CALCIUM AS A CRUCIAL COFACTOR FOR LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN FOLDING IN THE ENDOPLASMIC RETICULUM
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Running title: Calcium and LDL receptor folding and structure

The family of low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptors mediate uptake of a plethora of ligands from the circulation and couple this to signaling, thereby performing a crucial role in physiological processes including embryonic development, cancer development, homeostasis of lipoproteins, viral infection, and neuronal plasticity. Structural integrity of individual ectodomain modules in these receptors depends on calcium, and we showed before that the LDL receptor folds its modules late after synthesis, via intermediates with abundant non-native disulfide bonds and structure. Using a radioactive pulse-chase approach, we here show that for proper LDL receptor folding, calcium had to be present from the very early start of folding, which suggests at least some native, essential, coordination of calcium ions at the still largely non-native folding phase. As long as the protein was in the ER, its folding was reversible, which changed only upon both proper incorporation of calcium and exit from the ER. Co-evolution of protein folding with the high calcium concentration in the ER may be the basis for the need for this cation throughout the folding process even though calcium is only stably integrated in native repeats at a later stage.

Introduction

The low density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor family is involved in essential physiological processes, including lipoprotein metabolism, embryonic development, cell migration, neuronal plasticity, and homeostasis of various (effector) molecules (1). They were originally found to function as receptors, regulating the concentration of their ligands by binding and endocytosis (2), but they also play an increasingly recognized role in signal transduction, coupling cargo transport to signaling (3,4). The LDL receptor family has a modular structure, containing an assortment of LDL-A repeats, epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats with beta-propeller, a single transmembrane domain, and a small cytosolic tail (5-7).

The LDL receptor mediates uptake of LDL from the circulation via receptor-mediated endocytosis, and mutations cause familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), characterized by elevated levels of plasma LDL that eventually will lead to premature cardiovascular disease (8).

The LDL-A repeat is not limited to the LDL receptor family. Over 6,800 sequences and >500 related protein architectures have been found as of January 2010 (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk), which often function in ligand binding and release. The receptor for Rous sarcoma virus for instance contains an LDL-A repeat (9), as do complement components and various proteases. The LDL receptor binds apoB, apoE, and RAP via its seven LDL-A repeats (LR1 through LR7) (10-12). The structural motif of the LDL-A repeat is a complement-like ligand binding module stabilized by three disulfide bonds (13), which forms a beta-hairpin structure followed by a series of beta turns.
Structural integrity is imparted by calcium binding to the C-terminal one of two loops (14). The EGF-repeats form a two-stranded beta-sheet followed by a loop to a C-terminal short two-stranded sheet, with three conserved disulfide bonds in a different arrangement than the LDL-A repeats (5,6). They can also bind calcium but may not need it for structural integrity (15). In the LDL receptor two of the three EGF-repeats bind calcium, with one of the calcium ions non-canonically bound between the repeats (15,16). The EGF region in the LDL receptor is important for acid-triggered ligand release, which is coupled to a decrease in Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity (6).

Folding of the LDL receptor and its individual domains, as well as their calcium dependence has been the subject of many studies (17). Although all LDL-A sequences share >40% identity, calcium binding sites and disulfide bonds are completely conserved, and although structures (as far as determined) are similar, reports on the role of calcium in folding and function show little consensus. Most studies involve in vitro refolding of individual LDL-A repeats of the LDL receptor family, and show different characteristics of calcium binding, ranging from the absolute need of calcium for proper refolding (9) to a need only for ligand binding (18). A study in intact cells with the LDL receptor related protein (LRP) minireceptor (containing eleven LDL-A repeats and nine EGF repeats) showed that LRP needs calcium to leave the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (19), indicating that calcium is required for proper folding.

The ER not only is the compartment where secretory proteins and cell surface proteins start their life and where they fold (20), but it also is the major calcium storage compartment of a eukaryotic cell. Determination of the precise concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) inside the ER has proven to be a difficult task. The reported values for its total Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration vary from as low as 5 \(\mu\)M (21) and 200-500 \(\mu\)M (22,23) to even 2 mM (24). These differences can be explained by the techniques used for measuring Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations (25). Many ER resident proteins involved in protein folding bind calcium through high affinity sites, often with additional low affinity binding sites (26).

The LDL receptor family's need for calcium for its function is clear from previous studies (14,27) but detailed insight in calcium incorporation during folding and its requirement for structural integrity in vivo is lacking. We have set up an in vivo folding assay for the LDL receptor (28) in which we addressed these issues.

We showed before that in intact cells the individual modules of the LDL receptor do not fold independently, but first collapse into folding intermediates characterized by long-distance non-native disulfide bonding and absence of native structure (28). This is indicative of cooperative folding of the repeats. Our findings would predict calcium to be needed only late in folding, after the non-native phase, and long after the protein's synthesis. We therefore set out to revisit the role of calcium for folding and structure of the full-length LDL receptor in vivo, and to determine timing of calcium incorporation during folding, its reversibility, and its importance for structural integrity in the intact cell.

We found that the LDL receptor indeed did not adopt its proper conformation without calcium. As long as the protein was in the ER, it misfolded without calcium, but it also lost already native structure when calcium was removed from folded LDL receptors. Resistance to misfolding induced by calcium depletion was only acquired in the Golgi complex and beyond. The LDL receptor did not show reversibility of misfolding: once misfolded due to lack of calcium, even at very early folding stages, rescue was not possible anymore. We concluded that the LDL receptor required calcium throughout its folding process, even though proper incorporation and formation of native epitopes occur much later.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cells and antibodies-** The human cervical carcinoma cell line HeLa was cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) supplemented with non-essential amino acids, 2 mM glutamax, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml streptomycin, and 10% FCS. CHO15B cells were cultured in alpha-MEM with 2 mM glutamax, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml streptomycin, and 8% FCS. Cells were maintained at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO\(_2\).

Monoclonal antibodies C7 (29), 6B2, 7H2, 5G2, and 6E2 (30), directed against LDL-A repeats 1 (2x), 3, 5, and 7, respectively (29,30), and the polyclonal antisera raised against the LDL receptor (121) (28) or influenza virus proteins.
including the hemagglutinin (31) have been described before.

**DNA constructs**—The LDL receptor cDNA sequence expressed from pBluescript (pBS-LDLR) or pcDNA3 (pcDNA3-LDLR) was derived from a pGEM LDL receptor (pGEM-LDLR) construct kindly provided by M.M. Jorgensen. PcDNA3-LDLR-P678L was derived from pBS-LDLR-P678L, which was a kind gift of Drs S. Fouchier and J. Defesche (Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam).

**Transfection and infection**—HeLa cells at 30-40% confluence were transfected with pcDNA3-LDLR using polyethylene-imine (PEI) (32) in medium containing 5% FCS. Transfections were performed in 6-cm dishes with 4 µg of DNA in a total volume of 2.5 ml medium containing 5% FCS. The transfection medium was replaced after 4 h with complete medium for an additional 20 h before pulse-chase experiments. CHO15B cells were infected with X31 influenza virus as described before (33), 5 h before pulse-chase experiments.

**Pulse-chase analysis**—Pulse chase-based folding assays were performed as described before (28,34). To deplete calcium from the ER, 5 µM of the calcium ionophore A23187 (ICN) (35) was added to calcium free starvation, pulse, and chase media or 100 nM of the Ca²⁺-ATPase inhibitor thapsigargin (ICN) (36) was added to calcium containing starvation, pulse, and chase media. For the experiment in figure 2a 200 nM thapsigargin was used. Cells were pulse-labeled for 5 min (for LDL receptor experiments) or 2 min (for influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) studies) with 125 µCi/ml of Redivue Promix ³⁵S cell labeling mix (Amersham). After various chase times, cells were treated with 20 mM N-ethylmaleimide to block free sulphydryl groups and prevent any further disulfide bond formation. LDL receptor expressing cells were lysed in 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 2.2% DMSO whereas HA-expressing cells were lysed in 0.5% Triton X-100 in 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MES, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5. All lysis buffers contained 20 mM NEM, 10 µg/ml each of chymostatin, leupeptin, antipain and pepstatin and 1 mM PMSF. For calcium depleted samples the lysis buffer did not contain calcium. After pelleting and removing the nuclei, cell lysates were used for immunoprecipitation as described (28,34).

**Immunoprecipitation**—Protein A-Sepharose beads (Amersham), antisera and cell lysates were incubated for at least 1 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were washed twice with wash buffer (for the LDL receptor 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.6; for HA 0.05% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6), resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8 with 1 mM EDTA, and heated to 95°C for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer (200 mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 3% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA and 0.004% bromophenol blue, final concentrations). One half of each sample was reheated for 5 min at 95°C in 25 mM DTT. NEM was added to a concentration of 100 mM to both reduced and non-reduced samples at room temperature, which then were analyzed by 6% (LDL receptor) or 7.5% (HA) SDS-PAGE.

**DTT resistance assay**—A pulse-chase experiment was performed as above, except that after each chase time, cells were incubated in chase medium containing 10 mM DTT for an additional 5 min.

**Results**

Calcium is required for native disulfide bond formation during LDL receptor folding. In vitro refolding studies showed that formation of native disulfide bonds in the ligand binding repeats of the LDL receptor is closely related to calcium incorporation into these repeats (1,37). Because the LDL receptor acquires its native repeats only late in folding (28), we set out to examine whether and if so, when and how calcium is important for LDL receptor folding in the intact cell. We used two agents to deplete the ER for calcium: thapsigargin, which causes leakage of Ca²⁺ from the ER by inhibition of the ER Ca²⁺-ATPase (SERCA) pumps (36) and A₂₃₁₈₇, an ionophore widely used to dissipate Ca²⁺ gradients in vivo (35). Whereas A₂₃₁₈₇ equalizes calcium levels of both ER and cytosol with those in the medium, thapsigargin depletes the ER of calcium but as a result may increase calcium levels in cytosol. Similar results obtained with both drugs
therefore must be due to the lowered calcium concentration in the ER, and not because of pleiotropic effects.

HeLa cells overexpressing the LDL receptor were starved for fifteen minutes, pulse-labeled for five minutes and chased for up to two hours in the presence of either thapsigargin, the calcium ionophore A23187, or DMSO as control (figures 1 and 2). Detergent lysates were precipitated with polyclonal antibody 121 (figures 1a,b,d, and 2a,e), which recognizes fully reduced, partly folded, as well as native LDL receptor molecules (28) or with a series of monoclonal antibodies (C7, 6B2, 7H2, 5G2, and 6E2) that recognize epitopes located in 4 out of the 7 LDL-A repeats that form the ligand binding region of the LDL receptor (figures 1c and 2a). These monoclonal antibodies only recognize their epitopes when the correct disulfide bonds are formed and calcium is bound (29,30).

Newly synthesized LDL receptor molecules acquire their native conformation in the ER and run at 120 kD on reducing SDS-PAGE (28) (figure 1b, lanes 1-6, ER). After exit, they are O-glycosylated in the Golgi complex to a form that runs at 160 kD before moving to the plasma membrane (28,38) (figure 1b, lanes 4-6, G). After two hours of chase in the presence of calcium, almost half of LDL receptor molecules had reached the Golgi complex (figure 1b, lane 6).

When these samples are analyzed under non-reducing conditions to uncover changes in disulfide bonding, the LDL receptor first folds into a compact protein that contains non-native disulfide bonds between cysteines far apart in the polypeptide chain (figure 1a, lane 1), and lacks native epitopes in the ligand-binding repeats (figure 1c, lane 1; Jürgen Gent, IB, personal communication) (28). During isomerization of these transient non-native disulfide bonds into shorter-loop-forming native bonds, the LDL receptor becomes more extended, decreases SDS-PAGE mobility (figure 1a, lanes 2-6) (28), and acquires its folded repeats: LR1 becomes and 6B2- and C7-positive (28) (figure 1c, lanes 1-7 and figure 2a), and the other repeats attained their epitopes as well (figure 2a, lanes 3-6). The protein thus folds in two phases: the first phase leads to a compact non-native form, and in a subsequent reshuffling phase the individual repeats form while the wrong disulfides are replaced by the native ones (as schematically represented in figure 3, control).

In the absence of calcium, a slightly larger fraction of LDL receptor molecules misfolded into disulfide-linked aggregates, but the monomeric ones moved to the Golgi complex with similar or even higher rates (figures 1a,b). They were not properly folded, however, because both the ER and Golgi forms ran with higher mobility than in the presence of calcium, demonstrating the existence of non-native disulfide bonds between cysteines far apart in the polypeptide chain (figures 1a,d). The ER hence released misfolded LDL receptor molecules. The first phase of folding appeared similar with and without calcium (figure 1d, lanes 1-3), but after the initial collapse the calcium-depleted molecules barely changed mobility with time (figure 1a, lanes 7-18 and figure 1d) and they never acquired a properly folded LR1, LR3, LR5, or LR7 repeat (figure 1c, lanes 7-18 and figure 2a, lanes 2-6 and 8-12, compare control with thapsigargin). This implies that proper folding of the LDL receptor in the ER required calcium, as seen before in vitro (39-41). The cartoon in figure 3 depicts the events in vivo.

The A23187 treatment not only changed folding of the LDL receptor, but also its O-glycosylation (figure 1d, lanes 4-6), most likely by influencing the activity of glycosylation enzymes in the Golgi complex. Similarly, A23187, but not thapsigargin, slightly inhibited trimming of N-linked glycans on Golgi-localized HA (not shown). We used HA as control for the effect of calcium depletion on the ER, because its folding depends on the calcium-binding lectin chaperone calnexin (42,43). HA folds via 2 intermediates with incomplete sets of disulfide bonds that have electrophoretic mobilities between those of reduced HA and native, properly folded HA (NT) when analyzed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE (31) (figure 2b, lanes 1-5 and lanes 6-10). Once folded, HA trimerizes and leaves the ER for the Golgi complex, detectable by the extensive trimming of its N-glycans in the CHO15B cells (G). None of these HA maturation steps were affected by calcium depletion. Transport of misfolded LDL receptor molecules to the Golgi in the absence of calcium was not due to a defective retention system in the ER, because the folding-defective P678L mutant was retained with similar efficiency in the presence or absence of calcium (figure 2c).
We therefore concluded that transport and quality control in general were not affected by the drugs we used, and that the effects on the LDL receptor were caused by its need for calcium during folding in the ER.

**DTT resistant disulfide bonds form during LDL receptor folding.** As a rule, proteins become more packed and rigid during folding. This increase in compactness often coincides with an increase in resistance to *in vivo* reduction of disulfide bonds (44) and to proteolytic digestion (45). We used a DTT resistance assay to examine conformational differences in early and late LDL receptor folding intermediates in the presence and absence of calcium.

HeLa cells overexpressing the LDL receptor were pulse-labeled for five minutes, chased for zero or one hour. Cells then were cooled on ice as control or, in parallel, incubation was continued for an additional five minutes in chase medium containing 10 mM DTT to reduce accessible disulfide bonds in the intact cell before lysis. Detergent cell lysates were immunoprecipitated in parallel with polyclonal antiserum 121 and monoclonal antibody C7 and analyzed using non-reducing and reducing SDS-PAGE (figure 4).

Immediately after the pulse, DTT treatment of the cells separated the LDL receptor folding intermediates (figure 4a, lane 1) into two populations (figure 4a, lane 3), one completely reduced (upper band), and another population containing DTT-resistant disulfide bonds (lower band), which were completely reduced upon heating in reducing Laemmli sample buffer (lane 2). As expected (28), monoclonal antibody C7 did not recognize the completely reduced LDL receptor (figure 4a, compare lane 4 with lane 3), but it did precipitate some partially resistant molecules (lane 4), showing that LR1 was among the correctly folded, DTT-resistant domains. Only a small number of disulfide bonds became resistant because the partially resistant form (in lane 3) ran close to fully reduced LDL receptor (lane 2), and it had a much lower mobility than non-reduced control samples (figure 4a, compare lane 3 to lane 1 and lane 4 to lane 6). We concluded that the non-native disulfide bonds that span distant cysteines were DTT-sensitive.

After one hour of chase, some additional disulfide bonds became DTT-resistant: a smear underneath the lower band appeared upon DTT treatment (figure 4a, lane 9). Still, most disulfide bonds remained DTT-sensitive in the ER, as most LDL receptor molecules changed their mobility upon *in vivo* reduction (from lane 7 to lane 9) and now ran with similar mobility as denatured reduced LDL receptor (compare lanes 8 and 9). LDL receptor molecules that reached the Golgi complex did acquire complete DTT-resistance (lanes 7 and 9, 10 and 12 in figure 4a), suggesting that the protein acquired additional compactness in the Golgi while the ER environment kept the LDL receptor in an open, DTT-accessible state.

In the absence of calcium none of the disulfide bonds in the LDL receptor became DTT-resistant, as *in vivo* reduced LDL receptor (figure 4b, lane 3) had similar mobility as denatured reduced protein (figure 4b, lane 2), neither after a one-hour chase nor in the Golgi complex (figure 4b, lanes 8 and 9). As expected none of the calcium depleted LDL receptor forms were recognized by the C7 monoclonal antibody (lanes 6 and 12) and this did not change upon reduction *in vivo* (lanes 4 and 10). Although LDL receptor molecules did escape the ER upon calcium depletion, the conformation of these molecules was drastically different from the native LDL receptor, because they not only lacked many native disulfide bonds and the C7 epitope (also other epitopes figure 2a), but also were completely sensitive to reduction by DTT *in vivo* (figure 4b, lanes 7-9).

**Calcium is required for maintenance of native structure in LDL receptor folding intermediates.** We showed that calcium is crucial for correct folding of the LDL receptor in intact cells. It is unclear however whether calcium is needed to induce formation of native-like structure and disulfide bonds or whether calcium is required also for their preservation. We therefore determined the resistance of folded LDL receptor and its late folding intermediates to extraction of associated calcium. The protein folded under normal conditions during a one-hour chase, which was followed by an additional one-hour chase in the absence of calcium. The one hour-old late folding intermediates in the ER (figure 5a, lane 2) are characterized by a lower migration rate in non-
reducing gel than the early ones (figure 5a, lane 1) and by increased recognition of the C7 epitope (compare lane 7 to lane 6). Upon calcium depletion, either by thapsigargin (lane 4) or A23187 (lane 5) treatment, these one hour-old, late folding intermediates reverted to the phenotype of the early folding intermediates: their migration rates increased again, caused by formation of non-native disulfide bonds between distant cysteines (figure 5a, compare lanes 4 and 5 with lane 2) and they lost the already acquired C7 epitope (compare lanes 9 and 10 with lane 7). We concluded that calcium is required for maintenance of native structure in LDL receptor folding intermediates.

Molecules that were in the ER when calcium depletion started but that did reach the Golgi complex during the additional chase without calcium did not acquire the C7 epitope (compare lanes 9 and 10 to lanes 4 and 5) and contained non-native disulfide bonds (figure 5a, lanes 4 and 5), similar to the results shown in figures 1 and 2. LDL receptor molecules that had escaped the ER to the Golgi already before calcium depletion, however, were not affected. They contained a native-like set of disulfide bonds, a properly folded LR1 repeat, and they acquired apparently normal O-glycans, shown by the native-like mobility (figure 5a, lane 3) of these Golgi forms (top part of G-band in figure 5a, lanes 4 and 5). That these broad smears were due to heterogeneity in disulfide bonding is clear from the reducing gel in figure 5b, where these forms collapsed into a much sharper band (figure 5b, lanes 3-5). These results are consistent with the DTT-resistance data (figure 4), implying that only in the Golgi the LDL receptor became completely resistant to DTT and to calcium depletion. As long as the protein resided in the ER, its disulfide bonds remained sensitive to DTT, its bound calcium could still be released, and its conformation could be reversed to a state resembling early folding intermediates: the LDL receptor was backtracking its folding pathway.

LDL receptor misfolding in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) is not reversible. Native repeats are formed relatively late in the folding pathway of the LDL receptor. Only in the second folding phase long-range disulfide bonds resolve into the native links, which results in formation of the C7 epitope (28) (figures 1 and 2). Because calcium was required to maintain native structure in LDL receptor folding intermediates (figure 5) and because early folding intermediates appeared similar irrespective of calcium (figure 1), we anticipated that calcium ions are incorporated into the protein predominantly during this second folding phase. Hence, LDL receptor molecules that start their folding in the absence of calcium and accumulate in the compact population should be rescued by later addition of calcium. We therefore examined LDL receptor folding in HeLa cells that were treated with A23187 in calcium-free medium during starvation and pulse-labeling only, followed by a rescue chase in calcium containing medium without ionophore. For comparison, HeLa cells were incubated during starvation, pulse, and chase with either calcium-free medium containing A23187 or medium containing DMSO and calcium.

As shown in figure 1 as well, the LDL receptor folding intermediates synthesized in the absence of calcium ran as a compact smear (figure 6a, lane 2), and the N-terminal LR1 repeat was not folded correctly (lane 9 shows absence of the C7 epitope). During the rescue chase in the presence of calcium, the mobility of LDL receptor folding intermediates gradually decreased (figure 6a, from lane 2 to lanes 3 and 5) and the majority lacked a correctly folded LR1 repeat, although a fraction of molecules did obtain the C7 epitope (lanes 10 and 12). The LDL receptor molecules that left the ER did not contain the proper set of disulfide bonds, illustrated by their altered electrophoretic mobility compared to the DMSO control sample (figure 6a, lanes 5 and 7) and the virtual absence of the C7 epitope in these molecules (figure 6a, lanes 10 and 12). The reversibility of the A23187-induced glycosylation defect (figure 6b, lanes 5-7) demonstrated that calcium levels indeed recovered in the secretory pathway. We concluded that LDL receptor molecules that folded initially in the absence of calcium could not be rescued by restoration of ER calcium levels. Even after only a short Ca\(^{2+}\)-depletion (when LDL receptor folding was still limited to the first collapsing phase), folding already suffered irreversibly from the absence of this ion. Apparently, calcium is specifically required for both the acquirement and the maintenance of native LDL receptor structure in the ER.
Discussion

Native LDL receptor folding needs calcium. We established in intact cells that calcium is not only required for each stage of the folding process, but also for maintenance of structure at these stages. Although the LDL receptor folds its individual LDL-A repeats with octahedral calcium coordination only late in the folding process, calcium was required from the very beginning, when non-native inter-repeat disulfide bonds predominated. As long as the LDL receptor resided in the ER, DTT treatment reduced most of the formed disulfide bonds. Only few native disulfide bonds including the ones in the C7 epitope were resistant. Calcium depletion in the second folding phase removed already coordinated calcium, resulting in isomerization of native disulfide bonds into non-native ones between distant cysteines and loss of the conformational C7 epitope. Once in the Golgi complex and beyond, properly folded LDL receptor molecules became completely resistant to unfolding by DTT or by calcium depletion.

Upon calcium depletion many misfolded forms of the LDL receptor left the ER to move on to the Golgi complex. LDL receptor molecules that had folded in the absence of calcium were released from the ER with similar kinetics as in the presence of calcium but they had a drastically changed conformation. Since the ligand binding repeats LR1, LR3, LR5, and LR7 had not properly folded in these misfolded forms, they are highly likely to be dysfunctional.

Reversibility of LDL receptor folding in the ER. During its stay in the ER, the LDL receptor remained susceptible to unfolding. Only a small number of disulfide bonds (including the ones in LR1) in about half of the LDL receptor molecules in the ER became resistant to reduction by DTT. Calcium depletion even revealed a reversion of LDL receptor folding: disulfide bonds rearranged back into long-distance cystines, C7 recognition was lost, as well as its partial DTT-resistance. This demonstrates that protein folding in the ER in principle is a reversible process. Proteins remain malleable and therefore conformationally rescuable while in the ER.

Sensitivity to DTT throughout the folding process is not a general feature of proteins folding in the ER because for instance HA does become completely resistant to in vivo reduction already in the ER (44). The native LDL receptor structure may well explain its DTT-accessible and calcium-extractable conformation during folding. The native ectodomain is extended (6,28) and has a large surface to volume ratio, and the repeats have a rather small hydrophobic core (46). Disulfide bonds therefore are likely to remain accessible to DTT for a longer time during the folding process. Reducibility of the inter-repeat disulfides is no surprise either, as non-native structures are less packed than native ones and are expected to be susceptible to various denaturing treatments.

Resistance of LDL receptor to unfolding in Golgi complex. Whereas ER forms of the LDL receptor remained prone to unfolding, the properly folded Golgi forms did acquire complete resistance to unfolding by calcium removal or DTT. Thus, when all native disulfides had been formed, the O-glycans attached, and the molecules resided in a different compartment than the ER, the structure could not be unfolded anymore. This cannot be explained by compartmental differences, O-glycosylation of the protein, or a combination of these factors, because the calcium-depleted O-glycosylated LDL receptor remained completely sensitive to DTT. The sharp difference in stability between the ER- and Golgi forms of the LDL receptor is more likely due to release from chaperones and oxidoreductases in the ER in combination with a rate-limiting final conformational maturation followed by rapid transport to the Golgi complex and O-glycosylation (28). In the latter scenario the native form is not populated in the ER, consistent with our findings.

Calcium and native LDL receptor folding, structure, and function. We anticipated that LDL receptor folding in vivo was dependent on calcium, a.o. because crystal and NMR structures of the ectodomain and individual repeats show that calcium is an integral part of LDL receptor structure (6,14,40,46-48). Decreased or altered calcium binding therefore may have an impact on structure and hence function of the LDL receptor. Indeed, FH-related mutations that affect amino acids involved in calcium binding show a lower affinity for calcium, misfolding, which for full-length protein results in (partial) ER retention,
lower affinity for ligand on the cell surface, or a combination of these defects (14, 47, 49, 50). The LDL receptor requires repeats LR2-LR7, and in particular LR4 and LR5 (10) for LDL binding, none of which we expected to fold properly without calcium, based on our data and the similarity of all seven LR repeats.

Individual LDL-A repeats that were refolded in vitro without calcium adopted conformations with all possible cysteine pairings (39, 40, 51, 52), indicative of a complete lack of stable native state. Accordingly, formation of the C7 epitope in LR1 requires calcium (29, 53). The structure of the EGF-repeats, with their non-canonical calcium binding site, appears less dependent on this cation (15). Once isolated LDL-A repeats are folded, calcium can be extracted by incubation without calcium or with EGTA or EDTA (39, 41). Calcium affinity measurements demonstrate that bound calcium in a folded repeat indeed is in equilibrium with free calcium (54). It remains unclear whether calcium can be extracted from full-length LDL receptors on the cell surface. Whereas most calcium ions, once bound, are not easily removed from the LDL receptor family member Tva, the Rous Sarcoma virus receptor (9), most studies on LDL receptor (domains) itself show that calcium extraction leads to loss of structural integrity and decreased ligand binding (27). Although we found the LDL receptor Golgi form to be resistant to calcium depletion, subtle changes that may lead to reduced ligand binding may well occur. Considering the range of proteins that associate with the LDL receptor (RAP, ApoB, ApoE), conformational flexibility may well vary with conditions and partners. Moreover, our experiments follow newly synthesized LDL receptor before it starts to function on the cell surface. The intracellular milieu and the plasma membrane of cells in culture is mild for proteins. This changes completely when the protein binds ligand and starts cycling through the endosomal acid bath while undergoing repeated conformational changes. During those cycles, sensitivity to loss of calcium and loss of structural integrity is likely to increase.

Calcium and the non-native phase of folding. NMR studies on LR5 refolding have shown a prerequisite formation of the first two disulfide bonds for calcium binding. With calcium bound, the third native disulfide bond closes and calcium affinity increases (37). Together with the precise coordination of calcium by conserved residues in each repeat these data imply that native calcium incorporation cannot happen before individual repeats are attaining native structure during folding. Unexpected then was our finding that calcium was required already during the earliest folding phase of the LDL receptor, when little trace of native structure was evident. Calcium was not required for formation of a compact state per se, but was required for formation of folding-competent intermediates. Although rescue of LRP folded in the absence of calcium was reported to still be possible upon calcium restoration (19), LRP in this study had been pulse-labeled for 30 min in the presence of calcium first, before depletion started, giving the protein ample chance to pass into an unfolding-resistant stage.

In addition to the negatively charged Asp and Glu side chains that coordinate calcium, additional acidic residues in the LDL receptor form a negatively charged surface in the folded protein that binds RAP and apoB (12, 47). To bring such a large number of negative charges together during folding, small inorganic cations may be needed to prevent repulsion (55). Although we depleted calcium from the ER, other cations were still present. Most of these would not have sufficiently high concentrations to bind, because of affinities lower than mM. Mg^{2+} though, which is present in mM concentrations, should be sufficient to take care of the charge issue but cannot substitute for calcium in the native fold (39). This implies that calcium performs a specific role during the earliest stages of LDL receptor folding. During folding, calcium affinity increases with each additional disulfide bond in a repeat and calcium thereby stimulates formation of native-like structure. Within the early compact folding intermediates, the cation may be essential for some rapidly folding isolated native structure elements, or it may bind transiently in native-like coordination to non-native motifs on the proper folding path, perhaps through domain-swapping or strand-swapping. In that case, the long-distance non-native disulfide bonds in early LDL receptor folding intermediates may exist between strand-swapped repeats.

Role of calcium for ER function. Other proteins that fold in the ER have been reported to
suffer from calcium depletion as well, even when they are not known as calcium-binding proteins. Insulin (56), thyroglobulin, asialoglycoprotein (57), and alpha1-antitrypsin (58), for instance, are not released efficiently from the ER of calcium-depleted cells. This has been attributed to a secondary effect, namely to less efficient chaperone activities in calcium-depleted ER (56). Because all resident ER proteins, the chaperones and folding enzymes, appear to bind calcium, this cation has been assumed to be crucial for proper ER function. Prolonged calcium depletion indeed causes ER stress and activation of PERK, one of the unfolded protein response sensors (59). Calcium was reported necessary for activity of many ER resident calcium-binding chaperones, including Grp94 (60), PDI (61), BiP (62), calnexin (63), and calreticulin (64). This, however, remains a controversial issue, as a detailed study shows that calcium binding does contribute to calreticulin's stability but is not required for its function as lectin chaperone (65). Similarly, various laboratories routinely use EDTA in their in vitro assays, showing that a range of oxidoreductases, including QSOX and PDI, catalyze disulfide isomerization reactions in the absence of calcium (66-68).

A wealth of additional data suggests that ER function is not affected by calcium depletion without chelators. i) Albumin is hardly affected in its biosynthesis (57). ii) Influenza virus HA folding, trimerization, and transport to the Golgi were not affected by the absence of calcium, although this protein does depend on the calcium-binding chaperone calnexin for folding (42,43), which implies that calnexin function is intact in a calcium-depleted ER. iii) We show here that retention of a misfolded LDL receptor molecule (P678L) in the ER was intact. iv) Calcium waves in the cell cause frequent transient depletion of calcium from the ER, dropping calcium levels from mM to 1-50 µM levels (22). The charge change in the ER is compensated by parallel influx of other cations, such as Mg$^{2+}$ or K$^+$ in muscle (69) and monovalent cations in hepatocytes (70). It is unlikely that the ER would be dysfunctional every time the cell needs its calcium for signaling. v) ER-resident chaperones have both high (Kd ~µM) and low affinity (Kd ~mM) calcium binding sites, and depletion without chelators would not immediately extract all calcium from the high affinity sites, especially not when calcium levels are in the µM range during a calcium wave (71,72). Calnexin, calreticulin, BiP, Grp94, and PDI are thought to constitute the major calcium store of the ER, in particular because of their abundance. Their affinities for calcium, as far as they have been studied, are similar (60-62,73), except that of calnexin, which is at least an order of magnitude lower (150 µM) (74). This may permit extrapolation of calnexin's functioning in low calcium conditions to the other major ER resident chaperones. Therefore, unless the calcium concentration is lowered far enough to empty even the high affinity sites in chaperones, a condition that is difficult to reach in the absence of chelators, chaperone activity is not bound to be affected. The calcium binding of resident ER folding factors also may protect newly synthesized calcium-binding proteins like the LDL receptor during calcium oscillations.

In summary, we demonstrated here the crucial importance of calcium for every step of native LDL receptor folding in vivo. This calcium dependence of folding, maintenance of conformation, and hence function will be similar for all LDL-A module containing proteins, and will thus be important for the many physiological processes this protein family mediates, ranging from viral infection, lipoprotein homeostasis, to signaling. Already from the first stages of folding, when calcium binding in native structure was not prominent yet, calcium was required. Our and other studies did not find support for calcium depletion disrupting ER functioning under the conditions we used. Calcium's essential role during the non-native stages of LDL receptor folding suggests that native-like calcium coordination already occurs from the very beginning. On the other hand, even proteins that do not incorporate calcium into their native structure show some metal ion dependence. Any protein with negatively charged surfaces in their native structure may need cations to facilitate folding, whether particular ones or any. The calcium-rich environment of the ER not only includes free calcium, but also involves the proximity of associated folding factors with their many calcium-binding sites. The local calcium concentration a folding protein senses may well be an order of magnitude higher than anticipated,
especially considering the heterogeneity of the ER (75). Co-evolution of protein folding in the ER with the calcium-rich ER milieu would be a plausible basis for a calcium requirement for proper folding of many proteins in the ER.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Effect of ER calcium depletion on LDL receptor folding and transport to the Golgi complex. HeLa cells overexpressing wild-type LDL receptor (a-d) were pulse-labeled for 5 min and chased for the indicated periods in the presence of thapsigargin, A23187, or DMSO (Control). Under the conditions used (see Experimental Procedures), thapsigargin and A23187 both deplete ER calcium levels, albeit with different mechanisms and with different effect on cytosolic calcium concentration. (a)
Samples were precipitated with polyclonal antiserum 121, which recognizes all forms of the LDL receptor, whether folded, misfolded, reduced, or denatured, and independent of subcellular location. Immunoprecipitates then were subjected to non-reducing SDS-PAGE. ER represents newly synthesized ER localized LDL receptor molecules and G represents O-glycosylated "mature" LDL receptor molecules that have reached the Golgi complex or beyond. The grey reference lines help comparison of mobilities. (b) The same samples as in (a), now reduced. (c) As (a), except that lysates were immunoprecipitated with monoclonal antibody C7, which recognizes the most N-terminal repeat of the LDL-receptor, but only when properly folded and containing its disulfide bonds and bound calcium. (d) Samples as in (a) of cells treated with A23187, DMSO (Control), or thapsigargin were analyzed next to each. NR, non-reducing SDS-PAGE; R, reducing SDS-PAGE.

**Figure 2. Specificity of ER calcium depletion on LDL receptor folding.** (a) HeLa cells overexpressing wild-type LDL receptor were pulse-labeled for 4 min and chased for 0 or 2 h in the presence of thapsigargin or DMSO (Control). The LDL receptor was precipitated in parallel with polyclonal antiserum 121 and monoclonal antibodies C7, 6B2, 7H2, 5G2, and 6E2, against properly folded and disulfide-bonded ligand binding repeats LR1, LR1, LR3, LR5, and LR7, respectively. Samples were analyzed by reducing SDS-PAGE. (b) Influenza virus infected CHO15B cells, expressing as control HA, were pulse-labeled for 2 min and chased for the indicated periods in the presence of DMSO (Control) or thapsigargin. Samples were immunoprecipitated with a polyclonal antibody that recognizes all conformations of HA and were subjected to non-reducing (NR) and reducing (R) SDS-PAGE. IT1 and IT2 are the two HA folding intermediates with incomplete sets of disulfide bonds that fold into NT, the native monomer with native epitopes and its 6 native disulfide bonds. ER represents the ER-forms of HA. Upon transport to the Golgi complex, HA's N-linked glycans are trimmed substantially in these cells, which increases electrophoretic mobility (G). NP is the viral nucleoprotein, which functions as marker and loading control. (c) HeLa cells overexpressing the LDL receptor P678L mutant were pulse-labeled for 5 min and chased for 2 hours in the presence of thapsigargin. Samples were immunoprecipitated with polyclonal antibody 121 and subjected to reducing SDS-PAGE.

**Figure 3.** Cartoon representing disulfide bond formation during folding of the LDL receptor in the presence (Control) and absence of calcium. Control cartoon reprinted with permission (28,34).

**Figure 4.** DTT resistance of disulfide bonds during LDL receptor folding. HeLa cells overexpressing the LDL receptor were pulse-labeled for 5 min and chased for 0 or 1h. Cells then were cooled immediately on ice (ch+DTT 0’) or chased for an additional 5 min in the presence of 10 mM DTT (ch+DTT 5’). Media for starvation, pulse, and chase all contained (a) solvent (DMSO; control) or (b) 100 nM thapsigargin. Detergent cell lysates were immunoprecipitated in parallel with polyclonal antiserum 121 and monoclonal antibody C7. Samples were analyzed by reducing (Red+) and non-reducing (Red–) SDS-PAGE.

**Figure 5.** Resistance of the LDL receptor to calcium depletion during folding. HeLa cells overexpressing the LDL receptor were pulse-labeled for 5 min, chased for 1 hour in complete chase medium, and then depleted of calcium in an additional 1-h chase in thapsigargin (lanes 4 and 9) or in calcium-free medium containing A23187 (lanes 5 and 10). Detergent cell lysates were immunoprecipitated in parallel with polyclonal antiserum 121 (lanes 1-5) and monoclonal antibody C7 (lanes 6-10). The control samples were pulse-labeled and chased for the same time intervals in complete medium containing calcium and DMSO (lanes 1-3 and 6-8). Samples were subjected to non-reducing (a) and reducing (b) SDS-PAGE.

**Figure 6.** Rescue from early calcium depletion. HeLa cells were pulse-labeled for 5 min (p-Ca^{2+}) and chased for 15 min or 2 hours in calcium-free medium containing A23187 (ch-Ca^{2+}). For the rescued samples (ch+Ca^{2+}) the chase medium was replaced by calcium-containing complete chase medium.
without A23187. Control samples were pulse-labeled for 5 min (p+Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) and chased for 1h (ch+Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) in complete medium containing DMSO. (a) Samples precipitated in parallel with polyclonal antiserum 121 (lanes 1–7) or monoclonal antibody C7 (lanes 8-14) were subjected to non-reducing SDS-PAGE. (b) The same samples as in (a), now reduced.
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