Tau protein diffuses along the microtubule lattice*
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Background: Tau protein is believed to be stationary while bound to microtubules.

Results: Tau molecules can diffuse along microtubules over distances up to several micrometers.

Conclusion: Tau diffusion on microtubules is a novel mechanism for tau dispersion in cells.

Significance: Modulation of tau binding and diffusion along the microtubule lattice, however, were sensitive to ionic strength and pH and drastically reduced upon enzymatic removal of the negatively charged carboxy termini of tubulin.

We propose one-dimensional tau diffusion guided by the microtubule lattice as one possible additional mechanism for tau distribution. By such one-dimensional microtubule lattice diffusion, tau could be guided to both microtubule ends, i.e. the sites where tau is needed during microtubule polymerisation, independently of directed motor-dependent transport. This could be important in conditions where active transport along microtubules might be compromised.

SUMMARY

Current models for the intracellular transport of tau protein suggest motor protein dependent co-transport with microtubule fragments and diffusion of tau in the cytoplasm, while tau is believed to be stationary while bound to microtubules and in equilibrium with free diffusion in the cytosol. Observations that members of the microtubule-dependent kinesin family show Brownian motion along microtubules led us to hypothesize that diffusion along microtubules could also be relevant in the case of tau.

We used single molecule TIRF microscopy to probe for diffusion of individual fluorescently labelled tau molecules along microtubules. This allowed us to avoid the problem that microtubule-dependent diffusion could be masked by excess of labelled tau in solution that might occur in in vivo overexpression experiments. We found that about half of the individually detected tau molecules moved bi-directionally along microtubules over distances up to several micrometers. Diffusion parameters such as diffusion coefficient, interaction time, and scanned microtubule length did not change with tau concentration. Tau binding and diffusion along the microtubule lattice, however, were sensitive to ionic strength and pH and drastically reduced upon enzymatic removal of the negatively charged carboxy termini of tubulin.

We propose one-dimensional tau diffusion guided by the microtubule lattice as one possible additional mechanism for tau distribution. By such one-dimensional microtubule lattice diffusion, tau could be guided to both microtubule ends, i.e. the sites where tau is needed during microtubule polymerisation, independently of directed motor-dependent transport. This could be important in conditions where active transport along microtubules might be compromised.

Tau is a structural microtubule-associated protein (MAP) which is located predominantly in the axons of neurons where it supports assembly and stabilization of microtubules (1,2). In many neurodegenerative diseases tau protein is highly expressed, mislocated, and forms pathological aggregates. It was found that overexpression of tau in axons inhibits anterograde vesicle and organelle transport (3,4), and interferes in vitro with kinesin and dynein motile functions (5-7). However, despite this interference with microtubule (MT)-dependent and motor-driven transport tau protein is still able to disperse along axons (3). This led to the proposal of different mechanisms, and their combinations, for physiological distribution of tau in cells: co-transport with short microtubule-fragments along microtubules or actin filaments (8-10), kinesin-driven transport (11,12), and tau diffusion in the cytoplasm (10,13). Yet, in all mechanisms suggested to date, MT-bound tau is believed to be immobile (in contrast to motor
proteins), e.g., on a given MT or transported short MT-fragment. The immobile tau, however, is thought to be in equilibrium with freely diffusible Tau in the cytosol (10,14).

Recently, some members of the MT-dependent kinesin motors family (15-20) as well as the dynein/dynactin complex (21,22) were shown to exhibit one-dimensional Brownian motion along MTs under conditions in which they are not attached strongly to their MT tracks. Observations that also the kinetochore ring complex Dam-1, the actin-based motor myosin-5, and even charged artificial nanoparticles (23-25) can diffuse along MTs led to the hypothesis that this might be a rather common feature (26). In studies on the kinesin-13 family member MCAK, diffusive motion of single MCAK molecules along MTs was revealed (27), and it was suggested that diffusion along MTs is possible because MCAK is positively charged and partially unstructured. As both features are also true for tau protein, we hypothesized that diffusive behaviour could also be relevant for tau. One possible additional mechanism for the distribution of tau could therefore be one-dimensional diffusion guided by the MT lattice. By such one-dimensional MT lattice diffusion (instead of or in addition to directed motor-dependent transport) tau molecules could reach both ends of the MTs to support MT growth, even in situations when active transport along MTs might be compromised. Additionally, tau diffusing on a MT could clear the way for passing kinesin or dynein motors under physiological conditions.

We used single molecule total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy to probe for diffusion of individual fluorescently labelled tau molecules along immobilized MTs. Diffusion of tau along MTs has not been observed in cell experiments. In our experiments, the low concentrations of labelled tau in solution and the TIRF-technique allowed to us avoid masking of MT-dependent diffusion of tau by excess of labelled tau protein in solution, a problem arising in in vivo overexpression experiments. We found that about half of the single tau molecules were able to diffuse bi-directionally along MTs, independently of the tau concentration and ATP.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Expression and fluorescence labelling of tau protein- Full-length hTau40 molecules were expressed in E. coli as described previously (28). Fluorescent labelling was achieved by incubation of tau protein reduced by tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine with a 7 to 10-fold molar excess of tetramethyl rhodamine (TMR) or Alexa-633 maleimide (all Invitrogen) which labelled the two cysteine residues at positions 291 and 322 within the 2nd and 3rd repeat of the assembly domain of hTau40. Unbound fluorescent dye was removed by excessive dialysis against buffer BRB80 (80 mM piperazine-1,4-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES), 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10 mg/ml glucose, pH 6.8) containing 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The fluorescently labelled tau protein was then flash frozen and stored at -80°C until use. Protein concentration, purity and degree of labelling were tested using SDS-PAGE with subsequent Coomassie stain analysis and using spectroscopic analysis, respectively.

Preparation and enzymatic digestion of microtubules- Taxol-stabilized Cy5- or rhodamine labelled and unlabelled MTs were prepared basically as described previously (29) except that no biotinylated tubulin was used. Taxol-free Cy5 labelled MTs were digested with 200 µg/ml subtilisin type XXIV (Sigma) for 20 min at 37°C and stabilized by addition of taxol before the digestion was terminated with 2mM 4-(2-Aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AppliChem). Control samples were incubated under identical conditions but without the proteolytic enzyme. All types of MTs were further cleaned by centrifugation through a warm cushion of buffer BRB80 containing 40% glycerol, resuspended in BRB80 with 10 µM taxol and stored at room temperature until use.

Single molecule TIRF microscopy- A self-made objective type TIRF microscope with single fluorophore sensitivity (30) was used for the detection of fluorescently labelled MTs and tau molecules. Prior to immobilization on the surface of the flow chambers (30) the preformed MTs were incubated for 20 minutes at 35°C with tau protein dilutions in buffer BRB12 (12 mM PIPES, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10 mg/ml glucose, pH 6.8) with 10 µM taxol. During experiments, the assay buffers were supplemented with the desired tau protein or antibody concentration and 0.5 mg/ml BSA plus 10 µM taxol, and with an oxygen scavenger system (10 mg/ml glucose, 50 U/ml glucose oxidase, 7600 U/ml catalase, and 10
MTs. Single tetramethyl rhodamine labelled tau visualize individual tau molecules on immobilized MTs was used or additionally supplemented with different concentrations of potassium acetate. Movement of fluorescently labelled tau molecules with a degree of labelling (DOL) of 200% (i.e. complete labelling of the two cysteines) or antibody molecules was recorded for time periods of 60 seconds with 5 frames per second.

Data analysis- Data were recorded and converted to tif stacks using the camera program Andor Solis (Andor Technology, Belfast, Ireland). Individual fluorescently labelled molecules were located and tracked using the computer program ImageJ (W.S. Rasband, NIH, Bethesda, MD, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) and the plug-ins Multiple Kymograph (J. Rietdorf and A. Seitz, EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany) and Particle Tracker (31). The validity of each trajectory was confirmed by visual inspection. Particles that remained stationary, switched between clear stationary and mobile periods, repetitively reached one end of a MT, and overlapping trajectories of adjacent molecules were excluded from analysis. Mean squared displacements, scanned MT distances and interaction times of tracked particles were calculated using Microsoft Excel 2003 and the data were fitted with Origin 7 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA).

RESULTS

Tau protein is not stationary on microtubules and its mobility can be described as diffusion along the microtubule lattice- In the published models for the dispersion of tau protein in cells, tau is believed to be stationary when bound to a given MT, but in equilibrium between MT-bound and diffusing freely in the cytosol. To test for one-dimensional tau diffusion guided by the MT lattice we used single molecule TIRF microscopy to visualize individual tau molecules on immobilized MTs. Single tetramethyl rhodamine labelled tau molecules (hTau40-TMR) colocalized with immobilized Cy5 labelled MTs (Fig. 1A). However, about 50% of the tau molecules were not stationary on the MTs but exhibited undirected motion along the immobilized MTs for periods of several seconds and over distances of several micrometers (Fig. 1B and C). In addition to using highly purified proteins (Fig. S1), we performed these experiments also in the absence of ATP to rule out the possibility that this motion might be a result of motor protein action. The mobility of tau molecules along MTs could be described by one-dimensional diffusion because plots of the mean squared displacements $<x^2>$ of mobile hTau40-TMR molecules against the time increment (Fig. 1D, black squares) could be fitted by linear regressions (Fig. 1D). The diffusion coefficient $D$ was derived from the slope of this regression, which follow the equation $<x^2>-2Dt$. In contrast, single kinesin molecules moving uni-directionally along MTs while consuming ATP generated parabolic plots of their mean squared displacement against the time increment (Fig. 1D, gray circles). To test for such an additional directional bias in our data of single mobile tau molecules, polynomial fits to the equation $<x^2>=2Dt^2+(vt)^2$ for diffusion plus directed motion were performed. As in the example shown in Fig. 1D, no evidence for directed velocity $v$ was found, and the apparent velocities of the tracked tau molecules had a Gaussian distribution around zero (-0.012 ± 0.010 µm/s). Therefore, we conclude that movement of tau along MTs did not include any uni-directional component.

The undirected diffusive motion of tau on MTs was neither caused nor significantly affected by the fluorophores/fluorescent labels. The tetramethyl rhodamine dye alone did not show mobility along MTs, and the observed diffusion of tau molecules was not dependent on tau- or MT labelling in different combinations (Fig. 1, Fig. 4B, Fig. S2A and B), and the derived diffusion coefficients were almost identical (hTau40-TMR (200% DOL) on Cy5 labelled MTs $D$: 0.153 ± 0.019 µm²/s, n=170; hTau40-TMR (10% DOL) on Cy5 labelled MTs $D$: 0.142 ± 0.026 µm²/s, n=20; hTau40-TMR on unlabelled microtubules $D$: 0.169 ± 0.029 µm²/s, n=13; hTau40-Alexa633 on rhodamine labelled MTs $D$: 0.164 ± 0.013 µm²/s, n=9). To test whether the observed diffusion of tau molecules might be the result of labelling the intrinsic cysteine residues within the 2nd and 3rd repeats of the assembly domain we made use of a tau construct which was labelled at a different site. In this construct (cys-lite hTau40J260C-Alexa488)
both cysteine residues in R2 and R3 were changed to alanine and a new cysteine was introduced at position 260 and labelled with Alexa488 maleimide. We found that this construct diffused robustly along MTs (Fig. S2C).

The diffusive behaviour of tau is independent of its concentration- We characterized the diffusive behaviour of individual tau molecules (Fig. S3) in a wide concentration range between sub-physiological 2 pM and elevated 10 nM tau at constant 50 nM MTs. At hTau40-TMR concentrations exceeding 20 pM, trajectories of individual molecules could no longer be discriminated, and higher total concentrations of tau protein were achieved by mixing 5-10 pM labelled with unlabelled hTau40. Surprisingly, the diffusion coefficient, the diffusion duration, and also the MT distance scanned by diffusing individual tau molecules did not change with increasing total tau concentration on the MTs (Fig. 2A, C, and E). We tracked 170 individual hTau40-TMR molecules and calculated their average diffusion coefficient D to be $0.153 \pm 0.019 \mu m^2/s$ (Fig. 2B). The durations of diffusive interactions distributed exponentially (Fig. 2D) and yielded a mean lifetime of $24.41 \pm 1.78$ seconds when corrected for photobleaching (Fig. S5D). We calculated the average microtubule length scanned by single diffusing tau molecules (scanned distance) from the average diffusion coefficient and diffusion duration (27) to be $2.73 \pm 0.14 \mu m$ ($\sqrt{2Dt}$), which agrees well with the main peak in the measured data (Fig. 2E and F). Note that the values plotted in Fig. 2F contain both an increasing component derived from the root-mean-square displacement and a decreasing component from the exponential decay of the interaction time (Fig. 2D). Within the tested range between 2 pM and 10 nM the fraction of mobile tau molecules did not change with increasing total tau concentration but remained at ~50% (Fig. S4). Additionally, we performed experiments using single-labelled hTau40-TMR molecules with a DOL of only 10%. The values for single-labelled hTau40-TMR molecules (Fig. S5A-C, $D = 0.142 \pm 0.026 \mu m^2/s$; $t = 22.81 \pm 2.85$ seconds; scanned distance $= 2.545 \pm 0.222 \mu m$) were very comparable to the values gained from double-labelled hTau40-TMR, again indicating that the DOL did not effect the diffusive behavior of tau molecules.

Occasionally, we observed transitions from stationary to mobile periods (or vice versa) of individual tau molecules (Fig. S6). As these events were rather rare and occurred with rates of approximately $0.015 s^{-1}$ (18 and 20 transitions, respectively, in totally 1287 counted mobile and stationary tau molecules each recorded for 60 seconds), we conclude that for individual tau molecules transitions from a stationary to a mobile state or vice versa are possible, but the lifetimes of these states are long and usually exceed our observation period of 60 seconds.

Tau uses the C-terminus of tubulin as an interaction partner for diffusion along the microtubule lattice- It has been shown that some MT binding proteins use the C-terminal peptide of tubulin, the so-called E-hook, for diffusion along the MT lattice (20,27) while others do not (15,32). After enzymatic removal of the tubulin C-terminus by subtilisin (Fig. 3C) the number of MT-bound tau molecules decreased substantially (by ~70 %) (Fig. 3A), and most of them remained stationary bound on these Cy5 labelled MTs (Fig. 3B, kymographs 1-3). Only very few diffusible molecules were detected which showed brief and slow movement (Fig. 3B, rightmost track in kymograph 3). However, at the same time in the very same assay chambers bidirectional motion of hTau40-TMR molecules along unlabelled undigested MTs was observed (Fig. 3B, kymographs † and ‡). The diffusion coefficient of hTau40-TMR on unlabelled undigested MTs in these “mixed MT” diffusion assays with an average value of $0.169 \pm 0.029 \mu m^2/s$ (n=13) was found to be identical to values derived from hTau40-TMR molecules diffusing along Cy5 labelled MTs (Fig. 2B).

Tau binding and diffusion along microtubules is sensitive to ionic strength and pH- As the negatively charged C-terminus of tubulin facilitated diffusive motion of tau molecules along MTs, we tested whether the observed diffusion of individual tau molecules along MTs is mediated by electrostatic interactions and is therefore sensitive to ionic strength or pH. Hence, we supplemented potassium acetate in our assays to mimic the in vivo ionic environment (33,34) and performed our single molecule diffusion assays in buffers of increasing ionic strength (from approximately 40 mM in buffer BRB12 with no
potassium acetate added to 140 mM in BRB12 with additional 100 mM potassium acetate). We found that the diffusion coefficient of hTau40-TMR molecules increased with increasing ionic strength (Fig. 4A, Table 1). At the same time the number of tau molecules and the durations of the diffusive interactions with MTs decreased with increasing ionic strength (Fig. 4B, Table 1). As a result, the MT segment ($\sqrt{2Dt}$) scanned by an hTau40-TMR molecule during such a diffusive interaction remained unchanged (Fig. 4C, Table 1). Unlike the diffusion coefficients and durations, however, the fraction of mobile tau molecules did not change with increasing ionic strength but remained at 50-54% (Table 1). The diffusive parameters of tau molecules were also highly dependent on the pH of the experimental solution. While the fraction of mobile tau molecules between pH 6.0 and 8.0 remained unchanged at 46-54% (Table 1) the increase in the diffusion coefficient with increasing pH was even more pronounced than with increasing ionic strength (Fig. 4D, Table 1). The durations of the diffusive interactions decreased at higher pH, leaving the MT distance scanned by individual tau molecules almost constant (Fig. 4E, F, Table 1). At pH 6.0 only very few tau molecules could be observed to bind to and diffuse slowly along MTs.

**DISCUSSION**

In neurons, tau needs to be transported and sorted into different cellular compartments. Current models for the dispersion of tau protein involve co-transport of bound tau with short MT-fragments (8-10), kinesin-driven transport (11,12), and tau diffusion in the cytoplasm (10,13). Consequently, so far MT-bound tau is believed to be stationary on a given MT or transported MT-fragment but in equilibrium with diffusible tau in the cytosol (10,14). Using single molecule TIRF microscopy, we found that about half of the individually detected tau molecules on MTs were not stationary but moved bi-directionally along these MTs.

In contrast to the reported kinesin-driven transport of tau protein (11,12), the observed diffusion of individual tau molecules along MTs was independent of motor proteins as highly purified proteins were used and tau diffusion along MTs occurred in the absence of ATP. Additionally, no indication of a directional bias was found, which would be expected if this mobility was motor-driven. Therefore, the observed mobile behaviour most likely is an intrinsic property of tau and due to a direct interaction of tau and MTs. However, our data do not exclude an additional long-range kinesin-driven transport of tau in cells.

Is the observed mobility the diffusion of individual tau molecules? In addition to one- and two-step photobleaching of double-labelled hTau40-TMR molecules (Fig. S3B and A), we analyzed the fluorescence intensities of diffusing tau molecules and of tau molecules stationary bound on MTs (Fig. S3C) which revealed several important points: (i) Stationary spots were not higher in fluorescence intensity than diffusing molecules, arguing against the idea that stationary spots were composed of aggregates of diffusible antibodies exhibited one-dimensional diffusion while ~25% remained stationary. The example shown in Fig. 5B yielded a diffusion coefficient $D$ of 0.0497 μm²/s. The average diffusion coefficient $D$ was found to be $0.0602 \pm 0.0172 \mu m^2/s$ (SEM, $n=11$), and the majority (8 of 11: 73%) of polynomial fits to the equation $<x^2>=2Dt+(vt)^2$ gave negative values for directed velocity, in this example $v=0.0654 \mu m/s$. Therefore, movement of antibodies along MTs was found to be diffusive and had no component of directional bias.

**Diffusion along microtubules may be a general feature even for non-MAP proteins such as antibodies**. Recently it has been reported that even artificial charged nanoparticles can diffuse along MTs, suggesting that one-dimensional Brownian motion along rod like polymers is electrostatic in origin rather than depending on specific tertiary structures (24). To test whether diffusive movement along MTs is a rather general feature we tested other proteins which were not known to bind to MTs. Since Fc-fragments of antibodies are overall positively charged we tested the monoclonal IgG antibody m74-1 which is specific for the intermediate chain of dynein (35) for its ability to diffuse along the MT lattice. We labelled the immunoglobulin with rhodamine using a rhodamine antibody labelling kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and found that the labelled antibody exhibited one-dimensional diffusion along MTs (Fig. 5A), yet with a lower diffusion coefficient (Fig. 5B) compared to tau molecules or the kinesin family member MCAK (27). $74.81 \pm 5.62$ % (SD) of the observed antibodies were mobile, which is in line with the observed mobility of tau molecules. The example shown in Fig. 5C yielded a diffusion coefficient $D$ of 0.0297 μm²/s. The average diffusion coefficient $D$ was found to be $0.0408 \pm 0.0157 \mu m^2/s$ (SEM, $n=11$), and the majority (8 of 11: 73%) of polynomial fits to the equation $<x^2>=2Dt+(vt)^2$ gave negative values for directed velocity, in this example $v=0.0546 \mu m/s$. Therefore, movement of antibodies along MTs was found to be diffusive and had no component of directional bias.
tau molecules. (ii) The diffusing spots showed a bimodal distribution in the same range of fluorescence intensity values as found for the stationary ones. (iii) Tau molecules, which underwent two-step photobleaching during diffusion, dropped in their fluorescence intensity to ~50% of their initial intensity, and not more as would be expected for larger aggregates. Additionally, a decrease of fluorescence intensity in more than two steps, i.e. 100% - ~50% - off or detachment, was not observed. These findings suggest that the bimodal distribution represents double- and single- (already bleached once) labelled tau molecules and that the stationary fluorescent spots on MTs, too, represent single tau molecules and not aggregates.

The properties of diffusing tau molecules did not change with increasing total tau concentrations. Due to possible tau clustering on the MTs, one might have expected that with increasing total tau concentration the dwell times of tau might increase while the diffusion coefficient and the scanned MT distance would decrease. This was not observed in our experiments, which suggests that the published inhibitory effect of tau on motor proteins can not be explained by pure sterical blocking. Diffusing tau molecules could give way to passing kinesin or dynein motors (as postulated in (26)) as individual tau molecules were still mobile on MTs even at tau levels where kinesin inhibition has been observed.

In axons, a diffusion coefficient for hTau40 of ~3 µm²/s and an average MT interaction time of ~4 seconds have been found and were interpreted as an almost free diffusion of tau in the cytosol interrupted by brief periods of stationary binding to MTs (10). Yet, it has been observed before that one-dimensional diffusion tends to be significantly slower than motion in three dimensions (23,27,36). At physiological ionic strength, we found dwell times for tau on MTs (~6 seconds) comparable to previous reports. During these transient interactions, however, tau molecules could diffuse one-dimensionally along MTs with diffusion coefficients of up to 0.34 µm²/s, thus scanning ~2.7 µm of MT length. Our results do not contradict previous findings but open a new window on the understanding of tau dispersion in cells, namely an additional mechanism for tau dispersion by one-dimensional diffusion along the MT lattice.

Already at the lowest ionic strength used in our experiments single tau molecules diffused along MTs as suggested by the brightness analysis of diffusing and static tau molecules (Fig. S3C). The observed increase of the diffusion coefficient of tau molecules with increasing ionic strength is therefore unlikely to be due to changes in the oligomerization state of tau molecules. Such an increase of the diffusion coefficient with ionic strength is also consistent with previous reports e.g. on the Ndc80 kinetochore complex (37) and on full-length kinesin-5 molecules diffusing tail-dependently along MTs (38). In the latter study, addition of 40 mM potassium chloride led to an almost 3-fold increase in the diffusion coefficient of individual kinesin-5 molecules. In our experiments, an even greater increase in the diffusion coefficient was found for tau molecules diffusing along MTs at different pH (Fig. 4D-F). Here, one could think of other possibilities than pure electrostatic reasons: the repeat domain of tau contains some (transient) secondary structure elements such as beta-strands and turns, which might undergo some conformational changes (39,40). Additionally, tau has a preferred "paperclip" conformation in solution (41,42), and it is possible that this conformation is perturbed in the presence of tubulin as a function of pH.

Is tau diffusion restricted to just one protofilament or is tau able to switch between protofilaments during a diffusive encounter with a MT? In order to test for protofilament switching or to resolve possible tau hopping between adjacent tubulin E-hooks during diffusion along MTs, a spatial resolution of 4 nm or better is needed at the frame rates necessary for characterization of tau diffusion. Such a high resolution could not be achieved in our experiments, and therefore switching of diffusing tau molecules between protofilaments could not be observed directly. Nevertheless, we recall that the diffusion coefficient of tau does not decrease with increasing tau concentration on MTs, the duration of diffusive interactions does not get shorter, and the MT length scanned during a diffusive interaction does not change. All this suggests that tau molecules diffusing along the length of a MT do not block but rather pass each other, either because they are bound to neighbouring protofilaments or by switching onto another protofilament. In addition, we occasionally observed switching between intersecting MTs.
(Video 1), which could mean that tau can easily change between protofilaments, even of different MTs, without detaching or with detached periods too brief to be detected. We therefore conclude that tau molecules are able to change from one to another protofilament when diffusing along a MT.

From structural and biochemical approaches it has been concluded that tau might have more than one binding conformation or binding site on MTs (43-46). Such different binding modes could result in different populations of tau molecules on MTs with distinct properties and functions. However, the structural and functional consequences of these different tau binding modes have not been studied, although a certain mobility of tau molecules on MTs has been proposed (45). In our experiments, almost 75% of anti-dynein antibodies were mobile along MTs, which is more than ~50% mobile molecules found in the case of hTau40-TMR but significantly less than 100%. This led us to conclude that these 25% immobile antibodies, as well as part of the immobile population of tau molecules, might possibly be due to molecules stuck to the coverslip surface near MTs or due to molecules trapped by defects in the MT lattice as suggested for MCAK molecules diffusing along MTs (27). Such lattice defects are known to occur in in vitro assembled MT (47). Recently, the actin based motor myosin-5, which can additionally bind and diffuse along MTs, was reported to occupy two different binding states on MTs: one diffusive and one immobile “trapped” state (32). It was concluded that the immobile trapped state was achieved if, in addition to electrostatic interactions, also non-ionic attraction forces became dominant. Our observation of an immobile and a diffusive population of tau molecules on MTs might be explained in a comparable fashion. Alternatively, a combination of different tau conformations and the interaction of different tau domains with the MT lattice could also lead to distinct mobile properties of tau molecules. At least parts of these two populations are interchangeable as tau molecules can switch between the mobile and trapped state, although only slowly.

Here we report that the MAP tau can diffuse one-dimensionally along the MT lattice, but is this feature exclusive to MAPs and molecular motors? It was observed previously that MT can diffuse along their axis on glass surfaces coated with methylcellulose (48), and recently, charged artificial nanoparticles were reported to diffuse along MTs (24). Our finding that even a randomly selected antibody diffused along MTs supports the idea that one-dimensional diffusion along the microtubule lattice might be a rather common means of dispersion in cells. For such a diffusing molecule, attractive and repulsive charge-charge interactions between the diffusing molecule and the MT need to be well balanced to prevent premature detachment from or immobilisation on MTs (24,32). In cells, this balance could be modulated locally to create patterning or asymmetric distributions of proteins (49-51). In the case of MTs and tau, this could be achieved by local modifications of MTs or tau modifications. Phosphorylation of tau (e.g. by the kinase MARK/Par-1) detaches tau from MTs in cells and decreases the dwell time of their interaction. Tau diffusion along the microtubule lattice was found to be sensitive to the presence of the negatively charged C-terminus of tubulin (E-hook). Therefore, one tool to differentially recruit MAPs to distinct regions of a neuron such as dendrites or the axon might also be different nucleotide states of MTs (52,53) or post translational modifications of tubulin as proposed for kinesin-1 (54).

In summary, we could show that tau, in addition to reported free diffusion in the cytosol and binding to MTs, can also diffuse one-dimensionally along MTs. Advantages of such diffusion guided by the MT lattice would be that (i) no external chemical energy is needed, (ii) both ends of the MT can be targeted, (iii) Brownian motion is faster than active transport over short distances of up to 1 µm, and (iv) a more even distribution on MTs can be achieved due to frequent transitions between protofilaments. Modulation of tau binding and diffusion on MTs by local post translational modifications of MTs or tau can also provide a tool to target tau into axons or to missort tau into dendrites upon failure during neurodegeneration.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. Tau molecules diffuse along MTs. (A) TIRF microscopy images of a Cy5 labelled MT (red) and TMR labelled hTau40 molecules (green) at a tau:Tubulin ratio of 100 pM:50 nM. hTau40-TMR shows clear colocalization and enrichment on Cy5 labelled MTs. (B) Sequential frames of a TMR labelled hTau40 molecule (green) moving along an immobilized Cy5 labelled MT (red) in the absence of ATP. Tau:Tubulin ratio 100 pM:50 nM. Only 5% of the tau molecules were TMR labelled. Time intervals as indicated. (C) Kymograph of the movement of the hTau40-TMR molecule shown in B. Horizontal dashed lines indicate start and end of diffusive interaction, respectively. The extreme positions along the MT reached during this diffusive encounter of ~ 15 s are depicted as vertical dashed lines. (D) Mean squared displacement $<x^2>$ of the same hTau40-TMR molecule (black squares) plotted against time increment, i.e. different time intervals over which displacement was determined. Data fitted by a linear regression (black line) to the equation $<x^2>=2D$t yield a diffusion coefficient D of 0.292 µm²/s. As an example for directed motion the gray circles depict data derived from a single kinesin-1 molecule moving linearly along an MT with a speed of 0.481 µm/s in the presence of 1 mM ATP. Error bars represent the SEM of the squared displacement values.

FIGURE 2. The diffusive behaviour of tau molecules is independent of the total tau concentration. (A) Diffusion coefficients of individual hTau40-TMR molecules at different tau concentrations. Concentration of tubulin 50 nM. (B) Distribution of diffusion coefficients of 170 hTau40-TMR molecules at various total tau concentrations. A Gaussian fit (black curve) yielded a mean value for the diffusion coefficient D of 0.153 ± 0.019 µm²/s. (C) Interaction times between individual hTau40-TMR molecules and Cy5 labelled MTs at different tau concentrations in the presence of 50 nM tubulin. (D) Distribution of interaction durations of 170 hTau40-TMR molecules at various total tau concentrations. An exponential decay (black curve) fitted to the data yielded, when corrected for photobleaching (Fig. S5D), a diffusion time constant of 24.41 ± 1.78s. (E) Distances on MTs scanned by individual hTau40-TMR molecules during their interaction times at different tau concentrations in the presence of 50 nM tubulin. (F) Distribution of observed distances on MTs scanned by individual hTau40-TMR molecules (n=170) at various total tau concentrations.

FIGURE 3. The C-terminus of tubulin facilitates tau binding to MTs and tau diffusion. (A) TIRFM images of three (1-3) Cy5 labelled subtilisin digested MTs (red) immobilized together with unlabelled undigested MTs. TMR labelled hTau40 molecules (green) bound preferentially to undigested but not to subtilisin digested MTs making the unlabelled undigested MTs visible (indicated as dashed white lines). (B) 60 seconds kymographs of few but mainly stationary hTau40-TMR molecules on Cy5 labelled subtilisin digested MTs (1-3, from A). More hTau40-TMR molecules bound to two unlabelled undigested MTs († and ‡, from A) and showed clear movement. Scale bars represent 2.5 µm. (C) Coomassie brilliant blue stained SDS-PAGE of undigested unlabelled MTs (Ø-MT) and partially Cy5 labelled subtilisin digested MTs (s-MT, 200 µg/ml subtilisin for 20 minutes at 35°C), marker: 50, 60 kDa.

FIGURE 4. The diffusion of tau molecules along MTs is sensitive to ionic strength and pH. (A) The diffusion coefficient D of TMR labelled hTau40 molecules diffusing along MTs vs. K-acetate concentration added to buffer BRB12 resulting in final ionic strength of ~40, 80, 120, and 140 mM, respectively. Error bars represent error margins of the Gaussian fits. (B) Diffusion time, corrected for photobleaching, of TMR labelled hTau40 molecules vs. K-acetate added to buffer BRB12. Error bars represent error margins of the exponential decay fits to the observed duration and the TMR fluorescence decay. (C) MT sections scanned by hTau40-TMR molecules (calculated from the diffusions constants and the respective corrected interaction times) vs. K-acetate concentration. Error margins were calculated from the error margins in A and B. (D) The diffusion coefficient D of hTau40-TMR molecules diffusing along MTs vs. pH of buffer BRB12. Error bars represent error margins of the Gaussian fits. (E) Photobleaching corrected diffusion time of hTau40-TMR molecules vs. pH of buffer BRB12. Error bars represent error margins of the exponential decay fits to the observed duration and the TMR fluorescence decay. (F) MT sections scanned by hTau40-TMR molecules vs. pH of buffer BRB12 (calculated from the respective
diffusions constants and interaction times). Error margins were calculated from the error margins in \( E \) and \( F \).

FIGURE 5. **Diffusion of an IgG antibody along immobilized MTs.** (A) 60 seconds kymographs of rhodamine labelled anti-dynein IgG antibodies (40 ng/ml) moving bidirectionally along two different immobilized Cy5 labelled MTs. (B) Mean squared displacement \(<x^2>\) (black squares) of a rhodamine labelled antibody (bright mobile molecule in the leftmost kymograph in A) plotted against the time increment. The data was fitted by a linear regression (black line) to the equation \(<x^2> = 2Dt\) yielding the diffusion coefficient \( D \) (0.0497 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{s} \)). Error bars represent the SEM of the squared displacement values. For comparison, the dashed line represents data derived from the diffusing hTau40-TMR molecule shown in Fig. 1D.
**TABLES**

**TAble 1.** Tau binding and diffusion along microtubules is sensitive to ionic strength and pH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>buffer BRB12: pH and K-acetate added</th>
<th>Diffusion coefficient $(\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s})$</th>
<th>Tracked molecules</th>
<th>Diffusion duration (s)</th>
<th>Scanned distance (µm)</th>
<th>% mobile tau molecules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.8; 0 mM</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.019</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>24.41 ± 1.78</td>
<td>2.733 ± 0.144</td>
<td>53.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.8; 40 mM</td>
<td>0.194 ± 0.019</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.18 ± 2.95</td>
<td>2.506 ± 0.207</td>
<td>49.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.8; 80 mM</td>
<td>0.280 ± 0.063</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11.84 ± 4.76</td>
<td>2.575 ± 0.461</td>
<td>54.0 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.8; 100 mM</td>
<td>0.336 ± 0.062</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.31 ± 4.74</td>
<td>2.059 ± 0.774</td>
<td>51.8 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.0; 0 mM</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.007</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.84 ± 7.36</td>
<td>1.514 ± 0.375</td>
<td>46.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.0; 0 mM</td>
<td>0.494 ± 0.059</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.11 ± 2.70</td>
<td>2.457 ± 0.458</td>
<td>52.6 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diffusion parameters, corrected for photobleaching, of single h Tau40-TMR molecules in buffers of increasing ionic strength (buffer BRB12 with ~40 mM ionic strength supplemented with various concentrations of potassium acetate) or different pH. Errors reported for diffusion coefficients, diffusion durations, and scanned distances are given as error margins of Gaussian fits, error margins of exponential decay fits, and error margins calculated from the latter two, respectively. The calculations of the fraction of mobile tau molecules at different ionic strength account not only for analyzed mobile tau molecules (tracked molecules) but also for immobile tau molecules and mobile molecules which were excluded from analysis due to limitations mentioned in the experimental procedures. To account for slight variability between different experiments under the same experimental conditions, average values ± SD of independent experiments are given.
Figure 3
Figure 4

A. Diffusion coefficient D (μm²/s) vs. mM K-acetate

B. Diffusion duration (s) vs. mM K-acetate

C. Scanned distance (μm) vs. mM K-acetate

D. Diffusion coefficient D (μm²/s) vs. pH

E. Diffusion duration (s) vs. pH

F. Scanned distance (μm) vs. pH
Figure 5

A

60 seconds

B

mean squared displacement (µm²)

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0

time increment (s)

2.5 µm
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Demonstration of purity of tubulin, labelled hTau40-TMR, and rhodamine labelled anti-dynein antibody

FIGURE S1. All used proteins were highly purified. (A) MAP-free tubulin purified from pig brain (55 kDa) Coomassie brilliant Blue stained 12.5% SDS-PAGE; protein marker showing bands at 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120, 160 kDa. (B) hTau40-TMR (47.3 kDa) Coomassie brilliant Blue stained 12.5% SDS-PAGE. (C) Rhodamine labelled anti-dynein IgG antibody Coomassie brilliant Blue stained 12.5% SDS-PAGE (different concentrations in lanes 1 and 2). Lane 3 shows the protein marker.

The observed mobility is independent of ATP and therefore independent of motor proteins; it is also independent of tau- or tubulin labelling

FIGURE S2. The diffusion of tau molecules is independent of tau- or MT labelling and of ATP. (A) Kymograph showing diffusion of an hTau40-TMR molecule along an unlabelled MT in the absence of ATP. (B) Kymograph showing diffusion of an Alexa633 labelled hTau40 molecule along a rhodamine labelled MT in the absence of ATP. (C) Kymograph showing diffusion of cys-lite hTau40/R260C-Alexa488 molecules along a Cy5 labelled MT in the absence of ATP. In this construct both cysteine residues in repeats R2 and R3 have been changed to alanine and a new cysteine at position 260 has been introduced and labelled with Alexa488. Scale bars represent 2.5 µm.
Single molecules of TMR labelled hTau40 diffuse along MTs

FIGURE S3. The observed mobility is the diffusion of individual tau molecules. In agreement with the idea that individual hTau40 molecules are labelled with maximally two TMR dyes (degree of labelling (DOL) 200%: two potential cysteine residues labelled with an efficiency of ~100%), our experiments revealed single- or double-step bleaching. Bleaching with more than two steps was not observed. (A) Kymograph showing two-step bleaching of an hTau40-TMR molecule during diffusion along a Cy5 labelled MT (top panel) and the measured fluorescence intensity (a.u.) plotted against time (bottom panel, black trace). After the second bleaching step (or detachment) at ~ 25 s the measured fluorescence intensity dropped to the background level (red trace) and was therefore lost by the tracking program. The average fluorescence intensity levels of the background and the diffusing hTau40-TMR molecule before and after the first bleaching step are depicted as horizontal black lines. (B) In the majority of observed cases diffusing and stationary hTau40 molecules disappeared in one step indicating that they were either labelled only by one (remaining) TMR dye or that they detached from the MT. (C) Fluorescence intensities of diffusing (red columns) and stationary (open black columns) hTau40-TMR molecules (DOL 200%) on microtubules. Stationary spots were not higher in fluorescence intensity than diffusing molecules, arguing against the idea that stationary spots were composed of aggregates of diffusible tau molecules. The diffusing tau molecules showed a bimodal distribution (fitted by two Gaussian distributions: green lines; sum: black line) in the same range of fluorescence intensity values as found for the stationary ones. hTau40-TMR molecules, which underwent two-step photobleaching during diffusion, dropped in their fluorescence intensity on average to 48.4 ± 3.8% (SEM, open square) of their previous/initial intensity (SEM, black square).
The fraction of mobile tau molecules did not change with increasing tau concentration.

**FIGURE S4.** The fraction of diffusing hTau40-TMR molecules vs. tau concentration in the presence of 50 nM tubulin. The level of 50% mobile and 50% stationary tau molecules is depicted as dashed line.
The diffusion parameters of tau molecules are independent of the degree of labeling when corrected for photobleaching.

**FIGURE S5. Diffusion parameters of hTau40-TMR molecules with a low degree of labeling (DOL) / bleaching behavior of TMR.**

(A) Distribution of diffusion coefficients of 20 hTau40-TMR molecules with a low DOL of 10% yielded an average value of 0.142 µm²/s. (± 0.026 µm²/s, SD) (B) Distribution of MT-interaction durations of hTau40-TMR molecules with a low DOL of 10% yielded, when fitted by an exponential decay and corrected for photobleaching, a diffusion time constant of 22.81 ± 2.85 s. (C) Distribution of observed MT-distances scanned by individual hTau40-TMR molecules (DOL 10%; n=20). The mean value of 2.545 ± 0.222 µm was calculated from the values given in A and B according to d=√(2Dt). (D) Fluorescence lifetime and time constant of bleaching (τb) of TMR dyes under the experimental conditions (buffer BRB12 with antibleach system).

As shown in Fig. 2D in the main text and in Fig. S5B the lifetimes of diffusive interactions between tau molecules and MTs decayed exponentially. This observed exponential decay with the time constant τobs is a combination of tau detachment from a MT (with the time constant τD) and bleaching of the TMR labels (with the time constant τb). The experimentally observed time constant is related to the time constants of dissociation and bleaching by 1/τobs = 1/τb + 1/τD (Helenius et al., 2006). Note that the bleaching corrections of single-(10% DOL, Fig. S5A-C) and double-labeled hTau40-TMR molecules (200% DOL, in the main text) are different (time constants of bleaching hTau40-TMR molecules to “invisibility”: 55.44 s and 88.2 s, respectively) due to the lower probability to bleach two fluorophores than to bleach one.
Transitions between mobile and stationary states and vice versa

**FIGURE S6. Transitions between mobile and stationary states of individual hTau40-TMR molecules and vice versa.** (A) Kymographs showing the transitions of (sometimes initially mobile) stationary hTau40-TMR molecules to a diffusive state. (B) Kymographs showing the transitions of mobile hTau40-TMR molecules to a stationary state.

Video 1. **Tau molecules can switch between intersecting microtubules.** 22 seconds TIRF microscopy recording of a diffusing TMR labelled hTau40 molecule (green) switching between intersecting Cy5 labelled MTs (red).
Tau protein diffuses along the microtubule lattice
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