Ribosome Modulation Factor, an Important Protein for Cell Viability Encoded by the Polyamine Modulon*
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We searched for proteins whose synthesis is enhanced by polyamines at the stationary phase of cell growth using an Escherichia coli polyamine-requiring mutant in which cell viability is greatly decreased by polyamine deficiency. The synthesis of ribosome modulation factor (RMF) was strongly enhanced by polyamines at the level of translation at the stationary phase of cell growth. In rmf mRNA, a Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequence is located 11 nucleotides upstream of the initiation codon AUG. When the SD sequence was moved to the more common position 8 nucleotides upstream of the initiation codon, the degree of polyamine stimulation was reduced, although the level of RMF synthesis was markedly increased. Polyamine stimulation of RMF synthesis was found to be caused by a selective structural change in the bulged-out region of rmf mRNA. The decrease in cell viability caused by polyamine deficiency was prevented by the addition of a modified rmf gene whose synthesis is not influenced by polyamines. The results indicate that polyamines enhance cell viability of E. coli at least in part by enhancing RMF synthesis.

Polyamines (putrescine, spermidine, and spermine) are necessary for normal cell growth (1, 2). Because polyamines interact with nucleic acids and exist mostly as polyamine-RNA complexes in cells (3, 4), their proliferative effects are presumed to be caused by changes in RNA function. It was found that polyamines enhanced the formation of active 30S ribosomal subunits for stimulation of general protein synthesis (5-8). Furthermore, we found that synthesis of several kinds of proteins was stimulated by polyamines, and proposed that a set of genes whose expression is enhanced by polyamines at the level of translation can be classified as a “polyamine modulon” (2, 9). It was also shown that stimulation of specific types of protein synthesis by polyamines was based on a selective-structural change in the bulged-out region of double-stranded RNA caused by spermidine, a polycation, and cannot be fulfilled by K⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions (10, 11). The complex of spermidine and bulged-out ribonucleotides mimics double-stranded RNA, and such a structural change in the initiation region of oppA- and rpoE-mRNAs enhanced the synthesis of OppA, an oligopeptide binding protein in its transport system, and RpoE (σ²4), one of the sigma factors for transcription of a set of heat shock response genes (10, 11).

In Escherichia coli, we have thus far identified 11 kinds of genes as components of the polyamine modulon at the logarithmic phase of cell growth using a polyamine requiring mutant MA261. Expression of oppA (12); cya, JBC Papers in Press. Published on July 13, 2010 as Manuscript M110.111195
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encoding adenylate cyclase (13); rpoS, encoding σ^{38} sigma factor of RNA polymerase which is involved in expression of genes at stationary phase (14); fecI, encoding σ^{18} sigma factor for the iron transport operon (9); fis, a global regulator of transcription of some growth-related genes, including genes for rRNA and some tRNAs (9); and prfB, encoding polypeptide release factor 2 (15) was enhanced by polyamines at the level of translation in cells cultured with glucose as an energy source. The rpoN, a σ^{54} sigma factor for nitrogen metabolism genes, hns, a positive regulator of expression of genes involved in flagellin synthesis and ribosomal protein synthesis, and cra, a global transcription factor for a large number of genes for glycolysis and glyconeogenesis were found to be members of the polyamine modulon in cells cultured with glucose and glutamate (16).

Recently, we have reported that rpoE encoding a σ^{24} sigma factor and stpA which are involved in the transcription of a number of heat shock response genes are new members of the polyamine modulon under heat shock conditions (11).

In this study, we looked for new members of polyamine modulon involved in cell viability at the stationary phase of cell growth in E. coli, and found that rmf, a gene encoding ribosome modulation factor (RMF) is a member of the polyamine modulon at the stationary phase. RMF comprises 55 amino acid residues and is known to facilitate the formation of 100S dimers from 70S ribosomes and inhibit translation for preserving translational machinery at stationary phase (17, 18). Disruption of the rmf gene caused loss of 100S dimers and reduction of cell viability at stationary phase (19). Polyamines caused a structural change of the bulged-out region of the initiation site of rmf mRNA, and enhanced RMF synthesis. Accordingly, polyamines enhanced cell viability at least partially through stimulation of synthesis of RMF at the level of translation. This is the first report of the existence of a polyamine modulon at the stationary phase, in which cell growth is limited.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions** — A polyamine-requiring mutant of E. coli MA261 (speB speC gly leu thr thi) (20) and MA261 lacZ::Em (21) were cultured in medium A [0.4% glucose (22.4 mM), 40.2 mM K_{2}HPO_{4}, 22.1 mM KH_{2}PO_{4}, 1.7 mM sodium citrate, 7.6 mM (NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}, 0.41 mM MgSO_{4}, 6 µM thiamine, 40 µM biotin, 0.8 mM leucine, 0.8 mM threonine, 0.7 mM methionine, 1 mM serine, 1 mM glycine, 0.6 mM ornithine, pH 6.8] in the presence and absence of 0.6 mM putrescine dihydrochloride. MA261 rmf::Cm was prepared from C600 rmf::Cm by P1 transduction (22) and cultured as described above. Cell growth was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm. Cell viability was determined by counting colony numbers grown on Luria-Bertani (LB)-containing 1.5% agar plate at 37 °C for 24 h (23).

**Plasmids** — Total chromosomal DNA from E. coli W3110 was prepared according to the method of Wilson *et al.* (24). To make pMW-lacRMF(WT), PCR was performed using total chromosomal DNA as template and 5'-TAACTGGGATCCCACATTTAGTAATCAC TG-3' (P1) and 5'-CAGACAGGAATTCAAAAGGCGAAACCTCCG-3' (P2) as primers. After cutting with BamHI and EcoRI, the 282 bp PCR fragment was inserted into the same restriction site of a low copy number vector pMW119 (Nippon Gene). Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using PCR (25) was performed to prepare pMW-lacRMF(SD) and pMW-lacRMF(SD*). To make pMW-lacRMF(SD), the first PCR was performed using P1 and P2(SD) (5'-GTCTCTTTCATGCCTCGCCTCCGTGATA CTG-3') and P1(SD) (5'-GAAACCATTATCACGGAGGCGAGGCATGAA-3') and P2 as primers, and pMW-lacRMF(WT) as a template. To make pMW-lacRMF(SD*), the first PCR was performed using P1 and P2(SD*) (5'-TGCTTTGTCTCCGTGATACTGGTTTCT GGT-3') and P1(SD*) (5'-CAGCGAGACAAAGCATGAGAGCGAAGACAAAC-3') and P2 as primers, and pMW-lacRMF(WT) as a template. The second PCR was performed using the first
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PCR products as templates and P1 and P2 as primers. After cutting with BamHI and EcoRI, the 282 bp PCR fragment was inserted into the same restriction site of pMW119. The plasmids pMW-lacRMF -6(C→G) and pMW-lacRMF -35(ΔU) were also prepared as described above with site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using PCR (25).

To make the rmf-lacZ fusion gene, PCR was performed using total chromosomal DNA as template and 5′-CGTCCCCGGGATGTTGCCTG-3′ (P3) and 5′-AGCCC-GGGTGACCTTTGATT-3′ (P4) as primers. The amplified rmf gene (a 227-nucleotide 5′-upstream region and a 115-nucleotide open reading frame) was digested with XmaI and inserted into the same restriction site of pMC1871 (26) to make the pMCrmf-lacZ fusion plasmid. For construction of pMWrmf-lacZ, the SalI fragment containing the rmf-lacZ gene of pMCrmf-lacZ was inserted into the same restriction site of pMW119. Site-directed mutagenesis for the construction of the plasmids encoding a mutated fusion gene with modified initiation region (pMWrmf[-6(C→G)]-lacZ, pMWrmf[-35(ΔU)]-lacZ, pMWrmf[-36(ΔC)]-lacZ, and pMWrmf[+56(C→G)]-lacZ) was performed by overlap extension using PCR (25). A list of oligonucleotide primers for mutagenesis is available from the authors upon request.

To prepare pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG), PCR was performed using pMW33CAG encoding RpoS(33CAG), which has CAG codon at 33rd amino acid position of rpoS mRNA (14), as template and 5′-CAACGAATTCCGTGACC-TTGCTCAGCGCA-3′ (P5) and 5′-GATGGGAATTCGACCTTTTATTGTGCACAG-3′ (P6) as primers. The EcoRI fragment containing rpoS(33CAG) gene was inserted into the same site of pMW-lacRMF(SD*). Plasmid pMW-lacRpoS(33CAG) was constructed by inserting the EcoRI fragment containing rpoS(33CAG) gene into the same site of pMW119. The nucleotide sequence of the plasmids was confirmed by the 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

**Dot Blot Analysis —** E. coli MA261 cells were cultured at A₅₄₀ = 0.2 and 24 h. Total RNA was prepared from these cells by the method of Emory and Belasco (27). Dot blot analysis was performed according to the standard method (28) using the ECL direct nucleic acid labeling and detection systems (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). Total RNA used for dot blotting was 0.2 to 3 µg. Probe was made by PCR and the size of the probe used for rmf mRNA was 300 nucleotides. Chemical luminescence was detected by a LAS-3000 luminescent image analyzer (Fuji Film).

**Western Blot Analysis —** Western blot analysis was performed by the method of Nielsen et al. (29), using ECL Western blotting reagents (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). Antibodies against RMF and RpoS were prepared as described previously (22, 30). Antibody against OmpC was kindly supplied by H. Mori, Nara Institute of Science and Technology. Antibody against β-galactosidase was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Although the level of proteins was 1.3 to 1.6-fold higher in polyamine-containing cells than in polyamine-deficient cells, the same amount of proteins were used for Western blot analysis. The level of protein was quantified with a LAS-3000 luminescent image analyzer (Fuji Film).

**Measurement of RMF Synthesis by Immunoprecipitation of Proteins Labeled with [³⁵S]Methionine —** E. coli MA261 cells were cultured in medium A containing 0.2 mM methionine instead of 0.7 mM in the absence of putrescine. At A₅₄₀ = 0.6 and 24 h, the culture was divided into 5 ml aliquots, and continued to grow in the presence (100 µg/ml) and absence of putrescine for 20 min. Then, [³⁵S]methionine (1 MBq) was added to each 5-ml aliquot, and the cells were allowed to grow for additional 5 min. After the addition of unlabeled methionine at a final concentration of 20 mM, the cells were harvested, resuspended in 1 ml of buffer A (10 mM sodium phosphate, at pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, and 0.1% SDS), and disrupted by grinding for 30 s at 2,500 rpm six times with a Multi-Beads Shocker (Yasui Kikai). Immunoprecipitation of [³⁵S]methionine-labeled RMF was carried out using whole cell lysate
containing 500,000 cpm of \[^{35}\text{S}\]methionine-labeled proteins and antiserum against RMF according to the method of Philipson et al. (31). After SDS-PAGE, the radioactivity associated with RMF was quantified using a BAS-1800II imaging analyzer (Fuji Film).

Sucrose Density Gradient Centrifugation of Ribosomes — The cells cultured until \(A_{540} = 0.2\) or for 24 h in 40 ml were harvested, resuspended in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM magnesium acetate and 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH 7.6) and disrupted by grinding for 30 s at 1,500 rpm three times with a Multi-Beads Shocker. The suspension was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The cell lysate (7 \(A_{260}\) units) was loaded on a 12 ml linear sucrose gradient (5-20%). The tubes were centrifuged for 4 h at 25,000 rpm in a Hitachi P28S2. The sucrose gradients were fractionated into 53-54 tubes. Absorbance at 260 nm was measured after two-fold dilution of each fraction.

Measurement of Polyamines in Whole Cells — Polyamines in whole cells were extracted by treatment of the cells with 10% trichloroacetic acid at 70 °C for 15 min with occasional shaking. Polyamine content was determined by high pressure liquid chromatography as described previously (32). Protein content was determined by the method of Bradford (33).

Prediction of the Secondary Structure of RNA — Optimal computer folding of mRNAs was performed by the method of Zuker (34). Free energy (\(\Delta G\)) for the formation of the secondary structure was calculated on the basis of the data of Turner et al. (35).

Circular Dichroism (CD) Measurement of RNA — RMF WT RNA (5’-UGUUUUUCUUUCCACCAGGAACGAGGCA-3’), which lacks one uridine nucleotide at -35th position of RMF WT RNA were obtained from Hokkaido System Science, Japan. CD spectra were recorded over 200-320 nm on a Jasco J-820 spectropolarimeter (Jasco International Co.) using a 0.1 cm path-length cuvette at 37 °C (36). Scan speed was 100 nm/min, and CD samples contained 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, and 50 \(\mu\)M RNA. Where indicated, magnesium acetate, putrescine or spermidine was added to the CD samples. Typical spectra at 37 °C corresponded to the average of three scans.

RESULTS

Decrease in Cell Viability by Polyamine Deficiency — Polyamines are known to be involved in normal cell growth. Such a polyamine requirement for cell growth was confirmed using a polyamine-requiring mutant \(E.\ coli\) MA261. In this strain, putrescine added to the medium is taken up into cells and can be converted to spermidine. When 100 \(\mu\)g/ml putrescine was added to the medium, levels of spermidine and putrescine in cells increased and cell growth was enhanced 3- to 5-fold (Figs. 1A and 1B). It was determined whether polyamines enhance viability of MA261 cells. For cells cultured in the presence of putrescine, viability did not decrease significantly over 7 days, whereas cells cultured in the absence of putrescine showed a marked decrease in viability. After 7 days in culture, the increase in viability in the presence of putrescine was approximately 8-fold (Fig. 1C).

Polyamine Stimulation of RMF Synthesis and Its Mechanism — Protein synthesis is involved in cell viability. Thus, it was determined what kinds of protein synthesis are enhanced by polyamines. We previously reported a decrease in cell viability due to deficiency of the \(\sigma^{38}\) subunit (RpoS) of RNA polymerase, the outer membrane protein C (OmpC), and/or the ribosome modulation factor (RMF) (37). RMF is synthesized in the stationary phase and is uniquely associated with
100S dimers (17-19). Among them, we reported that *rpoS* gene, encoding σ38 subunit of RNA polymerase, is a polyamine modulon (14). Polyamines enhance the synthesis of RpoS through stimulation of read-through of amber codon UAG-dependent Gln-tRNA SupE on ribosome-associated *rpoS* mRNA (14). The levels of RpoS, OmpC, and RMF were measured at the early logarithmic (*A540 = 0.2*), the late logarithmic (*A540 = 0.6*), and the stationary phase (24 and 36 h after the onset of cell culture) (see Fig. 1A). It was confirmed that synthesis of RpoS at the early to late logarithmic phase was enhanced by polyamines, judging from the level of RpoS protein (Fig. 2A). Synthesis of OmpC was not influenced by polyamines. Synthesis of RMF at early logarithmic phase was not observed, as reported previously (19). Synthesis of RMF at late logarithmic to the stationary phase (24 h) was strongly enhanced by polyamines (Fig. 2A). Since the level of *rmf* mRNA was nearly equal in cells cultured with or without 100 µg/ml putrescine (Fig. 2B), enhancement of RMF synthesis was at the level of translation. To confirm that increase in RMF is at the level of translation, synthesized RMF was pulse-labeled with [35S]methionine and immunoprecipitated with the antibody against RMF. As shown in Fig. 2C, RMF synthesis was significantly stimulated by polyamines at both late logarithmic and stationary phases. At the stationary phase (24 h), most of ribosomes in cells cultured in the presence polyamines were 100S dimers, but those were mostly 70S monomers in the absence of polyamines (Fig. 2D), confirming that RMF enhanced 100S dimer formation (17-19).

The mechanism of polyamine stimulation of RMF synthesis was studied using modified *rmf* mRNAs. When the nucleotide sequence of *rmf* mRNA was determined, two candidate Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequences were observed (Fig. 3A). Thus, two modified *rmf* mRNAs were constructed. In one construct, pMW-lacRMF(SD), the first SD sequence (SD1) was modified together with first SD1 sequence. When the *rmf* (SD) mRNA was used instead of *rmf* (WT) mRNA, the degree of polyamine stimulation was reduced from 4.1- to 1.6-fold, although the level of RMF protein in cells cultured without polyamines was increased. When the *rmf* (SD*) mRNA was used, the degree of polyamine stimulation was also reduced from 4.1 to 1.6-fold, and the level of RMF was markedly increased about 19-fold in cells cultured without polyamines because of the elimination of the inhibitory SD sequence located at 2 nucleotide upstream of the initiation codon (Fig. 3B). The results indicate that synthesis of RMF is enhanced by polyamines because of the presence of an unusual SD sequence in *rmf* mRNA, as seen in other mRNAs including oppA-, fecI-, fis-, rpoN-, hns-, *rpoE*- and *stpA* (9, 11, 12, 16).

We have previously reported that polyamine stimulation of OppA synthesis and rat liver Ile-tRNA formation likely involves a structural change of the bulged-out region of double-stranded RNA (12, 38) and have recently shown that a selective structural change of the bulged-out region of *oppA* mRNA and rat liver tRNA Ile is important for polyamine stimulation (10). Thus, a possible secondary structure of the initiation region of *rmf* mRNA (−65 to +65) was constructed by the method of Zuker (34), and it was found that a bulged-out region existed, in which selective structural change by spermidine would occur (Fig. 4A). The nucleotide sequence of the bulged-out region of double-stranded RNA, near the initiation codon AUG and the SD sequence of *rmf* mRNA, was modified to make three different forms of the double-stranded RNA. Those mRNAs are *rmf* [-6(C→G)] mRNA, *rmf* [-35(AU)] mRNA, and *rmf* [-36(ΔC)] mRNA. As a control, *rmf* [+56(C→G)] mRNA was constructed to remove the bulged-out region of another double-stranded region that is located distantly from the initiation site of *rmf* mRNA. The predicted secondary structure of the initiation region of *rmf* mRNA (positions −65 to +65) of four mutants of *rmf* mRNA was essentially the same as that of wild-type *rmf* mRNA (data not shown).

The effects of polyamines on the synthesis of an RMF-β-Gal fusion protein were
studied using these various rmf-lacZ fusion mRNAs. As shown in Fig. 4B, polyamines stimulated the synthesis of RMF-β-Gal from wild-type rmf-lacZ mRNA by 4.6-fold. Polymamine stimulation of the synthesis of RMF-β-Gal fusion protein from rmf [-6(C→G)]-lacZ, rmf [-35(ΔU)]-lacZ, and rmf [-36(ΔC)]-lacZ mRNAs was reduced to 1.1- to 2.2-fold, although the basal level of protein synthesis in the absence of polyamines was enhanced. When polymamine stimulation of the synthesis of the RMF-β-Gal fusion protein from rmf [+56(C→G)]-lacZ mRNA was examined as a control, the degree of polymamine stimulation was nearly equal to that of synthesis from wild-type rmf-lacZ mRNA. The results support the idea that a structural change of the bulged-out region of double-stranded RNA close to the initiation codon AUG and the SD sequence of rmf mRNA is involved in polymamine stimulation of RMF synthesis.

Selective Structural Change of the Bulged-out Region in Double-stranded RNA of rmf mRNA by Spermidine — Structural changes of the bulged-out region induced by spermidine were studied using synthetic RNAs containing the wild type or the mutated initiation region of the rmf mRNA. Structural changes induced by spermidine of RMF WT RNA, which corresponds to the double-stranded region of -42nd to -26th and -11th to +1st of rmf mRNA (Fig. 5A), RMF -6(C→G) RNA, which substitutes cytidine with guanosine nucleotide at the -6th position of RMF WT RNA (Fig. 5D), and RMF -35(ΔU) RNA, which lacks one uridine nucleotide at -35th position of RMF WT RNA (Fig. 5G), were analyzed in the presence of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 50 mM KCl at 37 °C. A substantial increase in the relative intensity of the negative band at 208 nm in CD reflects stabilization (or an increase) of the A-form double-stranded RNA (36). There was a marked increase in the relative intensity of the negative band at 208 nm induced by 1.6 mM spermidine in RMF WT RNA including the bulged-out region in double-stranded RNA, and this was greater than the increase in RMF -6(C→G) RNA and RMF -35(ΔU) RNA which do not have the marked bulged-out region in the double-stranded RNA (Figs. 5B, 5E and 5H). In contrast, 1.6 mM Mg²⁺ or putrescine had a much smaller effect than spermidine on RMF WT RNA, RMF -6(C→G) RNA and RMF -35(ΔU) RNA. A marked increase in the relative intensity of the negative band at 208 nm in RMF WT RNA by 1.6 mM spermidine was also observed in the presence of 1.6 mM Mg²⁺ (data not shown). Concentration dependent shifts of RMF WT RNA, RMF -6(C→G) RNA and RMF -35(ΔU) RNA induced by spermidine, putrescine, or Mg²⁺ at 208 nm were then measured (Figs. 5C, 5F and 5I). It was confirmed that the structural change of RMF WT RNA produced by spermidine was much greater than that produced by putrescine or Mg²⁺, but that spermidine had little effect on the RMF -6(C→G) RNA and RMF -35(ΔU) RNA. The structural changes of three RMF RNAs induced by putrescine were slightly greater than those induced by Mg²⁺. The apparent dissociation constants (Kd) for spermidine, putrescine and Mg²⁺ are shown on Figs. 5C, 5F and 5I. These results clearly show that spermidine caused a selective structural change of the bulged-out region in double-stranded RNA at the initiation site of rmf mRNA.

Increase in Cell Viability by RMF — It was shown that polyamine deficiency greatly reduces cell viability (see Fig. 1C). It was also shown that RMF is strongly involved in cell viability and RpoS assists the function of RMF in cell viability (19, 22, 39, 40), and synthesis of both proteins are enhanced by polyamines (see Fig. 2A). Thus, we examined whether reductions in RMF and RpoS caused by polyamine deficiency are involved in reduced cell viability when cells are cultured without polyamines. For these experiments, plasmids encoding modified rmf and rpoS genes, in which RMF and RpoS synthesis is not influenced by polyamines, were used. These constructs were pMW-lacRMF(SD*) (see Fig. 3B) pMW-lacRMF -6(C→G), pMW-lacRMF -35(ΔU) (see Fig. 4), pMW-lacRpoS (33CAG) (14), and pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG). With these constructs, RMF and RpoS were synthesized effectively even in the absence of polyamines (Fig. 6B). In the absence of
polyamines, cell viability was enhanced in parallel with the increase in the level of RMF and RpoS (Fig. 6A). Effect of RMF on cell viability was greater than that of RpoS. Under these conditions, cell viability of *E. coli* MA261 rmf::Cm cultured in the presence of polyamines on day 7 decreased to 10% of that of *E. coli* MA261 cultured in the presence of polyamines (data not shown). In the presence of polyamines, RMF and RpoS did not enhance cell viability significantly (Fig. 6A). The results indicate that polyamines contribute to cell viability of *E. coli* at least in part by enhancing RMF synthesis.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown thus far that polyamines enhance cell growth through an increase in general protein synthesis by activating 30S ribosomal subunits (2, 7, 8) and increase in specific kinds of protein synthesis which is important for cell growth (2, 9, 10). We proposed that a set of genes whose expression is enhanced by polyamines at the level of translation can be classified as a “polyamine modulon”.

In this study, we found that polyamine deficiency caused a decrease in cell viability at the stationary phase. Therefore, we looked for members of the polyamine modulon that are involved in cell viability. It was found that RMF synthesis is strongly enhanced by polyamines at the level of translation. So, the *rmf* gene is the first member of the polyamine modulon identified at the stationary phase. Transformation of a plasmid encoding a modified *rmf* gene, in which RMF synthesis is not influenced by polyamines, reversed the effects on cell viability caused by polyamine deficiency, confirming that RMF is strongly involved in cell viability (19). A decrease in RpoS was also involved in the decrease in cell viability caused by polyamine deficiency, because polyamines enhanced the synthesis of RpoS about 2.0-fold at the stationary phase. We previously reported that overaccumulation of spermidine in a spermidine acetyltransferase-deficient *E. coli* CAG2242 also caused a decrease in cell viability (40). When 2 mM spermidine was added to medium during the culture of this strain, spermidine content became about 200 nmol/mg protein although putrescine content became negligible. The level of spermidine increased more than 20-fold compared with that in polyamine-containing MA261 cells (see Fig. 1B). Under these conditions, RMF synthesis was greatly decreased and RpoS synthesis was slightly decreased, confirming that RMF is strongly involved in cell viability, and RpoS assisted the function of RMF in cell viability (40). Thus, maintenance of the optimal polyamine concentration, which stimulates the synthesis of RMF and RpoS, is important for cell viability.

Polyamines enhance specific kinds of protein synthesis by three different mechanisms in *E. coli*. First, polyamine stimulation of protein synthesis takes place when the SD sequence is distant from the initiation codon AUG or obscure as in case of *oppA*, *fecI*, *fix*, *rpoN*, *hns*, *rpoE*, *spa* and *rmf*-mRNAs (9, 11, 12, 16, and this study). Second, polyamines enhance translation initiation from the inefficient initiation codon UUG or GUG in *cya* and *cra*-mRNAs (13, 16). Third, polyamines stimulate read-through of termination codon or stimulate a +1 frameshift at the termination codon existing in the open reading frame in *rpoS* and *prfB*-mRNAs (14, 15). Although these conditions are essential for polyamine stimulation of protein synthesis, it also requires the existence of a bulged-out structure in double-stranded RNA. Such structures are selectively changed by spermidine, but not by Mg²⁺ (2, 10, 11). In the case of RMF synthesis, SD sequence of *rmf* mRNA was distant from the initiation codon AUG, and a bulged-out region in double-stranded RNA existed at the initiation site of *rmf* mRNA. Thus, the initiation of RMF synthesis was fulfilled effectively in the presence of polyamines through the selective structural change of the bulged-out region in double-stranded RNA at the initiation site of *rmf* mRNA.

Excess spermidine also inhibited RMF synthesis (40). In this case, the structure of the bulged-out region in double-stranded RNA may become too tight, so that the SD sequence of *rmf* mRNA...
mRNA may not be able to come close to the initiation codon AUG. Thus, it is important to clarify how different concentrations of each polyamine, that is, putrescine and spermidine, causes the structural change of RNA. From our present data, putrescine can cause smaller structural changes than spermidine even at high concentrations. Since more than 10 mM spermidine precipitated RNA during CD measurement of RNA, another strategy is necessary to evaluate the structure of RNA in the presence of high concentration of spermidine.

With regard to the function of RMF on cell viability, it is thought that inhibition of wasteful protein synthesis through formation of 100S dimers of ribosomes is strongly related to cell viability (18). It has been also shown that the stringent response factor, ppGpp, which is required for long-term survival (41), positively regulates rmf transcription (42), and synthesis of ppGpp is enhanced by polyamines (43). Thus, polyamines contribute to the increase in cell viability by regulating synthesis of both ppGpp and RMF, which inhibit RNA and protein synthesis. It should be clarified whether RMF inhibits protein synthesis in general or specific kinds of proteins.

It has been reported that decarboxylated S-adenosyl-L-methionine (dcAdoMet) accumulates at concentrations of 300 to 500 µM as a consequence of the inhibition of putrescine biosynthesis in mammalian cells (44, 45). However, it was not clear whether dcAdoMet is involved in the inhibition of cell growth during polyamine deficiency. During the growth of E. coli MA261 in the absence of polyamines, approximately 500 µM dcAdoMet accumulated at the logarithmic phase, but the level of dcAdoMet at the stationary phase was negligible (data not shown). Thus, it is clear that dcAdoMet is not involved in the decrease in cell viability and RMF synthesis by polyamine deficiency. In a cell-free protein synthetic system, 1 mM spermidine greatly enhanced RMF synthesis (data not shown), confirming that polyamine stimulation of RMF synthesis is directly involved in the increase in cell viability.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Growth, polyamine content and cell viability of E. coli MA261. A. Culture of E. coli MA261 cells with (●) or without (○) 100 µg/ml putrescine. Polyamine effect was examined at A540=0.2, 0.6, and 24 and 36 h after the onset of cell culture. Time points are shown as dotted lines. B. Polyamine content in cells was measured at the indicated time. Contents of putrescine (upper) and spermidine (lower) of cells cultured in the absence (open column) and presence (closed column) of 100 µg/ml putrescine were shown. C. Cell viability was determined as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Values are means ± standard errors of triplicate determinations. PUT, putrescine; SPD, spermidine.

Fig. 2. Levels of RpoS (σ38), OmpC and RMF in E. coli MA261 cultured in the presence and absence of putrescine. A. Western blot analysis was performed using 5 µg protein for RpoS (σ38) and OmpC, and 25 µg protein for RMF. Percentage of acrylamide used in SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was 10.5% for RpoS (σ38) and OmpC, and 15% for RMF. B. Dot blot analysis of rmf mRNA in E. coli MA261 harvested at A540=0.2 or 24 h after the onset of cell culture was performed using various amounts of RNA shown in the figure. C. Immunoprecipitation of [35S]methionine-labeled RMF was performed using E. coli MA261 cells incubated with [35S]methionine for 5 min as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Values shown in A to C are means ± standard errors of triplicate determinations. D. Ribosomal profiles were analyzed by sucrose density gradient centrifugation using the cell lysate of E. coli MA261 cells harvested at A540=0.2 or 24 h after the onset of cell culture. Student’s t test was performed for the value obtained in the presence of putrescine versus in the absence of putrescine. ns, p>0.05; *, p≤0.05; **, p≤0.01. PUT, putrescine.

Fig. 3. Effect of the position of SD sequence on polyamine stimulation of RMF synthesis. A. Nucleotide sequence of 5′-untranslated region of rmf mRNA was shown. Site-directed mutagenesis to change the position of SD sequence was performed as described under “Experimental Procedures.” B. E. coli MA261 rmf::Cm (MA261 Δrmf) carrying pMW-lacRMF(WT), pMW-lacRMF(SD) or pMW-lacRMF(SD*) was cultured in medium A with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside in the presence and absence of 100 µg/ml putrescine for 24 h. Western blotting of RMF synthesized from wild type and SD sequence modified rmf mRNAs was performed using 5 µg protein. Values are means ± standard errors of triplicate determinations. ns, p>0.05; *, p≤0.05; **, p≤0.01.

Fig. 4. Effect of polyamines on the synthesis of RMF-β-Gal fusion proteins derived from wild and mutated rmf-lacZ mRNAs in the 5′-untranslated region. A. Possible secondary structure of
the initiation region of rmf mRNA was constructed by the method of Zuker (34). The positions in which the sequence was mutated are shown. Symbols of \( \triangle \) and \( \rightarrow \) in parenthesis represent removal and replacement of nucleotide, respectively. B. Effect of polyamines on RMF-\( \beta \)-Gal fusion protein synthesis was evaluated by Western blot analysis using 5 \( \mu \)g of protein of cell lysate and antibody against \( \beta \)-galactosidase. Values are means \pm standard errors of triplicate determinations. ns, \( p>0.05 \); **, \( p \leq 0.01 \). PUT, putrescine.

Fig. 5. CD spectra of RMF WT RNA, RMF -6(C\( \rightarrow \)G) RNA, and RMF -35(\( \Delta \)U) RNA. A, D, and G. Structure of RMF WT RNA, RMF -6(C\( \rightarrow \)G) RNA, and RMF -35(\( \Delta \)U) RNA. B, E, and H. CD spectra were recorded as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Green line, no addition; blue line, 1.6 mM Mg\(^{2+}\); red line, 1.6 mM spermidine. C, F, and I. Concentration-dependent shifts induced by Mg\(^{2+}\) (○), putrescine (▲), and spermidine (●) at 37 °C in magnitude at 208 nm are shown. Values are means \pm standard errors of triplicate determinations. The \( K_d \) values of spermidine, putrescine and Mg\(^{2+}\) for RMF WT RNA, RMF -6(C\( \rightarrow \)G) RNA, and RMF -35(\( \Delta \)U) RNA at 37 °C were determined according to the double-reciprocal equation plot. SPD, spermidine; PUT, putrescine.

Fig. 6. Recovery of the decrease in cell viability under polyamine deficiency by RMF and/or RpoS. A. E. coli MA261 cells carrying various plasmids were cultured in medium A with 0.5 mM isopropyl-\( \beta \)-D-thiogalactopyranoside in the presence and absence of 100 \( \mu \)g/ml putrescine. Cell viability was determined as described under “Experimental Procedures.” ●—●, MA261/pMW-lacRMF(WT) cultured with putrescine; ▲—▲, MA261/pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG) cultured with putrescine; ●—●, MA261/pMW-lacRMF(WT) cultured without putrescine; □—□, MA261/pMW-lacRMF(SD*) cultured without putrescine; ○—○, MA261/pMW-lacRMF -6(C\( \rightarrow \)G) cultured without putrescine; ○—○, MA261/pMW-lacRMF -35(\( \Delta \)U) cultured without putrescine; △—△, MA261/pMW-lacRpoS(33CAG) cultured without putrescine; ▲—▲, MA261/pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG) cultured without putrescine. B. Level of RMF and RpoS in cells cultured with or without putrescine for 36 h was measured by Western blotting using 5 \( \mu \)g protein. Values are means \pm standard errors of triplicate determinations. ns, \( p>0.05 \); *, \( p \leq 0.05 \); **, \( p \leq 0.01 \). PUT, putrescine.
A. Cell growth

Figure 1

B. Polyamine content

C. Cell viability
A. Western blotting of RpoS, OmpC, RMF

Figure 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PUT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>36 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3**</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.6**</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4**</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PUT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1ns</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1ns</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PUT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Dot blotting of rmf mRNA

C. Immunoprecipitation of [35S]Met-labeled RMF

D. Sucrose density gradient centrifugation profiles of ribosomes
A. Structure of \textit{rmf} genes
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A. Possible secondary structure of the initiation region of rmf mRNA

rmf mRNA from -65 to +65

SD sequence

-35 (ΔU)
-36 (ΔC)
-6 (C→G)
Initiation codon

+56 (C→G)

B. Western blotting of RMF-β-Gal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUT</th>
<th>MA261 ΔlacZ / pMW rmf-lacZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMF-β-Gal</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Relative amount | 100 | 463 | 375 | 379 | 255 | 342 | 229 | 501 | 141 | 687 |
| Polyamine Stimulation (-fold) | 4.6 ± 0.3** | 1.0 ± 0.1ns | 1.3 ± 0.1** | 2.2 ± 0.2** | 4.9 ± 0.2** |
**Figure 5**

**A** RMF WT

\[(\Delta G: -6.3 \text{ kcal/mol})\]

```
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```

**B**

![Graph showing ellipticity shift](image)

**C**

- SPD, K_d: 0.31 mM
- PUT, K_d: 0.45 mM
- Mg^{2+}, K_d: 0.54 mM

**D** RMF

\[\Delta G: -10.2 \text{ kcal/mol}\]
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**E**

![Graph showing ellipticity shift](image)

**F**

- SPD, K_d: 0.22 mM
- PUT, K_d: 0.38 mM
- Mg^{2+}, K_d: 0.42 mM

**G** RMF

\[\Delta G: -8.3 \text{ kcal/mol}\]

```
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```

**H**

![Graph showing ellipticity shift](image)

**I**

- SPD, K_d: 0.26 mM
- PUT, K_d: 0.42 mM
- Mg^{2+}, K_d: 0.47 mM

**Shift in ellipticity**

\[\theta \times 10^4 \text{ (degcm}^2\text{dmole}^{-1})\]

**Wavelength (nm)**

- 200
- 210
- 220
- 230
- 240
- 250
- 260
- 270
- 280
- 290
- 300
- 310
- 320

**Temperature**

37 °C
A. Cell viability

![Graph showing cell viability over time for different constructs.]

- pMW-lacRMF(WT), -PUT
- pMW-lacRMF(WT), +PUT
- pMW-lacRMF(SD*), -PUT
- pMW-lacRMF -6(C-G), -PUT
- pMW-lacRMF -35(ΔU), -PUT
- pMW-lacRpoS(33CAG), -PUT
- pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG), -PUT
- pMW-lacRMF(SD*)-RpoS(33CAG), +PUT

B. Western blotting of RMF and RpoS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUT</th>
<th>RMF</th>
<th>RpoS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>SD*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polyamine Stimulation (-fold)</th>
<th>Relative amount</th>
<th>RMF</th>
<th>RpoS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>100 445</td>
<td>136 386</td>
<td>566 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1ns</td>
<td>438 427</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>566 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>224 341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3**</td>
<td>203 376</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>136 386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1ns</td>
<td>438 427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Polyamine Stimulation (fold)
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