IN VIVO AND IN VITRO REGULATION OF SYNDECAN 1 IN PROSTATE CELLS BY N-3 POLYUNSATURATED FATTY ACIDS.
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Syndecan 1 is the major proteoglycan produced by epithelial cells. It is strategically localized at the plasma membrane to participate in growth factor signaling and cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. Its expression may modulate the properties of epithelial lineage tumor cells in which it is generally downregulated compared to non tumor progenitors. The present study examined the regulation of syndecan 1 in prostate epithelial cells by n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. In prostate tissue of mice, syndecan 1 immunostaining was demonstrated in epithelial cells throughout each gland. In animals fed an n-3 PUFA enriched diet, syndecan 1 mRNA was increased in all prostate glands. In the human prostate cancer cell line, PC-3, delivery of exogenous n-3 (but not n-6) fatty acids resulted in upregulation of syndecan 1 expression. This effect was mimicked by a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ agonist, troglitazone, and inhibited in the presence of a PPARγ antagonist and in cells transfected with dominant negative PPARγ cDNA. Using a luciferase gene driven either by a PPAR response element or by a DR-1 site present in the syndecan 1 promoter, reporter activation was increased by n-3 LDL, docosahexaenoic acid, and troglitazone, whereas activity of a luciferase gene placed downstream of a mutant DR-1 site was unresponsive. These findings indicate that syndecan 1 is upregulated by n-3 fatty acids by a transcriptional pathway involving PPARγ. This mechanism may contribute to the chemopreventive properties of n-3 fatty acids in prostate cancer.

The syndecan family of transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG) has roles in cell-cell and cell matrix interactions, growth factor signaling and lipoprotein catabolism (1). Syndecan 1 (SDC-1) is known to play a role in cell adhesion (2,3), inhibit matrix metalloproteinases (4), and decrease invasion of tumor cells (5,6). SDC-1 ectodomain was shown to induce apoptosis in myeloma cells (6) and our studies suggest a similar property in breast cancer cells2. Therefore changes in the expression of SDC-1 may have profound effects on cell behavior. In studies of various cancers, loss of SDC-1 expression has been associated with tumor progression and aggressiveness (7-13). In contrast, an elevation in SDC-1 expression in stromal cells was associated with tumor progression (14) and poor clinical outcome (15-17). In human prostate cancer, there are conflicting data. High expression of SDC-1, observed in normal prostate epithelial cells, was lost in localized and locally invasive prostate cancer (18). Similarly, compared to benign prostate hyperplasia (BHP) and low-grade premalignant intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), SDC-1 expression was reduced in prostate carcinoma and lost entirely in poorly differentiated cases (19). However, a tissue microarray analysis demonstrated SDC-1 expression associated with high Gleason grade and early recurrence (20). Therefore a clear picture of changes in SDC-1 expression, regulation, and role in tumorigenesis has yet to emerge.

Although still controversial, evidence suggests that dietary fat intake may play a role in the development of prostate cancer with diets enriched in fish oil or its n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) being chemo-protective. A recent review of epidemiological evidence indicated an inverse association between fish oil consumption and advanced/metastatic prostate cancer or prostate
cancer mortality (21). In addition, the Health Professionals’ Follow-up study, a large prospective U.S. cohort study, identified significant inverse relationships between intakes of marine FA that were strongest for metastatic cancers (22,23). Fish oil contains the long chain PUFA, eicosapentaenoic acid [EPA, (20:5, n-3)] and docosahexaenoic acid [DHA (22:6, n-3)]. Serum PUFA in patients with prostate cancer and BPH versus cancer-free individuals demonstrated EPA and DHA levels in the order of cancer-free > BPH > prostate cancer (24). Reduced prostate cancer risk was associated with high erythrocyte EPA and DHA (25), and in prostate tissue reduced EPA and DHA levels were associated with cancer compared to BPH (26), and advanced stage disease (27). These data together suggest that not only may n-3 PUFA protect against primary tumor development but that dietary supplementation with n-3 PUFA may prevent or delay metastases in prostate cancer patients.

Few studies have addressed cellular mechanisms that link dietary PUFA and prostate cancer. Androgen mediated growth of LNCaP cells (28) and androgen-independent growth of PC-3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cells was inhibited by n-3 PUFA and stimulated by n-6 PUFA (29). Recently, DHA was shown to induce apoptosis in PC-3 cells (30). The opposing effects of n-3 and n-6 PUFA are thought to reflect differences in eicosanoid metabolism (29,31) which may influence transcription factor activation, gene expression and signal transduction (32). Our previous studies have shown that in human breast cancer cells, n-3 PUFA inhibit growth, induce apoptosis, and up-regulate SDC-1(33,34). In the present study we show that SDC-1 is increased in prostate tissue of mice fed an n-3 PUFA-enriched diet, and that in a human prostate cancer cells, the transcriptional pathway for n-3-PUFA regulation of SDC-1 expression involves the nuclear hormone receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.

Materials
Human prostate cancer PC-3 and DU-145 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection, Rockville MD. Mouse anti-human syndecan 1 (B-B4) was purchased from Serotec, Oxford, UK, anti-heparan sulfate stub mAb (3G10) was from Seikagaku America, Ijamsville, MD and rabbit polyclonal anti-human syndecan 1 (H-174) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA. Troglitazone and GW259662 were from Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, heparinase III was from Sigma, St Louis MO and chondroitin ABC lyase was from Seikagaku America. Super Signal West Pico Kit was from Pierce, Rockford, IL, FuGENE 6 transfection reagent was from Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN. Luciferase and β-galactosidase assay kits were from Promega, Madison, WI. Dominant negative (d/n) PPARγ cDNA (L468/E471) was kindly supplied by Dr. V. Chatterjee, University of Cambridge. Preparation of fatty acid-bovine serum albumin (BSA) complexes and n-3 and n-6 PUFA-enriched low density lipoproteins (LDL) have been previously described (33).

Animals
Male C57BL/6J mice were fed a high n-3 (n-6: n-3 ratio = 1:1) or a high n-6 (n-6: n-3 ratio = 40:1) diet for 8 weeks. Diet composition, body weights, fatty acid ratios in food, blood and prostate tissues, and dissection of prostate lobes have been described (35). All animals were maintained in an isolated environment in barrier cages. Animal care was conducted in compliance with the state and federal Animal Welfare Acts and the standards and policies of the Department of Health and Human Services. The protocol was approved by our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Immunostaining of mouse prostate tissue
Prostate glands were dissected from 8 week-old male mice fed a chow diet. Tissues were fixed in Carnoy’s fluid at 4 °C, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, sectioned (5 μM) and deparaffinized. Immunostaining was conducted using a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against a recombinant protein corresponding to amino acids 82-256 of human syndecan 1 (H-174) and DAKO EnVion + system peroxidase (DAB) kit according to the manufacturers instructions.

Cell Culture
PC-3 and DU-145 cells were maintained in Advanced DMEM supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and EMEM with 10% FBS, respectively, penicillin/streptomycin and L-glutamine at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. In experiments measuring mRNA, cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/well in growth medium. After 6 h, the medium was changed to DMEM/F12 with 0.5% FBS for 18 h and then supplemented with 100 μg/ml n-3 or n-6-enriched LDL, 30 μM DHA, 30 μM EPA, 30 μM LA, 5 μM or 10 μM troglitazone for 8 to 24 h.

**FA delivery to cells**

Cells were grown in 100 mM dishes until approximately 50% confluent when the growth media was replaced with fresh media containing 0.5% FBS supplemented with LDL or BSA-FA. FBS was used at low levels in order to minimize competition between its LDL and the n-6 or n-3 LDL added to the cultures. After 24 h, cell monolayers were washed twice with balanced salt solution (BSS [137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.45 mM KH₂PO₄, 20.3 mM Na₂HPO₄]) and lipids were extracted with isopropanol for 24 h at 4 °C. Lipid extracts were phased into chloroform, saponified, and fatty acids were methylated and separated by GLC (36). Phospholipids were separated from chloroform extracts by thin layer chromatography prior to fatty acid analysis.

**Real-time PCR.**

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis and real-time RT-PCR were as previously described (34). SDC-1 primers were 5'-ggagcaggacttccccttttg (forward) and 5'-cteccaagcctttcct (reverse). Versican primers were 5'-ccatgctacataaagtaaagcagggagga (forward) and 5'-cctggagactgcctttccgct (reverse). Perlecan primers were 5'-cctggagactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Peptidyl prolyl isomerase B (PPIB) housekeeping gene primers were 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Mouse SDC-1 primers were 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Mouse PPIB housekeeping gene primers were 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Proteoglycan core protein data were normalized to the housekeeping control PPIB and are presented relative to control.

**Enzyme treatment and Western blot analysis**

Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed for 10 min on ice; debris was then removed by centrifugation. Proteins were precipitated by a 2.5-fold volume cold methanol at -20 °C for 2 h. The samples were again centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. For membrane proteins, cells were collected in hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA) with protease inhibitors, homogenized and debris was removed by centrifugation at 8,000 x g. Membranes in the supernatant were pelleted at 100,000 x g, dissolved in lysis buffer, and precipitated with cold methanol as above. Pellets were washed with ice-cold acetone, dried, and resuspended in heparinase buffer (50 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaOAc, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl₂) and equivalent amounts of protein were treated with heparinase III (2 U/ml) and chondroitinase ABC (1 U/ml) at 37 °C for 16 h. Proteins were separated by 3.5 - 15% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membrane. The membranes were blocked with TBST (10 mM Tris-base, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.5) containing 5% nonfat dry milk for 2 h at room temperature, washed with TBST for 5 min x 3, exposed to the primary antibodies in TBST containing 3% BSA at 4 °C overnight, washed x 3 with TBST, incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h, washed with TBST, and developed using the Super Signal West Pico Kit.

**Wild-type and mutant SDC-1 DR-1-luciferase constructs**

The one copy reporter construct DR-1 from the SDC-1 promoter [pGL3-DR-1 wt (37)] was generated by annealing the oligonucleotides 5'-cgggttcttcccctttgctctctcggccgtttccgctacacccgagc-3' (forward) and 5'-cgggttctttgctctctcggccgtttccgctacacccgagc-3' (reverse). Mouse SDC-1 primers were 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Mouse PPIB housekeeping gene primers were 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (forward) and 5'-gcaaggactgcctttccgct (reverse). Proteoglycan core protein data were normalized to the housekeeping control PPIB and are presented relative to control.
Transfection and luciferase assay
PC-3 cells were co-transfected with the PPRE-TK-luciferase reporter plasmid and a control plasmid containing the lacZ gene or with pcDNA3-d/n PPARγ cDNA and pGL3-luc-DR-1 (wild-type or mutant) using FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected cells were incubated with 0, 100 µg/ml n-3 LDL, 30 µM DHA, or 10 µM troglitazone for 8 or 24 h. Luciferase activities were measured using a Luciferase Assay Kit in a TD-20e luminometer (Turner Designs Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) and β-galactosidase activity was measured using a β-Galactosidase assay kit in SpectroMAX plate reader (Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA). Luciferase activities were normalized to β-galactosidase activity and are presented as the percentage of luciferase activity measured in the presence of stimulus, relative to the activity of control cells with no stimulation.

Nuclear extract and Electrophoretic Gel Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)
PC-3 cells were rinsed three times with PBS, once with buffer A (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF), scraped into buffer A containing 0.2% NP40 and spun for 20 seconds at 15,000 rpm, 4 °C. Pellets were solubilized in 100 µl of buffer B (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 420 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 20% glycerol) and dialyzed against binding buffer (10 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.5) overnight at 4 °C. DNA-binding was performed by mixing 70,000 cpm of 32P-end-labeled DNA fragment from SDC-1 promoter containing DR-1 (generated by annealing the oligonucleotides 5’-cgggtgtagcggaaacggccgagTgagGGCagTACTagaa ccggtac-3’ and 5’-cgggtgtagcggaaacggccgagagagcaaaggggaagaacctcgttgctctcggccgtttccgctacacccgagctcc-3’), 50 ng/µl of poly (dl•dc) •poly(dl•dc) (Sigma, Atlanta, GA), 2.5% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.05% NP-40, 20 µg nuclear protein, 200 ng unlabeled DNA and/or 2 µl of PPARγ antibody (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) in a 20 µl reaction volume and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were loaded on a 5% polyacrylamide gel pre-run for 60 min, and run in TBE buffer (45 mM Tris base, 45 mM boric acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.3) for 90 min at 200V. Gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography.

Data analysis.
Data were analyzed by ANOVA and Student’s t-test. The assays were carried out in triplicate and the data shown, are means ± SE.

RESULTS

Syndecan 1 expression in mouse prostate tissue
Anterior, ventral and dorsolateral prostate lobes were dissected from male mice, embedded in paraffin and sectioned for immunostaining. An antibody directed against a sequence of the human SDC-1 core protein demonstrated heterogeneous cell surface staining of prostate epithelial cells that was particularly strong at the apical surface of many cells (Fig. 1). Prostate tissues from animals fed an n-3 or n-6 PUFA enriched diet were similarly dissected and processed for mRNA analysis. Fig. 2 shows that SDC-1 mRNA in the combined prostate lobes was >2 fold higher in animals fed an n-3 enriched diet compared with those fed an n-6 PUFA-enriched diet. Individual lobes from 2 additional animals in each diet group were analyzed separately and demonstrated that higher SDC-1 expression was achieved in all prostate lobes of the n-3 fed animals.

Syndecan-1 expression in human prostate cancer cell lines
To demonstrate the presence of SDC-1 in human prostate cancer cells, PC-3 cells (Fig. 3A) and DU-145 cells (Fig. 3B) were incubated with a mouse anti-human SDC-1 monoclonal antibody and visualized by a rhodamine-labeled second antibody. Strong immunostaining was observed around the periphery of the cells. A similar staining pattern was observed in a third prostate cancer cell line, LNCaP (not shown). To verify that SDC-1 was the predominant heparan sulfate proteoglycan present on human prostate cancer cells, whole cell lysates of PC-3 cells were either untreated or treated with glycosaminoglycan-degrading enzymes and Western blotting was
performed. Fig. 3C shows the Western analysis using MAB 3G10 that recognizes the unsaturated hexuronic acid residue on a heparan sulfate core protein following heparan sulfate chain removal. An 80 KDa core protein was visible in lanes containing heparinase-treated samples. No other core protein bands were detected indicating that one major heparan sulfate species is associated with these cells. In addition, Fig. 3D also shows a Western analysis using a SDC-1 core protein-specific antibody that clearly identified a similarly migrating 80 kDa protein. We conclude that PC-3 cells express SDC-1 as their dominant heparan sulfate-containing proteoglycan. As shown in Fig. 3E, the SDC-1 core protein was markedly elevated in cells incubated with LDL enriched in n-3 PUFA compared with n-6 PUFA.

**Delivery of PUFA to PC-3 cells**

To further examine *in vitro* regulation of SDC-1 expression by n-3 PUFA we modeled the two main physiological pathways for delivery of dietary fatty acids to cells: by LDL and by albumin. For this we used LDL isolated from vervet monkeys that had been fed diets enriched in fish oil. Our previous studies had shown that this LDL contained 2 major species of n-3 PUFA: EPA and DHA (33). As a control, n-6 PUFA-enriched LDL was isolated from vervet monkeys fed a diet supplemented with linoleic acid [LA (18:2, n-6)], which was the major fatty acid species in the n-6 LDL (33). Incubation of PC-3 cells with these LDL resulted in delivery of the respective fatty acids to the cells. Table 1a shows the fatty acid percent distribution in total cell lipids following 24 h incubation with either the PUFA -enriched LDL or BSA-bound EPA, DHA or LA. In cells incubated with no fatty acid supplementation, the n-3 PUFA were ≤ 1% of total fatty acids. EPA-BSA addition resulted in an increase in EPA content to 15%. These cells effectively converted EPA to docosapentaenoic acid [DPA (22:5n-3)], which also comprised 15% of total fatty acids. Metabolism of DPA to DHA, however, was not observed during this time period in the EPA-treated cells. DHA-BSA treatment resulted in increased DHA only. The n-3 LDL used for these studies did not contain a detectable level of DPA, but when the PC-3 cells were incubated with this LDL it was observed that both EPA and DHA were delivered to the cells and that there was formation of DPA. Cells treated with LA-BSA or n-6 LDL showed an increase in LA to 19.4 and 10.1% of total fatty acids, respectively. Cell phospholipids were isolated from the total lipid extracts and their fatty acid composition is shown is shown in Table 1b. The pattern of fatty acid distribution of the cell phospholipids mirrored that of the total cell lipids. Thus both LDL and PUFA-BSA were effective in delivering PUFA to the tumor cell phospholipids and PC-3 cells were able to metabolize EPA to DPA but unable to metabolize the latter fatty acid further to DHA.

**In vitro upregulation of SDC-1 by n-3 PUFA**

To demonstrate *in vitro* regulation of SDC-1 by n-3 PUFA, real-time RT-PCR was used to measure SDC-1 expression in PC-3 cells incubated with n-3 LDL or PUFA-BSA (Fig. 4). The first panel shows that n-3 LDL (100 μg/ml) and DHA-BSA (30 μM) were effective in elevating SDC-1 expression, whereas EPA (30 μM), LA (30 μM) or equimolar concentrations (15 μM each) of EPA + DHA were ineffective. Higher concentrations of EPA and LA (up to 100 μM) were also ineffective (not shown) as was n-6 LDL at 100 (Fig. 4) or 500 μg/ml (not shown). The effect of n-3 LDL and DHA-BSA was specific for SDC-1 and not a general effect on all cell proteoglycans: neither n-3 LDL nor DHA-BSA stimulated the expression of versican or perlecan, two other proteoglycan species produced by these cells. In addition, n-3 LDL and DHA were also efficient in increasing the expression of SDC-1 in DU-145 prostate cancer cells, but as in PC-3 cells, n-6 LDL and EPA were ineffective.

**Regulation of SDC-1 by PPARγ**

Our previous studies have implicated the nuclear receptor, PPARγ in the control of SDC-1 expression in human breast cancer cells (34). To determine whether a similar pathway is involved in the n-3 PUFA up-regulation of SDC-1 in prostate cancer cells, we first used an EMSA to demonstrate binding of a Peroxisome Proliferator Responsive Element (PPRE) in the SDC-1 promoter to PPARγ (Fig. 5A). Nuclear extracts from PC-3 cells demonstrated a protein-DNA complex (Fig 5A, 3rd lane) that was competed by a 200 fold molar excess of the unlabeled...
oligonucleotide (Fig. 5A, 5th lane). The signal was reduced and supershifted in the presence of a PPARγ antibody supporting the involvement of PPARγ in the complex (Fig 5A, 4th lane). To determine whether n-3 PUFA could elicit a PPAR response in the PC-3 cells, a reporter assay was used. PC-3 cells were transfected with a PPRE-TK-luciferase reporter plasmid and a control plasmid containing the lacZ gene. Luciferase activity was measured after a 24-hour treatment of the cells with n-3 LDL, DHA-BSA or the PPARγ agonist, troglitazone. As shown in Fig. 5B, all three treatments significantly increased luciferase activity indicating a PPAR response. To demonstrate a response specifically in the SDC-1 promoter, the luciferase reporter gene was placed under the control of either the wild type [pGL3-(DR-1) wt] or mutant [pGL3-(DR-1) mut] DR-1 element from the syndecan-1 promoter. PC-3 cells were co-transfected with wild-type or mutant SDC-1 DR-1-luciferase constructs and a d/n-PPARγ cDNA. No significant effect on luciferase activity in response to n-3 LDL, DHA and troglitazone was observed in cells transfected with the empty pGL3-promoter vector (Fig. 5C). Whereas the pGL3-(DR-1) wt-luciferase reporter was activated by n-3 LDL, DHA and troglitazone, co-transfection of the wild-type reporter with d/n-PPARγ cDNA eliminated the luciferase response. In addition, the transfected reporter gene containing a mutant SDC-1 DR-1 site failed to respond. These data establish the SDC-1 gene as a molecular target for n-3 PUFA and indicate that this targeting is mediated by PPARγ.

To confirm a specific involvement of PPARγ, as opposed to other PPAR isoforms, in n-3 PUFA up regulation of SDC-1 in the PC-3 cells, SDC-1 expression was measured in response to PPAR isoform-specific agonists. Treatment with the PPAR agonist, troglitazone, as with n-3 LDL, resulted in increased expression of SDC-1, whereas treatment with the PPARδ agonist, GW610742, was ineffective (Fig. 6A). To further implicate PPARγ, cells were transfected with d/n PPARγ cDNA. The up regulation of SDC-1 by n-3 PUFA and troglitazone was blocked in cells transfected with d/n PPARγ cDNA (Fig. 6B), thus connecting the n-3 LDL and DHA-induced PPAR response specifically to PPARγ. Finally, cells were treated with n-3 LDL in the presence of the PPARγ antagonist, GW259662. As shown in Fig. 6C, the PPARγ antagonist completely blocked the n-3 LDL stimulation of SDC-1 expression. The SDC-1 mRNA increase in response to n-3 LDL treatment peaks at 8 h (not shown) and the relatively higher response to n-3 LDL shown in this panel may be due to an 8 h treatment time compared to 24 h in panel A.

DISCUSSION

The novel finding of these studies is that SDC-1 is up regulated by n-3 PUFA in prostate cells. This occurs both in vivo when mice are fed an n-3 PUFA-enriched diet and in vitro when human prostate cancer cells are exposed to n-3 PUFA. Although there is a growing body of evidence for a chemopreventive role for n-3 PUFA, there is no clear understanding of target genes and mechanisms that may bring about this role. Therefore the identification SDC-1 as a molecular target for n-3 PUFA is of significant interest. Due to the multifunctional properties of SDC-1, it is proposed that up regulation of this proteoglycan would have profound effects on the interaction of the cells with their environment.

SDC-1 is the predominant cell surface proteoglycan on epithelial cells. Our studies with mouse prostate tissue identified a plasma membrane distribution of SDC-1 that exhibited a polarization to the apical surface of the glandular cells. Early studies by Rapraeger et al (38) demonstrated the mobility of SDC-1 in the plasma membrane of cultured mouse mammary epithelial cells. Whereas an apical distribution was observed on actively growing cells, SDC-1 became sequestered at the basolateral surface of confluent monolayers. When crosslinked at the cell surface it associated with the actin cytoskeleton.

SDC-1 binds cells via its ectodomain to interstitial collagen (39), fibronectin (40), and thrombospondin (41) and has been proposed to function as an anchor that links the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix. Thus staining of the basolateral surface of cells would be consistent with the matrix-binding properties of the proteoglycan. However the distribution and expression of the SDC-1 in tissues has been shown
to vary according to epithelial tissue (42). This suggests that discrete functions for SDC-1 may exist in different types of epithelia. Although the presence of SDC-1 in tissues is reported to be decreased on the cell surface adjacent to basement membrane (42), apical localization such as that observed in the mouse prostate epithelia (Fig. 1) was surprising. This staining pattern was particularly pronounced in the dorsolateral lobe which, according to gene expression patterns, is equivalent to the human prostate peripheral zone (43). This zone is site of origin of over 80% of human prostate carcinomas (44,45). It is interesting to speculate that the observed distribution of SDC-1 may be associated with apical secretion from the cells, possibly of kallikreins such as the prostate specific antigen.

Our study is the first to show an increase in SDC-1 in tissues of animals fed an n-3-enriched diet, an effect that is consistent with a role for SDC-1 in tumor protection. Recently we have described the effects of PUFA-enriched diets in a mouse model with prostate-specific homozygous deletion of the tumor suppressor Pten (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10). Pten is the most frequently deleted gene in human prostate cancer. Using this animal model, in which prostate cancer has 100% penetrance, we have shown that a high n-3 PUFA diet inhibits tumor growth and increases survival whereas a high n-6 PUFA diet has the opposite effect (35). Present data support our hypothesis that SDC-1 is a mediator of the tumor protective effects of n-3 PUFA. Future studies with our animal model will examine the relationship between SDC-1 expression and tumor progression. In spite of a significant body of evidence that SDC-1 expression is inversely associated with tumor aggressiveness and poor prognosis in a variety of cancers (7-11,46), the association of SDC-1 with prostate cancer has not been well studied. Kiviniemi et al. (19) reported an inverse relationship between SDC-1 and Gleason grade of tumor. This was partially confirmed by Chen et al. (18) who found that SDC-1 expression was markedly reduced in locally invasive cancer compared to normal basal and secretory prostate epithelia. However in high Gleason grade tumors, SDC-1 was associated with greater PSA recurrence. In addition, prostate tissue microarray analysis showed a positive correlation between SDC-1 and tumor progression (20). One potential source of confusion is that all of these results were based on immunoreactivity to antibodies raised against epitopes on the SDC-1 core protein. Caution is needed in interpretation of such results since the presence of heparanases in cancer tissue may degrade the heparan sulfate chains of SDC-1 and thus enhance the exposure of core protein epitopes to the antibodies. It is well known that in vitro degradation of glycosaminoglycan chains improves antibody recognition in Western analyses and immunoblotting and this should be considered as a confounding factor in interpreting immunostaining data in tissues with high heparanase activity. Biochemical analyses are needed to clarify such data.

In all of the in vitro studies presented in this report we delivered PUFA to the cells by 2 routes that model the physiological delivery of dietary fatty acids to tumor cells: LDL and albumin. As shown in Table 1, both are similarly effective in delivering PUFA to the cells total lipid stores as well as specifically to cell phospholipids. One unexpected and intriguing finding was that of the 2 major n-3 PUFA present in n-3 LDL, DHA but not EPA was effective in stimulating the expression of SDC-1. DHA is synthesized from EPA by elongation and desaturation in a process defined by Sprecher [for review, see (47)]. Briefly, EPA (20:5, n-3) is converted to 22:5, n-3 by elongase (Elovl)-5, and then by Elovl-2 to 24:5, n-3. The next step requires desaturation of 24:5 by Δ 6 desaturase to produce 24:6, n-3. This product then translocates from the endoplasmic reticulum to the peroxisome where the β oxidation pathway exerts an acyl chain shortening of C2 to produce DHA (22:6n-3). The conversion of EPA to DHA is known to be inefficient in humans. In fact it has been shown that dietary supplementation with up to 4 grams EPA/day does not result in detectable levels of plasma DHA (48,49). This low bioconversion is particularly evident in males (50). We observed that when the PC-3 cells were treated with EPA, DPA was markedly increased by as early as 12 h (not shown) and by 24 h comprised 50% of the cell n-3 PUFA (Table 1a). Further conversion to DHA was not achieved by this time indicating a deficit in the pathway after Elovl-5. Similar results were obtained with DU-145 cells...
Even over a longer time period (72 h, data not shown), we have observed little conversion to DHA in EPA-treated cells, and have at no time measured an increase in SDC-1 mRNA resulting from EPA treatment. It is not clear at present why EPA is not effective in activation of PPARγ or induction of SDC-1 expression. Studies are presently being undertaken to further clarify the metabolism of the long chain PUFA in relation to these effects in tumor cells.

An important finding from these studies is the establishment of a link in prostate cancer cells between n-3 LDL and DHA activation of PPARγ and subsequent induction of SDC-1. The reporter assays shown in Fig. 5 demonstrated a PPAR response to n-3 LDL and DHA that was inhibited in the presence of d/n PPARγ cDNA. In addition, the PPARγ agonist troglitazone was effective in stimulating the expression of SDC-1 whereas a PPARγ antagonist, GW259662 completely blocked the effect of n-3 LDL on SDC-1 expression (Fig. 6). Importantly, we have for the first time demonstrated specific binding of PPARγ to a DR-1 element in the SDC-1 promoter. In hepatocytes, this DR-1 element in was shown to be a target gene for the farnesoid-X receptor (FXR) (37). Available expression data show either absent or low levels of FXR expression in PC-3 cells, whereas PPARγ is high (in the top 10% of genes expressed by these cells) (51,52). Therefore PPARγ is more likely to be the relevant nuclear factor. This is supported by the fact that PPARγ can regulate expression of luciferase placed under the control of the SDC-1 DR-1. However involvement of FXR cannot be ruled out at this time. Similar to our observation in MCF-7 breast cancer cells (34), only DHA and not EPA was effective in stimulating the expression of SDC-1 in PC-3 cells. Although both EPA and LA bind PPARγ (53), both were shown to be poor activators of this PPAR isoform (54). Further studies are required to determine whether DHA activation of PPARγ is a direct effect or one mediated by an active metabolite of the fatty acid.
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FOOTNOTES

1 Abbreviations used are: HSPG, heparan sulfate proteoglycans; SDC-1, syndecan 1; PIN, premalignant intraepithelial neoplasia; BSA, bovine serum albumin; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; d/n-PPARγ cDNA, dominant/negative-PPARγ cDNA; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; LA, linoleic acid; LDL, low density lipoproteins; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PPRE, PPAR-response elements; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Immunostaining of SDC-1 in mouse prostate tissue. Mouse prostate glands were fixed, sectioned and immunostained with antibody H-174 against a recombinant protein corresponding to amino acids 82-256 of the human SDC-1 core protein. Shown is the dorsolateral lobe at a magnification of 10X (upper left) or 20X.

Figure 2. SDC-1 mRNA in prostate tissues of mice fed n-3 or n-6-enriched diets. Grossly normal prostate glands were dissected from animals at 8 weeks of age and RNA was isolated and measured by real-time RT-PCR. Shown are SDC-1 mRNA in total prostate tissue from animals fed n-3 and n-6 PUFA enriched diets (n=3) and in isolated lobes of 2 additional animals fed n-3 and n-6 PUFA enriched diets. *p<0.05.

Figure 3. Identification of SDC-1 in human prostate cancer cell lines. Phase contrast and rhodamine channel images of (A) PC-3 and (B) DU-145 cells. Cells were grown in 35mM dishes, fixed and stained with (bottom) or without (top) 0.25μg/ml of anti-SDC-1 followed by 25μg/ml of rhodamine-labeled goat anti mouse IgG. Arrowheads indicate representative regions of cell-surface staining. C. Western blot analysis of PC-3 cell extracts after glycosaminoglycan-degrading enzyme treatments. Proteins were resolved on a 3.5-15% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with a mouse α-heparan sulfate stub monoclonal antibody. Lane 1, untreated; lane 2, heparinase-treated; lane 3, chondroitin ABC lyase-treated; lane 4, heparinase + chondroitin ABC lyase-treated. Chondroitin ABC lyase degrades chondroitin sulfate and dermatan sulfate glycosaminoglycans but not HSPG. D. Western analysis using a SDC-1 core protein-specific antibody. Lane 1, untreated; lane 2, heparinase-treated. Arrow denotes the 80KDa core protein of SDC-1. E. Western analysis using the SDC-1 core protein-specific antibody of heparinase-treated membrane proteins of PC-3 cells incubated with 100μg/ml n-3 PUFA or n-6 PUFA enriched LDL. Data are presented relative to a no LDL control.

Figure 4. Effects of PUFA on SDC-1, versican and perlecan expression. PC-3 or DU-145 cells were treated with 100μg/ml n-3 PUFA or n-6 enriched LDL, 30μM EPA-BSA, 30μM DHA-BSA, 15μM EPA+15μMDHA or 30μM LA for 8 hours. Total RNA was isolated and SDC-1, versican and perlecan mRNA was determined by real-time RT-PCR. Data are means ± S.E of three independent experiments. Values with different subscript letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 5. Activation of PPARγ and the human SDC-1 promoter by n-3 PUFA. A. EMSA. Nuclear extracts from PC-3 cells were incubated with a [γ-32P] ATP-labeled oligonucleotide corresponding to the DR-1 element of the SDC-1 promoter. A specific supershifted complex was observed in the presence of anti-PPARγ antibody. Binding specificity was confirmed using a 200 fold molar excess of unlabeled corresponding oligonucleotide. B. PC-3 cells were co-transfected with a plasmid containing the luciferase gene driven by a PPAR response element (PPRE×3-tk-luciferase) and a control plasmid containing the lacZ gene. Following transfection, cells were treated with n-3 LDL (100μg/ml), DHA (30μM) or troglitazone (10μM) and luciferase activity was measured at 24 hours. Luciferase activity values were normalized to transfection efficiency monitored by co-transfected β-galactosidase expression. Values are mean ± S.E of 3 independent experiments conducted in triplicate. C. PC-3 cells were co-transfected with a reporter construct containing the DR-1 from the SDC-1 promoter linked to a minimal promoter-luciferase reporter gene pGL3-(DR-1) wt or the same vector containing the mutant DR-1 [pGL3-(DR-1mut] and a control plasmid containing the lacZ gene, with or without d/n PPARγ cDNA. Following transfection, cells were treated with n-3 LDL (100μg/ml), DHA (30μM) or troglitazone (10μM) for 24 hours. Luciferase activity values are normalized to β-galactosidase activity and presented as the percentage of luciferase activity measured in the presence of stimulus, relative to the activity in control.
cells with no stimulus. Basal activity was reduced approximately 2 fold by the d/n-PPARγ cDNA, but not changed by mutant DR-1. Values with different subscript letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

**Figure 6. Effect of PPAR agonists or antagonist on SDC-1 expression in PC-3 cells.** A. Cells were treated with 100μg/ml n-3 LDL or 5 and 10μM PPARγ agonist, troglitazone or 5 and 10μM PPARδ agonist, GW610742 for 24 hours; B. Cells were transfected with d/n PPARγ cDNA and treated with 100μg/ml n-3 LDL, 30μM DHA, and 10μM troglitazone for 8 hours. C. Cells were treated with 100μg/ml n-3 LDL in the presence or absence of 15μM PPARγ antagonist GW9662 for 8 hours. Total RNA was isolated and SDC-1mRNA was determined by real-time RT-PCR. Data are means ± S.E. in triplicate experiments. Values with different subscript letters are significantly different (p<0.05).
Table 1a. Percent fatty acid composition of PC-3 cell total lipids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>*MA 14:0</th>
<th>PA 16:0</th>
<th>SA 18:0</th>
<th>OA 18:1</th>
<th>LA 18:2(n-6)</th>
<th>AA 20:4(n-6)</th>
<th>EPA 20:5(n-3)</th>
<th>DPA 22:5(n-3)</th>
<th>DHA 22:6(n-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA-BSA</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td><strong>15.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA-BSA</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td><strong>1.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-BSA</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td><strong>19.4</strong></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-3 LDL</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td><strong>4.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-6 LDL</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td><strong>10.1</strong></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MA, myristic acid; PA, palmitic acid; SA, stearic acid; OA, oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; AA arachidonic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid.
Table 1b. Percent fatty acid composition of PC-3 cell phospholipids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>MA 14:0</th>
<th>PA 16:0</th>
<th>SA 18:0</th>
<th>OA 18:1</th>
<th>LA 18:2(n-6)</th>
<th>AA 20:4(n-6)</th>
<th>EPA 20:5(n-3)</th>
<th>DPA 22:5(n-3)</th>
<th>DHA 22:6(n-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA-BSA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td><strong>11.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA-BSA</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td><strong>1.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-BSA</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td><strong>15.3</strong></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-3 LDL</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td><strong>5.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-6 LDL</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td><strong>12.0</strong></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MA, myristic acid; PA, palmitic acid; SA, stearic acid; OA, oleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; AA arachidonic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; DHA docosahexaenoic acid.
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