Distinct Activation Properties of the Nuclear Factor of Activated T-cells (NFAT) Isoforms NFATc3 and NFATc4 in Neurons

Jason D. Ulrich¹, Man-Su Kim¹², Patrick R. Houlihan¹, Leonid P. Shutov¹, Durga P. Mohapatra¹, Stefan Strack¹ and Yuriy M. Usachev¹

¹Department of Pharmacology, The University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
²College of Pharmacy, Inje University, Gimhae, Republic of Korea

*Running Title: Differential regulation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 in neurons

To whom correspondence should be addressed:
Yuriy M. Usachev
Department of Pharmacology
University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine
2-250 BSB, 51 Newton Road
Iowa City, IA 52242
Phone: (319) 335-9388; Fax: (319) 335-8930
E-mail: yuriy-usachev@uiowa.edu

Key Words: NFAT, Ca²⁺, calcineurin, DRG neurons, hippocampal neurons

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants NS054614 and NS072432 to Y.M.U., NS043254 and NS056244 to S.S., NS069898 to D.P.M. and by NIH National Research Service Award F31 MH081420 to J.D.U. We thank Dr. Michal Hetman for the HA-NFATc4, NFATc3-shRNA, NFATc4-shRNA and GSK3β-shRNA expression constructs.
Background: Ca2+/calcineurin-dependent transcription factor NFAT regulates many neuronal functions.

Results: Depolarization/Ca2+ influx-induced activation and nuclear translocation is markedly faster and stronger for NFATc3 than NFATc4 in neurons, which is determined in part by SP-containing regions of NFATc3 and NFATc4.

Conclusion: Activation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 is distinctly regulated in neurons.

Significance: The distinct regulation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 may underlie isoform-specific NFAT functions in neurons.

SUMMARY
The Ca2+/calcineurin(CaN)-dependent transcription factor NFAT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells) is implicated in regulating dendritic and axonal development, synaptogenesis and neuronal survival. Despite the increasing appreciation for the importance of NFAT-dependent transcription in the nervous system, the regulation and function of specific NFAT isoforms in neurons is poorly understood. Here, we compare the activation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 in hippocampal and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons following electrically-evoked elevations of intracellular Ca2+ concentration ([Ca2+]i). We find that NFATc3 undergoes rapid dephosphorylation and nuclear translocation that is essentially complete within 20 min, while NFATc4 remains phosphorylated and localized to the cytosol, only exhibiting nuclear localization following prolonged (1-3 hrs) depolarization. Knocking down NFATc3, but not NFATc4, strongly diminished NFAT-mediated transcription induced by mild depolarization in neurons. By analyzing NFATc3/NFATc4 chimeras we find that the region containing the serine rich region-1 (SRR1) mildly affects initial NFAT translocation, while the region containing the SP repeats is critical for determining the magnitude of NFAT activation and nuclear localization upon depolarization. Knockdown of glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) significantly increased the depolarization-induced nuclear localization of NFATc4. In contrast, inhibition of p38 or mTOR kinases had no significant effect on nuclear import of NFATc4. Thus, electrically-evoked [Ca2+]i elevation in neurons rapidly and strongly activates NFATc3, whereas activation of NFATc4 requires a coincident increase in [Ca2+]i and suppression of GSK3β, with differences in the SP-containing region giving rise to these distinct activation properties of NFATc3 and NFATc4.

Activity-dependent gene regulation enables cells to make durable adaptations in response to signaling experiences. Neurons couple electrical activity to elevations in intracellular calcium ([Ca2+]i) through voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) (1). Ca2+-influx through L-type VGCCs is especially critical for excitation-dependent gene transcription underlying important functions such as synaptic plasticity (2). Among the transcription factors activated by Ca2+ influx through L-type VGCC are the nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) family of proteins, which are activated by the Ca2+/calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein phosphatase, calcineurin (CaN) (3-6). NFAT proteins were first discovered as regulators of IL-2 expression in response to antigen receptor activation in T-cells (7-9). Subsequent studies identified four Ca2+/CaN-dependent NFAT isoforms, denoted NFATc1-c4, and found that NFAT proteins were expressed in virtually all cell types (10,11). NFAT-dependent gene regulation is important in the development and function of the immune, cardiovascular and nervous systems (11-19). In the nervous system, NFAT activity regulates neurite outgrowth, synaptogenesis, neuronal development and survival (20-27). Despite the critical role of NFAT-dependent transcription in neurons, little is known about the respective functions and regulation of specific NFAT isoforms.

NFAT proteins contain two major conserved regions: a regulatory domain termed the NFAT-homology region (NHR) in the N-terminal portion of the protein and a Rel-homology DNA binding domain in the C-terminal portion of the protein.
The NHR contains two CaN-binding motifs, a Ca$^{2+}$-independent PxIXIT motif in the N-terminal, and a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent LxVP motif in the C-terminal portion of NHR (30-32). Under basal conditions, the NHR is heavily phosphorylated on serine residues located within a serine rich region (SRR1) and at a series of serine-proline (SP) motifs. Phosphorylation of the NHR is thought to occlude a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) within the NHR, resulting in cytosolic retention of NFAT under resting conditions. Elevations in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ leads to activation of the Ca$^{2+}$/CaM-dependent protein phosphatase CaN, which then dephosphorylates NFAT, revealing the NLS, and resulting in NFAT translocation to the nucleus.

CaN-mediated NFAT dephosphorylation is opposed by the activity of several kinases which either maintain NFAT phosphorylation within the cytosol to oppose nuclear import or re-phosphorylate NFAT within the nucleus to facilitate nuclear export. Several protein kinases, including casein kinase 1 (CK1), c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK), p38 and mTOR kinases, phosphorylate SRR1, which is thought to be critical for maintaining the cytosolic localization of NFAT under resting conditions (33-37). GSK3β phosphorolysates the SP motifs within NFAT (5,38). GSK3β requires a priming phosphorylation on the substrate for enzymatic activity. DYRK1A, DYRK2 and PKA have all been shown to phosphorylate the SP motifs and facilitate NFAT phosphorylation by GSK3β (39-41).

Despite the shared activation by CaN-dependent dephosphorylation, the activity of specific NFAT isoforms within a single cell can be repressed through poorly understood mechanisms (42-44). Here, we describe the differential regulation of the two most commonly studied NFAT isoforms in neurons, NFATc3 and NFATc4 (5,6,20,21,23,45-51). We find that while NFATc3 is rapidly dephosphorylated and translocates to the nucleus upon depolarization, NFATc4 remains phosphorylated and localized to the cytosol. Using chimeras of NFATc3 and NFATc4, we found that the N- and C-terminal halves of the NHR differentially affect NFATc4 nuclear translocation and retention upon prolonged [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ elevation. Furthermore, inhibition of NFATc4 nuclear localization was strongly dependent upon the basal activity of endogenous GSK3β.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell culture**

Primary DRG neuron cultures were prepared from P0-P2 Sprague Dawley rat pups (Charles River Laboratories). Lumbar, thoracic, and cervical DRGs were removed and digested for 7 min at 37°C with 1mg/mL pronase E (Serva Electrophoresis GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) in DMEM-HEPES (20 mM, pH 7.4). Cells were then washed with DMEM-HEPES (20 mM, pH 7.4) followed by dissociation via trituration using fire polished Pasteur pipettes. Dissociated cells were then plated onto the center of 35mm glass coverslips coated with poly-L-ornithine (0.2 mg/mL in 150mM boric acid buffer) and laminin (0.5 mg/mL in PBS, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Cells were maintained in Complete DMEM containing 5% heat inactivated horse serum (HS), 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 25 ng/mL nerve growth factor (NGF), penicillin (0.5 μg/mL), and streptomycin (0.5 μg/mL) in a 10% CO$_2$ incubator at 37°C. Cells were used 1-3 days after plating.

Hippocampal neurons were prepared from P0-P1 Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA). Hippocampi were dissected in Hank’s Buffered Saline Solution (HBSS; Invitrogen) and digested with 0.3% trypsin for 9 min at 37°C. Hippocampi were then washed in HBSS before dissociation via trituration. Cells were then counted and plated onto the center of 35 mm glass coverslips coated with poly-L-ornithine and laminin. Cells were incubated for 3-4 hrs in Neurobasal A (Invitrogen) containing B27 (Invitrogen), 0.5 mM glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, and 5% HS. Media was then replaced with serum-free medium and the cells were maintained in a 5% CO$_2$ incubator at 37°C. One third of the media volume was replaced once a week.

PC12 cells (PC6-3 subline(52)) were plated on rat tail collagen type I (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ)-coated 6 well plates. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% HS and 5% FBS in a 5% CO$_2$ incubator at 37°C.

**Transfection protocols**
Prior to plating, DRG neurons were transfected using the Amaxa nucleofection system (Lonza). DRGs from 4-5 pups were used for each transfection. Following trituration, cells were centrifuged at 80xg for 5 min and re-suspended in the rat neuron nucleofection solution (Lonza, VPG-1003) and mixed with the plasmid DNA. Cells were electroporated using program G-013 on a Nucleofector device (Lonza) followed by a 5 min recovery in complete DMEM at 37°C. Cells were then plated and maintained as described above. Primary hippocampal neurons (7 DIV) and PC12 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Expression constructs

EGFP-NFATc4 was obtained from Addgene, Inc. (plasmid #10961; full-length human NFATc4, gift of Dr. J. D. Molkentin to Addgene, Cambridge, MA) (53). EGFP-NFATc3 was generated by ligating full-length human NFATc3 (gift of Dr. Iino; The Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science) (54) into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech). Hemagglutinin-tagged NFATc4 (HA-NFATc4), NFATc3-shRNA, NFATc4-shRNA and GSK3β-shRNA were generous gifts from Dr. Hetman (University of Kentucky), whose group has previously described and validated these constructs (21). We have additionally confirmed efficacy of these shRNA constructs (Supplemental Figure 1). We have additionally confirmed efficacy of these shRNA constructs (Supplemental Figure 1). EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q, EGFP-NFATc3-Q112R, EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT, EGFP-NFATc3-DQFLS and EGFP-NFATc4-MDYLA were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange protocol (Stratagene). NFAT chimeras were generated by incorporating NotI and Ascl restriction sites into NFATc3 and NFATc4 to allow for modular substitution of homologous regions. A NotI restriction site was incorporated into NFATc3 and NFATc4 through silent mutations in nucleotides encoding A200 and A206, respectively. Incorporation of an Ascl restriction site into NFATc3 resulted in mutations of S402G, K403A, and K405W. Incorporation of an Ascl restriction site into NFATc4 resulted in a mutation of I391P. Primers used to amplify fragments of NFATc3 and NFATc4 from EGFP-C1-NFATc3 or EGFP-C1-NFATc4 are indicated in Table 1. Following restriction digest, fragments were ligated into pEGFP-C1 using the Rapid DNA Ligation kit (Roche). All NFAT constructs were confirmed by restriction digest and DNA sequencing. There were no significant difference in the expression levels among various NFAT constructs, as confirmed by measuring the fluorescence intensity using identical acquisition settings on an Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with the Fluoview 300 laser scanning confocal imaging system and a 60x oil-immersion objective (NA=1.4) (Supplemental Fig 2).

Simultaneous EGFP-NFAT and Ca2+ imaging

EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4-transfected DRG neurons (1-2 DIV) or hippocampal neurons (12-14 DIV) were loaded with the ratiometric Ca2+-indicator dye Fura2-AM (2 μM) for 30 min. Cells were then placed in a chamber for flow-through perfusion and mounted onto an inverted IX-71 microscope (Olympus, Japan). Cells were perfused with a standard extracellular HEPES buffered Hank’s salt solution composed of (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.3 CaCl2, 0.4 MgSO4, 0.5 MgCl2, 0.4 KH2PO4, 0.6 NaHPO4, 3 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 10 HEPES, pH 7.4, with NaOH (310 mOsm/kg with sucrose). Fluorescence was sequentially excited at 340 nm (12 nm bandpass), 380 nm (12 nm bandpass), and 475 nm (12 nm bandpass) using a Polychrome IV monochromator (TILL Photonics, Germany) and focused on the cells via a 40x oil-immersion objective (NA=1.35, Olympus). Fluorescence emission was collected at 530 nm (50 nm bandpass) using an IMAGO CCD camera (640x480 pixels; TILL Photonics, Germany). A 2x2 binning was used for acquisition (1 pixel ~500 nm). Series of 340 nm, 380 nm, and 475 nm images were acquired every 5 sec. [Ca2+] was calculated by converting the fluorescence ratio (R=F340/F380) using the formula [Ca2+] = Kdβ(R−Rmin)/(Rmax−R). Rmin, Rmax, and β were calculated by application of 10μM ionomycin in either Ca2+-free buffer (1 mM EGTA) or in HEPES buffered Hank’s salt solution (1.3 mM Ca2+). [Ca2+] data were analyzed using TILLvisION 4.0.12 (TILL photonics, Germany) software. Cells were stimulated through trains of action potentials induced by field stimulation as previously described (46,55). NFAT nuclear translocation was quantified by measuring the mean fluorescence intensity of EGFP within the nucleus.
and cytosol and data are presented as nuclear/cytosolic ratio. Data were processed using TILLvisION 4.0.1.2 (TILL Photonics) software.

Analysis of depolarization-induced NFAT translocation in DRG neurons and PC12 cells

For microscopy analysis, DRG neurons expressing EGFP-NFAT constructs were stimulated as indicated with 15 mM, 20 mM, or 40 mM K⁺. PC12 cells were stimulated with 25 mM K⁺ and BayK8644 (1 μM). At different times following stimulation, cells were briefly washed with PBS, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed extensively with PBS and incubated with a fluorescent DNA-binding probe, DAPI (300 nM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Coverslips were then mounted onto glass microscope slides using Fluoromount G (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL). Fluorescence was observed using a 60x oil-immersion objective (NA=1.4) on an Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with the Fluoview 300 laser scanning confocal imaging system. Data were acquired and analyzed by a blinded experimenter using the Fluoview software (Olympus). Average EGFP fluorescence intensity values for a region of interest (ROI) in the nucleus, as indicated by DAPI staining, and the cytosol were obtained. Background fluorescence was corrected for using an ROI devoid of cells.

For biochemical analysis, EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 transfected PC12 cells were stimulated with 25 mM K⁺ and BayK8644 (1 μM) as indicated in high-glucose DMEM containing 5% FBS and 10% HS. Some cells were additionally co-treated with FK506 (3 hrs, 200 nM). Following stimulation, cells were briefly washed in PBS and collected in modified RIPA buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), protease inhibitor cocktail (Pierce), and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce)). Cells were incubated on ice for 15 min prior to brief sonication. A portion of the lysate from untreated cells expressing HA-NFATc4 and not containing phosphatase inhibitor cocktail was incubated with 10U of alkaline phosphatase (Roche) for 3 hours at 37°C. Cell lysate was then diluted with Laemmli reducing sample buffer and incubated at 85°C for 9 min. Samples were then separated on a 5% SDS-PAGE gel prior to transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane. Nitrocellulose membranes were blocked with a 10% milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (20 mM Tris (pH 7.4) 150 mM NaCl) solution for 2 hours, then incubated with primary antibody against HA (C12A5 1:10; TC supernatant, Roche), or GFP (N86/8, 1:200; Neuromab) in blocking solution containing 0.05% Tween for 2 hours. Membranes were then washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated goat-anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5000, BioRad) for 1 hour. Membranes were then washed extensively and developed using ECL+ HRP detection kit (GE Healthcare).

NFAT reporter assays
NFAT reporter assays were performed as previously described (46). In brief, DRG or hippocampal neurons were co-transfected with the NFAT-luciferase (NFAT-luc) reporter plasmid (firefly luciferase controlled by three copies of the NFAT-binding motif; pNFAT-TA-luciferase; Clontech), a plasmid encoding a Renilla reniformis luciferase controlled by a constitutive HSV-TK promoter (pRL-TK; Promega, Madison, WI) and, in some experiments, additionally with NFATc3-shRNA, NFATc4-shRNA or EGFP-NFATc4 (see Results for details). Transfected cell cultures were treated with either vehicle control or with solutions containing increased levels of KCl for 12 hrs to produce mild depolarization (prepared by mixing 150 mM KCl with complete DMEM, see Results for details). The L-type Ca2+ channel agonist BayK8644 was added to the depolarization conditions to stabilize [Ca2+]i at the elevated levels over the duration of stimulation (46). Cells were lysed 12 hrs after beginning of the stimulation and subsequently analyzed using the Promega dual-luciferase protocol. NFAT-luciferase reporter expression was normalized to that of TK-luc and quantified as NFAT-luc/TK-luc ratio.

Reagents

Fura-2-AM was obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). FK-506 was obtained from Alomone Labs (Israel). Leptomycin B (LMB) was obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA). All other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

RESULTS

NFATc3, but not NFATc4, rapidly translocates to the nucleus following electrical stimulation of neurons

We previously reported that NFATc4, in contrast to NFATc1-c3, displayed a distinctly attenuated nuclear translocation in response to 90 mM K+ stimulation of DRG neurons (46). We decided to test whether NFATc3 and NFATc4 differed in their response to repeated stimulation using trains of action potentials in DRG neurons. We chose to compare the translocation of NFATc4 to that of NFATc3 because NFATc3 is the most abundantly expressed NFAT isoform in DRG neurons and the translocation of NFATc3 does not qualitatively differ from that of NFATc1 or NFATc2 in DRG neurons (46). We transfected DRG neurons with EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 and stimulated cells with 10 trains of action potentials (10 Hz for 10 s, 50 s apart) (Fig. 1A and B; Supplemental movies 1 and 2) and simultaneously monitored [Ca2+]i and EGFP-NFAT subcellular localization. EGFP-NFATc3 rapidly translocated to the nucleus in response to a series of [Ca2+]i transient elevations induced by repeated trains of action potentials and relocated back to the cytosol following the return of [Ca2+]i to baseline (Fig. 1A; Supplemental movie 1). In contrast, we observed no nuclear translocation of EGFP-NFATc4 upon action potential stimulation and resulting [Ca2+]i transients (Fig. 1B; Supplemental movie 2), consistent with our previous observations (46).

In order to test whether this distinct activation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 is also observed in central neurons, we performed similar experiments in cultured hippocampal neurons (12-14 DIV) transfected with either EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4. Stimulation using 15 trains of action potentials (10 Hz for 10s, 50s apart) (Fig. 1C and D) induced rapid nuclear import of EGFP-NFATc3 (Fig. 1C). However, as in DRG neurons, action potential stimulation failed to induce nuclear translocation of EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 1D).

In order to more thoroughly investigate the apparent distinct regulation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 in neurons, we systematically examined the effects of various levels of depolarization on NFAT translocation during more prolonged stimulation. Bath application of elevated K+ elicits reproducible elevations in [Ca2+]i in sensory neurons allowing us to average the subcellular localization of NFAT in response to depolarization of a given intensity and duration (46,56). We depolarized DRG neurons expressing EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 with 15 mM, 20 mM, or 40 mM K+ and quantified the subcellular localization of NFATc3 and NFATc4 in fixed cells following 20, 60, and 180 min of stimulation. Under basal conditions (5 mM K+) both EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 were excluded from the nucleus, consistent with previous reports (Fig. 2A-C) (45,46). There was no significant difference between the basal mean nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4. Depolarization with 15 mM K+ for 20 min robustly increased the mean...
nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc3 from 0.52±0.10 (n=30) under basal conditions to 3.1±0.57 (n=22) (Fig. 2A). Similar results were obtained for EGFP-NFATc3 translocation following a 20 min application of 20 mM K+ (3.6±0.43, n=24) (Fig. 2C). In contrast, EGFP-NFATc4 remained excluded from the nucleus upon 20 min stimulation with 15 mM K+ (0.26±0.02, n=21) (Fig. 2A), 20 mM K+ (0.36±0.03, n=13) (Fig. 2B), or 40 mM K+ (0.33±0.03, n=20) (Fig. 2C). Prolonged depolarization with 15 mM K+ for 180 min failed to induce EGFP-NFATc4 nuclear translocation (0.35±0.03, n=16) (Fig. 2A). Increasing the strength of depolarization with 20 mM K+ (1.0±0.20, n=24) or 40 mM K+ (2.0±0.24, n=30) resulted in a gradual nuclear accumulation of EGFP-NFATc4 over 180 min (Fig. 2B and C). However, the nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc3 was significantly greater than that of EGFP-NFATc4 throughout the 180 min stimulation with either 20 mM K+ (3.1±0.65, n=20) or 40 mM K+ (6.1±0.67, n=16) (Fig. 2B and C). The amplitudes of the corresponding \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) elevations produced by 15, 20 and 40 mM K+ in DRG neurons are shown in Fig. 2D.

NFAT-mediated transcription response to mild depolarization strongly depends on NFATc3 isoform in DRG and hippocampal neurons

NFATc3 and NFATc4 are the predominant NFAT isoforms in DRG neurons (46). In order to examine the contribution of endogenous NFATc3 and NFATc4 to depolarization-induced NFAT-mediated transcription responses in neurons we used an NFAT-luciferase expression reporter assay as previously described (46) (also see Experimental Procedures). Stimulation of DRG neurons with 20 mM K+ combined with the L-type Ca2+ channel agonist BayK8644 (1 μM) for 12 hrs produced a nearly ten-fold increase in NFAT-mediated luciferase expression (Fig. 3A and B). Knocking down NFATc3 and NFATc4 significantly reduced NFAT-mediated luciferase expression under resting conditions (vehicle treatment) from 0.17±0.01 (NFAT-luc/TK-luc; n=21) for control cells to 0.08±0.01 and 0.09±0.01 for the cells transfected with NFATc3-shRNA (n=7; p<0.001) or NFATc4-shRNA (n=7; p<0.001), respectively (Fig. 3A). More importantly, knocking down NFATc3, but not NFATc4, significantly diminished the depolarization-induced increase in NFAT-mediated luciferase expression in DRG neurons. The NFATc3-shRNA effect could not be rescued by overexpressing NFATc4 in these cells (Fig. 3C). Similar to effects in DRG neurons, knocking down NFATc3, but not NFATc4, in hippocampal neurons significantly reduced depolarization-induced expression of NFAT-luciferase reporter (Fig. 3D). Overall, these results suggest that NFATc3 is a major contributor to NFAT-mediated transcription response induced by mild depolarization in DRG and hippocampal neurons. In contrast, the contribution of NFATc4 is minimal under these conditions, which is consistent with the blunted activation of this isoform in response to mild depolarization (Fig. 2).

The NFAT homology region determines the rate and amplitude of NFATc3 and NFATc4 translocation

NFAT activation is dependent on CaN-mediated dephosphorylation of multiple serine residues flanking an NLS within the NHR (57,58). Therefore, we hypothesized that the NHR was responsible for the difference in depolarization-induced translocation observed for NFATc3 and NFATc4. To test this hypothesis we generated two NFAT chimeras; one in which amino acids 1-403 of NFATc3 were replaced with amino acids 1-388 of NFATc4 (NFATc3-NHRc4) and a second in which amino acids 1-388 of NFATc4 were replaced with amino acids 1-403 of NFATc3 (NFATc4-NHRc3) (Fig. 4A). We then transfected DRG neurons with these chimeras and monitored their subcellular localization in response to depolarization.

Both EGFP-NFATc4-NHRc3 (0.30±0.02, n=26) and EGFP-NFATc3-NHRc4 (0.28±0.03, n=17) were excluded from the nucleus under basal conditions (Fig. 4B). Depolarization with 20 mM K+ resulted in a strong increase in the mean nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc4-NHRc3 at 20 min (2.7±0.26, n=29), similar to the response of EGFP-NFATc3 to depolarization (Fig. 4B). EGFP-NFATc4-NHRc3 remained predominantly localized in the nucleus through 180 minutes of
depolarization (2.6±0.39, n=22) (Fig. 4B). In contrast, EGFP-NFATc3-NHRc4 remained excluded from the nucleus following 20 min of depolarization (0.65±0.13, n=23) and gradually accumulated in the nucleus through 180 min of depolarization (1.7±0.20, n=41), similar to EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 4B). These data demonstrate that the NHR is responsible for the differential sensitivity of NFATc3 and NFATc4 to depolarization.

Differential dephosphorylation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 following depolarization

CaN-mediated dephosphorylation of the NFAT NHR is critical for translocation of NFAT from the cytosol to the nucleus (13,59). Since NFATc4 translocates to the nucleus at a strikingly slower rate than NFATc3, we asked whether NFATc3 and NFATc4 were differentially dephosphorylated upon depolarization. We tested our hypothesis by using the neuronal-like PC12 cell line in order to obtain enough material for biochemical analysis. Since NFAT proteins are heavily phosphorylated (60), dephosphorylation results in a readily apparent increase in the electrophoretic mobility of NFAT.

We first tested whether EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 were differentially activated by depolarization in PC12 cells similar to our observations in DRG and hippocampal neurons. PC12 cells transfected with EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 were stimulated with 25 mM K+ and BayK8644 (1 μM) to reduce inactivation of voltage-gated Ca2+ influx. Both EGFP-NFATc3 (0.28±0.01, n=31) and EGFP-NFATc4 (0.28±0.02, n=30) were excluded from the nucleus under basal conditions (Fig. 5A). Stimulation for 20 min resulted in a strong increase in the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc3 (4.4±0.33, n=32), but not EGFP-NFATc4 (0.29±0.01, n=30) (Fig. 5A). EGFP-NFATc4 remained excluded from the nucleus upon stimulation for 180 min (0.29±0.02, n=31) (Fig. 5A). To further confirm the observed difference in nuclear translocation we biochemically analyzed the subcellular localization of EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 by nuclear/cyttoplasmic fractionation. We found that depolarization induced a rapid reduction of NFATc3 in cytoplasmic fraction, whereas the amount of NFATc3 in nuclear fraction markedly increased (Fig. 5B, C). In contrast, depolarization had only a minor effect on the distribution of NFATc4 between cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions (Fig. 5B, C).

After confirming that EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 were differentially activated by depolarization in PC12 cells we tested whether they were also differentially dephosphorylated following depolarization. The electrophoretic mobility of EGFP-NFATc3 was markedly increased following 20 min of stimulation, indicative of dephosphorylation (Fig. 5D). The increase in mobility was blocked by co-treatment with the CaN inhibitor FK506 (200 nM) (Fig. 5D). In contrast, there was no apparent increase in the mobility of EGFP-NFATc4 after stimulation (Fig. 5D). We speculated that perhaps the shift in electrophoretic mobility for NFATc4 was masked by the large molecular weight of the EGFP-NFATc4 fusion protein. We therefore repeated the assay with the smaller HA-NFATc4 and again did not detect a significant change in the electrophoretic mobility upon stimulation (Fig. 5D). Co-treatment with FK506 (200 nM) resulted in a slight, but consistent decrease in HA-NFATc4 mobility indicative of a possible basal level of CaN-dependent dephosphorylation of NFATc4 (Fig. 5D) (37). To test whether HA-NFATc4 was already dephosphorylated under basal conditions, we incubated untreated cell lysate with alkaline phosphatase. The electrophoretic mobility of alkaline phosphatase-treated HA-NFATc4 was increased compared to that of control, indicating that NFATc4 was not fully dephosphorylated under basal conditions in PC12 cells (Fig. 5D). Thus, the rapid and robust dephosphorylation of EGFP-NFATc3 and the incomplete dephosphorylation of EGFP-NFATc4 and HA-NFATc4 in PC12 cells are consistent with the observed difference in NFATc3 and NFATc4 translocation in hippocampal and DRG neurons.

NFATc3 CaN-binding motifs are insufficient to facilitate nuclear translocation of NFATc4

Since NFATc3 and NFATc4 are differentially dephosphorylated upon depolarization-dependent [Ca2+]i elevation, we asked whether differences in the CaN binding motifs between NFATc3 and NFATc4 were important for their distinct regulation. NFATc3 and NFATc4 contain two
CaN binding motifs, an activity-dependent LxVP site in the C-terminal region of the NHR and a PxIxIT motif in the N-terminal region, which is critical for NFAT dephosphorylation (30-32,61,62). The NFATc4 PxIxIT motif is unique among NFAT isoforms in that the second variable residue, Arg117, is positively charged, whereas the other NFAT isoforms contain negatively charged or neutral amino acids in the second variable position (Fig. 6A). We hypothesized that the difference in PxIxIT charge could affect CaN binding and thus affect the ability of CaN to dephosphorylate NFATc4 versus NFATc3.

We generated EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q to create an NFATc4 construct containing the PxIxIT motif of NFATc3. Under basal conditions, EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q was excluded from the nucleus (0.27±0.04, n=11) (Fig. 6B). The nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q following 20 min of depolarization with 20 mM K+ (0.50±0.11, n=17) was not significantly different than that of EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 6B). Prolonged depolarization for 180 min resulted in a gradual nuclear accumulation of EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q (1.3±0.40, n=17), which did not differ significantly from translocation of EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 6B). Therefore, substitution of the PxIxIT motif of NFATc3 for that of NFATc4 did not affect the rate or amplitude of NFATc4 nuclear translocation. Moreover, in reverse experiments, a Q112R mutation in the PxIxIT region of NFATc3 (EGFP-NFATc3-Q112R) did not significantly affect its nuclear translocation in response to depolarization (Fig. 6B).

Substitution of valine for the variable residues of the PxIxIT motif increases the affinity of NFAT for CaN and increases the ability of CaN to dephosphorylate NFAT (25,63,64). We replaced the variable residues of the NFATc4 PxIxIT motif with valine residues (EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT) and analyzed the subcellular localization of EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT in DRG neurons upon depolarization. Under basal conditions EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT was excluded from the nucleus (Fig. 6B). Depolarization with 20 mM K+ for 20 min did not result in a significant nuclear accumulation of EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT (0.35±0.03, n=9), and 180 min of depolarization resulted in a gradual nuclear accumulation of EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT which did not differ significantly from EGFP-NFATc4 (1.7±0.26, n=32) (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these data suggest that the distinct response of NFATc3 and NFATc4 is not attributable to differences in the affinity of CaN for the PxIxIT motif.

A previous study suggested that the LxVP motifs in NFATc3 and NFATc4 have equivalent affinities for CaN in vitro (30). However, it is possible that in the cellular context, differences in the LxVP motif between NFATc3 and NFATc4 could contribute to differential CaN-mediated dephosphorylation. Both NFATc1 and NFATc3 contain Asp and Gln residues in the -3 and -2 position upstream of the LxVP motif (Fig. 6A). In contrast, NFATc4 contains Met and Asp in these positions (Fig. 6A). To test whether differences in the LxVP motif contributed to differential NFATc3 and NFATc4 activation, we generated an NFATc4 mutant in which the LxVP motif of NFATc4 was replaced with that of NFATc3 (EGFP-NFATc4-DQFLS).

Under basal conditions EGFP-NFATc4-DQFLS was predominantly localized in the cytosol (0.31±0.02, n=9) (Fig. 6C). The nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-DQFLS upon depolarization with 20 mM K+ for 20 min (0.59±0.08, n=10), 60 min (0.92±0.13, n=21), and 180 min (1.2±0.18, n=18) was not significantly different from that of EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 6C). To test whether the LxVP motif of NFATc4 was sufficient to inhibit nuclear translocation, we generated an NFATc3 mutant in which the LxVP motif of NFATc3 was replaced with that of NFATc4 (EGFP-NFATc3-MDYLA). The nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc3-MDYLA was not significantly different from that of EGFP-NFATc3 under basal (0.46±0.07, n=12) conditions or upon 20 mM K+ stimulation for 20 min (3.1±0.40, n=12), 60 min (3.5±0.35, n=15), and 180 min (3.3±0.31, n=16) (Fig. 6C). These data support the conclusion that the differential nuclear translocation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 is not attributable to isoform-dependent differences in CaN binding.

**Differential rate of nuclear import for NFATc3 and NFATc4 contributes to distinct activation kinetics**

The nuclear and cytosolic distribution of NFAT is determined by the relative rate of NFAT nuclear import and export. Therefore, the
unresponsiveness of NFATc4 to depolarization could arise from a slow nuclear import, fast nuclear export, or combination of the two. To test whether a robust export alone accounted for the slow NFATc4 nuclear translocation we depolarized cells in the presence of Leptomycin B (LMB), which blocks NFAT nuclear export via irreversible inhibition of Crm1 (65). LMB (100 nM) application significantly increased the depolarization-induced translocation of EGFP-NFATc4 at 60 min (3.4±0.26, n=22) and 180 min (8.0±0.92, n=29) (Fig. 6D). However, LMB treatment only slightly increased the nuclear translocation of EGFP-NFATc4 following 20 min of depolarization (1.2±0.21, n=17) (Fig. 6D), and the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4 remained lower than that of NFATc3, even in the presence of LMB. In contrast, LMB treatment dramatically increased the level of EGFP-NFATc3 nuclear translocation at 20 min (16.2±2.6, n=11) (Fig. 6D). There was no further increase in EGFP-NFATc3 nuclear translocation following 60 (14.0±1.8, n=10) or 180 min (17.8±3.9, n=10) of stimulation, suggesting that EGFP-NFATc3 nuclear localization was maximal by at least 20 min, in contrast to the slow and steady increase in EGFP-NFATc4 nuclear localization through 180 min (Fig. 6D). These results suggest that the overall diminished depolarization-induced activation of NFATc4 in neurons is attributable in part to a relatively slow nuclear import of this isoform.

Differential contribution of the N- and C-terminal halves of the NFAT homology region to NFAT activation

Our data indicated that NFATc3 and NFATc4 were differentially dephosphorylated in response to depolarization-induced [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] elevation (Fig. 5D). NFAT proteins contain two major phosphoserine containing regions: SRR1 localized in the N-terminal half of the NHR and SP1-SP3 localized in the C-terminal half of the NHR. We replaced amino acids 1-206 of NFATc4, containing SRR1, with the homologous region of NFATc3 (amino acids 1-200; EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3) (Fig. 7A) and analyzed the subcellular localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 in response to depolarization with 20 mM K\textsuperscript{+}. EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 was excluded from the nucleus under basal conditions (0.32±0.02, n=20) (Fig. 7B). Following a 20 min (1.2±0.13, n=46) or 60 min (1.8±0.30, n=32) depolarization, the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 was significantly greater than that of EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 7B). However, after a 180 min stimulation there was no significant difference between the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 (1.3±0.21, n=36) and EGFP-NFATc4 (Fig. 7B).

Since replacement of the N-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR with that of NFATc3 increased depolarization-dependent NFATc4 nuclear localization, we asked whether substitution of the N-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR for that of NFATc3 would inhibit NFATc3 nuclear translocation. We generated a construct in which amino acids 1-200 of NFATc3 were replaced with the homologous region of NFATc4 (amino acids 1-206; EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4) (Fig. 7A) and assessed the subcellular localization of EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4 in response to depolarization. EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4 was localized to the cytosol under basal conditions (0.26±0.02, n=19) and did not differ significantly from EGFP-NFATc3 (Fig. 7C). Following a 20 min depolarization with 20 mM K\textsuperscript{+}, the nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4 (2.0±0.24, n=45) was significantly less than that of EGFP-NFATc3 (Fig. 7C). However, the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4 did not differ significantly from that of EGFP-NFATc3 following 60 min (4.6±0.50, n=28) or 180 min (3.1±0.50, n=34) of stimulation (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these data suggest that the N-terminal portion of the NHR, which contains SRR1, affects the initial nuclear translocation of NFAT, but not the amplitude of NFAT nuclear localization upon prolonged depolarization.

We next examined whether the C-terminal portion of the NHR contributed to the slow kinetics of NFATc4 translocation. We generated an NFAT chimera where amino acids 207-388 of NFATc4, which contains the SP motifs, were replaced with the homologous region of NFATc3 (amino acids 201-403; EGFP-NFATc4-SPc3) (Fig. 8A). EGFP-NFATc4-SPc3 was excluded from the nucleus under basal conditions (0.32±0.02, n=15) (Fig. 8B). There was a slight increase in the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SPc3 following 20 min of stimulation (0.96±0.19, n=25). However, there was a
significant increase in the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SPc3 following 60 min (2.5±0.78, n=15) or 180 min (2.7±0.42, n=36) of depolarization with 20 mM K⁺ (Fig. 8B). Therefore, the C-terminal half of NFATc3 is able to increase the nuclear localization of NFATc4 upon prolonged depolarization.

To test whether the C-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR could inhibit NFATc3 translocation we generated a chimera in which amino acids 201-403 of NFATc3 were replaced with the homologous region of NFATc4 (amino acids 207-388; EGFP-NFATc3-SPc4) (Fig. 8A). The depolarization-induced nuclear translocation of EGFP-NFATc3-SPc4 was significantly reduced as compared to EGFP-NFATc3 at 20 min (0.83±0.11, n=24), 60 min (1.3±0.26, n=16), and 180 min (1.1±0.22, n=34) (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the C-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR is critical for repressing NFATc4 activation in neurons.

Knockdown of GSK3β strongly facilitates nuclear translocation of NFATc4

Given that the C-terminal half of the NHR, which contains the SP1-SP3 phospho-serine motifs, appeared to be critical for the repression of NFATc4, we asked whether kinases that phosphorylate the SP motifs repressed NFATc4 nuclear localization. GSK3β phosphorylates the SP motifs of NFAT, which is thought to oppose nuclear localization of NFAT (5,38). We hypothesized that GSK3β activity could oppose CaN-mediated dephosphorylation of NFATc4 and thus maintain NFATc4 in a phosphorylated and nuclear excluded state in neurons. To test this hypothesis we co-transfected DRG neurons with EGFP-NFATc4 and a shRNA construct targeting GSK3β (Supplemental Fig. 1). Knockdown of GSK3β had no effect on the basal localization of EGFP-NFATc4 (0.41±0.07, n=9) but increased the nuclear localization of NFATc4 upon depolarization for 20 min (1.1±0.14, n=34), 60 min (2.1±0.30, n=21), or 180 min (2.5±0.33, n=22) (Fig. 9A). In contrast, depolarization-induced nuclear import of NFATc3 in DRG neurons was not affected by knocking-down GSK3β (Fig. 9B). Under resting conditions the nuclear/cytosolic ratio for EGFP-NFATc3 was 0.52±0.10 for control cells (n=30) and 0.54±0.19 for DRG neurons transfected with GSK3β shRNA (n=11) (Fig. 9B). Depolarization (20 mM KCl) for 20 min produced rapid and strong nuclear translocation of NFATc3 yielding the EGFP-NFATc3 nuclear/cytosolic ratio of 3.6±0.46 for control cells (n=26) and 4.6±0.58 for neurons treated with shRNA against GSK3β (n=27; p=0.20, unpaired Student’s t-test) (Fig. 9B).

The N-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR, which contains the SRR1, also appears to inhibit NFATc4 nuclear localization. We hypothesized that replacement of the N-terminal half of the NFATc4 NHR with that of the NFATc3 NHR, which increased NFATc4 nuclear translocation at 20 min and 60 min (Fig. 7B), would further facilitate NFATc4 nuclear translocation. Co-expression with GSK3β-shRNA had no effect on the nuclear localization of EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 at resting conditions that was excluded from the nucleus (0.34±0.02, n=19) (Fig. 9A). Following a 20 min (2.4±0.29, n=21) or 60 min (3.6±0.41, n=17) depolarization the mean nuclear/cytosolic ratio of EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 in the presence of GSK3β-shRNA was significantly greater than that of EGFP-NFATc4 co-expressed with GSK3β-shRNA (Fig. 9A).

We also tested whether inhibition of kinases that target the SRR1 of NFATc4 would also increase NFATc4 nuclear translocation in response to depolarization. Protein kinases p38 and mTOR phosphorylate the SRR1 of NFATc4 and regulate the subcellular distribution of NFATc4 (35,37). We stimulated DRG neurons with 20 mM K⁺ in the presence of the p38 inhibitor, SB203580 (1 μM), or the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin (100 nM) for 20 min or 180 min. Neither SB203580 nor rapamycin had an significant effect on depolarization-induced nuclear translocation of NFATc4 (Fig. 9C). These data indicate that nuclear translocation of NFATc4 in neurons is strongly repressed by GSK3β, but not by p38 or mTOR kinases.

DISCUSSION

Activity-dependent gene regulation is critical for cellular adaptations to changing environments. Neurons couple activity-driven changes in [Ca²⁺], to gene transcription through regulation of Ca²⁺-dependent transcription factors, such as NFAT. There is growing evidence for the roles of
particular NFAT isoforms in specific neuronal functions, such as axonal and dendritic growth, synaptogenesis, sensory function and neuronal survival (20,21,23,40,49,51). However, whether NFAT is regulated in an isoform-specific manner in neurons is less clear. In this study we provide evidence that NFATc3 and NFATc4 are differentially activated in response to depolarization-induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx in DRG and hippocampal neurons, as well as in neuronal-like PC12 cells. While neuronal activity and resulting [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]_i elevation are sufficient to rapidly and strongly activate NFATc3 in peripheral and central neurons, NFATc4 activation requires coincident [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]_i elevation and repression of GSK3\textbeta.

Nuclear translocation analysis of various NFATc3/c4 chimera constructs suggests that the distinct activation properties of both isoforms are determined primarily by the differences in their SP-containing regions. Several groups have previously reported NFATc4 activation in neurons. For example, activation of L-type calcium channels by elevating extracellular K\textsuperscript{+} (90 mM KCl) resulted in a modest CaN-dependent NFATc4 nuclear translocation in hippocampal neurons (5,6). Stimulation of cortical neurons with NMDA induced NFATc3 and NFATc4 nuclear translocation, although the time course of translocation was not examined (21). Treatment of hippocampal neurons with BDNF also induced NFATc4 nuclear translocation that was independent of VGCC activity (47). Importantly, the rate and amplitude of NFATc4 nuclear translocation was not compared with that of other NFAT isoforms in these studies. While we did observe a gradual EGFP-NFATc4 translocation in response to extended depolarization with 20 mM or 40 mM K\textsuperscript{+} (Fig. 2B and C), the responsiveness of NFATc4 was both qualitatively and quantitatively distinct from that of NFATc3.

It is increasingly apparent that cells are able to selectively activate specific NFAT isoforms, although the mechanistic basis for NFAT isoform selectivity is as yet poorly understood. In skeletal muscle cells NFATc1, NFATc2, or NFATc3 were selectively activated upon [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]_i elevation depending upon the stage of muscle differentiation (44). Another study in skeletal muscle found NFATc4 was constitutively localized to the nucleus, in contrast to the basal cytosolic localization of NFATc1-c3 (66). Furthermore, NFATc3 was activated by either slow or fast electrical stimulation patterns, while NFATc1 was activated only by slow patterned stimulation (66). Under basal conditions in cardiac myocytes, NFATc1 was localized to the nucleus, while NFATc3 was localized to the cytosol and angiotensin-II and endothelin-1 stimulation induced NFATc3 nuclear translocation in atrial, but not ventricular cardiac myocytes (42). Our finding that NFATc3, but not NFATc4, rapidly translocates to the nucleus of DRG and hippocampal neurons upon action potential stimulation supports the hypothesis that specific NFAT isoforms can be differentially activated within a single cell, which could contribute to NFAT isoform-specific gene regulation.

The analysis of electrophoretic mobility of NFAT isoforms suggests that NFATc3 is rapidly and effectively dephosphorylated by CaN in response to depolarization, whereas NFATc4 remains largely phosphorylated (Fig. 5D). This differential regulation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 by depolarization and resulting [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]_i elevation could be explained by distinct sensitivity of these isoforms either to dephosphorylation by CaN or to phosphorylation by NFAT kinases. The latter explanation is more attractive for two reasons. First, knocking down GSK3\textbeta markedly enhanced depolarization-induced nuclear translocation of NFATc4 (Fig. 9A), but not nuclear translocation of NFATc3 (Fig. 9B). Second, replacing either the CaN-binding PxIxIT motif or LxVP motif of NFATc4 with that of NFATc3, or replacing the NFATc4 PxIxIT motif with the VIVIT sequence, which binds CaN with high affinity, had little effect on depolarization-induced nuclear translocation of NFATc4 (Fig. 6B, C).

It is unclear why GSK3\textbeta represses the nuclear translocation of NFATc4, but not of NFATc3. GSK3\textbeta activity is generally thought to require a “priming” phosphorylation on the substrate to be further phosphorylated by GSK3\textbeta (67). Previous reports indicate PKA and DYRK family kinases serve as priming kinases for GSK3\textbeta phosphorylation of NFAT (39-41). However, there are no reports of isoform-specific priming kinases, which could regulate the propensity of GSK3\textbeta to phosphorylate a given NFAT isoform. Scaffolding complexes are critical
for imparting substrate-specificity for GSK3β signaling, such as the well-characterized axin-
GSK3β-β-catenin complex in the Wnt signaling pathway (68). Recent studies identified non-
coding RNA repressor of NFAT (NRON)-
multiprotein complexes that can contain
GSK3β (69-71). Similarly, a scaffolding protein
AKAP79/150 was shown to regulate interaction of
NFATc4 with CaN (6,72). Therefore, it is possible
that isoform-specific regulation of NFAT proteins
by protein kinases and CaN is achieved through
the interaction with specific scaffolding
complexes.

The respective contributions of the SRR1
and SP motifs to regulating NFAT activation
remain an unresolved question. SRR1
phosphorylation is thought to be critical for
occluding the NLS. Mutation of serine residues
within the SRR1 increases the basal nuclear
localization of NFAT (40,57). Furthermore,
simultaneous inhibition of CK1, which
phosphorylates SRR1, and NFAT nuclear export
with LMB, increased the nuclear localization in
the absence of stimulation (36). We observed that
the region containing the SRR1 of NFATc4 was
sufficient to decrease the initial translocation of
NFATc3 in response to depolarization, while the
converse substitution of the NFATc3 SRR1 into
NFATc4 increased NFATc4 translocation.
Interestingly, replacement of the SRR1-containing
region had no effect on the amplitude of NFAT
nuclear translocation following 180 min of
stimulation (Fig. 7B and C), suggesting that while
the N-terminal half of the NHR may regulate the
initial nuclear translocation, elements within the
C-terminal half of the NHR, possibly the SP
motifs, regulate the retention of NFAT within the
nucleus. Indeed, replacement of the C-terminal
half of the NFATc4 NHR with that of NFATc3
increased the nuclear localization at 180 min,
while the converse substitution decreased NFATc3
nuclear localization (Fig. 8).

The observation that knockdown of GSK3β
expression also increases the nuclear localization of NFATc4 following 180 min of depolarization,
suggests that the inhibitory effect of the NFATc4
C-terminal half of the NHR is likely due to
persistent GSK3β-dependent phosphorylation of
the SP motifs (Fig. 9). Mutation of serine residues
within the SP motifs has no effect on the basal
nuclear localization of NFAT (57). Similarly we
detected no increase in the basal nuclear
localization of NFATc3 or NFATc4 in cells co-
transfected with GSK3β-shRNA (Fig. 9). From
our data, we cannot conclusively say whether
GSK3β regulates NFATc4 nuclear import, export,
or both import and export. While previous studies
have found that GSK3β affects the nuclear export
of NFATc4 proteins, it is not known whether
GSK3β also affects the rate of NFATc4 import
(5). GSK3β localizes to both the cytoplasmic and
nuclear compartments of neurons (73-77) and is
known to phosphorylate cytosolic proteins, such as
tau (78,79). Furthermore, GSK3β exists in
cytosolic protein complexes that can repress
NFAT activation (70). Interestingly, replacement
of the NFATc4 N-terminal region with that of
NFATc3 augmented the nuclear translocation of
EGFP-NFATc4 co-expressed with GSK3β-
shRNA (Fig. 9A). Similarly, mutation of the SP
motifs augments the nuclear localization of NFAT
proteins containing SRR1 mutations (40,57).
Therefore, it is likely that NFATc4 nuclear
translocation in neurons is inhibited by both a
strong GSK3β-dependent nuclear export, and a
separate repression of nuclear import regulated by
dephosphorylation of SRR1.

The requirement for both electrical activity
and GSK3β repression for NFATc4 activation
raises the question about the endogenous
mechanisms regulating GSK3β activity in
neurons. One of the common signaling pathways
recruited by neurotrophins involves activation of
phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) and Akt
kinase that, in turn, phosphorylates and inhibits
GSK3β (80,81). Notably, it has been shown that
NGF and BDNF activate NFATc4 in neurons,
although the specific role of the PI3K-Akt-
dependent inhibition of GSK3β has not been
tested in these studies (23,48,82).

The importance of specific NFAT proteins,
in neuronal development, function, and disease is
becoming increasingly apparent. NFATc2,
NFATc3 and NFATc4 regulate NGF- and netrin-
dependent axonal growth during development
(23). NFATc4 also regulates GAP-43 expression
during development and is involved in BDNF-
dependent anti-apoptotic signaling in cortical
neurons (21,22). At the same time, NFATc3 and
NFATc4 likely contribute to methamphetamine-
induced neuronal apoptosis (49), and both NFATc3 and c4 have been implicated in the regulation of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expression in sensory neurons (45,82). Furthermore, recent studies have linked NFAT with neurodegeneration in Alzheimer’s disease, and NFATc4 activation was associated with amyloid-β-induced dendritic simplification and synapse loss in cortical neurons (24,27,50). Our work demonstrates that NFATc3 and NFATc4 are distinctly regulated by electrical activity and GSK3β in neurons and identifies critical regions of NFAT determining this difference. Therapeutic targeting of NFAT is currently limited by the poor specificity, and associated toxicity, of available compounds (83). Determination of the precise mechanisms governing activation of specific NFAT isoforms beyond CaN-dependent dephosphorylation could facilitate the discovery of novel therapeutic targets for isoform-specific NFAT modulation.
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Figure 1. NFATc3, but not NFATc4, translocates to the nucleus upon action potential stimulation of DRG and hippocampal neurons. 

**A and B**, DRG neurons (1-2 DIV) expressing EGFP-NFATc3 (A) or EGFP-NFATc4 (B) were stimulated with 10 trains of action potentials (10 Hz x 10s, 50s interval, arrows). 

**C and D**, Hippocampal neurons (12-14 DIV) expressing EGFP-NFATc3 (C) or EGFP-NFATc4 (D) were stimulated with 15 trains of action potentials (10 Hz x 10 s, 50 s interval, arrows). The subcellular localization of EGFP-NFATc3 (A and C) and EGFP-NFATc4 (B and D) (green traces) was quantified by measuring the mean fluorescence intensity of EGFP within the nucleus or cytosol. Data are presented as mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescent intensity. [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ (black trace) was measured simultaneously with EGFP using the ratiometric indicator dye Fura-2. Images above the traces show cellular localizations of EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 at the indicated time points.

Figure 2. Differential nuclear translocation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 in response to depolarization.

**A-C**, DRG neurons (1 DIV) over-expressing EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 were stimulated with 15 mM (A), 20 mM (B), or 40 mM (C) K$^+$ for 20, 60, or 180 min. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=15-40 cells). Images show typical distributions of EGFP-NFATc3 and EGFP-NFATc4 for specified treatments and time points. *** p<0.001, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test between isoforms for each time point. 

**D**, Amplitudes of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ elevations produced by K$^{+}$15, K$^{+}$20 and K$^{+}$40 depolarization in DRG neurons ($\Delta$[Ca$^{2+}$]). [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ was measured in DRG neurons under conditions similar to that of DRG cell culture. Cell culture medium was supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 and perfused at 37°C. [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ measurements were taken 20 min after depolarization with K$^{+}$15, K$^{+}$20, or K$^{+}$40 (n=15-26 cells).

Figure 3. Activation of NFAT-mediated transcription by mild depolarization in neurons strongly depends on NFATc3.

**A**, Dual luciferase assay was performed in DRG neurons stimulated with either a vehicle or with 20 mM KCl and 1 µM BayK8644 (K$^{+}$20) for 12 hrs. Control cells were transfected with NFAT-luciferase (firefly; NFAT-luc) and TK-luciferase (Renilla, TK-luc) only, whereas two other groups were additionally transfected with a shRNA construct targeting either NFATc3 (c3-shRNA, blue) or NFATc4 (c4-shRNA, red). NFAT transcription activation was quantified as the NFAT-luc/TK-luc luminescence intensity ratio. ***p<0.001, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post test; #p<0.001, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post test relative to vehicle control (n=7-21 experiments for various treatment groups). 

**B**, The data from experiments in (A) were re-analyzed by normalizing NFAT-luciferase activity in K$^{+}$20+BayK-stimulated cells relative to unstimulated cells (vehicle). *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test (n=7-21 independent experiments). 

**C**, NFAT-luciferase activity in DRG neurons treated with 40 mM KCl for 12 hrs were normalized to that in unstimulated cells. Three groups of neurons were compared: transfected with NFAT-luc/TK-luc only (control), NFAT-luc/TK-luc co-transfected with NFATc3 shRNA (c3-shRNA, blue), and NFAT-luc/TK-luc co-transfected with NFATc3 shRNA and EGFP-NFATc4 (c3-shRNA+NFATc4, green). *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test (n=3-6 experiments). 

**D**, NFAT-luciferase activity in hippocampal neurons treated with 25 mM KCl and 1 mM BayK8644 for 12 hrs was normalized to that in unstimulated neurons. Three groups of hippocampal neurons were examined: transfected with NFAT-luc/TK-luc only (control, grey) and those co-transfected with shRNA plasmid targeting either NFATc3 (c3-shRNA, blue) or NFATc4 (c4-shRNA, red). *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test (n=3-5 experiments).
Figure 4. The NFAT homology region determines the rate and amplitude of NFATc3 and NFATc4 nuclear translocation. A, Schematic of NFAT homology region (NHR) chimeras. NFATc3-NHRc4 consists of the NFATc3 protein in which the NFATc3 NHR-containing region (1-403) has been exchanged for that of NFATc4 NHR (1-388). NFATc4-NHRc3 consists of the NFATc4 protein in which the NFATc4 NHR-containing region (1-388) has been exchanged for that of NFATc3 (1-403). B, DRG neurons (1 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc3, EGFP-NFATc4, EGFP-NFATc3-NHRc4 or EGFP-NFATc4-NHRc3 were stimulated with 20 mM K+ for 20, 60, or 180 min. Representative images show cellular distribution of specific NFAT constructs after 20 min of stimulation with 20 mM K+. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=10-40 cells).

Figure 5. Rapid dephosphorylation of NFATc3, but not NFATc4, in response to depolarization. A, PC12 cells over-expressing EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 were stimulated with 25 mM K+ and BayK8644 (1 μM) for 20 or 180 minutes. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=30-32 cells) and were compared by ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test between isoforms for each time point ***p<0.001. B, PC12 cells transfected with EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 either remained untreated (rest) or were stimulated using 25 mM K+ and BayK8644 (1 μM) for 20 or 180 min. Following stimulation, nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were separated and immunoblotting against EGFP was performed. Fractionation was validated by immunoblotting against the cytosolic protein Grp75 and the nuclear protein Sp1. C, Densitometry was used to determine the EGFP-NFAT content of the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions for the experiments described in (B), and the nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio for NFATc3 (blue) and NFATc4 (red) were compared at various times of stimulation with 25 mM K+ and 1 μM BayK8644 (t=0 min corresponds to the resting condition). **p<0.01, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test (n=4 independent experiments for each NFAT isoform; mean ± SEM). D, The electrophoretic mobility of EGFP-NFATc3 is strongly increased upon depolarization for 20, 60, or 180 minutes with 25 mM K+ and BayK8644 (1 μM), indicative of dephosphorylation. Co-application of the CaN inhibitor FK506 (200 nM) for 180 minutes blocked the depolarization-dependent dephosphorylation. In contrast, K’25+BayK8644 (1 μM) stimulation failed to noticeably increase the electrophoretic mobility of EGFP-NFATc4 or HA-NFATc4. Treatment of cell lysate with alkaline phosphatase (AP) increased the mobility of HA-NFATc4.

Figure 6. The differential translocation of NFATc3 and NFATc4 is not mediated by differences in the PxIxIT or LxVP motifs. A, Alignment of the PxIxIT and LxVP motifs of NFATc1-NFATc4 and the high affinity PVIVIT motif. B, DRG neurons (1 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc3, EGFP-NFATc4, EGFP-NFATc3-Q112R, EGFP-NFATc4-VIVIT, or EGFP-NFATc4-R117Q were stimulated for 20, 60, or 180 min with 20 mM K+. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=9-40 cells). Data were analyzed by ANOVA of NFAT isoforms for each time point followed by Bonferroni post hoc test between mutant and wild-type NFATc4 and mutant and wild-type NFATc3. C, DRG neurons (1 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc3, EGFP-NFATc4, EGFP-NFAT-MDYLA, or EGFP-NFATc4-DQFLS were stimulated for 20, 60, or 180 min with 20 mM K+. Data were analyzed by ANOVA of NFAT isoforms for each time point followed by Bonferroni post hoc test between mutant and wild-type NFATc4 and mutant and wild-type NFATc3. D, DRG neurons (1 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc3 or EGFP-NFATc4 were stimulated for 20, 60, or 180 min with 20 mM K+ and Leptomycin B (LMB; 100 nM). Data points represent mean nuclear cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=13-40 cells). ###p<0.001 and ***p<0.001, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test between LMB treated and untreated cells for each time point (### for NFATc3 and *** for NFATc4).

Figure 7. The N-terminal half of the NHR regulates early NFAT nuclear translocation. A, Schematic of NFAT chimera construction. B and C, DRG neurons (1 DIV) expressing EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 (B) or EGFP-NFATc3-SRRc4 (C) were stimulated for 20, 60, or 180 min with 20 mM K+. The corresponding time courses of NFATc4 (B) and NFATc3 (C) were re-plotted from Fig. 2B for
comparison. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=13-46 cells) *p<0.05, **p<0.01, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test between isoforms for each time point.

Figure 8. The C-terminal half of the NHR determines the rate and magnitude of NFAT nuclear translocation  A, Schematic of NFAT chimera construction. B and C, DRG neurons (1 DIV) expressing EGFP-NFATc4-SPc3 (B) or EGFP-NFATc3-SPc4 (C) were stimulated with 20 mM K+ for 20, 60, or 180 minutes. The translocation time course of the corresponding wild type NFAT isoforms were re-plotted from Fig. 2B to enable comparison with the described NFAT chimeras. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=13-36 cells). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test between isoforms for each time point. Images above the plots show typical cellular distribution of the indicated NFAT constructs after 180 min following 20 mM K+ stimulation.

Figure 9. GSK3β represses depolarization-induced nuclear translocation of NFATc4.  A, DRG neurons (2 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc4 alone (red circles) or co-transfected with either EGFP-NFATc4 and GSK3β-shRNA (black triangles) or EGFP-NFATc4-SRRc3 and GSK3β-shRNA (green diamonds) were stimulated with 20 mM K+ for 20, 60, or 180 minutes. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=9-34 cells). Data were compared by one-way ANOVA for each time point followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test to compare constructs. *p<0.05 relative to NFATc4, #p<0.05 relative to NFATc4+GSK3β-shRNA. B, DRG neurons (2 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc3 alone or co-transfected with GSK3β-shRNA were stimulated with 20 mM K+ for 20, 60, or 180 minutes. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=11-27). Data were compared by one-way ANOVA for each time point followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test to compare constructs. C, DRG neurons (1 DIV) transfected with EGFP-NFATc4 were treated with 20 mM K+ alone (red circles) or together with either SB203580 (1 μM; black diamonds) or rapamycin (100 nM; green triangles) for 20 or 180 minutes. Data points represent mean nuclear/cytosolic fluorescence intensity ± SEM (n=13-40 cells).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>Fragment ID</th>
<th>Primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-NFATc3</td>
<td>NFAT c3-X-X</td>
<td>Forward: GTGAACCGTCAGATCCGCTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-200)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: ATTCGGCGCGCCTTGCATTCAACTCCTGGATCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-NFATc4</td>
<td>NFAT c4-X-X</td>
<td>Forward: GTGAACCGTCAGATCCGCTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-206)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: ATTCGGCGCGCCTTGCATTCAACTCCTGGATCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-NFATc3</td>
<td>NFAT c3-c3-X</td>
<td>Forward: GTGAACCGTCAGATCCGCTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-403)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: ATTCGGCGCGCCTTGCATTCAACTCCTGGATCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-NFATc4</td>
<td>NFAT c4-c4-X</td>
<td>Forward: GTGAACCGTCAGATCCGCTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-388)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: ATTCGGCGCGCCTTGCATTCAACTCCTGGATCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc3</td>
<td>NFAT X-c3-c3</td>
<td>Forward: TAAACCCGCGGCCCGATTTACCTGAGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(201-1075)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc4</td>
<td>NFAT X-c4-c4</td>
<td>Forward: TAAACCCGCGGCCCGATTTACCTGAGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(207-902)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc3</td>
<td>NFAT X-X-c3</td>
<td>Forward: TAAACCCGCGGCCCGATTTACCTGAGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(404-1075)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc4</td>
<td>NFAT X-X-c4</td>
<td>Forward: ATTCGGCGCGCCTTGGGATCAGGTACCTGTCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(389-902)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc3</td>
<td>NFAT X-c3-X</td>
<td>Forward: TAAACCCGCGGCCCGATTTACCTGAGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(201-403)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc4</td>
<td>NFAT X-c4-X</td>
<td>Forward: TAAACCCGCGGCCCGATTTACCTGAGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(207-389)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: GTTTGGACAAACCACAACACATAGATGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2

A. K+15

B. K+20

C. K+40

D. 

**NFAT cDNA Expression and Calcium Levels in Different K+ Concentrations**

- **K+15**: Shows a gradual increase in NFAT nuc/cyto over time, with a significant rise after 20 min.
- **K+20**: Similar trend as K+15, with a slight increase after 20 min.
- **K+40**: Rapid increase in NFAT nuc/cyto within 180 min, indicating a strong activation.

**Calcium Levels**

- 

**Δ[Ca^{2+}] (nM)**

- 

Summary: The experiments demonstrate the differential effects of varying K+ concentrations on NFAT cDNA expression and calcium levels, with K+40 showing the most pronounced increase.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PIXIT</th>
<th>LXVP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFATc1:</td>
<td>SPRIEIT</td>
<td>DQYLVAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc2:</td>
<td>SPRIEIT</td>
<td>ESILLVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc3:</td>
<td>CPSIQIT</td>
<td>DQFLSVAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFATc4:</td>
<td>CPSIRIT</td>
<td>MDYLVAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(A) NFAT nuc/cyto

Red dots: NFATc4
Black dots: NFATc4 & GSK3β shRNA
Green dots: NFATc4-SRR1c3 & GSK3β shRNA

(B) NFAT nuc/cyto

Blue dots: NFATc3
Green dots: NFATc3 & GSK3β shRNA

(C) NFAT nuc/cyto

Red dots: NFATc4
Black dots: NFATc4 SB203580
Green dots: NFATc4 rapamycin
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