IN VITRO AND IN VIVO CHARACTERIZATION OF MOLECULAR INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CALMODULIN, EZRIN/RADIXIN/MOESIN (ERM) AND L-SELECTIN
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ABSTRACT
L-selectin is a cell adhesion molecule that tethers leukocytes to the luminal walls of venules during inflammation and causes them to roll under the force of blood flow. Clustering of L-selectin during rolling is thought to promote outside-in signals that lead to integrin activation and chemokine receptor expression, ultimately contributing to leukocyte arrest. Several studies have underscored the importance of the L-selectin cytoplasmic tail in functionally regulating adhesion and signalling. Interestingly, the L-selectin tail is comprised of only seventeen amino acids and yet it is thought to bind simultaneously to several proteins. For example, constitutive association of calmodulin (CaM) and ezrin/radixin/moesin (ERM) to L-selectin confers resistance to proteolysis and microvillar positioning, respectively. In this report we found that recombinant purified CaM and ERM bound non-competitively to the same tail of L-selectin. Furthermore, molecular modelling supported the possibility that CaM, L-selectin and moesin could form a heterotrimeric complex. Finally, using fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) to measure fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), it was shown that CaM, L-selectin and ERM could interact simultaneously in vivo. Moreover, L-selectin clustering promoted CaM/ERM interaction in cis (i.e. derived from neighbouring L-selectin tails). These results highlight a novel intracellular event that occurs as a consequence of L-selectin clustering, which could be involved in transducing signals that promote the transition from rolling to arrest.

INTRODUCTION
Transit of leukocytes from the bloodstream to surrounding tissue is essential for inflammatory responses, which is intricately coordinated by cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) on both leukocytes and endothelial cells. The selectins are a three-member-family of CAMs originally identified in endothelial cells (E-selectin), platelets (P-selectin) and leukocytes (L-selectin) (1), which jointly execute leukocyte tethering and rolling along the luminal surface of venules (2,3). The extracellular domain of the selectins harbour similar structural features, whereas the cytoplasmic tails of all three selectins are non-conserved, suggesting that the tails may be involved in regulating the function of each selectin uniquely. The cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin is comprised of only 17 amino acids and yet a growing number of binding partners...
have been identified (4), including calmodulin (CaM) (5), the ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) family of membrane-cytoskeleton cross-linkers (6), α-actinin (7) and protein kinase C (PKC) isoforms (8). Spatio-temporal regulation between L-selectin and its binding partners could justify how each protein may associate separately with the L-selectin tail. However, a number of these proteins are considered to interact constitutively, suggesting that the tail of L-selectin can accommodate multiple binding partners. For example, CaM associates constitutively with L-selectin in resting leukocytes, and thereby protects the extracellular domain of L-selectin from proteolysis (5). Artificial activation of leukocytes with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) induces the release of CaM from L-selectin, and shedding of the extracellular domain. ERM families are classically defined as membrane/cytoskeleton cross-linkers as their N-termini can bind to the tails of cell adhesion molecules and their C-termini can bind to filamentous actin. The ERMs are also thought to be constitutively associated with L-selectin, as abrogating this interaction diminishes microvillar positioning, which in turn reduces tethering efficiency under flow (9). Additionally, PMA-induced shedding of L-selectin is significantly decreased when ERM binding is abrogated (9). These observations suggest that ERM and CaM may have distinct and overlapping roles. The amino acid residues in the L-selectin tail that contribute to CaM and ERM binding are juxtaposed to one another (Figure 1A), which suggests that these proteins may either compete for the same binding site, or bind non-competitively to the same L-selectin tail. The fact that resting leukocytes express L-selectin, which is anchored to microvilli (10), suggests that both CaM and ERM are collectively involved in binding to the same L-selectin tail.

L-selectin has also been described as a signalling receptor (11). For example, clustering L-selectin with either monoclonal antibody or multi-valent physiological ligand has been shown to activate β1 (12) and β2 (13) integrins. Mobilisation of the chemokine receptor, CXCR4, to the cell surface has also been shown to occur in response to L-selectin clustering (14). Collectively, these responses imply that L-selectin-dependent adhesion could be involved in facilitating the transition from rolling to arrest independently of, or in concert with, chemokines (15). Moreover, clustering of L-selectin is governed by the membrane-proximal polybasic region of the L-selectin tail (16), which includes the CaM and ERM binding sites (see Figure 1A). This suggests that both CaM and ERM could potentially participate in mediating signals downstream of L-selectin engagement or clustering. A recent elegant study showed that clustering of P-selectin glycoprotein ligand (PSGL)-1 with monoclonal antibody elicits the binding of spleen tyrosine kinase to a cryptic immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif resident within the N-terminal domain of moesin (17). This implies that ERMs act as signalling adaptors as well as structural linkers between the plasma membrane and the cortical actin-based cytoskeleton. Although clustering of L-selectin with monoclonal antibody has been shown to lead to a number of different cellular responses, little is known about the early intracellular events that are initiated in response to clustering L-selectin. The aim of this report was therefore to understand the molecular interactions that ensue in response to clustering L-selectin, with particular reference to CaM and ERM binding. Defining potentially unique interactions between CaM and ERM could lead to the identification of novel therapeutic targets for inhibiting L-selectin-dependent adhesion and signalling. Here, we show that CaM and ERM bind to non-competing regions of the same L-selectin tail to form a 1:1:1 complex. These observations were supported by molecular modelling of L-selectin with respective NMR and X-ray structures of CaM and the N-terminal domain of ERM proteins complexed with the cytoplasmic tails of other cell adhesion molecules, such as CD43 (18), Intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-2 (19) and PSGL-1 (20). Based on the predicted model of interaction, it appeared that CaM and ERM could interact independently of L-selectin, which was shown both in vitro and in vivo (using FRET-based microscopic techniques). Moreover, in vivo interaction between CaM and ezrin increased significantly when L-selectin was co-expressed. Interestingly, CaM/ezrin interaction was not observed when CD44 (known to bind ezrin, but not CaM) was co-expressed in place of L-selectin, confirming that the interaction between CaM and ERM was driven specifically by L-selectin. Collectively, these results demonstrate that CaM and ERM can bind non-competitively to a single cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin. Furthermore, binding
between CaM and ezrin increased in response to L-selectin clustering, suggesting that CaM and ERM are involved in signalling downstream of L-selectin engagement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and antibodies

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise stated. Anti-L-selectin (mouse monoclonal) antibody, CA21 was a kind gift from Julius Kahn (Boehringer-Ingelheim CT, USA). DREG56 is an anti-human L-selectin antibody, which specifically recognises the lectin domain (mouse IgG1 monoclonal, purchased from Santa Cruz). Anti-CaM (IgG1 mouse monoclonal antibody) was purchased from Upstate Laboratories. Eurogentec (Belgium) was commissioned to generate rabbit polyclonal anti-moesin FERM domain antibody. DREG56 monoclonal antibody (IgG1) was purified on protein G columns using cell-free supernatants of hybridoma cell cultures (ATCC HB-300). Anti-ERM and anti-phospho-ERM affinity purified rabbit antibodies were purchased from Cell Signalling Technologies.

Peptides and plasmids

Peptides were synthesised by Will Mawby at the Department of Biochemistry, University of Bristol. Peptides were synthesised using an Applied Biosystems 430A peptide synthesizer and purified by reverse phase high pressure liquid chromatography. Peptide length and purity was assessed using mass spectrometry.

WT cDNA of L-selectin was cloned in to pMT2 plasmid (kind gift from Thomas Tedder, Duke University, USA), and had been used in our previous studies (9). The open reading frame of human CaM-GFP was amplified from template DNA kindly provided by Donald C Chang (Hong Kong, China). XhoI and HindIII digestion sites were engineered at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively, and subcloned in to eGFP-N1 vector (Clontech), which contained mCherry in place of GFP. The same procedure was undertaken to subclone the cDNA of WT L-selectin in to mCherry-N1 vector, using the same restriction sites.

Overexpression and purification of recombinant moesin and ezrin FERM domains

Both recombinant human moesin and ezrin FERM domains were expressed in bacteria and purified as previously described (21). The expression plasmids coding for both proteins were a kind gift from Anthony Bretscher (Cornell University, USA). Recombinant FERM domains were dialysed in buffer A (150 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES pH7.4) prior to bead binding assay. Recombinant human CaM was purchased from BIOMOL international as a lyophilised powder and solubilised in buffer A at a final concentration of 2 mg ml\(^{-1}\).

L-selectin tail bead-binding assay

N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-coated sepharose beads were purchased from Pierce and used to couple synthetic L-selectin cytoplasmic tail peptide (i.e. RRLKGGKKSRRMNDPY), as outlined in the manufacturers protocol. Approximately 1 \(\mu\)g of L-selectin tail was coupled to 10 \(\mu\)l of beads (settled volume). Residual non-reactive NHS groups were blocked using 1M Tris-HCl pH7.5. L-selectin tail beads were washed twice in buffer A prior to bead-binding assay. An excess amount of either moesin/ezrin FERM domain or CaM (at least 11.5 \(\mu\)M) was incubated with 10 \(\mu\)l beads for 30 minutes at room temperature to allow binding. The “bead binding assay volume” was approximately 1 ml in each case. Beads were continually agitated on a tube rotator. After 30 minutes of incubation at room temperature, beads were washed and pelleted by microcentrifugation at 3000 rpm for 1 min. The harvested beads were then either subjected to boiling in Laemmli buffer or were incubated with another protein to assess multiple binding of proteins to the L-selectin tail beads. In the latter case, the beads were incubated for a further 30 minutes at room temperature and then similarly washed prior to loading. Products were resolved by electrophoresis on 4-12% precast “NuPage®” gradient gels (Invitrogen). CaCl\(_2\) was included in some experiments at a final concentration of 1 mM. EGTA was added to a final concentration of 5 mM in other experiments.

Glycerol gradient sedimentation analysis

Approximately 100 \(\mu\)g of moesin FERM domain and CaM were layered (individually or mixed) on top of discontinuous glycerol gradients in a final volume of 200 \(\mu\)l in buffer A containing no glycerol. Discontinuous glycerol gradients (4.5 ml) were generated in polyallomer centrifuge tubes (Beckman). A 200 \(\mu\)l cushion containing 60% glycerol (in
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buffer A) was added to the base of the tube followed by 700 μl of decreasing concentrations of glycerol mixed in buffer A (i.e. 50%, 40%, 35%, 30%, 20% and 10%). Gradients were left to rest for approximately 1-2 hours at 4°C prior to loading of protein samples. Tubes were then placed in to a swing-out rotor (Beckman – SW55) and centrifuged for 21 hours at 55,000 rpm and 4°C. Fractions (200 μl) were collected from the bottom of each centrifuge tube. Each fraction (40 μl) was boiled in Laemmli protein loading buffer and resolved on precast 4-12% NuPage® gradient gels. Visualisation of resolved proteins was achieved by coomassie blue (R250) staining of polyacrylamide gels.

**DSS Chemical crosslinking**

DSS (Disuccinimidyl suberate – purchased from Pierce) is a homobifunctional chemical cross-linker. The final concentration of DSS used in each reaction was between 0.05 and 0.1 mM. Each cross-linking experiment was performed in a 25 μl final reaction volume containing 4.6 μM of CaM and/or moesin FERM domain, with or without a specified concentration of the L-selectin cytoplasmic tail peptide (see figure 5). The volume of cross-linker dissolved in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) was added to the reaction tube at a volume no greater than 0.625 μl. This equates to a maximum of 2.5 % (v/v) final DMSO content in each reaction. Control experiments using carrier alone (i.e. 0.625 μl DMSO) were conducted to verify that the amount of DMSO used had not affected the stability/electrophoretic mobility of moesin FERM domain, CaM or the L-selectin tail.

**SBED chemical cross-linking**

SBED (Sulfosuccinimidyl-2-[6-(biotinamido)-2-(p-azidobenzamido)hexanoamido]ethyl-1,3’-dithiopropionate) (Pierce) is a biotin tagged, thiol-cleavable, hetero-bifunctional chemical cross-linking reagent. It is composed of a 14.3 Å spacer arm that holds a sulfonated N-hydroxysuccinimide active ester group at one end, and a photoactivatable aryl azide reactive group at the other end (see supplementary figure 1). A thiol-cleavable bond is positioned centrally within the spacer arm. A biotin group is positioned between the thiol-cleavable bond and the aryl azide group (see supplementary figure 1). To create the ‘bait’ peptide, 0.1 mM of ‘No Weigh’ SBED reagent was added to 220 μM of L-selectin tail peptide in 25 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with occasional mixing. Excess uncoupled SBED reagent was separated from the biotinylated L-selectin tail peptide by loading the mixture on to a pre-equilibrated 5 mL D-Salt™ polyacrylamide de-salting column (1800 dalton exclusion limit) (Pierce) and the eluent collected. 10 x 0.5 mL volumes of 25 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl were then passed through the column and each 0.5 mL of flow eluent was collected into separate eppendorf tubes. The concentration of biotinylated L-selectin tail peptide within each fraction was then determined after measuring absorbance at 280nm. The L-selectin tail peptide concentration was estimated to be approximately 3.6 μM. The desired ‘prey’ proteins (8 μg of FERM and/or 4 μg of calmodulin) were added to 3.6 μM of ‘bait’ peptide and allowed to bind at room temperature for 1 hour with occasional mixing. For competition experiments, the first prey protein was added for 30 minutes before adding the second and incubating for a further 30 minutes. The bound proteins were then cross-linked to the bait peptide by UV exposure. Cross-linked products were then subjected to boiling in Laemmli buffer containing beta-mercaptoethanol to break the thiol bond within the spacer arm. This resulted in the transfer of biotin from the L-selectin tail peptide to its bound partner. Boiled samples were resolved on polyacrylamide gels, transferred on to PVDF for Western blotting. HRP-streptavidin (1:5,000) was used to identify the biotinylated reactants. The difference in molecular weight between CaM (18 kDa) and moesin FERM (30 kDa) enabled easy identification of the biotinylated products.

**Molecular modelling of moesin FERM/L-selectin/CaM complex**

Modelling was based on the known crystal structure of the moesin FERM domain and first long helix (PDB ID: 1E5W(22)), and the NMR structure of an extended form of CaM complexed a peptide belonging to the plasma membrane-associated calcium pump (PDB ID: 1CFF (23)). Docking of moesin FERM on to the L-selectin tail was based on the previously solved radixin FERM/ICAM-2 crystal structure (PDB ID: 1J19). R357A of L-selectin was aligned with R405 of ICAM-2 and used to orient the L-selectin tail on to the known crystal structure
of moesin FERM domain. The crystal structure of the ICAM-2/Radixin FERM domain complex (PDB ID: 1J19) (19) was also used to orient the moesin FERM domain (PDB ID: 1E5W). The extended NMR structure of CaM was then docked on to the L-selectin/moesin FERM complex, using the same domain of CaM that was bound to the membrane-associated calcium-pump peptide (as in the 1CFF structure). Manual adjustments were carried out to improve the fit between CaM, L-selectin and moesin FERM. The whole complex was then subjected to molecular dynamics simulation and energy minimisation, using various software that included AMBER99, YASARA and YAMBER2 force field software (24), leaving the RRLKK part of the L-selectin tail fixed and the remaining 12 amino acids of the tail free to move. The resultant complex has been included as a supplementary pdb file.

Minimisation and dynamics cycles were performed until the conformations of all three proteins remain unchanged, and none of the proteins were “ejected”. A low energy of the total complex was obtained from the dynamics simulation (approximately -28858 kcal/mol). All modelling was performed using MolIDE (25), Accelrys Discovery Studio and Yasra (26). A detailed breakdown of the hydrogen bonds can be found in supplementary figure 2.

**Cell culture, transfection, immunoblotting and immunofluorescence**

Cos-7 monkey fibroblast cell line was grown in DMEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% foetal calf serum (FCS) (Helena Biosciences, Sunderland, UK), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate (P/S) at 37°C, 10% CO₂. U937 human monocyte cell line was grown in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) containing 10% foetal calf serum and penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO₂.

For transfection, Cos-7 cells were plated the night before to reach 70-80% confluency the following day. Cells were then collected from triple-vent 14 cm dishes using trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen) and washed with 5 ml of cold electroporation buffer [120 mM KCl, 10 mM K₂PO₄/KH₂PO₄ (pH 7.6), 25 mM Hepes, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 0.5% Ficoll]. The buffer was removed and cells were resuspended in 250 μl of cold electroporation buffer and electroporated at 250 V and 960 mF (Bio-Rad electroporator) with 2 μg of DNA for each construct. 1 x 10⁶ U937 cells were transiently transfected using similar amounts of DNA, which was delivered using the MP-100 microrporator (LabTech, UK) and following the manufacturer’s instructions. Immunoblotting procedures have been previously described in detail (6).

Cos-7 cells were seeded on to sterile 13 mm diameter (“0” thickness) glass coverslips at a density of 3x10⁴ cells/ml and left to grow overnight before fixing and staining. The following day after microporation, U937 cells were washed and plated either on to poly-L-lysine (PLL)- or sialyl Lewis x (sLex)-coated glass coverslips. Binding to sLex-coated coverslips was performed at 37°C for 5-10 minutes. PLL-coated coverslips were made by applying neat PLL to the coverslip for 15 min at room temperature prior to aspiration. Coverslips were left to dry overnight at room temperature. Coating of glass coverslips with sLex was achieved by adding 50 μg per ml of NeutrAvidin™ (Pierce), dissolved in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS, to PLL and was left to bind under humidifying conditions for 1 hour at room temperature. Excess NeutrAvidin was aspirated, and the coverslip washed in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS, followed by a blocking step in 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) dissolved in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free PBS. Multivalent sLex-PAA (Glycotech) was then applied to the coverslip, and allowed to bind for 2 h under humidifying conditions. Excess sLex was aspirated and washed off prior to further blocking in 2% BSA.

All cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and permeabilised with 0.1% NP-40 substitute (Fluka), before blocking with BSA and staining with appropriate antibodies for immunofluorescence. Coverslips that were processed for FRET were further treated with 1 mg per ml of sodium borohydride dissolved in PBS for 10 min at room temperature before washing in PBS and mounting on to slides with fluorescent mounting medium (Dako Cytomation). For confocal analysis, images were captured using a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Welwyn Garden City, United Kingdom) running version 3.2 of the LSM acquisition software. Image processing was performed with Adobe Photoshop CS (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

**FLIM and FRET analysis**

Time-domain fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM) was performed with a multi-photon microscope system as described
(for details see (27,28)). Fluorescence lifetime imaging capability was provided by time-correlated single photon counting electronics (Becker & Hickl, SPC 700). A 40x objective was used throughout (Nikon, CFI60 Plan Fluor N.A. 1.3) and data were collected at 500 ± 20 nm through a bandpass filter (Coherent Inc. 35-5040). Acquisition times of the order of 300 s at low 890 nm excitation power were used to achieve sufficient photon statistics for fitting, while avoiding either pulse pile-up or significant photobleaching.

Data were analysed as previously described (27,29). The FRET efficiency is related to the molecular separation of donor and acceptor and the fluorescence lifetime of the interacting fraction by:

\[ \eta_{fret} = \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^6 \left( \frac{\tau_d}{\tau} \right) = 1 - \frac{\tau_{fret}}{\tau_d} \]

Where \( R_0 \) is the Förster radius, \( R \) the molecular separation, \( \eta_{fret} \) is the lifetime of the interacting fraction and \( \tau_d \) the lifetime of the donor in the absence of acceptor. The donor only control is used as the reference against which all other lifetimes are calculated in each experiment. \( \eta_{fret} \) and \( \tau_d \) can also be taken to be the lifetime of the interacting fraction and non-interacting fraction, respectively. Quantification was made from all pixels within each cell was analysed. All data were analysed using TRI2 software (developed by Dr Paul Barber, Gray Cancer Institute, London UK). Histogram data presented here are plotted as mean FRET efficiency from the stated n number of cells over 3 experiments, +/- s.e.m. ANOVA was used to test statistical significance between different populations of data. Each figure demonstrates the range of lifetime efficiencies per cell normalised for pixel intensity for each experimental condition.

**CaM-agarose pull down**

Approx 5 x 10^7 U937 cells were used per CaM pull-down assay. Cells were treated with or without 500 μM cantharidin for 30 minutes at 37°C. After treatment, the cells were washed once in 5 mL of PBS and subsequently harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet was then lysed on ice for 15 minutes in 1 mL of Lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl_2, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 10 mM NaF, 0.1 mM Na_3VO_4, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor cocktail, 1 % NP-40, 1 % ovalbumin and 25 mM calyculin A). Lysates were clarified for 10 minutes at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C. 30 μL of the clarified lysate was retained for Western analysis after boiling with 70 μL of 2x Laemmeli buffer. The remaining lysate was diluted to 10 mL using lysis buffer without NP-40 and added to 100 μL of CaM-agarose bead slurry (i.e. 50 μL of settled bead volume). Tubes were left to rotate for 2h at 4°C. Beads were washed at least four times to remove any unbound material. Beads were subsequently boiled in Laemmeli buffer prior to gel electrophoresis and Western blotting as previously described (9).

**RESULTS**

**Simultaneous non-competitive binding of CaM and moesin FERM to the tail of L-selectin**

A peptide comprising the 17 amino acid cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin was synthesised, coupled to sepharose beads (termed “L-selectin beads”), and used in pull down assays to determine if CaM and ERM binding could occur simultaneously (Figure 1A). L-selectin beads were saturated with or without recombinant purified CaM prior to incubation with increasing amounts of recombinant soluble moesin N-terminal domain (also termed band Four point one Ezrin/Radixin/Moesin - FERM). Binding of moesin FERM to the L-selectin beads remained unchanged irrespective of CaM pre-loading (Figure 1B), suggesting that moesin FERM binding was not compromised by CaM pre-loading. Similar results were obtained using ezrin FERM (data not shown), indicating that this interaction is relevant to other ERM family members. Reciprocal preloading of moesin FERM had little effect on the subsequent binding of CaM (Figure 1C), and EGTA did not alter the binding of either CaM or moesin FERM to the L-selectin beads, indicating that Ca^2+ was not required for binding (Figure 1C). These data imply that, despite the short length of the L-selectin tail, both CaM and ERM can bind simultaneously with the L-selectin tail via distinct non-overlapping binding sites. However, this observation did not fully exclude the possibility that pre-loading of one protein could encourage the other to bind indirectly. We therefore used sulfo-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl-2-(6-[biotinamido]-2-(p-azido benzamido)-hexanoamido) ethyl-1,3'-dithioproprionate (SBED), a thiol-cleavable heterobifunctional chemical cross-linker, to determine if both CaM and moesin FERM were binding directly to the L-selectin tail.
Successful transfer of biotin from the L-selectin tail to either CaM or moesin FERM can only occur as a consequence of direct binding (see supplementary figure 1 for details of cross-linking method). Western blotting of biotin-labelled proteins, using horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated streptavidin, revealed that both CaM and moesin FERM domain were labelled equally with biotin, irrespective of the order in which the proteins were mixed (Figure 1D). Further evidence of a 1:1:1 complex was demonstrated using disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS), a non-cleavable homobifunctional chemical cross-linker. In this approach, CaM and/or moesin FERM were mixed with L-selectin tail peptide in the presence of DSS cross-linker, resolved on polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF membrane. The CA21 monoclonal antibody, which recognises the membrane-distal portion of the L-selectin tail (see Figure 1A), was used in Western blotting to detect increases in molecular weight after cross-linking L-selectin to its binding partner. Increasing the amount of L-selectin tail peptide to the cross-linking reaction (containing fixed amount of DSS and either CaM or moesin FERM) led to a corresponding increase in molecular weight of the L-selectin tail peptide. This was suggestive of the L-selectin tail peptide and one of its binding partners forming a 1:1 complex (Figure 1E). Furthermore, addition of increasing L-selectin tail peptide to fixed mixtures of DSS, CaM and moesin FERM led to the emergence of a 50 kDa band, which was interpreted as a 1:1:1 complex between CaM (18 kDa), moesin FERM (30 kDa) and L-selectin tail peptide (approximately 2 kDa). Intriguingly, the CA21 monoclonal antibody readily detected the L-selectin peptide when complexed to both CaM and moesin FERM, suggesting that epitope recognition was not blocked. This suggested that binding of L-selectin to both CaM and ERM likely involved the membrane-proximal, and not the membrane-distal, region of the L-selectin tail peptide (Figure 1E).

**Protein structure prediction of the moesin FERM/L-selectin tail/CaM complex**

Model building demonstrated that moesin FERM, CaM and the tail of L-selectin are able to form a 1:1:1 complex (see materials and methods for details and Figure 2). Minimisation and dynamics cycles revealed that the complex was thermodynamically stable (circa -28858 kcal/mol – see supplementary pdb file of the complex). Moreover, residues within the L-selectin tail that contributed to moesin and CaM binding were non-competitive. Interestingly, top, side and bottom views of the complex showed that significant regions of L-selectin tail are exposed in the complex (Figure 2A). This configuration of binding could justify how the CA21 monoclonal antibody was able to recognise the membrane-distal portion of the L-selectin tail when simultaneously cross-linked to both moesin FERM and CaM (see Figure 1E). Molecular modelling supported the possibility that both the moesin FERM and CaM made a significant contribution to the heterotrimeric complex (Figure 2A). The model also revealed that regions A (or F1) and C (or F3) of the moesin FERM domain could make contact with CaM independently of L-selectin. Moreover, K278, which resides within the first alpha helix of moesin domain C (α1C), hydrogen bonded with K148 and M144 of CaM (see supplementary Figure 2 for full list of hydrogen bonds, and pdb of the model provided in supplemental material). This is of particular interest, as the structurally related α1C domain of band 4.1R has been previously shown to interact with CaM (30). Interestingly, specific amino acids within the moesin FERM domain that contacted the L-selectin tail had been previously characterised to form hydrogen bonds with the tails of other cell adhesion molecules such as PSGL-1, CD43 and ICAM-2 (18-20) (Figure 2D). The most striking similarity between these interactions was the involvement of β5C in binding to different cytoplasmic tails, suggesting that the FERM domain may only bind to a single cytoplasmic tail of a cell adhesion molecule at any given time. Previously characterised tail/ERM complexes have been shown to interact within a region between α1C and β5C of the FERM domain (18-20), however the interaction of L-selectin with the moesin FERM domain involved the β5C and β6C, and one residue (H288) from the α1C domain (Figure 2B and supplementary Figure 2). In summary, model building supports the notion that CaM, L-selectin and moesin FERM can form a heterotrimeric complex. Although this model provides a good visual representation of how CaM and ERM might interact non-competitively with the L-selectin tail, more experiments would be required (such as...
In vivo interaction of CaM, L-selectin and ezrin FERM

Based on our biochemical findings, we next sought to determine whether interactions between CaM, L-selectin and ERMs could occur in vivo. Co-expression of CaM-GFP, full-length moesin (HA-tagged) and WT L-selectin in Cos-7 cells revealed that all three proteins co-localised to membrane structures that resembled filopodial-like protrusions or microvilli (Supplementary figure 3). Much of the expressed CaM-GFP appeared to be nuclear, consistent with previous findings (31), but also localised to membrane projections (Supplementary figure 3). However, this approach could not address whether the three proteins were physically interacting with one another. To test whether FLIM could be used to study complex interactions between CaM, ERM and L-selectin, Cos-7 cells were transiently transfected with ezrin FERM-GFP together with WT L-selectin and CaM-monomeric cherry fluorescent protein (mCherry). FLIM was used to monitor FRET efficiency by measuring the fluorescence lifetime of the GFP (donor) molecule. The GFP fluorescence lifetime decreases when in close proximity (<10nm or 100 Å) to an acceptor fluorochrome, such as mCherry (for details see materials and methods). Therefore, a reduction in fluorescence lifetime of the GFP donor is a consequence of direct interaction (FRET) of the fluorescently tagged proteins. In vivo interaction between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP was expressed as “FRET efficiency”, as outlined in materials and methods.

The FRET efficiency detected between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP in Cos-7 cells did not increase significantly above the FRET efficiency seen with ezrin FERM-GFP (donor) alone (Figure 3A and B), clearly demonstrating that the two fluorescently tagged proteins could not interact in vivo. However, co-expression of L-selectin with CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP led to statistically significant increases in FRET efficiency (Figure 3C), suggesting that L-selectin was required for mediating the direct interaction between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP. In addition, expression of L-selectin induced a change in cell morphology, whereby transfected Cos-7 cells adopted a “hairy cell” phenotype. This is in keeping with previous reports, which have observed increases in microvillar size and density following the ectopic expression of ERM-binding membrane proteins such as CD44, CD43 and ICAM-2 (32) (see lower panel and inset in figure 3A). Live cell labelling of L-selectin was necessary because the anti-L-selectin antibody, DREG56, cannot recognise L-selectin from PFA-fixed specimens. DREG56 labelling of L-selectin is known to promote clustering (33), which led us to determine whether clustering of L-selectin was contributing to the FRET between ezrin FERM-GFP and CaM-mCherry. Control experiments were performed without labelling Cos-7 cells with DREG56, and triple transfectants were selected for FRET analysis on the basis of their transformed hairy cell phenotype (indicative of L-selectin expression). No significant increase in FRET efficiency was observed above background in the absence of labelling with DREG56 (Figure 3B, top panel, and C). This strongly implied that DREG56-dependent clustering of L-selectin was promoting the observed increase in FRET efficiency between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP. Furthermore, cross-linking of DREG56 with anti-mouse secondary antibody led to further increases in FRET efficiency (Figure 3B and C). The extent of clustering L-selectin was therefore directly correlated to the extent of FRET efficiency between CaM and ezrin FERM. Interestingly, replacing L-selectin with CD44 (which is known to bind ezrin but not CaM) failed to induce any increases in FRET efficiency, irrespective of clustering, suggesting that the interaction between CaM and ezrin FERM was facilitated specifically by L-selectin (Supplementary figure 4).

Expression of ezrin FERM-GFP and CaM-mCherry in U937 cells did not yield any significant increases in FRET efficiency above basal levels (Figure 4). In contrast, labelling U937 cells with DREG56 led to significant increases in FRET efficiency, which again rose in response to further cross-linking with anti-mouse secondary antibody (Figure 4). These results confirm that CaM/ERM interaction is driven by clustering of L-selectin in leukocytes, and is due to the continued binding of CaM and ERM to L-selectin. In contrast to Cos-7 cells, little change in the overall phenotype of U937 cells was seen in response to overexpressing L-selectin.
**CaM and ERM interact in vitro**

CaM has been previously shown to interact with at least two distinct regions of the band 4.1R FERM domain (30). Moreover, these regions are defined as Ca²⁺-dependent and Ca²⁺-independent binding sites. Sequence alignment revealed that these two regions had poor amino acid conservation with the ERMs, and it was concluded that CaM binding was limited specifically to the FERM domain of band 4.1R. However, since the crystal structures of all the ERM FERM domains have been solved, it is clear that these two CaM-binding sites are structurally conserved with band 4.1R. It was to this end that we explored whether recombinant purified moesin FERM could interact with recombinant purified CaM independently of the L-selectin tail. Non-linear glycerol gradient sedimentation analysis was used to determine if CaM and moesin FERM could interact in solution (Figure 5B). The relative sedimentation profiles of CaM and moesin FERM altered dramatically when mixed together (Figure 5B), suggesting that the purified proteins could interact in solution independently of the L-selectin tail. Molecular weight assignment proved difficult, as the CaM/moesin FERM complexes formed broad peaks in solution. DSS cross-linking followed by PAGE was therefore used to determine more precisely the size of complexes formed between moesin FERM and CaM. Equal concentrations (4.6 μM) of CaM, moesin FERM, or a mixture of the two, were subjected to increasing amounts of DSS. Incubation of DSS with CaM alone did not result in the formation of CaM multimers (Figure 5C), whereas trace amounts of moesin FERM dimers were observed upon treatment with DSS (arrowhead in Figure 5D). In contrast, higher ordered complexes readily formed when moesin FERM and CaM were incubated with increasing amounts of DSS (Fig. 5E). The emergence of a 50 kDa band strongly correlated with CaM/moesin FERM dimerisation (i.e. 18 kDa CaM plus 32 kDa moesin FERM). Similar results were obtained using the ezrin FERM domain (data not shown), again suggesting that the interaction could occur with all ERM members. Western blotting confirmed that the higher molecular weight complexes, in particular at 50 kDa, contained both CaM and moesin FERM (Figure 5F and G), suggesting that CaM and ERMs formed complexes independently of L-selectin.

CaM agarose beads were used to establish if endogenous ERMs could be precipitated from whole cell lysates of U937 cells. In leukocytes, ERM proteins have been shown to shuttle between active and inactive states, which can be negatively regulated by chemokines. ERM activation is induced by one of two ways; binding of the FERM domain to phosphatidyl inositol 4, 5, bisphosphate (PIP2) or by phosphorylation of a conserved threonine residue present in the C-terminus of all ERMs (34). Interestingly, activation of ERMs with calyculin A (a phosphatase inhibitor that dramatically increases C-terminal threonine phosphorylation of ERMs – see ref (35)) was required for effective precipitation of ERM from U937 cell lysates (Figure 5H). This suggests that the CaM-binding site lies within a region of the FERM domain that can be potentially masked by binding of the C-terminal ERM tail. Interestingly, this observation would be in keeping with the molecular model that predicts the involvement of moesin α1C binding to CaM, which is masked by the C-terminal tail of moesin in the folded conformation (21).

**Activation of ezrin increases binding to CaM, both in the presence and absence of L-selectin clustering**

FRET: FLIM was used to assess whether constitutive activation of ezrin could lead to increased binding with CaM in vivo. U937 cells were transfected with L-selectin, CaM-mCherry, and either full-length (WT) ezrin-GFP or full-length constitutively active (TD) ezrin-GFP (where the C-terminal threonine at position 567 is mutated to an aspartate). Additionally, using full-length ezrin-GFP provided an opportunity to determine if ezrin FERM-GFP was responsible for blocking interaction with CaM-mCherry, as seen in Cos-7 and U937 cells (Figures 3 and 4). Triple transfectants were seeded on to either sLex- or PLL-coated coverslips, which would promote L-selectin clustering, or not. Samples were then fixed in 4% PFA and analysed for FRET efficiency. The FRET efficiency between CaM-mCherry and full-length ezrin-GFP was modest in U937 cells plated on PLL. More importantly, FRET occurred independently of L-selectin clustering (Figure 6A and B), suggesting that full-length ezrin-GFP could partially restore FRET with CaM-mCherry in vivo. Moreover, co-expression of full-length TD ezrin-GFP in place of full-length WT
ezrin-GFP led to a further significant increase in FRET efficiency (Figure 6A and B), which corroborated with our in vitro findings that activation of ERMs increased binding to CaM (Figure 5H). Plating triply transfected U937 cells on to sLe"-coated coverslips led to even higher FRET efficiencies between full-length ezrin-GFP and CaM-mCherry (Figure 6C and D), suggesting that clustering significantly increased the ezrin/CaM interaction. These results confirm that activation of ezrin increases binding to CaM. These results also confirm that distancing GFP from the FERM domain facilitates interaction between CaM and ezrin, both dependently and independently of L-selectin clustering.

DISCUSSION
Understanding the dynamic interplay between the tails of cell adhesion molecules and their binding partners is of great importance, particularly within the context of understanding how adhesion and signalling are regulated during leukocyte recruitment. The data outlined in this report highlights the complex interactions that occur between L-selectin and associated binding partners under “resting” conditions, and when L-selectin is clustered with monoclonal antibody or multi-valent ligand (see Figure 7). Using a range of in vitro and in vivo approaches, the evidence provided in this report leads to the hypothesis that both CaM and ERM are associated with L-selectin in resting cells, and co-exist in a heterotrimeric complex. We have characterised an early intracellular event that occurs specifically in response to ligand-induced clustering of L-selectin. The fact that clustering of CD44 (in place of L-selectin) failed to induce FRET between CaM and ezrin suggests that this interaction could be unique to L-selectin, or to other cell adhesion molecules that bind both CaM and ERM. To date, L-selectin is the only cell adhesion molecule that has been characterised to bind both CaM and ERM. Given that clustering of L-selectin is known to promote integrin activation and increase surface expression of chemokine receptor, it is possible that the cis interaction between CaM and ERM may provide a necessary step in this mechanism. It is conceivable that abrogating CaM and ERM interaction in cis could represent a novel therapeutic target to block L-selectin-dependent leukocyte recruitment during chronic inflammation (36-38).

Our in vivo data suggest that GFP tagging of the ezrin FERM domain potentially destabilises the CaM/L-selectin/ERM heterotrimeric complex, forcing L-selectin to interact with either CaM-mCherry or ezrin FERM-GFP but not both. This would explain why FRET between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP was observed exclusively as a consequence of clustering L-selectin. In support of this, C-terminal tagging of full-length ezrin with GFP restored FRET with CaM-m-Cherry in the absence of clustering L-selectin (Figure 6A).

We had previously shown that PMA stimulation of primary murine lymphocytes leads to the differential activation of moesin and ezrin (6). Interestingly, ezrin activity appeared to be constitutive, whereas binding of moesin was regulated by PMA stimulation. Indeed, others have shown more recently that ezrin and moesin can behave non-redundantly in intact T-cells (39). We have not fully excluded the possibility that ezrin and moesin could behave non-redundantly in respect of binding to L-selectin. However, L-selectin-independent binding of moesin or ezrin to CaM appears to be redundant (Parsons, Killock and Ivetic, unpublished data). Further investigation is required to determine if ezrin and moesin compete for binding to L-selectin and/or CaM in vivo. Other factors such as the relative abundance of ERM and post-translational modification could dictate redundancy in binding.

Molecular modelling of the CaM/L-selectin/moesin FERM complex revealed that regions of the L-selectin tail was exposed. This approach has provided a very useful insight into how the heterotrimeric complex might be arranged in vivo, although further studies are required to validate the model. Furthermore, it reveals how the CA21 monoclonal is able to recognise the chemically cross-linked CaM/L-selectin/moesin FERM complex on Western blots (Figure 1). It is possible that the exposed face of the L-selectin tail seen in our molecular model could provide an available binding site for alpha-actinin, which is thought to also bind constitutively with the membrane distal portion of the L-selectin tail. Understanding how and if alpha-actinin forms a tertiary complex with CaM, L-
selectin and ERM will be the focus of future studies.

The biological significance of CaM/ERM interaction during L-selectin-dependent adhesion could be two-fold. Firstly, CaM/ERM interaction could provide structural support for L-selectin-dependent capture under flow. Binding of CaM to ERM in cis could stabilise activated ERM and increase association of L-selectin with the cortical actin cytoskeleton. This could restrict the lateral mobility of L-selectin within the plasma membrane to stabilise tether formation (40). Indeed, dimerisation/clustering of L-selectin enhances tethering under flow (41), reduces the detergent extractability of L-selectin (42), and reduces the net rolling velocity (43). Secondly, CaM/ERM binding in cis could promote signalling downstream of L-selectin engagement for subsequent integrin activation, or mobilisation of chemokine receptors (such as CXCR4) to the plasma membrane. Monoclonal antibody or ligand-induced clustering of L-selectin has been shown to activate the Ras/SOS pathway (44), which acts upstream of Rap1A to activate β1 and β2 integrins (45). Interestingly, CaM has been shown to interact with K-Ras (46), and activated ezrin is known to interact with guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), such as SOS (47). Recent proteomic analyses of leukocyte microvilli showed that K-Ras and Rap1A are enriched in microvilli, and would therefore be in the correct vicinity to receive signals downstream of L-selectin (48). Based on these findings, it is tempting to speculate that CaM and ERM, when bound to the same tail of L-selectin, could hold Ras and SOS sufficiently apart so that one cannot activate the other. Upon ligand-induced clustering, Ras and SOS could be brought together via the coalescence of neighbouring tails, which could trigger the pathway specifically during adhesion under flow (Figure 7). Furthermore, it is likely that activation of β1 or β2 integrins occur downstream of the Ras/SOS pathway, via Rap1A (49). The exchange factor CalDAG-GEF has received recent attention in regulating leukocyte arrest (3), although its involvement in L-selectin-dependent signalling has not been investigated. It is possible that L-selectin/CaM interaction may serve as a physical link between L-selectin and CalDAG-GEF, which could potentially facilitate integrin activation via Rap1A. Identifying proteins that can interact directly with a CaM/ERM dimer, or a CaM/L-selectin/ERM trimer, may hold promise for future attempts to isolate downstream signalling targets. Finally, a recent elegant study has shown that CD44/E-selectin-dependent leukocyte rolling along inflamed cremasteric venules induces clustering of L-selectin (50). However, it is not clear as to whether this outcome is due to outside-in signalling or inside-out signalling. Nonetheless, it is possible that clustering of L-selectin during CD44-dependent rolling provides an important signal(s) to trigger the transition from leukocyte rolling to arrest, which could be driven, in part, by L-selectin-dependent CaM/ERM interaction in cis.
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FIGURE LEGENDS:
Figure 1
Moesin FERM and CaM bind non-competitively with the cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin.
(A) Single letter amino acid sequence of the cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin. Black letters define the polybasic, membrane proximal domain. Box and ovals depict amino acid residues that have been previously shown to contribute to binding CaM and ERM, respectively (see references (5,6,9) for
more detail). Underlined region of the L-selectin tail marks the epitope recognised by the CA21 monoclonal antibody. (B) Coomassie stained polyacrylamide gel showing the relative binding of moesin FERM or CaM to the L-selectin beads, which were pre-saturated with (lanes 1-5) or without (lanes 6-9) CaM. 5 μg per ml of CaM was used to preload the beads prior to incubation with increasing amounts of moesin FERM domain. Coomassie-stained gels are representative of three independent experiments. (C) Binding of moesin FERM domain and CaM to the cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin is calcium-independent. The L-selectin beads were pre-loaded with either moesin FERM (lanes 1 and 2) or CaM (lanes 3 and 4). Pre-loaded beads were then incubated with CaM and moesin FERM, respectively. Binding reaction was supplemented either with (lanes 2 and 4) or without (lanes 1 and 3) 5 mM EGTA. Bound proteins were resolved on polyacrylamide gels and subsequently stained with coomassie blue. Gel is representative of three independent experiments. (D) Biotin transfer of SBED from the L-selectin tail to either moesin FERM domain or CaM is equal and independent of pre-mixing (see supplementary figure 1 and materials and methods for more information of SBED biotin transfer procedure). In brief, 3.6 μM of SBED-conjugated L-selectin tail was mixed with 4.6 μM of CaM and/or moesin FERM at room temperature for 30 min. In mixing experiments, a thirty minute gap was left between adding proteins, which was deemed ample time for the first protein to bind to the L-selectin tail. Left hand top and bottom panels represent polyvinylidenefluoride (PVDF) transfer membranes developed with 1 μg per ml of streptavidin-HRP. Right hand top and bottom panels represent the same PVDF membranes from the left hand panels, which were subsequently stained with coomassie blue to show relative abundance of CaM and moesin FERM used in the experiment (loading control), and is representative of three independent experiments. (E) Equal concentrations of CaM (4.6 μM) or moesin FERM (4.6 μM) were mixed individually or together with increasing amounts of soluble L-selectin tail peptide (i.e. 0, 1.72, 3.44, 6.88, 13.75, 27.50, 55, 110, 220 μM). Protein products were cross-linked with DSS, resolved on polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF membrane for western blotting with CA21 monoclonal anti-L-selectin tail antibody. Shifts in molecular weight of the L-selectin tail corresponded to the molecular weight of CaM (18 kDa), moesin FERM (30 kDa), or a mixture of the two (50 kDa). Arrow to the right of the molecular weight markers denotes the higher molecular weight complexes that likely correspond to a 1:1:1 stoichiometry between the tail of L-selectin, CaM and moesin FERM. Western blot is representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 2
Molecular modelling of the moesin FERM/L-selectin/CaM heterotrimeric complex.
The respective crystal and NMR structures of the moesin FERM domain (cyan) and calmodulin (purple) were used to model interactions with the cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin (gold - see materials and methods for full explanation of the procedure). (A) Images were rendered using POV-ray software to show molecular surface (hydrogen atoms were removed prior to rendering of the image). Top, side and bottom views of the heterotrimeric complex reveal that one side of the L-selectin tail is partly exposed. The model also reveals that interactions between CaM and the moesin FERM domain contribute substantially to the heterotrimeric complex. The cytoplasmic tail of L-selectin is marked with cyan and purple spots, which indicate the residues within the L-selectin tail that hydrogen-bond with moesin FERM and CaM, respectively (See supplementary figure S2 and supplementary pdb file for more detail). (B) Stereo view of the predicted L-selectin tail/moesin FERM interaction. Positions of the α1C, α5C and α6C are indicated in white lettering. The contacting residues in the moesin FERM domain are very similar to those previously described for CD43, PSGL-1 and ICAM-2 (see refs (18-20). Predicted H-bonds between the L-selectin tail and moesin FERM are marked by red dashed lines, which are indicated by the red arrows. Blue and black lettering indicate the amino acid residues involved in forming putative H-bonds between moesin FERM and L-selectin, respectively.

Figure 3
FLIM reveals that FRET between CaM-mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP is dependent on L-selectin clustering. (A) Upper panel - GFP donor lifetime of fluorescence was determined in Cos-7 cells expressing ezrin FERM-GFP (termed N-ezrin-GFP) alone. Middle panel - expression of CaM-
mCherry and ezrin FERM-GFP in Cos-7 cells did not alter the FRET efficiency between the two fluorescently tagged proteins. Bottom panel - co-expression of CaM-mCherry, ezrin FERM-GFP and L-selectin led to significant increases in FRET efficiency that was also detected at cell protrusions (inset). (B) L-selectin-positive cells were identified as possessing a hairy phenotype. FRET analysis was performed on cells that were also positive for mCherry and GFP expression. Labelling of L-selectin with DREG56 was routinely performed on live cells, as DREG56 does not recognise L-selectin from fixed specimens. Cy5-conjugated donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody was subsequently used to locate DREG56 staining on 4% PFA-fixed cells. Cross-linking with Cy5-conjugated donkey anti-mouse secondary (2ary XL) antibody was performed on live cells immediately after removal of excess DREG56. (C) Histogram of data pooled from at least 15 cells over three different experiments. Statistical significance is based on FRET from transfectants expressing CaM and ezrin FERM-GFP (* $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.005$). Lifetime is shown as a pseudocolour scale of blue (high lifetime) to red (low lifetime=FRET).

**Figure 4**
CaM/ezrin interaction in response to L-selectin clustering is readily observed in monocytes. (A) CaM/ERM interaction was monitored as before, but in U937 cells. Cells were microporated with plasmids encoding ezrin FERM-GFP (N-Ezrin-GFP), CaM-mCherry, along with WT L-selectin as stated in materials and methods. Cells were labelled in suspension (on ice) with or without DREG56 antibody, followed by further cross-linking with or without Cy5-conjugated donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody. Cells were then plated on to PLL-coated coverslips and placed in to a 37°C incubator for approximately 10 min. Cells were subsequently fixed in 4% PFA. (B) Histogram depicts data from experiment in (A). Data was pooled from a total of at least 15 cells. Statistical significance is based on cells expressing ezrin-GFP and CaM-mCherry (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.005$). Lifetime is shown as a pseudocolour scale of blue (high lifetime) to red (low lifetime=FRET).

**Figure 5**
CaM and ERM interact independently of the L-selectin cytoplasmic tail. (A) Ribbon stereo side view of the CaM/L-selectin/moesin complex, which was adapted from the model shown in Figure 2A. Amino acid residues belonging to the extended alpha helix were removed, as GFP was tagged to lysine 296 of the ezrin FERM domain. Distance between the C-terminal ends of moesin FERM and CaM is approximately 31 Å. The green and red dots represent the relative positions of where GFP and mCherry were tagged. (B) Individual sedimentation profiles of recombinant purified CaM, moesin FERM, and a mixture of the two are shown. LMW = low molecular weight (i.e. top of tube), HMW = high molecular weight (i.e. bottom of tube). Gels are representative of three independent experiments. (C-E) A range of DSS concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 mM) were incubated with fixed concentrations of CaM (4.6 μM) (C), moesin FERM (4.6 μM) (D), or a mixture of the two (E). Slight shifts in electrophoretic mobility of CaM and moesin FERM are seen, which is due to intramolecular cross-links caused by DSS treatment. Secondary structure of protein is expected to persist, even during boiling in protein loading buffer. Cross-linking was performed at room temperature for 45 min. Protein samples were resolved on 4-12% gradient gels and visualised by Coomassie Blue staining. Black arrowhead shows the position of dimeric moesin FERM domain. Bracket to the right-hand-side of the polyacrylamide gel in (D) and (E) denotes the position of higher molecular weight complexes that formed upon cross-linking CaM with moesin FERM. These coomassie stained gels are representative of three independent experiments. Western blotting of CaM (F) and moesin FERM (G) confirmed that both CaM and moesin FERM were present in chemically cross-linked high-ordered complexes. White asterisks correspond to relative positions of CaM/FERM dimer and tetramer. Immunoblots are representative of three independent experiments. (H) CaM agarose beads were used to precipitate p-ERMs from whole cell lysates of U937 cells that were pre-treated with 25 nM of the phosphatase inhibitor, calyculin A, which increases p-ERM levels (35). Left lane in each panel represents the fraction of ERMs from unstimulated cell extracts. Right hand lane in each panel is from calyculin A-treated cell extracts. Bottom panel shows that CaM agarose beads selectively precipitated p-ERMs from calyculin A-treated extracts.
Figure 6
Binding between CaM and full-length ezrin occurs in vivo, which increases upon L-selectin clustering. U937 monocytes were microporated with plasmids encoding full-length ezrin-GFP (either WT or TD ezrin-GFP), CaM-mCherry and L-selectin. Cells were then split and seeded on to either PLL (A and B) or sLe\(^\alpha\) (C and D) for 5 minutes under static conditions at 37°C, fixed in 4% PFA, and subsequently processed for FRET analysis. The histograms to the right in (B) and (D) are representative of 15 cells analysed over three different experiments. Statistical significance is based on comparison with cells expressing GFP alone (* \(p < 0.01\) ** \(p < 0.005\)). Lifetime is shown as a pseudocolour scale of blue (high lifetime) to red (low lifetime=FRET).

Figure 7
Clustering of L-selectin induces interaction between CaM and ERM in cis.
Based on our in vitro and in vivo experiments, it appears that CaM, L-selectin and ERM likely form a heterotrimeric complex under “resting” conditions. Molecular modelling has also supported the possibility of this configuration (see Figure 2). In addition, CaM and ERM may function to hold K-Ras and SOS sufficiently apart, so that K-Ras is not activated. It should be appreciated that GFP tagging of the ezrin FERM domain likely disrupts the heterotrimeric complex from forming. In contrast, GFP tagging of full-length ezrin partially restored the heterotrimeric complex, as shown in Figure 6. Engagement of L-selectin with multi-valent ligand induces clustering of L-selectin. The persistent binding of CaM and ERM with the L-selectin tail suggests that Ras and SOS could potentially unite in cis and mediate downstream signalling. Examples include the activation of \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\) integrins, mobilisation of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 to the plasma membrane and the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).
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