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Background: PGRP-S is an innate immunity protein that protects host from invading microbes.

Results: CPGRP-S forms linear polymers with alternating A-B and C-D contacts and both GlcNAc and MurNAc bind at the same subsite.

Conclusion: The mode of binding of camel PGRP-S is different from other PGRPs.

Significance: The better antibacterial properties of camel PGRP-S can be exploited for therapeutic applications.

ABSTRACT

Peptidoglycan (PGN) consists of repeating units of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) which are cross-linked by short peptides. It is well known that PGN forms a major cell wall component of bacteria making it an important ligand for the recognition by peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs) of the host. The binding studies showed that PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc bind to camel PGRP-S (CPGRP-S) with affinities corresponding to dissociation constants of $1.3\times10^{-9}$ M, $2.6\times10^{-7}$ M and $1.8\times10^{-7}$ M respectively. The crystal structure determinations of the complexes of CPGRP-S with GlcNAc and MurNAc showed that the structures consist of four crystallographically independent molecules A, B, C and D in the asymmetric unit that exist as A-B and C-D units of two neighbouring linear polymers. The structure determinations showed that compounds GlcNAc and MurNAc bound to CPGRP-S at the same subsite in molecule C. Both GlcNAc and MurNAc form several hydrogen bonds and extensive hydrophobic interactions with protein atoms indicating the specific nature of their bindings. Flow cytometric studies showed that PGN enhanced the secretions of TNF-α and IL-6 from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). The introduction of CPGRP-S to the PGN-challenged cultured PBMCs reduced the expressions of pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α and IL-6. This showed that CPGRP-S inhibited PGN-induced production of proinflammatory cytokines and down-regulated macrophage-mediated inflammation indicating its potential applications as an antibacterial agent.

INTRODUCTION

The first line of defense in the host against invading microorganisms is provided by its innate immune system which contains several proteins including peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs). PGRPs recognize conserved motifs that are present on the bacterial cell surface and are known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (1). Similar patterns are absent in the mammalian systems (2). One of the most commonly occurring PAMPs is peptidoglycan (PGN) molecule which is a polymer of β(1-4)N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) with all lactyl groups of MurNAc substituted with stem peptides containing alternating L- and D-amino acids (3). The peptide chain from one strand is either directly cross-linked to the neighboring chain of polysaccharides or through a short peptide. The polysaccharide strands generally maintain a constant structure in various bacteria. In a contrast, the sequences and conformations of cross-linking peptides may vary considerably. It is well known that in Gram-negative bacteria, the third residue is meso-diaminopimelic acid (m-Dap) while in Gram-positive bacteria, it is L-lysine (L-Lys). In order to characterize the binding properties of CPGRP-S with PGN and various other PAMPs, we have determined the crystal structures of three complexes of CPGRP-S with lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 4), lipoteichoic acid (LTA, 4) and muramyl...
dipeptide (MDP, 5). The structures of a few other complexes of different PGRPs such as human PGRP-Iα (HPGRP-Iα) with muramyl tripeptide (MTP, 6), muramyl pentapeptide (MPP, 7), human PGRP-Iβ (HPGRP-Iβ) with muramyl pentapeptide (GPP, 8) as well as with drosophila PGRP-LCa (DPGRP-LCa) and drosophila PGRP-LCx (DPGRP-LCx) with tracheal cytotoxin (TCT, 9) have also been reported.

The presence of PGN in virtually all bacterial cell walls and its absence in mammalian cell walls makes it an important target for the recognition by PGRPs (10-20). It may be noted that PGRPs are part of innate immune system in mammals and show distinct specificities toward PGNs (15). In view of this, it is important to understand the role of PGRPs and to achieve this, it is essential to determine the modes of binding of PGNs to PGRPs through binding studies and crystallographic investigations. On the basis of their sizes and biological actions, the mammalian PGRPs are broadly divided into two types: (i) PGRPs as recognition molecules such as PGRP-short (PGRP-S), PGRP-intermediate (PGRP-Iα and PGRP-Iβ) and (ii) the catalytic molecule such as PGRP-long (PGRP-L). In one of the recent reports, the peptide component of PGN was suggested to be a more critical factor than its glycan moieties in the binding to PGRPs (21). It may be mentioned here that the two glycan moieties N-acetylglicosamine (GlcNAc) and N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) are regular features of various PAMPs whereas the sequences of cross-linking peptides often vary (11). Therefore, in order to determine the significance of the presence of GlcNAc and MurNAc in PGN and their roles in the recognition of PGN by PGRPs, we have carried out detailed binding studies of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc in solution and determined the crystal structures of the complexes of CPGRP-S with (i) GlcNAc and (ii) MurNAc. The binding constants of CPGRP-S with PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc have been estimated using surface plasmon resonance and fluorescence spectroscopic techniques. The significance of molecular interactions have been analyzed by determining the effects of CPGRP-S on the expression levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α and IL-6 in the PGN-challenged human peripheral blood mononuclear cell cultures using flow cytometry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Purification - Fresh samples of camel milk were obtained from the National Research Centre on Camels, Bikaner, India. The skimmed milk was diluted twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. The cation exchanger CM-sephadex (C-50), pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 at a concentration of 7 g/l was added to the diluted samples and stirred slowly for 1 hour with a glass rod. The gel was allowed to settle for half an hour after which the solution was decanted. The gel was washed with excess of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. It was packed in a column (25 × 2.5 cm) and washed with same buffer until the absorbance reduced to 0.05 at 280 nm. After this, the bound basic proteins were eluted with 0.5 M NaCl in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and desalted by dialyzing the sample against triple distilled water. The desalted fraction was again passed through a CM-sephadex (C-50) column (10 × 2.5 cm) which was pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and eluted with 0.05 - 0.5 M NaCl in the same buffer. The eluted fractions were examined on the sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The fractions corresponding to a molecular weight of 20 kDa were pooled. The pooled fractions were concentrated with Amicon ultrafiltration cell. The concentrated protein was passed through Sephadex G-100 column (100 × 2 cm) using 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. Two peaks with one peak in the void volume were observed when the fractions were read at a wavelength of 280 nm. These were examined using SDS-PAGE and matrix assisted laser desorption /ionization- time of flight (MALDI-TOF). In SDS-PAGE, both the peaks corresponded to the molecular weight of 20 kDa of PGRP-S. In MALDI-TOF, a single peak of molecular weight of 20 kDa was observed.

PGN-binding assay - Lys-type PGN from Bacillus subtilis (PGN1) and DAP-type PGN from Escherichia coli (PGN2) were obtained from Sigma- Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). PGN binding assay was carried out according to the procedure of Takehana et al (22). The purified CPGRP-S (0.5µg) was incubated with 0.32 mg insoluble Lys-type PGN or DAP-type PGN. Unbound protein isolated from the soluble fraction and bound protein recovered after washing the PGN with Tris-maleate buffer containing 1 M
NaCl and 1 M NaCl plus 0.2% Tween 20 were examined using SDS-PAGE.

Surface plasmon resonance analysis of CPGRP-PGN interactions - The biospecific interaction analysis was performed using BIAcore 2000 biosensor system (Biacore Inc., Uppsala, Sweden). The CM-5 research grade sensor chip, HBS-EP buffer, and immobilization reagents (1-ethyl-3-(3- N, N-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS), and ethanolamine) were obtained from Biacore Inc. (Uppsala, Sweden) phosphate-buffered saline was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). All solutions were filtered using a 0.22-µm polyethersulfone membrane syringe filter and degassed prior to use. CPGRP-S was covalently immobilized by a standard amine coupling procedure using the amine coupling kit supplied by the manufacturer. A fixed flow rate of 10 µl/min was used throughout the immobilization procedure with HBS-EP (pH 7.4, 0.01 M HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% P20) as the running buffer. The surface was activated using 70 µl of freshly mixed 1:1 100 mM NHS and 391 mM EDC for 7 min. Upon activation, a 60 µg/ml solution of CPGRP-S in 10 mM NaOAc (pH 4.5) was injected for 8 min. The remaining active esters on the surface were quenched using 70 µl of 1.0 M ethanolamine (pH 8.5) for 7 min. A ligand density of 4935 RU was achieved. Three different concentrations of analytes PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc were passed over immobilized CPGRP-S at a flow rate of 10 µl/min in each case. PGN used in this experiment was PGN-ECndss ultrapure which was soluble sonicated peptidoglycan from Escherichia coli K12 (PGN3) obtained from InvivoGen, San Diego, USA. The regeneration of the protein surface from bound analytes was done by injecting 10 mM NaOH, pH 11.4, at a flow rate of 30 µl/min. The association (K_{on}) and dissociation (K_{off}) rate constants for the bindings of analytes to CPGRP-S were calculated and the values of dissociation constants (K_{d}) were determined by the mass action relation K_{d} = K_{off}/ K_{on} using BIA evaluation 3.0 software provided by the manufacturer.

Fluorescence spectroscopy - The fluorescence emission spectra were recorded on spectrofluorometer, FP-6200 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) using a 1cm quartz cell in the wavelength range of 300-450 nm using an excitation wavelength of 280 nm at 298 K. The excitation and emission slits were set at the same value of 5 nm with the scanning speed of 125 nm/min. The final concentrations of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc used for the recording of emission spectra were 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 µl in 10 mM HEPES buffer pH 8.0 from the stock solutions of ligands prepared at concentrations of 1×10^{-7} M in the same buffer, whereas CPGRP-S concentration was kept fixed at 1×10^{-9} M for all the measurements. The fluorescence effects of all the three ligands were subtracted from the spectra of CPGRP-S in complexes and the binding were obtained.

Antibacterial activity assay - The importance of protein-PGN interactions in the antimicrobial activity of CPGRP-S were assessed using suspension assays. The E.coli bacterial cells were grown to mid-log phase in 1×TSB (3% w/v; 1×TSB contains 0.5% NaCl (approximately 86 mM) at 37°C. The 10 µl aliquots of cells were added to 2 ml TSB. The purified CPGRP-S was added to a final concentration of 25 µg/ml, either alone or supplemented with 100 µg/ml S. aureus PGN or E. coli LPS (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The tubes were shaken at 300 rpm for 5 hours and bacterial density was monitored by measurements of optical density (OD) at 600 nm at 1-hour intervals. In order to minimize the effect of bacterial aggregation on OD, the cell suspensions were stirred for 1 minute before each measurement.

Isolation of PBMCs from peripheral blood - In this study, a total of 7 peripheral blood samples from healthy adults were analyzed. All peripheral blood samples were collected in heparinized vial and immediately processed for the analysis of cytokine production. All samples were obtained with the approval of the local Ethical Committee after informed consent had been given by the donor. The mononuclear cells were isolated from heparinized blood by ficoll hypaque gradient centrifugation and suspended in complete RPMI-1640 (Caisson Laboratories, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 2mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum. The viability of cells was measured by trypan blue dye exclusion test.
and was greater than 97%. These cells were used for *in vitro* culture.

Cell Culture and Flow cytometry - The *in vitro* stimulation of freshly isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was performed for measuring pro-inflammatory cytokines production with PGN. These cells were cultured in three different experimental conditions (i) media alone (ii) PGN-10 μg/ml, (iii) PGN-10 μg/ml + PGRP-5 μg/ml in presence of 10 μg/ml of brefeldin, a Golgi transport inhibitor (Sigma, St Louis, USA). After 24 hrs, culture cells were washed and surface stained with anti-CD3 (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) followed by intracellular staining for TNF-α and IL-6 (BD Bioscience, San Diego, USA). The stained cells were run in BD FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) and subsequently analyzed by FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, USA). Statistical significance of results was determined using Sigma Plot and Prism 5 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, USA).

Measurement of molecular size using dynamic light scattering - Light scattering is extremely sensitive for determining even small amounts of aggregates. Protein samples were prepared in distilled water at various concentrations (2 mg/ml, 1mg/ml and 0.5 mg/ml) for which hydrodynamic radii were obtained. In order to evaluate the effects of salt on aggregating behaviour of CPGRP-S, various concentrations of NaCl (0.5M, 1M, 2M, 2.5M and 5.0M) were added to the protein solution. In order to assess the influence of organic solvents, these measurements were also made in the presence of 5%, 10% and 20% ethanol. In all these experiments, the protein concentration was maintained at 1 mg/ml.

Transmission electron microscopy – Protein samples were dissolved in distilled water at concentration of 1 mg/ml. The samples were agitated gently before being spotted on a 400-mesh carbon-coated EM grid for two minutes and fixed with 4% para-formaldehyde (PFA) for 30 Seconds. Micrographs were recorded using transmission electron microscope (FEI Tecnai 20 S TWIN, The Netherlands).

**Crystallization** - Freshly purified samples of protein were dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 containing 10mM GlcNAc and 10mM MurNAc in separate vials to a concentration of 15 mg/ml. The 3 μl of above solutions were mixed with equal volumes of reservoir solutions of 10% polyethylene glycol-3350 (PEG-3350) containing 0.2 M sodium potassium tartrate. The 6 μl drops were set up using hanging drop vapour diffusion method against 2 ml of reservoir solution. The crystals from these two samples grew to average dimensions of 0.4×0.3×0.3 mm³ and 0.5×0.3×0.3 mm³ respectively in about three weeks. The freshly grown crystals of CPGRP-S complexes with (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc were used for data collection.

Detection of GlcNAc and MurNAc in the crystals - In order to confirm the presence of GlcNAc and MurNAc molecules in the crystals of two samples, the crystals of both preparations of CPGRP-S with GlcNAc and MurNAc were used. Initially, the crystals were washed thoroughly with distilled water to remove unbound material from the surface and then these were allowed to dissolve until a clear solution was obtained. Both solutions were incubated with 1M NaCl for one hour and then ultrafiltered using a membrane with a molecular weight cut off of 3kDa. The concentration of GlcNAc in the filtrate was estimated using the method of Reissig et al. (23) with a slight modification. To the sample, contained in a volume of 0.5 ml in a 100 X 13 mm test-tube, >0.1 ml of potassium tetra-borate was added. The tubes were heated in a vigorously boiling water bath for exactly 3 minutes and cooled in tap water. 3 ml of p-dimethyl-aminobenzaldehyde (DMAB) reagent was added. Immediately after mixing, the tubes were placed in a water bath at 38°C. After 20 minutes the tubes were cooled in tap water and read out at 585 nm. At 38°C, full color developed in 20 minutes. In another experiment, the qualitative detection of MurNAc was carried out using the method of Hadzija (24). The samples were hydrolyzed by heating for 2 h at 90°C with an equal volume of 5 M H₂SO₄ and then neutralized with 10 M NaOH. Hydrolyzed and unhydrolyzed samples (100 μl each) were incubated with 50 μl of 1 M NaOH at 36°C for 30 minutes. After the addition of 1 ml of
18.8 M H₂SO₄ (concentrated), samples were heated for 3.5 min at 100°C, rapidly cooled in ice, and then mixed with 10 ml of 0.16 M CuSO₄·5H₂O in H₂O and 20 µl of 0.09 M p-hydroxydiphenyl in ethanol. The blue color developed to a maximum in 30 minutes at 30°C which was read at 570 nm.

**X-ray intensity data collection and processing**

The freshly grown crystals of two complexes of CPGRP-S with GlcNAc and MurNAc were stabilized by adding 30% PEG-3350 and 0.2 M sodium potassium tartrate for data collection at low temperatures. The crystals were mounted in nylon loops and flash-frozen in the liquid nitrogen at 100 K. Two X-ray intensity data sets were collected using one crystal each of dimensions of 0.41×0.32×0.28 mm³ and 0.45×0.36×0.28 mm³ for the complexes of CPGRP-S with (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc respectively. The DBT-sponsored MX beamline, BM14 at ESRF, Grenoble, France with a wavelength of \( \lambda = 0.98 \) Å on 165 mm MAR CCD detector was used for sets of data collection. The data were processed with AUTOMAR and SCALEPACK from HKL package (25). The overall completeness of data for the crystals of the complexes of CPGRP-S with (a) GlcNAc was 94.1% to 2.60 Å resolution and with (b) MurNAc, it was 99.8% to 2.60 Å resolution. The results of data collection and processing on both crystals are given in Table 1.

**Structure determination and refinement**

Structures of the complexes of CPGRP-S with (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc were determined using molecular replacement method (26). The coordinates of the unbound CPGRP-S (PDB ID: 3C2X, 27) were used as the model. The structures were refined using program REFMAC 5.5 (28). The structures were gradually improved by repeated manual model building using program O (29) and Coot (30). The tight main-chain and side-chain non-crystallographic symmetry restraints between four crystallographically independent molecules A, B, C and D were used in the refinement. The electron density maps (2Fo-Fc) and (Fo-Fc) were calculated for adjusting the protein chains in the electron densities. After several rounds of model rebuilding and intermittent cycles of refinements, \( R_{\text{cryst}} \) factors for the two structures containing, (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc dropped to 0.282 and 0.308 respectively. The omit maps were calculated by deleting short segments one by one covering the complete protein chain. The positions of side chains of amino acid residues were adjusted manually. The group temperature factor B refinements were used to further improve the model. These steps further reduced the values of \( R_{\text{cryst}} \) factors to 25.3% and 27.8% for structures (a) and (b) respectively. The Fourier (2Fo-Fc) and difference Fourier (Fo-Fc) maps computed at this stage showed the presence of significant non-protein electron densities at the ligand binding cleft in molecule C. It may be recalled that the ligand binding site in CPGRP-S is located in molecule C at C-D contact (4, 5). The ligands GlcNAc and MurNAc were fitted well into the electron densities (Fig. 1). However, their occupancies were optimized at 0.7. The atoms of both ligands were added to the respective models in the further cycles of refinements with isotropic B-factors. After this, the coordinates of water oxygen atoms were also added to the model for refinements in the subsequent cycles. The values of \( R_{\text{cryst}}/R_{\text{free}} \) factors converged finally to 0.196/0.214 and 0.223/0.246 respectively. The final models of the two complexes of CPGRP-S with (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc comprised of four crystallographically independent protein molecules A, B, C and D each having residues from 1 to 171 and a bound GlcNAc molecule in structure (a) and a bound MurNAc molecule in structure (b) It may be noted that the electron densities for the first five N-terminal residues in all the four protein molecules of the two structures were relatively weak indicating that the N-terminal fragment of CPGRP-S was flexible. However, the directions of the protein chains could be followed unambiguously (Fig. S1). The positions of 319 and 338 water oxygen atoms in the complexes of CPGRP-S with (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc respectively were also determined. The data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table 1.

**RESULTS**

**PGN binding analysis**

- Even after repeated washings, the CPGRP-S was retained by both Lys-type PGN from *S.aureus* and DAP-type PGN from *E.coli*. Although it is stated that PGRPs bind either Lys-type PGN or DAP-type PGN, the present results indicated that CPGRP-S has affinity for
both Lys-type as well as for DAP-type PGNs. Even though the sensitivity of the current assay is not sufficiently high to quantitate the differential bindings of CPGRP-S to these PGNs, it is clearly observed that CPGRP-S binds to both compounds equally well although it shows a slightly higher affinity for DAP-type PGNs (Fig. 2).

**Antibacterial activity** - The effect of PGN was examined on the inhibition of antimicrobial activity of CPGRP-S (Fig. 3). The effect of LPS on the inhibition of antimicrobial activity of CPGRP-S was also plotted as a reference for comparison. The inclusion of excess *S. aureus* PGN (100 µg/ml) or *E. coli* LPS (100 µg/ml) completely blocked the CPGRP-S (25 µg/ml)–mediated growth inhibition of *S. aureus*. Neither *S. aureus* PGN nor *E. coli* LPS affected growth in the absence of CPGRP-S. These results indicate that CPGRP-S inhibits the growth of bacteria presumably by the mechanism that includes the binding of bacterial cell wall components, PGN and LPS to the protein.

**Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy** - The binding experiments of CPGRP-S were carried out with PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc using real time surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy. The SPR sensograms showing the association and dissociation curves for PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc with the immobilized CPGRP-S are given in Fig. S2. The global fitting of the primary data to a Langmuir 1:1 association model using the BIA evaluation 3.0 software package provided the values of the dissociation constants (Kds) of 1.6×10⁻⁹ M, 2.6×10⁻⁷ M and 1.8×10⁻⁷ M for the bindings of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc respectively. These values indicate that CPGRP-S binds to GlcNAc and MurNAc with similar affinities.

**Interactions of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc with CPGRP-S using fluorescence spectroscopy** - Fluorescence emission spectroscopy was used to determine the binding constants of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc. The emission fluorescence effects of CPGRP-S are contributed by at least four tryptophan amino acid residues. As seen from Fig. S3 the fluorescence emissions of CPGRP-S are obtained at 352 nm. The different concentrations of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc were used to study the interaction with CPGRP-S. Our results showed that, with five increasing concentrations of these ligands (5, 10, 15, 20, and 25µl in 10mM HEPES, pH 8.0 from stock solutions of ligands prepared at 1×10⁻⁷ M in the same buffer) and a fixed concentration of CPGRP-S (1×10⁻⁹ M), the maximum fluorescence (352 nm) of CPGRP-S was quenched upon binding of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc. This indicates that bindings of PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc to CPGRP-S cause changes in the microenvironment of CPGRP-S that result in the formations of CPGRP-S complexes with PGN, GlcNAc and MurNAc. The approximate values of binding constants were found to be of the order 10⁻⁹ M for PGN and 10⁻⁷ M for GlcNAc and MurNAc.

CPGRP-S inhibits PGN-induced expressions of TNF-α and IL-6 - The binding studies using SPR and Fluorescence spectroscopy showed that CPGRP-S has significantly high binding affinities towards PGN and its chemically important moieties such as GlcNAc and MurNAc. Therefore, it was important to determine whether CPGRP-S is capable of inhibiting the PGN-induced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6. The production of PGN-induced TNF-α and IL-6 in human CD3⁺ T cells was estimated using flow cytometer. It showed 80-90% inhibition of PGN augmented TNF-α production with 5 µg/ml of CPGRP-S. However, the concentrations higher than 5 µg/ml of CPGRP-S did not affect further reduction in TNF-α levels in CD3⁺ T cells (Fig. S4). Therefore, in subsequent experiment 5 µg/ml of CPGRP-S was used as the standard dose for the inhibition of PGN-induced production of TNF-α and IL-6. The addition of 10µg/ml PGN to the cultured cells led to the increase in the expression levels of TNF-α (3.4 fold) and IL-6 (2.7 fold) 24 hours post treatment. The increased levels of TNF-α and IL-6 were almost completely abolished (>90% reduction) when the T cells were incubated with 5µg/ml of CPGRP-S along with 10µg/ml of PGN (Fig. 4). This increase in the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 were comparable to those produced by LPS (4) but these were significantly higher than those produced by LTA (4). However, on the addition of CPGRP-S the identical reductions were reached. Therefore, these experimental data support the
hypothesis that CPGRP-S would attenuate the proinflammatory response of T cells to PGN in vitro by sequestering PGN thus making it unavailable to various PAMP-receptors such as CD14, TLRs and CD6 expressed on T cells and are known to recognize PAMPs.

Oligomeric nature of CPGRP-S – Crystal structure determination of CPGRP-S revealed the presence of four crystallographically independent molecules A, B, C and D in the asymmetric unit of the crystal unit cell. The buried surface areas (31, 32) of the interface between molecules A and B was estimated to be 798 Å^2 and between molecules C and D, it was found to be 702 Å^2 while between molecules A and C it was approximately 360 Å^2. The values of buried area between molecules B and D was 111 Å^2. These values indicate that the buried areas of molecules A-B and C-D fall within the ranges associated with oligomerization of proteins (33) and are considerably larger than those observed between molecules in crystal packings (34). It may also be mentioned that out of a total of 13 proline residues in CPGRP-S, nine were located at the interface. It is well known that prolines are frequently found at the interfaces of oligomers (35). The calculations of intermolecular contacts between molecules A and B showed the formations of 13 hydrogen bonds/salt bridges and 129 van der Waals contacts (<4.2 Å) while between molecules C and D, 13 hydrogen bonds and 113 van der Waals contacts (<4.2 Å) were observed. The formations of extensive hydrophobic interactions between protein molecules are considered to be very important for the stability of oligomeric interfaces (36-38). An examination of temperature factors of the residues at the interfaces, in the interior and exterior of the protein structure revealed that the average values of B-factor were the lowest in the interiors (43 Å^2) and the highest (58 Å^2) at the exteriors while those at the interfaces were approximately at the middle of these (50 Å^2). It may be mentioned here that A-B and C-D represent contacts on the opposite faces of CPGRP-S thus indicating the possibility of CPGRP-S to oligomerize linearly with alternating A-B and C-D contacts (Fig. 5). In order to confirm the oligomeric state in solution, measurements were made using dynamic light scattering (DLS) and transmission electron microscopy. The hydrodynamic radii as estimated using DLS varied between 59 nm to 127 nm (Table S1). With reduction in protein concentration the values of hydrodynamic radius decreased. It also decreased when NaCl was added to the protein solution. However, it increased when ethanol was introduced to the protein solution (Table S1). The transmission electron micrographic pattern also indicated the formation of long protein fibers (Fig. S5). The repeated size exclusion chromatography experiments always showed a peak in the void volume inspite of adding various concentrations of NaCl (Fig. S6).

Structure of the complex of CPGRP-S with GlcNAc - The structure of the complex of CPGRP-S with GlcNAc has been determined at 2.60 Å resolution and refined to R_cryst and R_free factors of 19.6% and 21.4% respectively. The superimposition of free and GlcNAc-bound CPGRP-S structures gave an r.m.s difference of 0.35 Å for 171 Cα atoms indicating unappreciable conformational variation upon complex formation. However, minor differences were observed in the orientations of side chains of those residues which are in the proximity of the GlcNAc binding subsite. The segments which form the inner walls of the cleft include Val-A132 – Glu-A142, Ser-C20 – Thr-C27, Trp-C66 – Asp-C68, Arg-C85 – Asn-C99 and Gly-D91 – Asn-D99 (Fig. 6a). GlcNAc forms five direct hydrogen bonds and five hydrogen bonds through water molecules (Table 2A) and 70 van der Waals contacts (<4.2 Å) with protein atoms. A notable feature of the GlcNAc binding subsite is the presence of an intramolecular salt bridge between the side chains of Glu-C24 and Lys-C90 belonging to the flexible loops, Glu1-Arg31 and Arg85-Ile103 which together with Trp-66 form the bottom of the subsite. A similar salt bridge is not formed in HPGRP-S or PGN-binding C-terminal HPGRP-Iα and HPGRP-Iβ because the Glu-24 in these proteins is replaced by Gln/Lys/Arg respectively and its partner Lys-90 is replaced by Thr/Gln/Gln respectively.

Structure of the complex of CPGRP-S with MurNAc - The structure of the complex of CPGRP-S with MurNAc has been determined at 2.60 Å resolution and refined to R_cryst and R_free factors of 22.3% and 24.6% respectively. The superimposition of free and MurNAc-bound
CPGRP-S structures with an r.m.s difference of 0.45Å for 171 Cα atoms does not indicate significant conformational variation upon binding of MurNAc. However, the orientations of some of the side chains in the MurNAc binding subsite were altered considerably, the prominent among them being Glu-C24 and Lys-C90. MurNAc binds at nearly the same subsite where GlcNAc binds to the protein. The segments that constitute the MurNAc binding subsite are Ser-C20 – Thr-C27, Arg-C85 – Asn-C99, Trp-C66 – Asp-C68, Gly-D91 – Asn-D99 and Trp-A166 – Ala-A171 (Fig. 6b). MurNAc makes six direct hydrogen bonds, one salt bridge between Lys-C90 NZ……..O10 = 2.5Å and four hydrogen bonds through water molecules (Table 2B) and 80 van der Waals contacts (upto a distance of 4.2Å). In the cases of native structure (27) and other complexes with non-acidic ligands, the side chain of Lys-C90 forms an intramolecular salt bridge with the side chain of Glu-C24. In contrast, in the complex with MurNAc, the intramolecular salt bridge is broken to facilitate Lys-C90 to form a salt bridge with carboxylic group of MurNAc while Glu-C24 turns away to interact with carboxylic OH of terminal Ala-171. When it is compared with muramyl dipeptide (MDP) (5) in which MurNAc moiety occupies the same subsite as occupied by free MurNAc and GlcNAc in which MurNAc forms eight direct hydrogen bonds and one through water molecule. The dipeptide moiety forms 9 direct hydrogen bonds and 4 through water molecules. Notably the interactions of MurNAc moiety involve protein molecules C and D while peptide component essentially interacts with molecule D.

DISCUSSION

The four crystallographically independent molecules A, B, C and D observed in the asymmetric unit are represented as A-B and C-D units of two neighbouring linear polymers of CPGRP-S. The buried areas as estimated using PISA (39) was slightly higher for the A-B interface than the C-D interface indicating that A-B contact was slightly more stable than the C-D contact. Nevertheless, the buried areas at both the interfaces indicated fairly stable contacts. Hence, the molecules are so arranged in the crystals that they form alternating contacts of types C-D and A-B giving rise to linear chain of protein molecules (Fig. 5). It may be noted that the PAMP-binding site in CPGRP-S is characterized by two subsites (subsites I and II) which are separated by a loop formed between two antiparallel β-strands β5 and β6. Both GlcNAc and MurNAc bound to molecule C and were essentially located in subsite I at the C-D contacts (Fig. 5). The binding and structural studies previously carried out with LPS and LTA (4) have clearly shown that the binding site for GlcNAc and MurNAc containing PAMPs is located at the site of C-D contact. The precise role of A-B contact is not yet clear although due to proximity to the ligand binding site at the C-D contact, molecule A of A-B of the neighbouring unit may provide additional interactions. However, the distant protein molecule B does not interact with short ligands such as GlcNAc and MurNAc and may participate when polymeric PAMPs bind to CPGRP-S at the C-D binding site. It appears that the oligomerization of CPGRP-S occurred to facilitate better interactions with polymeric PAMPs (40). It has been shown that PGRP-S binds to both Lys-type and Dap-type PGNs (41). It is observed here that PGN and two of its glycan moieties, GlcNAc and MurNAc bind to CPGRP-S with significant affinities as indicated by the values of dissociation constants of 1.3×10⁻⁹ M, 2.6×10⁻⁷ M and 1.8×10⁻⁷ M respectively. The examination of intermolecular interactions between CPGRP-S and GlcNAc and MurNAc showed that both compounds bound to protein tightly as they formed several hydrogen bonds and many van der Waals contacts with protein atoms. GlcNAc made 10 hydrogen bonds and 70 van der Waals interactions with a buried surface area of 260 Å² while MurNAc was involved in the formation of 10 hydrogen bonds and 80 van der Waals interactions with a buried surface area of 290 Å². This showed a slightly higher preference for MurNAc than GlcNAc. The binding of GlcNAc to CPGRP-S did not alter the structure of binding subsite appreciably while that of MurNAc induced notable conformational changes in the binding subsite. In this case an intramolecular linkage formed between the side chains of Glu-C24 and Lys-C90 in the unbound protein was broken due to the interaction of MurNAc with CPGRP-S. As a result, the side chains of Glu-C24 and Lys-C90 moved away considerably from their original positions in the unbound CPGRP-S (27). The conformations of other side chains of some neighboring residues
also got perturbed. It is of considerable interest that both the glycan moieties occupied the same pocket in the cleft of molecule C of CPGRP-S. At this subsite, the majority of interactions were provided by molecule C where the pocket is formed while molecules D from the C-D interface and molecule A from the neighboring polymeric chain also contributed several interactions. The excellent fittings of both glycan moieties in the subsite with extensive intermolecular contacts indicated that this subsite is formed optimally for interacting with glycan moieties. The previously determined structures of the complexes of CPGRP-S with other glycan moiety-containing PAMPs such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (4), lipoteichoic acid (LTA) (4) and muramyl dipeptide (5) showed that their glycan moieties also occupied the same pocket as observed in the present structures whereas other aliphatic attachments of these molecules were adjusted into other parts of the cleft (Fig. 7). It may be noted here that PAMPs such as LPS and LTA do not contain peptide components. On the other hand, in human PGRPs, PGRP-IαC (7) and PGRP-IβC (8), it was reported that the glycan moieties were held in subsite II while the peptide components were placed parallel to subsite I (Fig. 8a). In this arrangement peptide component made more interactions with protein atoms than the glycan moieties indicating it to be a more preferred moiety of muramyl tripeptide (MTP) for the interactions with protein atoms (35). In this structure MTP formed 9 hydrogen bonds and 138 van der Waals contacts and the buried area was estimated to be 182 Å². The comparable structure of CPGRP-S with MDP showed that the glycan moiety was placed in subsite I in molecule C whereas the peptide position was held through the interactions with molecule D of the same polymeric chain and molecule A of the neighboring polymeric chain (Fig. 8b). In this case, MDP made 14 hydrogen bonds and 134 van der Waals interactions with a buried surface area of 232 Å². Although the size of MDP is smaller than MTP, it formed larger number of interactions with protein atoms as compared to MTP. This clearly showed that the binding of MDP to CPGRP-S was stronger than that of MTP to HPGRP-IαC (7) and HPGRP-IβC (8). A further comparison of the structure of CPGRP-S complex with MDP (PDB: 3NW3) with that of HPGRP-IαC (PDB: 1TWQ) revealed that the subsites I and II are formed slightly differently because the mode of binding of MDP in CPGRP-S and MTP in HPGRP-IαC (Figs. 9a, b) differ considerably. The examination of subsite I in CPGRP-S shows that the separation between the nearest atoms of residues Leu64 and Pro151 from opposite sides of the opening to the subsite I is 15.3 Å (Fig. 9a) whereas the corresponding minimum distance between the corresponding residues Arg235 and Tyr266 in HPGRP-IαC is 4.9Å indicating it to be too narrow to allow the entry of the glycan moiety. On the other hand, the structure of subsite II in HPGRP-IαC is more favourable for the binding of glycan moieties because of several favourable interactions which are provided by residues Ile207, Phe230, Arg235, Tyr242 and Ile322 (Fig. 9b). The corresponding residues in CPGRP-S are Ser36, Tyr59, Leu64, Tyr71 and Thr152 which are slightly less favourable. The binding properties might also have been influenced by a unique polymeric arrangement of protein molecules in CPGRP-S. The corresponding structural data are not available on the human PGRP-S where the crystal structure of only a truncated HPGRP-S containing residues from 9 to 175 as a monomer has been reported so far (42). The PAMP-binding sites in the domains DPGRP-LCx (9) and DPGRP-LCa (9) similar to that of PGRP-S show considerable differences with that of CPGRP-S as a result of which an accurate comparison is not possible. Therefore, in the case of CPGRP-S, it appears that glycan moieties play a more important role in the recognition than the peptide moieties while in human PGRP-IαC and PGRP-IβC, peptide moieties were presumed to be more crucial for the recognition. This difference in the preference could also be related to the state of quaternary structures of these proteins. Since subsite II is slightly constrained in CPGRP-S due to a loop from molecule D of the polymeric chain, it is unfavorable for interactions with other molecules. On the other hand, the binding in subsite I is favoured due to the possibility of additional interactions from molecules A of the neighboring chain and molecule D of the same chain. These observations indicated that the mode of binding of PAMPs in CPGRP-S is better than in human PGRP-IαC and PGRP-IβC. It may also be mentioned here that the conserved parts of various PAMPs are their two glycan moieties, GlcNAc.
and MurNAc while the sequences of cross-linking peptides vary in amino acid sequences, lengths and flexibilities as well as the cross-linking peptides in the structure of PGN are poorly accessible. Therefore, the preferable element of recognition in PGN and other glycan containing PAMPs may be their glycan moieties. It is likely that the PAMPs lacking in glycan components may bind to CPGRP-S poorly.
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Figure 1. (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc bound to CPGRP-S at the same subsite in the protein. The difference electron density maps (Fo-Fc) calculated at 2.5σ for (a) GlcNAc and (b) MurNAc are shown. The dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

Figure 2. CPGRP-S binds to Lys-type and DAP-type PGNs. Purified CPGRP-S (0.5µg) was incubated with insoluble Lys-type or DAP-type PGN, and the bound protein on the insoluble PGN was separated from unbound protein as described in “materials and methods”. Unbound protein (lane U) and bound protein (lane B) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Molecular markers are indicated on the left.

Figure 3. The antibacterial assay: Bacteria were incubated in 1X TSB (3% w/v) with 25 µg/ml CPGRP-S, either alone (○) or supplemented with 100 µg/ml PGN (▼), 100 µg/ml LPS (△) or no additives (□). The bacterial density was monitored by the measurement of optical density at 600 nm at 1 hour intervals. For every measurement experiments were repeated six times. The data represent the mean ± standard
deviation. These values were estimated for each experiment which was repeated six times. The values of optical density varied from 0.1 to 2.9 while the values of standard deviations varied from 0.02 to 0.09.

**Figure 4.** The inhibition of PGN-induced cytokine production in human T cells by CPGRP-S. Freshly isolated PBMCs derived from peripheral blood of healthy donors were cultured for 24 hours with golgi bodies transport blocker in the presence and absence of various doses of PGN and CPGRP-S. Cultured cells were washed and the surface stained with anti-CD3 and followed by intracellular staining for TNF-α and IL-6: (a) Representative FACS plot showing percentage of TNF-α producing CD3+ T cells under different experimental condition with FMO* controls and each bar represents the mean ± standard deviations for the measurements from six individual experiments. (b) Representative FACS plot showing percentage of IL-6 producing CD3+ T cells under different experimental condition with FMO* controls for IL-6 and each bar represents the mean ± standard deviations for measurements from six individual experiments. *FMO = Fluorescence Minus One.

**Figure 5.** Stereo view of the structure of CPGRP-S showing four crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit which is indicated by dashed lines. CPGRP-S molecules assemble as linear polymers forming alternating interface types of A-B and C-D. The PAMP-binding site is located in molecule C at C-D contacts.

**Figure 6.** (a) The protein segments from molecules A, C and D, Val-A132 – Glu-A142 (green), Ser-C20 – Thr-C27, Trp-C66 – Asp-C68, Arg-C85 – Asn-C99 (cyan) and Gly-D91 – Asn-D99 (yellow) that surround GlcNAc (represented as space fitting model) in the structure. (b) MurNAc binding subsite is surrounded by protein segments, Trp-A166 – Ala-A171 (green), Ser-C20 – Thr-C27, Trp-C66 – Asp-C68, Arg-C85 – Asn-C99 (cyan) and Gly-D91 – Asn-D99 (yellow).

**Figure 7.** Bound molecules of LPS (green), LTA (red), MDP (yellow), GlcNAc (blue) and MurNAc (purple) indicating that S1 subsite was occupied by glycan moieties while the remaining chemical moieties were adjusted in the other regions of the cleft and along the surface of molecule D.

**Figure 8.** (a) Cartoon and surface display of CPGRP-S. Secondary structure elements are labeled. The residues of the binding site are indicated. Two subsites, S-I and S-II are labeled. Binding of MDP to CPGRP-S where glycan moiety is placed in subsite S-I and peptide moiety is aligned along the surface of molecule D while subsite S-II is empty because of constraints from molecule D. (b) Cartoon and surface display. Binding of MTP to HPGRP-IαC (PDB: 1TWQ) where glycan moiety is bound in subsite II (S-II) while peptide is adjusted along S-I. The inner part of subsite S-I is empty.

**Figure 9.** The comparison of glycan bindings in (a) Subsite I of CPGRP-S (PDB: 3NW3) and (b) subsite II of HPGRP-IαC (PDB: 1TWQ). The residues in red colour represent CPGRP-S while those of HPGRP-IαC are shown in blue colour. As seen from (a) subsite I is narrower in HPGRP-IαC than CPGRP-S while the residues in subsite II of HPGRP-IαC are favourable for the binding to glycan moieties.
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics for the structures of the complexes of camel peptidoglycan recognition protein (CPGRP-S) with (a) N-acetyl glucosamine (GlcNAc) (b) N-acetyl muramic acid (MurNAc).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(a) CPGRP-S + GlcNAc</th>
<th>(b) CPGRP-S + MurNAc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB ID</td>
<td>4EBT</td>
<td>4EBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>I222</td>
<td>I222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>101.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>164.1</td>
<td>163.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of molecules in the unit cell</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vm (Å³/Da)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent Content (%)</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>20.0 – 2.60</td>
<td>20.0 – 2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of measured reflections</td>
<td>180548</td>
<td>162516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unique reflections</td>
<td>22283</td>
<td>22829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rsym (%)</td>
<td>10.4 (43.1)</td>
<td>9.2 (32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)</td>
<td>7.7 (2.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall completeness of data (%)</td>
<td>94.1 (96.6)</td>
<td>99.8 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_cryst (%)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_free (%)</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein atoms</td>
<td>5348</td>
<td>5348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water oxygen atoms</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atoms of Glycerol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atoms of Tartrate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atoms of ligands</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d in bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d in bond angles (°)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d in torsion angles (°)</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s B-factor</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factor for main chain atoms (Å²)</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factor for side chain atoms (Å²)</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factor for water oxygen atoms(Å²)</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factor for all atoms(Å²)</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran’s φ, ψ map</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in the most favoured regions (%)</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in the additionally allowed regions (%)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in parentheses correspond to the values in the highest resolution shell.

# \( R_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl)\rangle|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} I_i(hkl)} \)

* \( R_{\text{cryst}} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} |F_o(hkl) - F_c(hkl)|}{\sum_{hkl} |F_o(hkl)|} \) where \( F_o \) and \( F_c \) are observed and calculated structure factors respectively.
Table 2. The hydrogen bonded interactions between protein/water and ligand atoms

(A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROTEIN</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>GlcNAc</th>
<th>DISTANCE (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gly-C91 O</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp-C66 NE1</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys-C67 N</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>OW-A233</td>
<td>O6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr-A32 OH</td>
<td>OW-A233</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu-A142 OE1</td>
<td>OW-A233</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg-C85 NH1</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>OW-A220</td>
<td>O5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu-C24 OE1</td>
<td>OW-A220</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn-C99 ND2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROTEIN</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>MurNAc</th>
<th>DISTANCE (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asn-C99 ND2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys-C90 NZ</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys-C90 NZ</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>OW-C379</td>
<td>O5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp-C66 NE1</td>
<td>OW-C379</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg-C85 NH2</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg-A170 NE</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys-C90 NZ</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>O10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>OW-D386</td>
<td>O1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln-D150 OE1</td>
<td>OW-D386</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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