REGULATION OF MESODERMAL DIFFERENTIATION OF MOUSE EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS BY BASEMENT MEMBRANES
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Basement membranes (BM) have been implicated in cell fate determination during development. Embryoid bodies (EBs) derived from mouse embryonic stem cells deficient in the laminin γ1 chain are incapable of depositing a BM, resulting in failure of primitive ectoderm epithelialization. To elucidate the mechanisms involved in this phenomenon, we compared the gene expression profiles of EBs with or without a BM to identify the genes showing BM-dependent expression. We found that the expressions of marker genes for the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), including the transcription factor Snai2, were upregulated in LAMC1-/- EBs, while restoration of a BM to LAMC1-/- EBs suppressed the upregulation of these genes. Overexpression of Snai2 induced the EMT in control EBs by molecular and morphological criteria, suggesting that suppression of the EMT regulatory genes is involved in BM-dependent epithelialization of primitive ectoderm. Despite the failure of primitive ectoderm epithelialization in BM-deficient EBs, mesodermal differentiation was not compromised, but rather accelerated. Furthermore, at later stages of control EB differentiation, the BM was disrupted at the gastrulation site where mesodermal markers were strongly expressed only in cells that had lost contact with the BM. Taken together, these results indicate that the BM prevents the EMT and precocious differentiation of primitive ectoderm toward mesoderm in EBs, implying that BMs are important for the control of mammalian gastrulation.

The differentiation and fate of many cell types are controlled by interactions with their surrounding microenvironment, of which extracellular matrix (ECM) is one of the main components (1). Basement membranes (BM) are thin sheets of ECM that directly interact with many cell types, including epithelial cells, endothelial cells, muscle cells, fat cells and glial cells (2). Recently, targeted disruptions of BM components have revealed important roles for BMs in cell differentiation and fate determination (3). For example, disruption of the mouse laminin α5 chain, which is normally expressed in many fetal and adult tissues, leads to defects in BM integrity that result in defective morphogenesis of renal glomeruli, hair follicles and teeth (4-7). Furthermore, deficiency of both nidogen-1 and nidogen-2 in mice also causes structural abnormalities in BMs, leading to differentiation defects in the lungs with extensive retention of mesenchyme (8). However, the mechanisms by which BMs control morphogenesis and cell fate during development still remain largely unknown.

During the early stages of mammalian development, embryonic cells must be allocated...
to one of the three germ layers, i.e. ectoderm, mesoderm or endoderm. This process begins in the peri-implantation blastocyst when cells at the periphery of the inner cell mass (ICM) differentiate to form an extra-embryonic primitive endoderm and deposit a BM that separates them from the remaining cells of the ICM, from which the germ layers are subsequently derived (9, 10). Undifferentiated ICM cells in contact with this BM become polarized and differentiate to form the primitive ectoderm epithelium. Moreover, studies using embryonic stem (ES) cell-derived embryoid bodies (EBs) have provided evidence that any unpolarized cells failing to contact the BM undergo apoptosis, leading to similar cavitation to that seen during proamniotic cavity formation (11-13). The subsequent developmental stage of gastrulation leading to mesoderm and embryonic endoderm formation involves an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), in which cells of the columnar primitive ectoderm epithelium delaminate and migrate into the space between the primitive ectoderm and extra-embryonic endoderm (14). During gastrulation, the BM deposited by the primitive endoderm becomes discontinuous, probably permitting the establishment of mesodermal and embryonic endodermal layers that are spatially distinct from the remaining primitive ectoderm (14, 15; see also Supplemental Fig. S1). Thus, BM formation and integrity are dynamically regulated during germ-layer specification, although little is known about the functional relationships between the dynamic behavior of BMs and the cell differentiation and fate determination during germ-layer specification.

To investigate the roles of BMs during early embryonic development, ES cells and mice with a disrupted LAMC1 gene have been established (10). In the absence of the laminin γ1 subunit encoded by this gene, a functional laminin trimer comprising α, β and γ chains cannot be assembled, resulting in the absence of BMs during peri-implantation development and in EBs derived from LAMC1+/− ES cells (10). Mice heterozygous for the mutation show a normal phenotype and are fertile, whereas homozygous mutant embryos die at E5.5, mostly due to disorganization of the parietal yolk sac (10). Since LAMC1+/− mutant embryos die at E5.5, it has been difficult to analyze the roles of BMs in cell differentiation and fate determination during germ-layer specification in vivo. However, studies on EBs derived from LAMC1−/− ES cells have revealed that differentiation of a columnar primitive ectoderm epithelium and cavitation are dependent on BM formation (12, 13). Since the differentiation of a primitive ectoderm is dependent on BM formation, differentiating LAMC1−/− EBs provide a suitable experimental model for analyzing the consequences of the absence of a BM and addressing the mechanisms of BM-dependent cell fate determination during germ-layer specification.

In the present study, we analyzed the gene expression profiles of EBs developing with and without a BM, and extended the previous observations to later stages of EB development, including the generation of mesodermal cells. Our results show that absence or disruption of the BM during EB differentiation promotes the differentiation of mesodermal cells, and that BM disruption is associated with a localized EMT. These observations suggest that cell-BM interactions are responsible for normal regulation of the EMT and therefore play fundamental roles in mammalian gastrulation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**ES Cell and EB Cultures**—The production and cultivation of R1 mouse LAMC1+/− and LAMC1−/− ES cells (10) as well as EB formation by the ES cells (12) were described in detail in the cited reports. Briefly, for EB formation, ES cells were dissociated with 0.25% trypsin/0.5 mM EDTA (Invitrogen-Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) and plated on gelatin-coated dishes for 1-1.5 h to eliminate feeder cells that preferentially attached to the substrate. Floating ES cells were collected, plated at 2×10⁵ cells/ml in 0.05% Pluronic (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)-coated 60 mm bacterial petri dishes without leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and fed with fresh culture medium every 2 days. On day 8 of the culture period, 83.2±7.3% of the LAMC1+/− EBs formed a columnar primitive ectoderm, compared to only 10.7±1.1% of the LAMC1−/− EBs. For BM-rescue experiments, LAMC1−/− EBs were plated in medium containing 35 µg/ml of purified mouse laminin-111 (Sigma). The efficiency of primitive ectoderm formation in BM-rescue experiments was low (only 20-30% of the total EBs), compared with control LAMC1+/− EBs. Since most of the EBs without a cavity failed to organize BMs, we removed EBs without a cavity under a microscope to roughly normalize
the efficiencies of primitive ectoderm formation between control and BM-rescued EBs. On day 8 of the culture period, 59.8±10.8% of the BM-rescued LAMC1+ EBs formed a columnar primitive ectoderm.

**Antibodies and Immunofluorescence**—The antibodies used in this study were: rabbit anti-mouse type IV collagen (LSL, Tokyo, Japan); rat anti-mouse laminin γ1 chain (MAB1914; Chemicon, Temecula, CA); mouse anti-α-smooth muscle actin (SMA) (ASM-1; Progen, Heidelberg, Germany); goat anti-human fibronectin (ICN, Costa Mesa, CA); goat anti-human brachyury (sc-17743; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); rabbit anti-human cleaved caspase-3 (5A1; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) and mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma).

For immunofluorescence staining, EBs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min, washed in PBS, soaked in 15% sucrose in PBS for 1 h, embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound and frozen in an aluminum rack floating on liquid nitrogen. Frozen sections (8 μm thick) of the EBs were blocked with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 30 min, and then incubated with a primary antibody diluted in the blocking solution for 1.5 h at room temperature. Next, the sections were washed with PBS and incubated with an appropriate secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) or Alexa Fluor 546 (Molecular Probes) diluted in the blocking solution, together with Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes) to stain the nuclei or rhodamine-phalloidin (Molecular Probes) to stain F-actin, for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, the sections were washed in PBS, mounted in a fluorescence mounting medium (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and photographed using an LSM5 Pascal confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

To quantify the frequency of BM formation, primitive ectoderm formation and apicolaral F-actin accumulation in EB development, we stained more than 33 frozen sections of EBs with an anti-mouse laminin γ1 chain antibody (MAB1914) and rhodamine-phalloidin in each of three separate experiments (more than 100 EBs in total) and counted the EBs that fulfilled the following criteria: (1) the anti-laminin γ1 chain antibody produced continuous thin sheet-like staining (BM formation); (2) primitive ectoderm cells showed a cuboidal epithelial morphology upon staining with rhodamine-phalloidin (primitive ectoderm formation); and (3) rhodamine-phalloidin staining showed F-actin accumulation at the apicolaral domain in primitive ectoderm cells (apicolaral F-actin accumulation).

Representative positive staining patterns for these criteria are shown in Fig. 1, A-L.

**Gene Expression Profiling**—Total RNA was prepared from triplicate samples of LAMC1+/−, LAMC1+/+ and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs at day 8 using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) combined with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen). Fluorescently-labeled cRNAs were synthesized from the total RNAs and hybridized with Mouse Development Oligo Microarrays (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA) as previously described (16). Cy3-labeled cRNAs from LAMC1+/+ EBs were hybridized together with Cy5-labeled cRNAs from LAMC1+/− EBs or Cy5-labeled cRNAs from BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs. All these hybridizations were carried out using three independent cRNA samples in triplicate experiments. The hybridized arrays were scanned using a Gene Pix 4000B (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Three unscaled independent raw data sets from the triplicate experiments were loaded onto Genespring 6.0 (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA) and normalized using the per-spot and per-chip intensity-dependent LOWESS method (17). The triplicate data sets that passed quality control were averaged, and then filtered using the Genespring Advanced Filtering Tool. Spots that ranked in the top 500 upregulated or downregulated genes were identified in LAMC1+/− EBs in comparison with LAMC1+/+. EBs based on the fold change values. The same analysis was performed against BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs. The genes ranking in the top 500 upregulated gene groups in LAMC1−/− EBs in comparison with both LAMC1+/− EBs and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs were identified using a Venn diagram analysis. The same analysis was performed for the downregulated gene groups.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**—Total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed with a SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR
(Invitrogen) using random hexamer primers. The resulting cDNAs were used for PCR with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). PCR amplifications and data collection were performed using an ABI7000 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). All quantifications were normalized by the endogenous expression levels of Gapdh. The relative expression levels from triplicate experiments were averaged and expressed as the mean ± SD. The sequences of the primers are available on request.

**Plasmid Construction**—To construct Cre recombinase-dependent expression systems for Snai2 and Twist2, we employed the pCAG-CY vector, which contains a CAG expression unit (18), a floxed puromycin-ECFP fusion protein cDNA with a stop cassette and a NotI cloning site fused to an IRES-EYFP cDNA. The entire coding regions of Snai2 and Twist2 were amplified by RT-PCR from total RNA extracted from LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> EBs cultured for 10 days, and subcloned into the NotI site of pCAG-CY. Both these transgenes were subsequently activated with EYFP after excision of the ECFP cassette by Cre recombinase. A FLAG-tag sequence was fused in-frame to the 3′ ends of the Snai2 and Twist2 cDNAs. For 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT)-induced translocation of Cre recombinase to nuclei, a cDNA encoding Cre recombinase flanked by a mutated hormone-binding domain of estrogen receptor, designated MerCreMer, was excised from pCAGGS-MerCreMer (a kind gift from Dr. Mitsuhiko Endoh, RCAST, RIKEN, Japan) using EcoRI and subcloned into the Xhol site of pPyCAGIRESEzocinPA (19), yielding pPyCAGIRESEzocinPA-MerCreMer.

**4-OHT-inducible Expression of Snai2 or Twist2 in ES Cells**—The pCAG-CY vectors carrying Snai2 and Twist2 (pCAG-CY-Snai2 and pCAG-CY-Twist2, respectively) were introduced into LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> ES cells by electroporation using a Mouse ES Cell Nucleofector Kit (Amada, Cologne, Germany). The electroporated ES cells were selected with 4 μg/ml puromycin, and resistant colonies were isolated and analyzed for their expression levels of ECFP under a fluorescence microscope. ES cell clones strongly expressing ECFP were further transfected with pPyCAGIRESEzocinPA-MerCreMer, followed by selection with 40 μg/ml zeocin. Resistant colonies were isolated and grown in the presence or absence of 0.1 μM 4-OHT (Sigma) for 24 h and stained with an anti-FLAG antibody. ES cell clones expressing FLAG and EYFP in the presence of 4-OHT were selected.

**RESULTS**

**Gene Expression Profiling of EBs Derived from LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMC1<sup>−/+</sup> ES Cells**—EBs were produced in suspension cultures of ES cells in petri dishes without LIF. Both LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMC1<sup>−/+</sup> ES cells aggregated to form spheroids, i.e., EBs, after 1-2 days in suspension culture (Fig. 1, A and A′). At this stage, no BMs were detected in either type of EB (Fig. 1, E and E′). Shortly after the cell aggregation, the outer cells of the EBs differentiated into primitive endoderm cells, as noted by the appearance of epithelial cells at the periphery of the EBs (Fig. 1, B and B′) and expression of type IV collagen (Fig. 1, F and F′). While LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> EBs organized a BM containing laminin and type IV collagen between the inner cells and the peripheral primitive endoderm layer (Fig. 1F), no BM was detected in LAMC1<sup>−/+</sup> EBs (Fig. 1F′). Consistent with a previous report (12), the inner cells in contact with the BM in LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> EBs became polarized and differentiated to form a columnar primitive ectoderm epithelium, while the unpolarized inner cells failing to contact the BM underwent apoptosis, thereby producing a central cavity by days 6-8 (Fig. 1, C, D, G, and H). Concomitant with the primitive ectoderm differentiation and cavitation, F-actin accumulated at the apicaloteral domain of the primitive ectoderm cells and was lost from regions of cell-BM contact in LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> EBs (Fig. 1, K and L). These changes in the F-actin distribution are indicative of epithelial cell polarization. On the other hand, LAMC1<sup>−/+</sup> EBs failed to form a columnar primitive ectoderm or central cavity (Fig. 1D′). Furthermore, F-actin did not accumulate at the apicaloteral domain, but was rather distributed uniformly around the unpolarized cells in LAMC1<sup>−/−</sup> EBs (Fig. 1, K′ and L′).

To study the mechanisms underlying the BM-dependent primitive ectoderm differentiation, we compared the gene expression profiles of EBs at day 8 by cDNA microarray analysis. Since the differences in their gene expression profiles could partly result
from clonal variations between the LAMC1−/− and LAMC1−/+ ES cells, rather than the absence of a BM, we rescued the BM formation in LAMC1−/− EBs by supplementing the EB cultures with exogenous laminin-111 and compared the gene expression profile of BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs with that of unrestored LAMC1−/− EBs. As shown previously (12), LAMC1−/+ EBs cultured with laminin-111 organized a BM, and produced a columnar primitive ectoderm and central cavity (Fig. 1, M-O). Furthermore, F-actin accumulated at the apicolateral domain of the primitive ectoderm in BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 1P), demonstrating that the actin cytoskeleton dynamics in primitive ectoderm formation are dominated by the BM. Thus, comparison of the gene expression profile of LAMC1−/− EBs with those of LAMC1−/+ EBs and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs allowed us to focus on the BM-responsive genes and eliminate false-positive genes reflecting clonal variation between LAMC1−/− and LAMC1−/+ ES cells.

Genes whose expression profiles changed in the absence of a BM were delineated as follows. We selected the top 500 genes that were either upregulated (group a) or downregulated (group b) in LAMC1−/− EBs compared with LAMC1−/+ EBs (Fig. 1Q). Similarly, we selected the top 500 genes whose expression levels were higher (group c) or lower (group d) in LAMC1−/− EBs than in BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 1R). A Venn diagram was used to extract the genes included in groups a and c (Fig. 1S) or groups b and d (Fig. 1T). As a result, 180 genes were identified as being upregulated in the absence of a BM (Supplemental Table S1), while 94 genes were downregulated in the absence of a BM (Supplemental Table S2).

**Upregulation of EMT-related Genes in LAMC1−/− EBs**—Near the top of the list of genes upregulated in the absence of a BM, we found a group of genes related to the EMT, including EMT inducers and markers (Table 1). For example, IGF-2 has been reported to induce the EMT in ES cells (20), while cell adhesion-related genes, such as VIM (vimentin), ACTA2 (α-SMA) and FN1 (fibronectin), are well known as mesenchymal marker genes induced during the EMT (21). Quantitative RT-PCR analyses confirmed that IGF2 (IGF-2), TGB2 (TGFβ-2), FN1, VIM and ACTA2 were more strongly expressed in LAMC1−/− EBs than in LAMC1−/+ EBs at day 8, while the upregulation of these genes was suppressed when the BM was rescued by exogenously added laminin-111 (Fig. 2, A-E). It should also be noted that upregulation of these EMT-related genes was not evident at day 4, when a BM was detectable in LAMC1−/− EBs, but became pronounced at day 6 in LAMC1−/− EBs. This delayed upregulation of the EMT-related genes, relative to the onset of BM deposition by the primitive endoderm, raised the possibility that the upregulation of EMT-related genes in LAMC1−/− EBs was triggered by the absence of a BM.

To verify the upregulation of mesenchymal markers in LAMC1−/− EBs at the protein level, we stained LAMC1−/−, LAMC1−/+ and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs with antibodies against α-SMA and fibronectin. α-SMA was strongly detected in the inner cells, i.e. the prospective primitive ectoderm, of LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 2G), but less so in LAMC1−/− and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 2, F and H), clearly reflecting the remarkable changes in its mRNA level detected by quantitative RT-PCR. Fibronectin was also detected in LAMC1−/− EBs, with prominent deposition in the ECM between prospective primitive ectoderm cells (Fig. 2I), whereas the staining for fibronectin was less prominent and restricted to the BM in LAMC1−/− and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Figs. 2, J and K). These results are consistent with those obtained in the cDNA microarray and quantitative RT-PCR analyses, and indicate that the absence of a BM during EB differentiation induces upregulation of mesenchymal markers in the prospective primitive ectoderm after the BM formation stage.

**Upregulation of Snai2 and Twist2 in LAMC1−/− EBs**—The expressions of mesenchymal genes, such as ACTA2 and FN1, during the EMT are known to be regulated by the Snail and Twist families of transcription factors (22, 23). These transcription factors play central roles in the EMT as master regulators during embryonic development and cancer progression (22, 23). To examine whether the absence of a BM induces these master regulators of the EMT, we investigated the expression levels of Snai1 (Snail1), Snai2 (Snail2/Slug), Snai3 (Snail3), Twist1 and Twist2 in LAMC1−/−, LAMC1−/+ and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs by quantitative RT-PCR. Among the Snail family of transcription factors, the expression of Snai2 was greater in LAMC1−/− EBs than in LAMC1−/+.
and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 3B). Twist2 was also significantly upregulated in LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 3E). The differences in the expression levels of Snai1, Snai3 and Twist1 between EBs with and without a BM were only marginal (Fig. 3, A, C, and D). Elevated expression of Snai2 in LAMC1−/− EBs was also detected in microarray analyses, scoring 1.42- and 1.12-fold increases compared with BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs and LAMC1−/− EBs, respectively. Twist2 was not included in the repertoire of the microarray used. These observations indicate that the absence of a BM activates upstream EMT regulators in ES cells as EB differentiation proceeds.

To explore whether the upregulation of Snai2 or Twist2 was capable of inhibiting the columnar primitive ectoderm formation and inducing the mesenchymal phenotype in LAMC1−/− EBs, we overexpressed Snai2 or Twist2 in LAMC1−/− EBs and examined their effects on EB differentiation. To exclude the influence of overexpression of Snai2 or Twist2 on earlier stages of EB differentiation, we constructed a 4-OHT-inducible expression system of Snai2 or Twist2 utilizing MerCreMer, which efficiently induced Cre-mediated DNA recombination in ES cells in a 4-OHT-dependent manner (24). Combining the MerCreMer expression vector (pPyCAGIRESzoeinPA-MerCreMer) with the pCAG-CY vector, which is designed for Cre recombinase-dependent gene expression, we constructed 4-OHT-inducible expression systems for Snai2 and Twist2 in LAMC1−/− ES cells. Cells stably carrying pPyCAGIRESzoeinPA-MerCreMer together with pCAG-CY-Snai2 or pCAG-CY-Twist2 were allowed to form EBs and then treated with 0.1 μM 4-OHT on day 4 of the EB culture. The expression of Snai2 or Twist2 was strongly induced on days 6 and 8 of the EB culture (Fig. 3, F and H). In 4-OHT-treated Snai2 EBs, primitive ectodermal epithelialization (Fig. 3, J, K, and V) and apicolateral F-actin accumulation (Fig. 3, N, Q, and W) were significantly inhibited (p<0.001). Furthermore, overexpression of Snai2 induced the expression of the mesenchymal marker gene Acta2 (Fig. 3G). Although overexpression of Twist2 in LAMC1−/− EBs also suppressed primitive ectoderm epithelialization (Fig. 3, L, M, and W; p<0.05) and apicolateral F-actin accumulation (Fig. 3, P, Q, and W; p<0.05), its inhibitory effects on primitive ectoderm formation, as well as upregulatory effects on Acta2 expression (Fig. 3I), were less prominent than those observed in the Snai2 transfectants. It should also be noted that a BM was formed in the 4-OHT-treated Snai2 EBs, although its thickness was irregular (Fig. 3, R and S). Taken together, these results indicate that the absence of a BM during EB differentiation activates Snai2 and Twist2, the upstream regulators of the EMT, and that overexpression of Snai2 could in turn trigger the EMT cascade, leading to failure of primitive ectoderm epithelialization and induction of a mesenchymal phenotype in LAMC1−/− EBs.

Accelerated Mesodermal Differentiation in LAMC1−/− EBs—In the early stages of mouse embryonic development, the mesoderm is generated from the primitive ectoderm at gastrulation by the EMT process (14). Given the accelerated expression of EMT-related genes in LAMC1−/− EBs, it seemed likely that mesodermal differentiation was not inhibited, but rather induced and possibly accelerated, in LAMC1−/− EBs without passing through an obligatory primitive ectoderm stage that would normally be the case in gastrulation. To explore this possibility, we examined the expression levels of the following differentiation marker genes by quantitative RT-PCR: Fgf5 for primitive ectoderm (25); Bmp4 for ES cells, early epiblasts and mesoderm; and T (brachyury) and Cdhl1 (cadherin-11) for mesoderm. Expression of Fgf5 was induced in both LAMC1−/− and LAMC1−/− EBs, and was not affected by BM restoration (Fig. 4A). Bmp4 transcripts have been shown to be highly expressed in ES cells and early epiblasts, downregulated in columnar primitive ectoderm (26) and then upregulated in mesoderm cells as gastrulation proceeds (27, 28). Bmp4 expression was downregulated in LAMC1−/− EBs at day 4, similar to the case for LAMC1−/− and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs. Thereafter, it was significantly upregulated in LAMC1−/− EBs by day 8, but remained downregulated in LAMC1−/− and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 4B). The induction of Fgf5 expression, together with the transient downregulation of Bmp4, in LAMC1−/− EBs indicates that the failure of BM formation and primitive ectoderm epithelialization does not compromise the biochemical differentiation process of ES cells into primitive ectoderm cells. Furthermore, induction of the expression of the mesodermal markers T and Cdhl1 was
accelerated in LAMC1−/− EBs, but followed the normal time course upon BM reconstitution (Fig. 4, C and D). The accelerated expressions of the mesoderm markers Bmp4, T and Cdh11 at later stages of EB differentiation in LAMC1−/− EBs indicate that mesodermal differentiation is not compromised, but rather accelerated, in the absence of a BM, despite the deficiency in BM-stimulated morphological changes, i.e. epithelialization, of primitive ectoderm cells.

**BM Disruption Is Correlated with the Induction of Mesenchymal and Mesodermal Markers during the Development of EBs**—The mesenchymal and mesodermal marker genes *Acta2* and *T* were induced upon prolonged culture of LAMC1−/− EBs, e.g., at day 10 (Fig. 5, A and B). The induction of these marker genes in LAMC1−/− EBs is indicative of the development of mesodermal cells. To investigate the role of the BM in mesoderm differentiation in normal EBs, we stained sections of LAMC1−/− EBs at day 10 with antibodies against α-SMA (Acta2), brachyury (T) and laminin γ1 chain. We observed a cluster of nonpolar round cells between the primitive ectoderm and peripheral primitive endoderm layer in LAMC1−/− EBs at day 10 (Fig. 5, C and D). These cells, which were found to arise from delamination of primitive ectoderm (see Supplemental Fig. S2), were strongly positive for α-SMA and brachyury, compared with the more polarized primitive ectodermal cells (Fig. 5, E and F). Immunostaining for the laminin γ1 chain revealed disruption of the BM near the cluster of nonpolar round cells in LAMC1−/− EBs (Fig. 5, E and F), as has been observed during gastrulation in vivo (14; see also Supplemental Fig. S1). Staining for α-SMA and brachyury was only weakly detected in primitive ectoderm cells adhering to the BM, but prominent in cells that had detached from the BM (Fig. 5, E and F). In LAMC1−/− EBs, brachyury-expressing cells were widely detected, but distributed randomly, rather than being clustered (Fig. 5, G and H). These results indicate that BM disruption and detachment of primitive ectodermal cells from the BM are closely associated with the induction of mesenchymal and mesodermal markers during the development of EBs. Furthermore, the random distribution of brachyury-expressing cells in LAMC1−/− EBs versus their restricted distribution in LAMC1−/− EBs at the site of BM disruption suggests roles for the BM in the regionalization of cell fate determination and tissue patterning in EB development.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we have shown that the expressions of EMT-related and mesodermal marker genes are accelerated in LAMC1−/− EBs that lack a BM and fail to form a columnar primitive ectoderm. Rescue of the BM deposition in LAMC1−/− EBs by addition of exogenous laminin suppressed the expressions of these genes, concomitant with rescue of the primitive ectoderm epithelialization. At later stages of normal EB differentiation, the BM was disrupted at the site of gastrulation, as has been observed in vivo (14; also Supplemental Fig. S1), and both mesenchymal and mesodermal markers were strongly expressed only in cells losing contact with the BM, and not in cells adhering to the BM. Based on these observations, we propose that deposition of a BM beneath a primitive endoderm not only promotes the epithelialization of prospective primitive ectoderm cells in contact with the BM (12), but also represses the expression of genes associated with mesodermal differentiation, while BM deficiency results in failure of primitive ectoderm epithelialization and permits and/or accelerates mesodermal differentiation (Fig. 6). In normal EBs, the BM subsequently undergoes regional degradation at the time of gastrulation, thereby promoting mesodermal differentiation from columnar primitive ectoderm cells via the EMT (Fig. 6).

**Roles of the BM in ES Cell Differentiation**

During EB differentiation, no apparent mesenchymal tissues are detectable until the mesoderm is generated by delamination of the primitive ectoderm epithelium. It has long been assumed that differentiation of epiblasts into the primitive ectoderm epithelium is an obligatory step that precedes mesodermal cell differentiation. Although LAMC1−/− EBs cannot give rise to cells that exhibit the typical epithelial morphology of primitive ectoderm, the observed expression patterns of primitive ectoderm and mesodermal marker genes in LAMC1−/− EBs indicate that biochemical differentiation of ES/ICM cells to primitive ectoderm proceeds in the absence of a BM and that primitive ectodermal cells failing to undergo
epithelialization can differentiate into mesodermal cells in LAMC1−/− EBs. Thus, BM-stimulated epithelialization of primitive ectoderm is not a prerequisite for mesoderm differentiation of ES/ICM cells.

Our results also show that cells adhering to the BM during EB differentiation are resistant to mesodermal differentiation and retain the characteristics of primitive ectoderm epithelial cells, suggesting that the BM is capable of restricting the progression of primitive ectodermal cells to gastrulation. Consistent with the inhibitory effects of the BM on the progression of cell differentiation, mouse and human ES cells adhering to Matrigel™ or laminin stably retain their undifferentiated state, while those adhering to other substrates, such as fibronectin, do not (29, 30). Furthermore, cell-BM interactions have been shown to maintain the differentiation status of BM-adherent cells in other tissues. During myotome formation, dermomyotome cells maintain their undifferentiated state by interacting with a laminin-rich BM-like matrix through integrin α6β1, prior to degradation of the BM-like matrix and their entry into the myotome (31). Conditional knock-out of the integrin β1 subunit in the central nervous system results in impaired BM formation by cerebellar granule cell precursors, reduced cell proliferation and precocious differentiation of granule cell precursors (32). Our present results strongly suggest that the primitive ectoderm is similarly maintained through adherence to the BM. This view is in agreement with a previous observation that the mesoderm only differentiates from primitive ectoderm positioned along the primitive streak, an area of localized BM breakdown (15).

The interactions of ES cells with BMs are primarily mediated by laminin receptors, including integrin α6β1 and α-dystroglycan, on the cell surface (13). Although the mechanisms governing the BM-dependent suppression of mesodermal differentiation of the primitive ectoderm remain to be elucidated, these receptors are potentially involved in the suppression of mesodermal differentiation. However, mice deficient in the integrin α6 subunit or both the integrin α6 and α3 subunits were found to develop beyond the gastrulation stage (33, 34), making it unlikely that these laminin-binding integrins are prerequisites for the suppression of mesodermal differentiation. Recently, Scheele et al. reported that EBs lacking the LG4-5 modules of the laminin α1 chain exhibit defects in primitive ectoderm epithelialization and cavity formation, namely the same phenotypes observed in LAMC1−/− EBs, although their BM formation is not impaired (35). The LG4-5 modules have been shown to interact with a panel of cell surface molecules, including α-dystroglycan (36), syndecans (37) and sulfated glycolipids (38). EBs deficient in dystroglycan are capable of producing a columnar primitive ectoderm (13), arguing against a critical role for α-dystroglycan in the BM-dependent epithelialization of the primitive ectoderm. Other laminin receptors binding to the LG4-5 modules may well be involved in the process and concomitant suppression of the subsequent mesodermal differentiation. Alternatively, signaling events involved in these processes may be elicited by cooperative interactions of α-dystroglycan and other receptors, e.g. integrin α6β1, with laminin, as recently reported for the polarization of mammary epithelial cells and β-casein production in these cells (39). Such cooperativity may lead to the absence of clear phenotypes in mice deficient in the individual receptors involved. In addition, we cannot exclude the possibility that the BM suppresses mesodermal differentiation by restricting certain signaling events elicited by soluble growth factors, morphogens and/or other ECM proteins, whose spatial distributions are restricted by binding to the BM. For example, fibronectin was mostly associated with the BM in LAMC1−/− and BM-rescued LAMC1−/− EBs, whereas it was organized into a fibrillar matrix surrounding prospective primitive ectoderm cells in LAMC1−/− EBs. Since fibronectin has been implicated in the progression of mesodermal differentiation (40, 41), the spatial restriction of fibronectin to the BM may contribute to the suppression of mesodermal differentiation of primitive ectoderm cells.

It has been shown that E5.5 LAMC1−/− embryos exhibit an increased number of TUNEL-positive cells, indicative of the increase of apoptotic cells (10). This observation raises the possibility that the mesodermal cells in LAMC1−/− EBs are terminally differentiated cells that undergo apoptosis. However, only a few randomly scattered cells were positive for
TUNEL or cleaved caspase-3 at the later developmental stages of *LAMC1*−/− EBs (12; see also Supplemental Fig. S4) and the cells positive for brachyury were not co-stained with the antibody against cleaved caspase-3 (Supplemental Fig. S4), indicating that accelerated mesodermal differentiation in *LAMC1*−/− EBs does not occur as a consequence of apoptosis-mediated terminal cell differentiation, but rather reflects the acceleration of normal differentiation process of primitive ectoderm cells toward mesodermal cells. Although the causes of the apoptosis and involution in *LAMC1*−/− embryos remain unclear, our studies in EBs suggest that these processes in *LAMC1*−/− embryos are induced as secondary responses of the defects of *LAMC1* genes such as the absence of parietal yolk sac (10, 12). If *LAMC1*−/− embryos could evade these processes at early embryogenesis, they might give rise to a teratoma-like structure that is dominated by mesoderm-derived tissues at the later stages of embryogenesis.

**Involvement of Cell-BM Interactions in the EMT**

The current concept of the EMT postulates a mechanism in which epithelial cells become activated by exogenous stimuli, followed by loss of contact with their neighboring cells and the underlying BM (21, 42). Upon initiation of the EMT, cells move through the BM and acquire a mesenchymal phenotype (21, 42). During most of the EMT process described to date, BM disruption at the site of epithelial cell invasion has been observed (15, 21, 42, 43). However, little is known about the physiological significance of BM disruption in the EMT, since it has been difficult to manipulate the BMs in EMT models or construct intact BMs in conventional 2D culture systems in vitro. Thus, BM disruption during the EMT has only been considered as a process that opens a route for epithelial cell invasion, and the relevance of BM disruption for the EMT has been largely neglected. The present study indicates that the absence of a BM promotes an EMT cascade in prospective primitive ectoderm cells during EB differentiation, raising the possibility that loss of contact of the epithelial cells with the BM is one of the stimulators of the EMT. This notion is consistent with a previous report by Zeisberg et al. (44), in which destabilization of the type IV collagen meshwork following addition of the *α1NC1* domain of type IV collagen induced the EMT in mouse renal cell cultures.

During the EMT, there is a dramatic reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, an event that is known to be an early and necessary feature of the EMT (45, 46). The EMT only proceeds if the actin cytoskeleton is excluded from cell-cell adhesion sites and recruited to cell-ECM interaction sites (47). In the present study, we have shown that these actin cytoskeleton dynamics essential for EMT initiation are governed by the BM. In the presence of a BM, F-actin accumulated at the apicolateral domain of the polarized primitive ectoderm, which contains cell-cell adhesion machineries. However, in the absence of a BM, F-actin was uniformly distributed around the unpolarized cells and associated with cell-ECM interaction sites, since its distribution overlapped with that of fibronectin. In addition, the cell shape changes induced by rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton are known to alter the signals generated by cell-ECM interactions and the cellular responses to soluble morphogens (48). Taken together, these observations suggest that the BM orchestrates the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton and the assembly/disassembly of Junctional complexes of epithelial cells, thereby preventing EMT initiation.

Despite the presence of a BM, overexpression of *Snai2* not only induced mesenchymal differentiation, but also inhibited primitive ectoderm epithelialization and cavity formation. These results indicate that BM-induced polarization and cavitation can be overridden by an inhibitory effect of *Snai2* on the initial primitive ectoderm cell polarization. Therefore, the BM appears to induce and maintain the primitive ectoderm epithelialization and cavitation by suppressing the activation of the EMT cascade in the primitive ectoderm. These results also support the possibility that inhibition of apoptosis in the absence of a BM is caused by inhibition of the basal primitive ectoderm epithelialization by activation of the EMT cascade. This possibility is consistent with a previous suggestion by Murray and Edgar that the lack of cavitation in *LAMC1*−/− EBs may result from the absence of polarized primitive ectoderm cells, since the initial stages of cavitation are associated with selective apoptosis of cells positioned at the apical surfaces of the newly formed columnar primitive ectoderm cells (12, 49, 50).
In summary, we have demonstrated a novel BM-dependent mechanism that regulates the mesodermal differentiation of mouse ES cells in EB development. Our data suggest that deposition of a BM beneath the primitive endoderm prevents precocious differentiation of primitive ectoderm toward mesoderm by suppressing the EMT in EBs, which has obvious consequences for the control of mammalian gastrulation. Future studies will need to clarify whether other BM-dependent cell fate determination and differentiation events are also controlled by BM-dependent suppression of the EMT in embryonic development and pathological processes, including cancer progression.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. Microarray-based screening for BM-responsive genes. (A-L, A’-L’) Development of EBs derived from LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> ES cells. LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> ES cells were cultured as EBs for 8 days. (A-D, A’-D’) Phase-contrast images of LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs. (E-H, E’-H’) Fluorescence images of type IV collagen (green), laminin γ1 chain (red) and nuclei (blue) in LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs. (I-L, I’-L’) Fluorescence images of F-actin (red) in LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs. On day 4, cells on the surface of both LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> and LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs become differentiated into a primitive endoderm (PrEn; black arrowheads in B and B’), although a BM is only present in LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs (F and F’). On day 6, inner cells adhering to the BM in LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> EBs begin to polarize and form a columnar primitive ectoderm (black arrows in C) and accumulate F-actin at their apicolateral domain (white arrowheads in K), whereas inner cells in LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs show unpolarized morphologies (C’) and uniform distribution of F-actin (K’). On day 8, the unpolarized primitive ectoderm in the center of LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs undergoes apoptosis, yielding a central cavity (asterisk in D) and single-layer primitive ectoderm sheet (PrEc in D). F-actin accumulates at the apicolateral surface of the primitive ectoderm in LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> EBs (white arrowheads in L). However, no central cavity or single-layer primitive ectoderm sheet is formed in LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> EBs (D’). The distribution of F-actin in the prospective primitive ectoderm does not change during LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EB differentiation (I’-L’). (M-P) Rescue of LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> EBs by addition of exogenous laminin. LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> ES cells were cultured as EBs for 8 days in the presence of 35 μg/ml of mouse laminin-111 to rescue the BM. Phase-contrast image of BM-rescued LAMCI<sup>−/−</sup> EBs (M). The BM-rescued LAMCI<sup>+/−</sup> EBs were stained with antibodies against type IV collagen (N) and laminin γ1 chain (O), and with rhodamine-phalloidin for F-actin (P).
(Q and R) Scatter plots of the cDNA microarray spot statistics. The fluorescence intensity of the labeled cRNA in each spot was plotted on a logarithmic scale (Q: LAMC1<sup>c+</sup> EBs vs. LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs; R: LAMC1<sup>+</sup> EBs vs. BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs). Each data point represents the mean of three independent experiments. Based on the expression levels of each gene in the LAMC1<sup>+</sup>, LAMC1<sup>-</sup> and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs, the top 500 genes either upregulated (group a) or downregulated (group b) in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs compared with LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs were selected. Similarly, the top 500 genes either upregulated (group c) or downregulated (group d) in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs compared with BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs were selected. (S and T) Venn diagram analyses of the genes upregulated (S) or downregulated (T) in the absence of a BM. To identify genes responsive to the absence of a BM, the genes included in both groups a and c (S) or groups b and d (T) were extracted by the Venn diagram analyses.

FIGURE 2. Upregulation of EMT-related genes in LAMC1<sup>+</sup> EBs. (A-E) Time courses of the expressions of mesenchymal marker genes for the EMT. The expression levels of Igf2 (A), Tgfb2 (B), Acta2 (C), Fn1 (D) and Vim (E) transcripts were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. The mesenchymal marker genes are upregulated in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent dishes. (F-H) Immunohistochemical staining of LAMC1<sup>−</sup> (F), LAMC1<sup>+</sup> (G) and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> (H) EBs at day 8 with an antibody against α-SMA (red). The arrows in (G) indicate strong expression of α-SMA in the cytoplasm of prospective primitive ectoderm of LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). (I-K) Immunohistochemical staining of LAMC1<sup>−</sup> (I), LAMC1<sup>+</sup> (J) and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> (K) EBs at day 8 with an antibody against fibronectin (red). In the presence of a BM (LAMC1<sup>−</sup>) and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs), staining for fibronectin is restricted to the BM zone. In the absence of a BM (LAMC1<sup>−</sup>), however, the staining for fibronectin is prominent and detected between prospective primitive ectoderm cells.

FIGURE 3. Upregulation of Snai2 and Twist2 transcription factors in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs and effects of Snai2 or Twist2 overexpression on EB differentiation. (A-E) Time courses of the expressions of the Snail and Twist families of transcription factors in LAMC1<sup>−</sup>, LAMC1<sup>−</sup> and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. The expression levels of Snai1 (A), Snai2 (B), Snai3 (C), Twist1 (D) and Twist2 (E) transcripts were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Snai2 and Twist2 are upregulated in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent dishes. (F-I) Relative expression levels of Snai2 (F), Twist2 (H) and Acta2 (G and I) in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs stably carrying a 4-OHT-inducible expression system of Snai2 (F and G: Snai2 EBs) or Twist2 (H and I: Twist2 EBs). 4-OHT was applied on day 4 to induce exogenous Snai2 or Twist2 expression. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent dishes. (J-U) Aberrant formation of a primitive ectoderm epithelium and cavitation in Snai2- or Twist2-overexpressing LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. The Snai2 or Twist2 EBs were treated with 4-OHT on day 4. Phase-contrast (J-M), F-actin-stained (N-Q) and laminin γ1 chain-stained (R-U) images of the EBs at day 8 are shown. Overexpression of Snai2 inhibits the formation of a cavity and columnar primitive ectoderm in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs (J, K, N, and O). The effects of overexpression of Twist2 on cavitation and columnar primitive ectoderm formation are less prominent (L, M, P, and Q). (V and W) Quantification of the frequencies of BM formation (BM), primitive ectoderm formation (PrEc) and apicolateral F-actin accumulation (F-actin) in Snai2 and Twist2 EBs. The data represent the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Bars in J and N indicate 50 μm.

FIGURE 4. Expression of differentiation marker genes in EBs. (A-D) The relative expression levels of the following differentiation marker genes in LAMC1<sup>−</sup>, LAMC1<sup>−</sup> and BM-rescued LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs were determined by quantitative RT-PCR: Fgf5, a primitive ectoderm marker (A); Bmp4, an early primitive ectoderm and mesoderm marker (B); and T (brachyury) and Cdh11 (cadherin-11), mesoderm markers (C and D, respectively). The expressions of the mesoderm markers (B-D) are accelerated in LAMC1<sup>−</sup> EBs. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent dishes.

FIGURE 5. BM disruption is correlated with the induction of mesenchymal and mesodermal markers during EB development. (A and B) Time courses of the expressions of Acta2 (α-SMA) (A)
and T (brachyury) (B) in LAMC1+/− and LAMC1−/− EBs determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Acta2 and T expressions are induced at earlier time points in LAMC1+/− EBs than in LAMC1−/− EBs. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent dishes. (C and D) Phase-contrast images of LAMC1+/− EBs cultured for 10 days reveal the presence of two distinct types of primitive ectoderm cells: nonpolar round cells (white arrowheads) and cells retaining epithelial polarity (white arrows). (E and F) The EBs in (C) and (D) were stained with antibodies against α-SMA (green in E), brachyury (green in F) and laminin γ1 chain (red in E and F). Primitive ectoderm cells that have detached from the BM and invaginated from the primitive ectoderm sheet (white arrowheads), but not those adhering to the BM (white arrows), significantly express α-SMA and brachyury. α-SMA is detected in the perinuclear/cytoplasmic region, as shown in Fig. 2G. Brachyury is localized in the nuclei (white arrowheads; see also Supplemental Fig. S3), confirming the specificity of the antibody used. The open arrowheads in (E) and (F) point to sites of focal BM disruption. The white dotted line in (F) indicates the region where the BM is locally disrupted. (G) A phase-contrast image of LAMC1−/− EBs cultured for 10 days shows nonpolar round inner cells. (H) The EB in (G) was stained with antibodies against brachyury (green) and laminin γ1 chain (red). The brachyury-expressing cells are randomly distributed in LAMC1−/− EBs without regionalization, as observed in LAMC1+/− EBs. The brachyury-positive nuclei are often juxtaposed with each other, leaving a space that appears to be an spared nuclear area (open double arrowheads in H).

**FIGURE 6. Schematic diagram for BM-dependent control of mesodermal differentiation during EB differentiation.** (A) Deposition of a BM underneath the primitive endoderm not only promotes epithelialization of prospective primitive ectoderm cells adhering to the BM, but also prevents mesodermal differentiation of primitive ectoderm cells by suppressing the expression of EMT-related and mesodermal genes. (B) In the absence of a BM, the formation of a primitive ectoderm epithelium is inhibited, whereas mesodermal differentiation is not impaired, but rather accelerated. Cells losing contact with the BM cannot resist mesoderm-inducing signals, and are easily converted into mesodermal cells. (C) At the later stage of normal EB differentiation, the prevention of mesodermal differentiation is lifted when the primitive ectoderm cell-BM interactions are broken by regional disruption of the BM at the time of gastrulation. The initiation of mesodermal differentiation is restricted to the site of BM disruption.
Table 1. **EMT-related genes upregulated in the absence of a BM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fold change (SD)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*LAMC1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; vs. BM-rescued *LAMC1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signaling molecules</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Igf2</em> (insulin-like growth factor 2)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tgfβ2</em> (transforming growth factor, beta 2)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Wnt5a</em> (wingless-related MMTV integration site 5A)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell adhesion-related</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myl4</em> (myosin, light polypeptide 4, alkali, atrial, embryonic)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fn1</em> (fibronectin 1)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vim</em> (vimentin)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acta2</em> (actin, alpha 2, smooth muscle, aorta)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cdh11</em> (cadherin 11)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transcription factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ets2</em> (E26 avian leukemia oncogene 2, 3′ domain)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Etv5</em> (Ets variant gene 5)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are the means and SDs of three independent experiments.
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**Figure 2**

- **A** and **B**: Relative expression levels of *Igf2* and *Tgfb2* over time for different EBs conditions.
- **C** and **D**: Relative expression levels of *Acta2* and *Fn1* over time.
- **E**: Relative expression level of *Igf2* over time for different EBs conditions.

**Graphical Data**

- **F** and **G**: *α*-SMA expression in EBs.
- **H**: BM-rescued EBs.
- **I** and **J**: Fibronectin expression in EBs.
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Figure 6
Regulation of mesodermal differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells by basement membranes
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