AAA+ chaperone ClpX has been suggested to be a modulator of prokaryotic cytoskeletal protein FtsZ, but the details of recognition and remodeling of FtsZ by ClpX are largely unknown. In this study, we have extensively investigated the nature of FtsZ polymers and mechanisms of ClpX-regulated FtsZ polymer dynamics. We found that FtsZ polymerization is inhibited by ClpX in an ATP-independent manner and that the N-terminal domain of ClpX plays a crucial role for the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization. Single-molecule analysis with a high-speed atomic force microscopy directly revealed that FtsZ polymer is in a dynamic equilibrium between polymerization and depolymerization on a timescale of several seconds. ClpX disassembles FtsZ polymers presumably by blocking reassembly of FtsZ. Furthermore, *Escherichia coli* cells overproducing ClpX and N-terminal domain of ClpX shows filamentous morphology with abnormal localization of FtsZ. These data together suggest that ClpX modulates FtsZ polymer dynamics in an ATP-independent fashion, which is achieved by interaction between the N-terminal domain of ClpX and FtsZ monomers or oligomers.

ClpX is a member of Clp/Hsp100 class of AAA+ (ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities) family proteins (1). A hallmark of the AAA+ proteins is the formation of hexameric ring structure with a central pore in an ATP-independent or dependent manner. ClpX forms a complex with the tetradecameric protease component, ClpP, and the complex ClpXP degrades various cellular proteins (2). ClpX consists of an N-terminal domain and the following AAA+ domain. The N-terminal domain recognizes specific substrate proteins, while the AAA+ domain transmits energy of ATP to mechanical action like unfolding and translocation of substrate proteins (3). The N-terminal domain of ClpX is essential for degradation of some proteins including MuA and λO, but not for the degradation of other substrate proteins, such as SsrA-tagged proteins (4). Furthermore, the N-terminal domain itself forms a dimer and has a potential role in quality control of substrate proteins like general chaperones (4).

FtsZ, a structural homologue of eukaryotic cytoskeleton protein tubulin, is an essential protein and plays a key role in bacterial cell division (5-7). FtsZ polymerizes into filaments by the head-to-tail association of individual protomers at midcell, which functions as the scaffold for assembly of at least a dozen of cell division proteins, and a ring-like large protein complex (Z-ring) is subsequently formed. As in the case of tubulin, polymerization of FtsZ is triggered by the GTP-binding (8-10). In vitro, FtsZ assembles into several polymeric forms such as single straight or curved filaments, bundlees, and sheets, depending on the experimental conditions (8-14). The observation that the expression level of FtsZ is constant in cells at various growth phases suggests that Z-ring formation is primarily regulated at the level of FtsZ assembly. To date, several proteins regulating FtsZ dynamics have been identified (7). For example, in *Escherichia coli*, inner membrane proteins FtsA and ZipA collaborate in anchoring of FtsZ to membrane and thus stabilize the Z-ring (15,16). In contrast, MinC and SulA inhibit FtsZ assembly (17,18).
By a proteomic approach, approximately 50 proteins including FtsZ have been identified as candidates of the ClpX substrate, but how ClpX recognizes FtsZ and roles of ClpX in the fate of FtsZ are unclear (2). Recently, it has been reported that, in Bacillus subtilis, ClpX inhibits FtsZ polymerization in vivo and in vitro in an ATP-independent manner but does not degrade it (19,20). However, molecular mechanisms of regulating FtsZ monomer-polymer dynamics are still largely unknown. More recently, it has been reported that, in E. coli, ClpX disassembles FtsZ polymers in cooperation with ClpP by degrading polymers preferentially rather than monomers and that ClpX revealed no significant activity to inhibit FtsZ polymerization (21). These controversial results might be accounted for by the difference in bacterial species and/or experimental conditions, but further studies are required to evaluate these differences.

In this report, using E. coli systems, we examined the nature of FtsZ polymers and roles of ClpX in regulation of FtsZ polymer dynamics. We demonstrated that high-speed atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a superior method for visualizing FtsZ filament dynamics. ClpX significantly shifts FtsZ dynamic equilibrium from polymer to monomer and the N-terminal domain of ClpX has a potential activity to inhibit FtsZ polymerization. Based on our biochemical and cell biological evidence, we propose novel molecular mechanisms for remodeling of FtsZ polymer dynamics by ClpX.

Experimental Procedures

Bacterial strains and plasmids– E. coli strains used in this study (Table S1) were grown at 37°C in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics (40 μg/ml kanamycin and 50 μg/ml ampicillin). The plasmids used in this study are also listed in Table S1. The ftsZ gene was amplified from E. coli JM109 and cloned into pET-15b (Novagen) and pGFPuv (Clontech) plasmids using NdeI and BamHI restriction sites and SphI and XbaI restriction sites, respectively. The ftsZΔC encoding FtsZ residues 1-316, clpX encoding ClpX residues 1-424, clpX-N encoding ClpX residues 1-60, or sspB genes were also amplified from E. coli JM109 and cloned into pET-28b plasmid using NdeI and BamHI restriction sites. DNA fragment encoding SsrA-tag was cloned into downstream of gfpuv in pGFPuv. DNA sequences of the cloned genes were confirmed.

Purification of proteins– E. coli BL21(DE3) was transformed with pET15-FtsZ, pET28-FtsZΔC, pET28-ClpX, pET28-ClpXΔN, pET28-ClpX-N, pET28-SspB, pGEX-ClpP (provided by Dr. S. Hishida), or pUHE-ClpB (provided by Dr. B. Bukau). E. coli KY2266 (22) was transformed with pGFPuv-SsrA.

E. coli cells overproducing FtsZ or FtsZΔC were grown at 37°C in LB medium containing 50 μg/ml ampicillin or 40 μg/ml kanamycin, respectively, and expression of the FtsZ variants was induced by the addition of isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) (1 mM), followed by incubation at 37°C for 3 h. Cells from 2 l culture were harvested by centrifugation and suspended in 100 ml of buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 400 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% (w/v) glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Nakalai). After sonication on ice, cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000×g for 1 h at 4°C, and the supernatant was loaded to a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with buffer A containing 50 mM imidazole, and recombinant proteins were then eluted using 50-500 mM imidazole gradient in buffer A. To remove contaminated GTP or GDP, eluted fractions were further purified by 35% ammonium sulfate precipitation and HiTrap DEAE column (GE Healthcare) chromatography using 0-500 mM NaCl gradient in buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, 10% (w/v) glycerol). Each fraction containing FtsZ or FtsZΔC was stored separately at −80°C.

E. coli cells overproducing ClpX, ClpXΔN, ClpX-N, or SspB were grown at 30°C in LB medium containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin to an OD600 = 0.5, and then IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM. After an additional incubation for 2 h at 30°C, cells were harvested.
and suspended in buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM imidazole, 0.01% Triton X-100, 10% (w/v) glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail. After sonication on ice, cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000×g for 1 h at 4°C, and the supernatant was loaded to a HisTrap HP column. The column was washed with buffer C containing 50 mM imidazole, and recombinant proteins were then eluted using 50-500 mM imidazole gradient in buffer C. The eluted fractions were diluted more than 10-fold into buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 20% (w/v) glycerol). After sonication on ice, cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000×g for 1 h at 4°C, and the supernatant was loaded to a GSTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with buffer D, and recombinant proteins were eluted using 100-1,000 mM NaCl in buffer D. Each fraction containing recombinant proteins was stored separately at −80°C.

E. coli cells overproducing GST-fused ClpP (GST-ClpP) were grown at 37°C in LB medium containing 50 μg/ml ampicillin, and expression of GST-ClpP was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG, followed by incubation at 30°C for 3 h. Cells were harvested and suspended in buffer E (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 10% (w/v) glycerol). After sonication on ice, cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000×g for 1 h at 4°C, and the supernatant was loaded to a GSTrap HP column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with buffer E, and recombinant proteins were then eluted with buffer E containing 10 mM reduced glutathione. The eluted fractions containing GST-ClpP were treated with PreScision protease (BD Bioscience) at 4°C for 12 h to remove a GST moiety. ClpP was further purified by a HiTrap Q column chromatography using 0-500 mM KCl gradient in buffer F (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol). Fractions containing ClpP were concentrated with Amicon Ultra-30 (Millipore) and stored at −80°C.

GFP-SsrA was overexpressed in E. coli KY2266 (22). Cells were suspended in buffer G (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA), and disrupted by sonication. Subsequently, GFP-SsrA was purified by HiTrap Q column chromatography using 0-1 M NaCl gradient in buffer G. Fractions containing GFP-SsrA were pooled and stored at −80°C.

ClpB was purified as previously described (23).

Protein concentrations were determined by measuring band intensities in sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gels. All protein concentrations are given for protomers.

**FtsZ polymerization assays** - In light scattering assay, FtsZ (12 μM) was preincubated in buffer H (40 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 5 mM MgCl₂, 175 mM NaCl, 0.02% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol) at 30°C for several minutes to correct a baseline. Polymerization was started by the addition of 5 mM GTP. Light scattering was continuously recorded every 10 sec using a Jasco FP-750 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Jasco Corporation) with both excitation and emission wavelengths set to 500 nm with 5-nm slit widths. Light scattering of ClpX (indicated concentrations) was also monitored with time after the addition of ClpX.

In sedimentation assay, polymerization of FtsZ (6 μM) or FtsZΔC (6 μM) was initiated in buffer H by adding 5 mM GTP. If required, ClpX (6 μM), ClpXΔN (6 μM), ClpX-N (1.5-12 μM), ClpB (6 μM), ClpP (14 μM), SspB (6 μM), and ATP (5 mM) were added. After incubation at 30°C for 15 min, the reaction was immediately ultracentrifuged at 4°C in a Beckman TLA 100 rotor (Beckman-Coulter) at 100,000 rpm for 30 min. Processing the pellet for protein estimation and SDS-PAGE was performed as described earlier (24). Band intensity on the gel was estimated using ImageQuant (GE Healthcare).

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)** - FtsZ polymers were prepared as mentioned above, fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde, placed on a glass slide and air-dried at room temperature. The samples were coated with platinum and observed using a Keyence VE-9800 scanning electron microscope (Keyence Co.).

**High-speed AFM** - The laboratory-built tapping mode high-speed AFM apparatus, which has been developed by Dr. Ando's group in Kanazawa.
University (25), was used in this study. The cantilever (Olympus) has a resonant frequency ~1 MHz in water and a spring constant of 0.1-0.2 N/m. An amorphous carbon tip was grown on the original cantilever tip by electron beam deposition (26). For high-speed AFM observation, FtsZ (6 μM) was incubated in buffer H at 30°C for 5 min. If required, GTP (5 mM) and ClpX (6 μM) were supplemented. A droplet (2 μl) was deposited on a freshly cleaved mica surface, incubated for 3 min at room temperature, and washed with buffer H. AFM observation was then performed under buffer H minus Triton X-100. ClpX (6 μM) was incubated in buffer I (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM KCl) at 30°C for 5 min. A droplet (2 μl) was deposited on a freshly cleaved mica surface, incubated for 3 min at room temperature, and washed with buffer I. AFM observation was then performed under buffer J (40 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl₂). Chemical crosslinking- FtsZ (6 μM) and ClpX (6 μM) were incubated at 30°C for 10 min in buffer J in the absence and presence of the indicated nucleotides. Proteins were then crosslinked with 0.1% glutaraldehyde at 30°C for 20 min. The reactions were quenched by the addition of equal volume of 2×SDS sample buffer (150 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol). Proteins were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and visualized with CBB staining. Here, polyacrylamide gradient gels (SuperSep 3-10% gel, Wako) were used to separate proteins with a broad range of molecular masses. FtsZ depolymerization assay- After polymerization of FtsZ (12 μM) and FtsZΔC (12 μM) in buffer H supplemented with GTP (5 mM) at 30°C for 20 min, ClpX (6 μM) was added to the mixture. The reactions were then analyzed by sedimentation assay. In the absence of GTP, almost all FtsZ were retained in the supernatant fraction, while, in the presence of GTP, FtsZ was found predominantly in the pellet fraction (Fig. 1A). Kinetics of FtsZ polymerization revealed that FtsZ polymerized immediately after the addition of GTP and the polymers were stable for at least 30 min under the condition used (Fig. S1). Rapid increase in the population of FtsZ polymers is consistent with the result of light scattering analysis (data not shown). Protein degradation- FtsZ (3 μM) and GFP-SsrA (3 μM) were degraded by ClpXP (ClpX, 6 μM; ClpP, 14 μM) in buffer K (25 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.6), 100 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA) at 30°C for 120 min. Protein degradation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE with CBB staining. Estimation of substrate degradation was performed using ImageQuant (GE Healthcare). Fluorescence microscopy- E. coli MC4100(DE3) (27) was transformed with pET-28b, pET28-ClpX, pET28-ClpXAN, or pET28-ClpX-N. These transformants were inoculated to LB media containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin and cultured at 37°C overnight. Cell morphologies were observed by fluorescence microscopy with a phase-constant mode. Since leaky expression of ClpX variants was enough to show abnormal morphologies, no IPTG was supplemented into the cultures. To examine the localization of FtsZ, pFtsZ-GFPuv and either pET-28b or pET28-ClpX were simultaneously introduced into E. coli MC4100(DE3). These transformants were cultured in LB media containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin and 50 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C overnight. As high level of FtsZ-GFPuv expression induces filamentous cells, no IPTG was supplemented into the cultures. Cells were then fixed with methanol and stained with 4,6-diamidine-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (28). Localization of FtsZ-GFPuv and nucleoids were observed under fluorescence microscopy with GFP and DAPI filters, respectively.

**RESULTS**

FtsZ polymerizes into fibers in the presence of GTP. GTP-dependent polymerization of purified FtsZ was confirmed by sedimentation assay. In the absence of GTP, almost all FtsZ were retained in the supernatant fraction, while, in the presence of GTP, FtsZ was found predominantly in the pellet fraction (Fig. 1A). Kinetics of FtsZ polymerization revealed that FtsZ polymerized immediately after the addition of GTP and the polymers were stable for at least 30 min under the condition used (Fig. S1). Rapid increase in the population of FtsZ polymers is consistent with the result of light scattering analysis (data not shown). We also observed the structure of GTP-induced FtsZ polymers by SEM and detected networks of
filaments (Fig. 1B) as previously demonstrated by transmission electron microscopy (24).

*FtsZ polymers undergo dynamic conformational changes.* The AFM is a powerful tool to capture high-resolution images of biological samples in liquids without any fixation chemicals unlike electron microscopy. However, the conventional AFM takes at least 30-60 sec to capture an image, while dynamic biomolecular processes occur on a millisecond timescale or less. FtsZ polymers are also known to be in a dynamic equilibrium, and subunits within the polymer are rapidly exchanged on a timescale of 7 sec (29). Therefore, it had been impossible to capture the movements of FtsZ polymers by the conventional AFM. Here, we applied a recently developed method, high-speed AFM, which enables us to visualize conformational changes of native proteins directly in solution on much smaller timescales (30-60 ms) (25).  

First, we compared AFM images of FtsZ between in the presence and absence of GTP. In the absence of GTP, small particles with different shapes were detected (Fig. 2A). Based on the result of chemical crosslinking that FtsZ predominantly formed monomers (data not shown), FtsZ monomers seemed to be adsorbed on mica. In contrast, in the presence of GTP, networks of long filaments containing single straight, curved and bundled filaments were detected (Fig. 2C). The heights of FtsZ filaments (average 5.2 nm, Fig. 2D) were similar to those of monomers and dimers (average 4.4 nm, Fig. 2B), suggesting that FtsZ monomers and dimers assemble into a single filament by longitudinal interaction.

Next, to ask whether FtsZ polymers undergo dynamic conformational changes, we continuously captured AFM images with a fast scan rate (~1 frame/sec). As shown in Fig. 3 and S2, we succeeded to detect directly conformational changes of FtsZ polymers. Some straight filaments gradually curved, and others laterally associated into bundles. Partial dissociation and reassembly of filaments were also detected (Fig. 3 and S2). To our knowledge, this is the first vivid visualization of conformational changes of FtsZ polymers on a second timescale using a high-speed AFM.

**ClpX forms heterogeneous oligomers.** Prior to investigating the effect of ClpX on FtsZ polymerization *in vitro*, we analyzed oligomeric state of purified ClpX. In the absence of nucleotides, light scattering signal of ClpX increased in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4A), indicating that ClpX forms large oligomers under the condition used.

To investigate the oligomeric state of ClpX in detail, we conducted a glutaraldehyde-crosslinking assay. As shown in Fig. 4B, smear bands around the position of dimers and hexamers were predominantly detected in the absence of nucleotides. Interestingly, hexamers were obviously detected in the presence of non-hydrolyzable analog of ATP, adenosine 5′-O-thiotriphosphate (ATP$_\gamma$S), rather than in the presence of ATP or ADP. In addition, in the presence of nucleotides, the amount of dimer was reduced compared with that in the absence of nucleotides, and large oligomers were concomitantly detected around the position of wells (Fig. 4B). These observations are consistent with a previous report showing that ClpX showed heterogeneously sized particles with no obvious regularity and that the addition of ATP$_\gamma$S to ClpX produced a more uniform particle with a size corresponding to hexamer (30).

Structure and size distribution of ClpX molecules were also evaluated by AFM. ClpX was observed as heterogeneous globular particles with a size distribution ranging from 3 to 50 nm in height and 2 to 33 nm in width (Fig. 4C), indicating that ClpX exists as heterogeneously sized particles ranging from monomer to large oligomer. The result of chemical crosslinking also showed similar heterogeneous oligomeric states of ClpX (Fig. 4B).

**ClpX inhibits FtsZ polymerization.** Light scattering assay is a useful method to analyze the time course of FtsZ polymerization *in vitro*. However, because ClpX itself shows a large light scattering (Fig. 4A), this method was not employed to investigate the ability of ClpX to modulate the FtsZ assembly. Therefore, we conducted a sedimentation assay and evaluated band intensities of the sedimented FtsZ to quantify
the formation of polymers. After polymerization at 30°C for 15 min, the reaction was centrifuged at 100,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C, and the pellet fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE. When the amount of polymerized FtsZ in the presence of GTP is defined 100%, the addition of ClpX to the reaction reduced it to approximately 20% (Fig. 5A and B). Surprisingly, ATP slightly but significantly inhibited the activity of ClpX to prevent FtsZ polymerization (Fig. 5A and B). The addition of ATP did not affect FtsZ polymerization itself. In addition, ATP/γS also reduced the activity of ClpX, while ADP did minimally, indicating that an ATP-bound form of ClpX is ineffective for inhibition of FtsZ polymerization. Thus, henceforth ATP was not added to reactions. To ask whether the effect on FtsZ polymerization is unique for ClpX, those of ClpB (another AAA+ chaperone), ClpP (protease component of ClpXP) and SspB (adaptor protein of ClpXP) were tested. ClpB, ClpP and SspB slightly inhibited FtsZ polymerization but their effects were negligible levels (Fig. 5C and S3). These results indicate that FtsZ polymerization is specifically inhibited by ClpX. As SspB, which stimulates degradation of SsrA-tagged substrates by ClpXP (31), did not show significant inhibition of FtsZ assembly, an FtsZ-recognition mode of ClpX is distinct from that of SsrA-tagged substrates by ClpX and SspB.

To examine how ClpX prevents FtsZ polymerization, structures of FtsZ were visualized by AFM. In the presence of GTP, many filamentous structures were detected as shown in Fig. 2C, 3 and 5D. In the simultaneous presence of GTP and ClpX, no obvious long-filament was detected but small particles were observed (Fig. 5D). Here, ClpX dimers and FtsZ monomers/dimers were not distinguishable. Taking into consideration that no obvious filament was detected, ClpX inhibits the longitudinal assembly of FtsZ at the initial step, in which binding of FtsZ protomers occurs.

The N-terminal domain of ClpX plays a crucial role in the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization. N-terminal domains of several AAA+ chaperones are known to function in the substrate recognition and acts as a primary binding site. The N-terminal domain of ClpX also plays a crucial role in the recognition of specific target proteins, including MuA and λO (4). In contrast, it does not play a role for the recognition of some other substrates such as GFP-SsrA (4). To address whether the N-terminal domain of ClpX is involved in the recognition of FtsZ, we generated a ClpX mutant, ClpXΔN, lacking N-terminal domain and examined its effect on FtsZ polymerization (Fig. 5C). Compared with wild type ClpX, ClpXΔN showed very weak inhibition, suggesting that the N-terminal domain is responsible for the recognition of FtsZ. A recent report revealed that ClpXΔN, together with ClpP, showed a reduced but still significant ability to degrade FtsZ (21), suggesting that the AAA+ domain of ClpX has a weak ability to recognize and unfold FtsZ.

To examine the possibility that the N-terminal domain of ClpX has a capacity to bind to FtsZ and to inhibit FtsZ polymerization, we constructed and purified a ClpX mutant, ClpX-N, composed of the N-terminal domain. ClpX-N critically inhibited FtsZ polymerization in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5E), suggesting that the N-terminal domain of ClpX plays a primary role in the regulation of FtsZ dynamics and that the AAA+ domain is not essential for this function. It is interesting to mention that when the reactions were conducted with the same concentrations of ClpX-N and ClpX (6 μM as monomers) ClpX-N showed a stronger inhibitory effect on FtsZ polymerization than ClpX did (Fig. 5B and E). This is likely due to differences in the number of N-terminal domains accessible for FtsZ, which might be limited by formation of hexamers and oligomers in the case of ClpX (Fig. 4B).

ClpX recognizes a C-terminal moiety and other parts of FtsZ. The C-terminal unstructured region of FtsZ (residues 328-383) is recognized by several FtsZ modulators, such as FtsA, MinC, and ZipA (15-17). Furthermore, it has recently been reported that this region is important for degradation of FtsZ by ClpXP (21). To ask whether the C-terminal region of FtsZ is essential for the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX, we generated an FtsZ mutant, FtsZΔC, lacking the
C-terminal residues 317-383. FtsZΔC polymerized in the presence of GTP (Fig. 6A) similarly to wild type FtsZ (Fig. 1A). Polymerization of FtsZΔC was inhibited by ClpX (Fig. 6B). Compared with the case of wild type FtsZ (Fig. 5A and B), the level of ClpX-mediated inhibition of FtsZΔC polymerization was markedly low (Fig. 6B), indicating that the C-terminal region of FtsZ has a substantial role for the recognition by ClpX but it is not essential for the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization.

ClpX blocks reassembly of FtsZ polymers. Because FtsZ is in a dynamic equilibrium between polymerization and depolymerization as shown by high-speed AFM (Fig. 3 and S2), we assumed that ClpX can affect the oligomeric state of preformed FtsZ polymers. The FtsZ polymers were formed in the presence of GTP at 30ºC, and then incubated with ClpX as described in Experimental Procedures. As expected, ClpX significantly reduced the amount of FtsZ polymers as well as FtsZΔC polymers (Fig. 7), showing again that the C-terminal region of FtsZ is not required for ClpX-mediated inhibition of FtsZ reassembly as in the case of the prevention of the initial step of FtsZ assembly (Fig. 5). It should be emphasized that no energy of ATP hydrolysis was required for this reaction, indicating that binding to FtsZ is enough to block the assembly and reassembly of FtsZ and that this activity does not contain an energy-consuming process such as protein unfolding and translocation.

ClpX slightly unfolds FtsZ. Recently, it has been reported that E. coli ClpXP degraded FtsZ more rapidly in the presence than in the absence of GTP, suggesting that ClpXP preferentially degrades FtsZ polymers rather than monomers (21). In addition, ClpX-mediated inhibition of FtsZ polymerization was not observed (21). Based on these results, they proposed that ClpXP may participate in cell division by modulating the equilibrium between monomeric and polymeric FtsZ via degradation of FtsZ polymers. In contrast, it has been reported that, in B. subtilis, ClpX does not degrade FtsZ and that ClpX regulates FtsZ polymer dynamics by preventing FtsZ polymerization (19). Our present results mentioned above are consistent with the latter result, but contribution of FtsZ degradation (or unfolding) by ClpXP (or ClpX) to the regulation of FtsZ polymer dynamics remains to be elucidated. Therefore, we reassessed FtsZ degradation by ClpXP using E. coli proteins.

As shown in Fig. 8, ClpXP showed a weak activity to degrade FtsZ. The degradation rate of FtsZ was approximately 2-fold faster than that of FtsZΔC, indicating that the C-terminal region of FtsZ is important for the proteolysis by ClpXP as recently reported (21). However, the rate of FtsZ degradation in the presence of GTP, the condition favoring polymers, was similar to that in the absence of GTP, the condition favoring monomers; this is inconsistent with the results of Camberg et al. (21). Although the reason for this discrepancy is unclear, it might be due to the difference in the experimental conditions and the methods to detect the degradation of FtsZ. More importantly, the degradation of FtsZ by ClpXP (10% degradation in 2 h) was quite slower than that of GFP-SsrA (80% degradation in 2 h) under the conditions used (Fig. 8), suggesting that contribution of FtsZ unfolding by ClpX to the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX is a negligible level (15 min incubation, Fig. 5A and B).

Overexpression of ClpX induces cell division defect and inhibits the Z-ring formation. Since normal assembly and localization of FtsZ are deeply related to correct cell division (32), we examined the effect of ClpX overexpression on the cell division and Z-ring formation in E. coli (Fig. 9). Cells harboring a vector showed typical rod shape, whereas ClpX-overexpressing cells showed many filaments (Fig. 9A), indicating that overexpression of ClpX leads to the defect in cell division. Next, we investigated the effect of overexpression of ClpX variants, including ClpXΔN and ClpX-N. ClpXΔN-overexpressing cells showed some elongated cells but rod-type cells were mainly observed (Fig. 9A). The difference of cell morphology between ClpX-overexpressing and ClpXΔN-overexpressing cells was not due to the different expression levels, since similar amounts of these proteins were detected by SDS-PAGE. In contrast, ClpX-N-overexpressing cells showed
long filaments (Fig. 9A), suggesting that the reason ClpX-overexpression leads to cell division defect is not the depletion of endogenous FtsZ by proteolytic activity of ClpXP. In addition, only a few elongated cells were observed when ClpB and SspB were overexpressed (Fig. S4). These results of the in vivo experiments are highly consistent with those of the in vitro experiments (Fig. 5).

Next, in order to check the Z-ring formation in vivo, we introduced two plasmids to express ClpX and FtsZ-GFP into E. coli cells. This enables us to visualize the localization of FtsZ in vivo. Without ClpX-overexpression, typical rod cells with the proper Z-ring were detected. In contrast, when ClpX was overexpressed, no Z-ring was observed in filamentous cells (Fig. 9B). Note that almost all FtsZ colocalized with nucleoid due to unknown reasons.

Taken together, these results indicate that overexpression of ClpX inhibits the assembly of FtsZ in vivo, leading to defective cell division.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we examined molecular mechanisms of remodeling of E. coli cell division protein FtsZ by AAA+ chaperone ClpX. We observed that ClpX shifts the dynamic equilibrium of FtsZ from polymers toward monomers, working at the initial step of FtsZ assembly. In this function, the N-terminal domain of ClpX plays a crucial role in the recognition and remodeling of FtsZ in vitro and in vivo. A C-terminal region of FtsZ in part contributes to inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX as well as degradation of FtsZ by ClpXP.

*High-speed AFM is a superior method for visualizing FtsZ filament dynamics.* In this report, we used a high-speed AFM to visualize FtsZ polymer dynamics on second time scales. Previously, it has been reported that single FtsZ filament dynamics were visualized with an AFM taking images every 2 min (14). However, because the timescale of FtsZ filament dynamics is much shorter than 2 min (29), an AFM with higher time-resolution was desired to visualize FtsZ filament dynamics. Using a high-speed AFM, we found that FtsZ is in a dynamic equilibrium between monomers and polymers and that those FtsZ polymers undergo dynamic conformational changes such as curvature and bundling (Fig. 3 and S2). Previous reports suggested that curvature (12) and depolymerization of FtsZ filaments (8,9) are triggered by GTP-hydrolysis from experimental data with nucleotide analogs. In contrast, a recent study demonstrated that formation of curved filaments is independent of GTP-hydrolysis (14). Although mechanisms of conformational changes of FtsZ driven by GTP-binding and -hydrolysis are still unclear (33), our present approach using a high-speed AFM has the great potential to provide novel insights into dynamics of GTP-dependent assembly and conformational changes of FtsZ. We are now challenging to visualize the initial process of FtsZ polymerization, ClpX-mediated FtsZ depolymerization, and ClpXP-mediated FtsZ degradation.

**Effect of ATP on ClpX activity to regulate FtsZ polymer dynamics.** Previously, it has been reported that ATP-binding but not ATP-hydrolysis enhances the activity of B. subtilis ClpX to inhibit FtsZ polymerization, since the addition of ATP, ADP, and ATP$_\gamma$S equally exhibited positive effects on it (19). Recently, by the same group it has been reported that a ClpX mutant (E182A), which is defective in the ATP hydrolysis, showed a reduced ability to inhibit FtsZ polymerization in vitro and thus it has been speculated that ATP hydrolysis is required for maximum inhibition (20). Therefore the significance of ATP-hydrolysis remains to be elucidated. Based on the previous finding that, at least, ATP-binding has a role for modulation of the ClpX activity (19), they expected that ATP binding triggers the hexamer formation of ClpX, leading to a maximum activity of ClpX. However, they did not show oligomeric state of B. subtilis ClpX under the conditions tested. More importantly, if ATP affects the oligomer formation of ClpX, light scattering assay is not available to evaluate FtsZ polymerization in the presence of ClpX, since ClpX oligomerization and the formation of complexes between FtsZ and ClpX severely affect light scattering signal of FtsZ polymers. Therefore, we alternatively employed a sedimentation assay in this study.
We found that the N-terminal domain of ClpX has a major role for regulating FtsZ polymer dynamics in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 5 and 9). This is highly correlated with the finding that, for inhibition of FtsZ polymerization, ClpX does not require ATP and energy-consuming steps such as protein unfoldng and translocation (Fig. 5) (19).

To be surprised, ATP and ATPγS reduced the ability of ClpX to inhibit FtsZ polymerization (Fig. 5); this is inconsistent with the previous report by Weart et al. (19). Based on our data with E. coli proteins, we propose a possible explanation for the effect of ATP on ClpX activity to inhibit FtsZ assembly: ATP-binding induces conformational changes of ClpX leading to unsuitable structures of N-terminal domain of ClpX for binding to FtsZ. Actually, binding and/or hydrolysis of ATP in AAA+ domain of ClpX induces a large movement of the N-terminal domain (34). Because the N-terminal domain of ClpX forms dimer (4) and has an ability to inhibit the FtsZ assembly, large oligomer formation in the presence ATP or stable hexamer formation in the presence of ATPγS (Fig. 4) would lead to the reduction of the freely accessible N-terminal domain of ClpX. A recent finding that a mutation into Walker B motif (E182A) reduced the ClpX activity to inhibit FtsZ assembly in vitro (20) can also be accounted by our proposed molecular mechanism, since the ATP-hydrolysis mutant, ClpX (E182A), might be kept in a state of ATP-bound hexamer. Taking into consideration that negative effect of ADP was smaller than that of ATP and ATPγS, the ADP-bound but not ATP-bound ClpX is in a preferred conformation for FtsZ-recognition.

**ClpX recognizes the C-terminus and other parts of FtsZ.** To date, several FtsZ modulators have been identified in E. coli. MinC, one of the negative regulators for FtsZ assembly, recognizes a C-terminal unstructured region of FtsZ (Fig. S5) (17). FtsA and ZipA, which collaborate in anchoring FtsZ to membrane and stabilize the Z-ring, also interact with the C-terminal region of FtsZ (Fig. S5) (15,16). SulA, a cell division inhibitor of the SOS response that prevents FtsZ assembly and facilitates FtsZ depolymerization, interacts with the C-terminal domain of FtsZ (Fig. S5) (18). In the case of FtsZ degradation by ClpXP, the C-terminal unstructured region of FtsZ is important for the recognition by ClpX (Fig. 10) (21). Similarly, the C-terminal region of FtsZ acts as a recognition signal for the inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX (Fig. 7), but other parts of FtsZ are also recognized by the N-terminal domain of ClpX. Previously, it has been reported that the N-terminal domain of ClpX preferentially recognizes several signal sequences containing hydrophobic residues (34). Based on their results, we searched for ClpX-recognition signals in FtsZ and found several candidates (Fig. S5). When the sequences buried inside the folded structure are eliminated, we identified only three sequences (Fig. S5). Interestingly, all of them are located in the structures forming binding interfaces between FtsZ protomers. It is therefore reasonable to speculate that ClpX recognizes one or more regions facing toward neighbor protomers.

**Action of ClpX toward preformed FtsZ polymers.** Interestingly, we found that ClpX can act on preformed FtsZ polymers (Fig. 7). Based on the current finding that FtsZ is in a dynamic equilibrium between monomers and polymers (Fig. 3 and S2), ClpX seems to inhibit reassembly of FtsZ monomers and short filaments rather than to disassemble or sever FtsZ polymers. In eukaryotes, it has been shown that AAA family proteins spastin and katanin disassemble and sever taxol-stabilized microtubules, the polymer of α/β-tubulin dimers, in vitro (35,36). However, taking into consideration that tubulins are also in a dynamic equilibrium between monomers and polymers (37) like FtsZ, spastin and katanin may have the potential to shift the equilibrium toward monomers from polymers by inhibiting assembly and reassembly of α/β-tubulin dimers in a manner similar to ClpX-mediated inhibition of FtsZ polymerization. Recently, we have reported that the N-terminal followed by the AAA domain of SPAS-1, the spastin homolog in Caenorhabditis elegans, interacts with α/β-tubulin dimers (38). It is interesting to mention that the effect of AAA+ protein on the protein polymerization has been demonstrated by using yeast prion polymers. Both *in vivo* and *in vitro* data suggest that Hsp104
disassembles amyloid-like aggregates of Sup35, Ure2, and Rnq1 (39-41). Importantly, similar to our findings, these effects of Hsp104 are also modulated by its N-terminal domain (42).

Molecular mechanisms for the remodeling of FtsZ polymer dynamics by ClpX. Based on our data, we propose molecular mechanisms for the remodeling of FtsZ polymer dynamics by ClpX (Fig. 10). FtsZ is in a dynamic equilibrium between monomers and polymers (Fig. 3 and S2), which is triggered by GTP-binding/hydrolysis and GDP/GTP exchange. In the absence of ATP, ClpX forms predominantly dimers (Fig. 4) and binds to FtsZ monomers or small oligomers. The binding is achieved by the interaction between the N-terminal domain of ClpX and C-terminal and other parts of FtsZ. Importantly, an effective inhibition of FtsZ polymerization is provided only by binding of ClpX with FtsZ. Similarly, ClpX interacts with spontaneously depolymerized FtsZ, including variety of oligomeric structures ranging from monomers to small oligomers, and subsequently prevents reassembly of them. If FtsZ assembly is unidirectional, ClpX binding to either end of FtsZ filament is enough to inhibit the short filament reassembly. In contrast, if it is bidirectional, binding to both ends is required for strong inhibition. In the presence of ATP, ClpX unfolds FtsZ monomers but the efficiency of FtsZ unfolding by ClpX is very low. Alternatively, ClpX forms hexamers and large oligomers (Fig. 4), and hence freely-accessible N-terminal domains of ClpX are limited, resulting in a decrease in the ClpX activity to suppress FtsZ polymerization.
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FIGURE LEGENDS
Fig. 1. GTP-dependent assembly of FtsZ into filaments. A. FtsZ (6 μM) was polymerized in the absence (−) and presence (+) of 5 mM GTP and separated by ultracentrifugation into supernatant (Sup) and pellet (Pel) fractions. FtsZ in each fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and CBB-staining. B. A SEM image of FtsZ filament is shown. A scale bar is given as 1 μm.

Fig. 2. AFM images of FtsZ monomers and polymers. A. An AFM image of FtsZ in the absence of GTP is shown. B. Height of FtsZ at the white-lined cross-section in (A) was measured. C. An AFM image of GTP-triggered FtsZ polymers is given. D. Height of FtsZ at the white-lined cross-section in (C) was measured. Scale bars in (A) and (C) are given as 100 nm.

Fig. 3. High-speed AFM images of FtsZ polymers. A. Raw AFM images of FtsZ polymers taken at the indicated times. There are many single filaments, bundles and curved filaments. B. To emphasize dynamic nature of filaments, raw images in (A) are shown in gray scale and selected filaments are colored in red. A straight filament was curved, disassembled, reassembled, and bundled under the observation. A scale bar is given as 100 nm.

Fig. 4. Oligomeric state of ClpX. A. Light scattering of ClpX (indicated concentrations) in the absence of nucleotide was measured as described in Experimental Procedures. B. ClpX (6 μM) was incubated in the absence and presence of the indicated nucleotides (5 mM) at 30°C for 10 min and then crosslinked with 0.1% glutaraldehyde at 30°C for 20 min. After quenching the reaction by the addition of Tris-HCl-containing buffer, proteins were analyzed with an SDS-3-10% gradient polyacrylamide gel. The molecular sizes in kilodaltons are given at the left. The positioning of monomer, dimer, hexamer and large oligomers is given. C. AFM images at two magnifications are shown. Scale bars are given as 100 nm. D. Width (X-axis) and height (Y-axis) of ClpX molecules (n = 120) in (C) and other frames were measured and plotted.

Fig. 5. Inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX. A. FtsZ (6 μM) was polymerized in the presence of GTP with or without ClpX and the indicated nucleotides. FtsZ polymers were collected by ultracentrifugation and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. B. Band intensities of FtsZ polymers in (A) were quantified as described in Experimental Procedures. C. Degrees of GTP-induced FtsZ polymers formed in the absence and presence of the indicated chaperones (6 μM per monomer) are given. D. Inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by ClpX-N is visualized by AFM. AFM images of FtsZ, in the absence and presence of GTP, and ClpX are also shown. All scale bars are given as 100 nm. E. A dose-dependence of ClpX-N in inhibition of FtsZ assembly is examined. The induced monomer concentrations of ClpX-N were added to the FtsZ polymerization reaction. In (B, C and E), level of FtsZ polymers formed in the presence of GTP was defined 100%. All polymerization reactions were started by the addition of 5 mM GTP. Data from at least 3 replicates are presented as means ± standard error of the mean.

Fig. 6. Inhibition of GTP-dependent polymerization of FtsZΔC. A. GTP-dependent polymerization of FtsZΔC was confirmed as shown in Fig. 1A. B. Inhibition of FtsZΔC polymerization by ClpX was examined as shown in Fig. 5A. The quantified values of FtsZΔC polymers in the absence and presence of ClpX are indicated at the bottom. Data from at least 3 replicates is presented as means ± standard error of the mean.

Fig. 7. Depolymerization of FtsZ polymers mediated by ClpX. FtsZ and FtsZΔC (12 μM each) were polymerized by the addition of GTP and then unsupplemented (−) or supplemented (+) with ClpX. After
the depolymerization reaction, depolymerized (and/or unpolymerized) and polymerized FtsZ were separated by ultracentrifugation and analyzed by SDS-PAGE as described in Experimental Procedures. The positioning of FtsZ and FtsZΔC is given.

**Fig. 8.** Degradation of FtsZ by ClpXP. A. FtsZ monomers, preferentially formed in the absence of GTP (closed circles), and polymers, preferentially formed in the presence of GTP (open circles), were degraded by ClpXP for 120 min. To address the importance of C-terminal region, FtsZΔC (closed triangles) was also analyzed. As positive controls, GFP-SsrA (open triangles) was degraded by ClpXP in the absence of GTP. At the indicated times, an aliquot of each reaction was taken and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. B. The band intensities of the substrate proteins were quantified using ImageQuant.

**Fig. 9.** Effects of ClpX overexpression on *E. coli* cell morphology and Z-ring formation. A. Cell morphologies of vector control (Control), ClpX-overexpressing (ClpX), ClpXΔN-overexpressing (ClpXΔN) and ClpX-N-overexpressing (ClpX-N) cells are shown. Protein expression profile of each transformant is analyzed by SDS-PAGE with CBB-staining and attached to the right of each panel. An arrow indicates the position corresponding to each overexpressed protein. B. To visualize localization of FtsZ, the FtsZ-GFPuv expression plasmid was co-transformed with the empty plasmid or ClpX-expression plasmid into *E. coli* MC4100(DE3). DNA was stained with DAPI. Fluorescence of DAPI and GFPuv were captured by fluorescent microscopy with the respective filters. Merged pictures of DAPI, GFPuv, and phase contrast are also shown. A significant Z-ring is indicated by an arrow. All scale bars are given as 10 μm.

**Fig. 10.** Proposed molecular mechanisms for the remodeling of FtsZ polymer dynamics by ClpX. FtsZ is in a GTP-dependent polymer dynamics. GTP-binding triggers FtsZ polymerization, while hydrolysis or release of GTP induces depolymerization. Reassembly of the disassembled FtsZ filaments and/or monomers is induced by GDP/GTP exchange or GTP rebinding. ClpX binding to FtsZ monomers or short polymers results in prevention of assembly and reassembly of FtsZ. In the absence of ATP, ClpX predominantly forms dimers or small oligomers, and many N-terminal domains of ClpX seem to be accessible for FtsZ. Therefore, strong inhibition of FtsZ polymerization is archived. In the presence of ATP, ClpX forms hexamers or more large oligomers. Some of them can slightly unfold FtsZ monomers or polymers by using the energy from ATP hydrolysis, but its contribution to prevention of FtsZ assembly would be a negligible level. Alternatively, FtsZ-accessible N-terminal domains in ClpX hexamers and large oligomers are limited, and thus inhibition of FtsZ polymerization is weakened.
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