conclude that UvrD binds initially to the junction compared with binding one of the blunt ends of the four-way junction to initiate unwinding and resolves the synthetic substrate into two double-stranded fork structures. We suggest that UvrD, along with its mismatch repair partners, MutS and MutL, may utilize its ability to unwind Holliday junctions directly in the prevention of homeologous recombination. UvrD may also be involved in the resolution of stalled replication forks by unwinding the Holliday junction intermediate to allow bypass of the blockage.

UvrD, a superfamily I helicase in *Escherichia coli*, has well documented roles in two important DNA repair pathways: methyl-directed mismatch repair and nucleotide excision repair (1–5). In the mismatch repair pathway UvrD initiates unwinding at the d(GATC)-located nick created by MutH and, together with an appropriate exonuclease, facilitates removal of the unmethylated daughter strand containing the mismatch (2, 6). UvrD also participates in the UvrABC nucleotide excision repair pathway by removing the 12–13-base oligonucleotide containing a pyrimidine dimer or bulky adduct (3). Additional functions for UvrD have been proposed, consistent with the pleiotropic nature of *uvrD* mutants (4, 5, 7), including roles in replication and recombination (8–12). The precise molecular role of UvrD in these processes is less clear although several possibilities have been suggested, and this is an area of active investigation.

Recent studies indicate that UvrD has a direct role in recombination reactions associated with replication fork rescue (13–15). Specifically, UvrD is required for regression of the nascent leading and lagging strands at a stalled replication fork leading to the formation of a Holliday junction (HJ) (16, 17). The role played by UvrD has been the subject of intense study because it is now clear that replication fork restart is critical to maintaining genome stability (13, 15, 16, 18). Current models suggest that UvrD removes RecA assembled on single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at a blocked replication fork to allow replication fork reversal to occur (15). This is consistent with in vitro experiments that have shown UvrD to be capable of removing RecA molecules from DNA thereby disrupting a recombination event (9, 18, 19). It has also been suggested that UvrD could act to resolve the intermediate formed by replication fork regression so that the lesion may be repaired or bypassed immediately (16, 20).

UvrD has also been suggested to play a role in the prevention or correction of unwanted recombination events (21). Genetic experiments have shown that *ΔuvrD* mutants have a hyper-recombination phenotype, suggesting that UvrD may play a role in resolving the crossover intermediate (22, 23). Consistent with this idea, a hyporecombination phenotype is observed in a strain capable of overproducing UvrD (4, 11). In addition, genetic studies suggest that UvrD is involved in the MutH-independent homeologous recombination editing pathway along with MutS and MutL (24). Taken together, these data suggest the possibility that UvrD might recognize and unwind a HJ structure.

Previous studies using the purified protein have shown that UvrD translocates unidirectionally along the DNA lattice with a 3’ to 5’ polarity and preferentially unwinds DNA substrates with a 3’-ssDNA overhang (25, 26). UvrD has also been shown
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to unwind nicked and blunt duplex DNA, albeit at higher protein concentrations (27). In addition, UvrD is able to recognize and unwind a variety of forked DNA structures (28, 29). However, the unwinding of a HJ, the presumed DNA intermediate in replication restart and recombination, has not been investigated. We have examined this possibility here using multiple synthetic junctions ranging in overall size, extent of the mobile junction, and other characteristics.

The data indicate that UvrD is capable of recognizing and unwinding synthetic HJ substrates with the initial product being a two-stranded forked DNA structure. To characterize this unwinding reaction two different binding and unwinding mechanisms were considered. The first mechanism posits UvrD binding to one of the blunt ends on the four-armed structure and unwinding a single oligonucleotide at a time. The second mechanism proposes UvrD binding to the center of the structure, likely as a dimer, and effectively pulling opposite strands into the junction creating two double-stranded forked DNA structures. A second event would then be necessary for a single-stranded species to be observed. We used several biochemical and physical methods to demonstrate that UvrD binds to the center of the HJ structure and resolves the junction through a double-stranded DNA intermediate.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**DNA Substrates**—Three synthetic HJ substrates were constructed using purified oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies) (Table 1). For each junction one of the oligonucleotides was labeled on the 5′ end with [γ-32P]ATP (Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences) using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) under supplier-recommended conditions. The [32P]DNA oligonucleotide was separated from [γ-32P]ATP using a Sephadex G-50 spin column (Pharmacia) equilibrated with 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.5)/0.1 mM EDTA (TE) and then annealed to the three other oligonucleotides at a four to one excess of unlabeled oligonucleotides to labeled DNA. For HJ X12, oligonucleotides X12-1, X12-2, X12-3, and X12-4 were annealed. For the HJ X12 junction with a 3′-ssDNA overhang, oligonucleotides X12-1, X12-2, and X12-3 with a 30-nucleotide poly(dT) 3′-ssDNA tail, and labeled X12-4 were annealed. For HJ X3, oligonucleotides X3-1, X3-2, X3-3, and X3-4 were annealed. Annealing reactions were performed in annealing buffer containing 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgOAc, and 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The temperature of the annealing mixture was increased to 95 °C and then slow cooled to room temperature. After annealing, the HJ structures were purified by electrophoresis on a native 10% polyacrylamide gel, electroduted in 1× TBE (89 mM Tris/89 mM borate/1 mM EDTA) with 10 mM MgCl2 overnight at 120 V and dialyzed against TEN buffer (50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0), and 0.1 mM EDTA) for 2 h. The final DNA concentration was determined based on counts per minute (cpm) after dialysis versus cpm measured off the G-50 column. We estimated a 95% recovery of labeled single-stranded oligonucleotide from the G-50 column for these calculations.

The HJ used for EM analysis was constructed as described previously (30, 31). Briefly, the HJ was constructed by annealing four oligonucleotides resulting in a small four-way HJ with 5′-AGCC-3′ overhangs at each end. These junctions were converted into larger HJ substrates suitable for visualization with EM by ligation of four 575-bp double-stranded DNA arms onto the junction. DNA molecules containing all four arms were gel-purified prior to use.

**Protein Purification**—UvrD was purified as described previously (32).

**Helicase Assays**—All unwinding reactions (20 μl) were executed in UvrD reaction buffer (25 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.5), 4 mM MgCl2, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM NaCl, and 0.2 mg ml−1 BSA). 0.1 nM HJ [32P]DNA was incubated with varying concentrations of UvrD, diluted in UvrD storage buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.3), 200 mM NaCl, 50% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 15 mM β-mercaptoethanol), at 37 °C for 5 min before initiating the reaction with the addition of ATP to a final concentration of 3 mM. Reactions were incubated for 5 min at 37 °C and stopped with a 3× helicase stop solution (final concentration 10% glycerol, 16.7 mM EDTA, 0.5× TBE, 0.1% SDS, 0.02% xylene cyanol/bromphenol blue) containing an excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide corresponding to the [32P]DNA oligonucleotide in the substrate. Immediately after adding stop solution the samples were placed on ice. The reaction products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis using a 10% native polyacrylamide gel containing 0.1% SDS. The gels were electrophoresed at 200 V for 2.5 h. Results were visualized using a Storm 840 PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) and quantified using...
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ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics). SigmaPlot (Jandel Scientific) was used for graphing results.

Rapid Quench—Rapid quench-flow analysis of HJ unwinding by UvrD was performed using a KinTek quench-flow apparatus model RQF-3 (KinTek Corp). One syringe contained a mixture of 1 × reaction buffer, 50 nM UvrD, and 2 nM DNA substrate. The other syringe contained 1 × UvrD reaction buffer and 6 mM ATP. The two parts of the reaction were mixed separately on ice and allowed to incubate for 15 min. The tubes were then incubated at room temperature (20 °C) for 5 min followed by loading each mixture into the appropriate loops of the quench-flow apparatus. The two halves of the reaction were mixed rapidly and quenched using a solution that contained 200 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS, and 20 mM cold competitor oligonucleotide to prevent reannealing of the labeled oligonucleotide. 20 µl of each time point was mixed with 5 µl of 5× loading buffer (50% glycerol, 2.5× TBE, and 0.05% xylene cyanol and bromophenol blue) and loaded onto 10% polyacrylamide gels containing 0.5× TBE and 0.1% SDS. The results were visualized using the Storm 840 PhosphorImager.

DNaseI Footprinting Assays—All footprinting reaction mixtures (10 µl) contained 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 4 mM MgCl2, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM NaCl, 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ BSA, 0.4 mM HJ (X3, X12, or X12 3’-overhang as indicated), 3 mM AMP-PNP, and UvrD (as indicated). Reactions were incubated for 15 min at 37 °C, to allow binding of UvrD, prior to the addition of reaction buffer, 50 nM UvrD, and 2 nM DNA substrate.

Preparation of Samples for EM—UvrD (1.5 µg ml⁻¹; 16 nM) was incubated with the large HJ substrate (0.5 µg ml⁻¹; 0.3 nM) for 5 min at 37 °C in reaction mixtures (30 µl) containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 3 mM MgCl2, 20 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, and 3 mM ATPγS. Protein-DNA complexes were fixed with 0.6% glutaraldehyde and binding buffer components were diluted with two volumes of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6) and 0.1 mM EDTA prior to EM.

Electron Microscopy—DNA-bound protein samples were individually mixed with a buffer containing 2.5 mM spermidine (33) and incubated on glow-charged carbon foil grids for 3 min. Samples were washed with a series of water-ethanol washes, air-dried, and rotary shadowcast with tungsten at 1 × 10⁻⁶ torr. Samples were analyzed using a Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope (FEI) at 40 kV, and images were captured on a Gatan Ultrascan 4000 slow scan CCD camera and supporting software (Gatan Inc.). Image size and contrast were adjusted using Adobe Photoshop.

RESULTS

UvrD has well established roles as a DNA helicase in both methyl-directed mismatch repair and excision repair (2, 3, 6, 34–36). In addition, genetic and biochemical studies suggest the possibility of roles in recombination and DNA replication (8–12, 22). However, these roles are not well defined. The HJ is a primary intermediate DNA structure in recombination and in recombination-mediated replication restart. Here, we have characterized the ability of UvrD to unwind synthetic HJ substrates.

Helicase Activity on HJ Substrate—To determine whether UvrD could resolve a HJ, unwinding reactions were performed using several synthetic HJ DNA substrates including the X12 junction described by Elborough and West (37) and a modification of this substrate that has reduced mobility at the junction (X3). These substrates differ in two primary characteristics: the mobility of the junction and the length of the arms. HJ X12 has a 12-nucleotide region of homology at the center of the junction and 25-26 arms whereas HJ X3 has only 3 nucleotides of homology at the center of the junction and slightly shorter, 20-26 arms. Each substrate was constructed by annealing the appropriate four oligonucleotides and the substrate was purified as described under “Experimental Procedures.” The DNA sequence for each of the oligonucleotides used to construct these substrates is listed in Table 1.

UvrD catalyzed robust unwinding of both synthetic HJ substrates (Fig. 1) in a reaction dependent on ATP hydrolysis (data not shown). Essentially complete unwinding of each substrate was achieved with 10–20 nM UvrD in a 5-min incubation (Fig. 1, A and C). Importantly, the primary unwound product observed at low concentrations of UvrD was a two-stranded fork structure as indicated by quantification of each product of the unwinding reaction as a function of protein concentration (Fig. 1, B and D). At higher concentrations of UvrD the substrate was completely unwound to yield ssDNA product. We observed no significant accumulation of three-stranded product in these UvrD titrations. This suggests that the mechanism used by UvrD to unwind the HJ DNA goes through a two-stranded intermediate. The significance of this observation will be discussed below.

In the experiments using the HJ X3 substrate a doublet was visible at the two-stranded fork structure position (Fig. 1C). This can be attributed to the length of oligonucleotides used to create the HJ X3 substrate (two 41-mers and two 40-mers). From these four oligonucleotides, there are four possible two-stranded combinations. They would consist of one 41/41-mer combination, two 41/40-mer combinations, and one 40/40-mer combination. Because the HJ X3 substrate is prepared by radioactive labeling of one oligonucleotide, X3-1, only two of these products would be visible. One of the doublet species would be the combination of a 41-mer and a 40-mer, the other would be two annealed 40-mers. There would also be two unlabelled species (a 41/41-mer and a different 41/40-mer).

Comparison of appropriate marker molecules with the products of the unwinding reaction confirmed that the doublet was the result of the two unwinding outcomes predicted above (data not shown). These observations are consistent with the notion that UvrD binds to the junction to initiate the unwinding reaction. One two-stranded product is the result of binding followed by pulling the left and right arms toward the center whereas the other two-stranded product is the result of binding followed by pulling the top and bottom arms toward the center. There is no apparent preference for one product over the other.
as evidenced by the equivalent accumulation of each possible two-stranded product.

**Helicase Activity under Rapid Quench Conditions**—To provide additional evidence for initiation of the unwinding reaction at the junction, we performed rapid quench kinetic experiments. This allowed analysis of the initial product formed in unwinding reactions using HJ substrates. Two substrates were used in these experiments, the HJ X3 substrate used in the experiments shown in Fig. 1C and HJ X12 substrate modified to include a 30-nucleotide poly(dT) 3'-ssDNA tail on one arm. We reasoned that HJ X12 with a 30-nucleotide 3'-ssDNA tail on one arm would allow binding of UvrD to the 3'-ssDNA overhang. This would allow observation of the three-stranded intermediate because a 3'-ssDNA tail is a preferred substrate for UvrD (25, 27) providing a good comparison with the HJ X3 substrate with fully duplex DNA arms.

Rapid quench kinetic studies of unwinding of the X3 substrate demonstrated the formation of the two-stranded intermediate with almost no formation of the three-stranded product (Fig. 2A) in the initial time points, as was observed in the steady-state experiments (see Fig. 1C). However, as anticipated, the three-stranded structure was observed first, followed by a reduced amount of the two-stranded product and a small amount of ssDNA product, using the HJ X12 substrate with a ssDNA 3'-ssDNA tail (Fig. 2B). This indicates that UvrD can bind one arm of the substrate to initiate unwinding and produce a three-stranded structure and a ssDNA product when a binding site with high affinity is provided.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that unwinding by UvrD can produce the expected three-stranded product when UvrD is induced to bind at the end of one arm of the substrate by the addition of a ssDNA tail. However, in the absence of this tail UvrD preferentially binds the junction to initiate unwinding with the production of a two-stranded intermediate.

These results suggest that UvrD catalyzes the robust unwinding of a HJ substrate by binding, presumably as a dimer, to the junction and then translocating along opposite arms of the junction to yield a two-stranded structure (Fig. 3A). This result was, perhaps, unexpected because UvrD has been shown to be capable of initiating an unwinding reaction at a blunt end (28, 38). If UvrD were initiating the unwinding of the HJ substrate at one of the blunt ends then we would have expected to observe significant accumulation of a three-stranded product (Fig. 3B). Although we do observe the production of a small amount of three-stranded product (see Fig. 2B), this is significantly reduced relative to the accumulation of the two-stranded product (Fig. 1, B and D). As expected, the two-stranded product is a substrate for UvrD and is unwound to yield the ssDNA product ultimately observed in unwinding reactions at higher UvrD concentrations. This suggests that UvrD has a higher affinity...
for the junction in the HJ X3 and HJ X12 substrates than for the blunt-ended duplex DNA arms.

**DNaseI Footprinting of UvrD on HJ Substrates**—To provide additional evidence for the binding of UvrD to the junction, DNaseI footprinting was used to define the initial binding site of UvrD on a HJ substrate in the presence of a nonhydrolyzable ATP analog. DNaseI footprints using both HJ substrates HJ X12 (data not shown) and HJ X3 were completed. Binding to HJ X3 was easier to visualize, perhaps due to restricted migration at the junction, and is shown in Fig. 4A. As the concentration of UvrD was increased, there was an obvious decrease in available dsDNA for DNaseI to cleave. The position of the bound UvrD protein centers near the 22-nucleotide marker consistent with binding to and protection of the junction. In addition, we tested the ability of purified RuvA to block access of UvrD to the junction. RuvA effectively inhibited unwinding of HJ X12 in a concentration-dependent manner (data not shown).

The HJ X12 substrate with a 30-nucleotide poly(dT) 3′-ss-DNA tail was also used as a substrate in DNaseI footprinting studies. The preferred binding site for UvrD on this substrate should be at the site of the ssDNA overhang, consistent with the unwinding assay results presented above. In Fig. 4B, the site of the 3′ single-strand–double-strand junction corresponds to the labeled 5′ end of oligonucleotide X12-4. Therefore, binding to the single-strand–double-strand junction would block DNaseI cleavage of the 5′ end of the labeled DNA strand. The DNaseI digestion pattern indicates that UvrD is, in fact, binding to the end of this structure and is comparatively different from the footprint seen on HJ X3 where UvrD is bound to the junction. The last lane (high concentration of UvrD) in both panels shows an apparent loss of DNaseI cleavage along the length of the [32P]DNA oligonucleotide. This is most likely due to the high concentration of UvrD.

**Visualization of UvrD Binding to HJ Substrates by EM**—EM was used to visualize the binding of UvrD to large HJ DNAs directly. The HJ substrate used for EM was similar to the substrates used for biochemical assays. It contained a mobile junction of 12 nucleotides in length but was constructed with long (575-bp) arms to make it suitable for visualization by EM. UvrD was incubated with the HJ DNA in the presence of a poorly hydrolyzed ATP analog to prevent unwinding, fixed, and adsorbed onto carbon supporting grids, and then shadowcast with tungsten as described under “Experimental Procedures.” DNA molecules were arbitrarily counted from four individual experiments. Of the 529 molecules scored, UvrD bound 72 ± 8% of the HJ substrates. UvrD was visualized specifically at the four-way junction in 93 ± 4% of the protein-bound molecules (72 ± 14% of all DNA molecules counted) (Fig. 5, upper, A–G). Interestingly, some of the molecules were observed with one of...
the HJ arms looping back into the center of the junction with UvrD protein observed at the junction center (Fig. 5, upper, D–G). The majority (51 ± 14%) of the junction-bound molecules did not exhibit this looped structure. However, 32 ± 9% of the junction-bound molecules were bound with one arm looped in toward the junction (Fig. 5, upper, D–F), and 9 ± 9% molecules were bound at the junction with more than one looped arm (Fig. 5, upper, G). There was also a small fraction (7 ± 4%) of the bound substrates with protein localized at sites other than the junction. Of these molecules, 4 ± 3% were bound at the blunt end of the DNA (Fig. 5, upper, H), and the rest (3 ± 3%) were bound internally between the junction and the end of the arm (Fig. 5, upper, I). In addition, we occasionally observed multiple HJ DNAs bound by a single large protein complex that likely results from aggregation of protein-bound DNA molecules. These data indicate a remarkably high preference of UvrD for binding to the alternate secondary structure (i.e., the HJ) relative to the much longer regions of duplex B-form DNA or blunt ends.

**DISCUSSION**

Purified UvrD has been shown to unwind a wide variety of DNA substrates including partial duplex DNAs, blunt-ended substrates, nicked DNA, and synthetic fork structures representing various possibilities at a blocked replication fork (25, 27, 29, 39, 40). In addition, UvrD has been shown to unwind RNA-DNA hybrids (41) as well as displacing RecA bound to ssDNA (9, 19). The promiscuous unwinding activity of UvrD is likely a reflection of its multiple roles in the cell including roles in repair, replication, and recombination consistent with the pleiotropic phenotype of uvrD mutants (1).

Based on the data presented here, we suggest that UvrD also recognizes and unwinds a HJ substrate by the general mechanism shown in Fig. 3A. When the synthetic HJ contains fully duplex arms UvrD preferentially binds to the mobile junction, presumably as a dimer, to initiate unwinding. Several lines of evidence support this conclusion including rapid quench kinetic studies, DNaseI footprinting, and direct visualization of UvrD binding to the HJ using EM. Subsequent to the binding event, UvrD resolves the HJ substrate into two double-stranded fork structures by translocating along opposite arms until the end of the arms is reached. This is similar to the mechanism used by RuvAB to migrate HJs prior to resolution of the junction by RuvC (42). The intermediate double-stranded fork structures are themselves substrates for UvrD and, thus, the final product of this *in vitro* reaction is ssDNA.

The salient features of the reaction we have described include the preferential binding of UvrD to the mobile junction and the ability of the UvrD dimer to either unwind or migrate this junction. Initial binding of UvrD to the junction was demonstrated using DNaseI footprinting assays which showed UvrD bound at...
the junction in the presence of a poorly hydrolyzed analog of ATP to prevent unwinding. When the analysis was extended to a synthetic HJ substrate containing a 3'-ssDNA overhang, we observed UvrD bound on the ssDNA end as expected based on the preference of this protein for binding to ssDNA (39, 40). Direct visualization of UvrD bound on a HJ substrate DNA by EM confirmed the DNaseI footprinting studies and showed that at a typical concentration used in biochemical assays (16 nM), UvrD exhibits a high preference for the HJ structure. The smaller junction used as a base for the larger HJ structure in the EM experiments has a 12-nucleotide region of homology similar to that in X12. Very few protein molecules were observed binding to blunt ends of the structure or along the double helical arms consistent with our interpretation of the biochemical data. It should be noted that the concentration of blunt ends and duplex DNA is much higher than the concentration of the HJ structure. This indicates that the affinity of UvrD for the junction structure is significantly higher than its affinity for either duplex DNA or blunt-ended DNA.

Interestingly, a subset of the junction-bound molecules was visualized with a looping characteristic where the end of one arm was brought into the junction. In looped molecules the protein complex appears larger than in molecules with UvrD bound at the junction but not looped. Initially, we hypothesized that UvrD would bind as a dimer to the HJ, but perhaps it may also function as a dimer of dimers with one dimer bound to the junction and another dimer bound at a blunt end arm. UvrD has been shown to function as a dimer (43), and an association of UvrD molecules has been visualized through EM supporting this possibility (44).

Rapid quench kinetic experiments showed a clear difference when the unwinding products of the X3 HJ substrate and the X12 HJ substrate with an overhang were compared. When the ssDNA extension was present on one arm of the HJ substrate UvrD bound to that arm of the molecule and produced two products: a three-stranded structure and ssDNA. When the ssDNA tail was removed a two-stranded intermediate was observed before either single-stranded or three-stranded structures appeared as reaction products. The three-stranded products seen at later times can be explained by a small amount of UvrD binding to the blunt end, but the primary product of the unwinding reaction derives from initiation at the junction and supports the model presented in Fig. 3A. Taken together, the data indicate that the affinity of UvrD for the ssDNA tail is somewhat higher than its affinity for the HJ, which is greater than its affinity for a duplex DNA end. Importantly, UvrD is able to initiate an unwinding reaction after binding directly to the junction.

Other helicases in E. coli have been shown to unwind HJ substrates including RuvAB, DnaB, and RecG (42, 45–47). RuvAB is the archetypal HJ migrating helicase that, together with RuvC, participates directly in the late stages of homologous recombination (42). DnaB, the main replicative helicase in E. coli (48), is a hexameric helicase that has been proposed to bind a 5'-ssDNA overhang on one arm of a synthetic HJ structure and then, encircling the two strands of DNA, is able to migrate the junction to create a double-stranded product (45). UvrD functions as a dimer (49) and differs from DnaB mechanistically in that it binds directly to the junction to unwind the DNA leading to a double-stranded product. UvrD does not
have a role in migrating or resolving the HJ formed at the reversed fork. It is also possible that UvrD may use its ability to self-associate as seen in the looped molecules observed in our EM experiments to recognize the shorter, recessed arm at a reversed replication fork and unwind the HJ structure in a guided, specific direction. Such an unwinding event would allow replication machinery to reload and bypass the lesion provided that additional nucleotides had been incorporated using the nascent lagging strand as a template (for review, see Ref. 17).

An alternative, but not mutually exclusive role for the HJ unwinding activity of UvrD has been suggested by the work of Stambuk and Radman (21) where UvrD is posited to be involved in the early stage prevention of unwanted recombination events, specifically in the case of preventing interspecies recombination. In this pathway, UvrD and its mismatch repair pathway partners, MutS and MutL, act to disrupt homologous recombination independent of the activity of MutH. Disruption of the homologous recombination event might involve MutS and MutL directing UvrD to the HJ and facilitating unwinding of the intermediate structure. Indeed, UvrD has been shown to interact with MutL, and its activity can be modulated by the interaction with MutL (2, 6). Additional work will be required to understand fully the significance of UvrD-catalyzed unwinding of HJ structures.
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FIGURE 5. Visualization of UvrD bound to HJ substrates by EM. Upper, UvrD was incubated with HJ DNA templates, mounted onto carbon-coated copper grids, and rotary shadowcast with tungsten for visualization by EM as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Representative individual molecules are shown. UvrD was observed bound specifically to the junction (A–G) with some molecules containing one or more looped arms (D–G) or bound nonspecifically at the end of an arm or along the arm itself (H and I). Images are shown in reverse contrast. Scale bar, 1 μm. Lower, quantitative analysis of UvrD binding to HJs is shown. DNA molecules (n = 529) were surveyed to determine protein-free and protein-bound HJ molecules. The protein-bound DNA were scored for specific and nonspecific binding (defined as junction binding or binding elsewhere on the HJ DNA). Error bars represent S.D.

require a ssDNA tail to initiate the unwinding reaction. RecG unwinds HJs by binding to the crossover site and unwinding to produce a two-strand product (47). However, RecG also uses its helicase activity to form HJs from stalled replication forks using its wedge structure to simultaneously unwind two duplex regions (50).

UvrD has been suggested to have a role in replication restart in vivo (15, 16, 20). At blocked replication forks the creation of a reversed replication fork with a HJ structure has been proposed when the nascent leading and lagging strands anneal. UvrD has been shown to participate in replication fork reversal, presumably by removing RecA bound to regions of ssDNA present at the blocked fork (18). We suggest that UvrD may also
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