Structure and Function of Human Xylulokinase, an Enzyme with Important Roles in Carbohydrate Metabolism
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CAPSULE

Background: D-Xylulokinase (XK), the final enzyme in the glucuronate-xylulose pathway, produces a key regulator of lipogenesis, xylulose-5-phosphate.

Results: The structure of human XK was determined, and its catalytic activity and inhibition characterised.

Conclusion: Human XK is selective for D-xylulose and is inhibited by 5-fluoroxylulose.

Significance: Inhibition of XK could clarify its roles in sugar metabolism, lipogenesis and metabolic disease.

SUMMARY

D-Xylulokinase (XK; EC 2.7.1.17) catalyzes the ATP-dependent phosphorylation of D-xylulose (Xu) to produce xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P). In mammals, XK is the last enzyme in the glucuronate-xylulose pathway, active in the liver and kidneys, and is linked through its product Xu5P to the pentose phosphate pathway. XK may play an important role in metabolic disease, given that Xu5P is a key regulator of glucose metabolism and lipogenesis. We have expressed the product of a putative human XK gene, and identified it as the authentic human D-xylulokinase (hXK). NMR studies with a variety of sugars showed that hXK acts only on D-xylulose, and a coupled photometric assay established its key kinetic parameters as $K_M(Xu) = 24 \pm 3 \mu M$ and $k_{cat} = 35 \pm 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Crystal structures were determined for hXK, on its own and in complexes with Xu, ADP and a fluorinated inhibitor. These reveal that hXK has two-domain fold characteristic of the sugar kinase/hsp70/actin superfamily, with glycerol kinase as its closest relative. Xu binds to domain-I and ADP to domain-II, but in this open form of hXK they are 10 Å apart, implying that a large-scale conformational change is required for catalysis. Xu binds in its linear keto form, sandwiched between a Trp side chain and polar side chains that provide exquisite hydrogen bonding recognition. The hXK structure provides a basis for the design of specific inhibitors with which to probe its roles in sugar metabolism and metabolic disease.

INTRODUCTION

Is life worth living? It all depends on the liver (James, William). The rising tide of metabolic disease has focused attention on the balance between the body's requirements for glucose storage and utilization, a function carried out by...
the liver (1). In the post-prandial state, dietary carbohydrate in the form of glucose is converted to glycogen or further oxidized through glycolysis and converted to triglyceride fats via lipogenesis. In the fasted state, the liver supplies glucose to the body through the breakdown of glycogen stores and by gluconeogenesis. The metabolic pathways regulating glucose storage and production are hormonally regulated by insulin and glucagon respectively (1).

In obesity, excess intake of dietary glucose leads to the accumulation of lipid in skeletal muscle (2). This is closely linked with peripheral insulin resistance and if left untreated can result in type-2 diabetes mellitus and downstream vascular complications (2). Increased liver triglyceride content, characterized by fatty liver, is also emerging as a key contributor to hepatic insulin resistance and ultimately hyperglycemia (1). Peripheral insulin resistance in skeletal muscle further increases the conversion of dietary carbohydrate into triglyceride through lipogenesis in the liver (3). In this scenario of increasing fat deposits, insulin resistance and metabolic disease, therapies that inhibit lipogenesis are becoming increasingly attractive.

A hitherto unexplored approach is to target production of the metabolite xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P), which regulates lipogenesis and glycolysis in the liver independently of insulin (4). Xu5P acts as a signaling molecule that increases the activity of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) (5), leading to allosteric stimulation of the glycolytic enzyme, phosphofructokinase (4). Activation of PP2A also leads to transcriptional upregulation of glycolytic and lipogenic pathways through carbohydrate response element-binding protein (ChREBP) (6). The physiological importance of ChREBP-mediated lipogenesis is demonstrated by a reduction in glycolysis and lipogenesis in mice deficient in ChREBP (7). Obese ob/ob mice deficient in ChREBP expression also display reduced hepatic triglyceride content and attenuated symptoms of the metabolic syndrome (8).

Xu5P is generated as a by-product of the pentose phosphate pathway. It is also produced as the final product of the glucuronate-xylulose (GX) pathway, which in mammals is primarily active in the kidneys and liver. This pathway converts inositol and glucose sugars to glucuronate and thence to Xu5P in the following reactions:

\[
\text{glucuronate} \rightarrow \text{L-gulonate} \rightarrow \text{3-keto-gulonate} \rightarrow \text{L-xylulose} \rightarrow \text{xylitol} \rightarrow \text{D-xylulose} \rightarrow \text{Xu5P}
\]

(9). Two observations suggest that the GX pathway carries significant flux and is a major source of intracellular Xu5P in vivo. Firstly, in the benign human genetic condition of essential pentosuria, impaired conversion of L-xylulose to xylitol results in blockade of the GX pathway and increased urinary secretion of 1-2 g/day of L-xylulose (10). Secondly, experiments using cultured mouse-derived mhAT3F hepatocytes have shown that xylitol is a much more potent inducer of lipogenesis than is glucose (11). As xylitol is converted to Xu5P through the reactions, xylitol→D-xylulose→Xu5P within the GX pathway, these findings indicate that the pathway is constitutively active in vivo and can regulate hepatic carbohydrate and lipid metabolism through production of Xu5P.

D-Xylulokinase (XK; EC 2.7.1.17) is the final enzyme in the GX pathway (12), where it catalyzes the ATP-dependent phosphorylation of D-xylulose to produce Xu5P. It is therefore implicated as a key regulator of Xu5P levels and is of potential biomedical importance for controlling metabolic disease. XK activity is abundant in bovine liver and the bovine enzyme has been partially characterized (13,14). A gene encoding a putative human XK enzyme (hXK) has also been reported, with its annotation based on 22% shared amino acid identity with XK from Haemophilus influenzae (15). The functional identity of this gene has not been confirmed, however, and it remains the case that no mammalian XK has yet been fully characterized both structurally and functionally. The only known structure of an XK enzyme is that from E. coli (16). In this study, we definitively establish the identity of hXK through functional characterization and X-ray crystal structures of several protein-ligand complexes. We show that hXK is highly specific for D-xylulose as its sugar substrate, and we also present kinetic and structural data on a competitive inhibitor of hXK that could be utilized to probe the role of the GX pathway in metabolic disease.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Protein expression and purification – A cDNA clone containing the putative hXK coding sequence identified by Tamari et al. (15) (clone...
IOH46573; NCBI accession number NM_005108.2) was obtained from Invitrogen. Full details of the expression and purification of the putative hXK gene product have been reported elsewhere (17). In brief, the coding sequence was amplified by PCR and inserted into the pProEX HTb plasmid. After verification of the correct DNA sequence, this plasmid was transformed into an E. coli BL21 (DE3) cell line supplemented with E. coli molecular chaperones. The majority of the expressed protein, which carried an N-terminal (His)_6 affinity tag, was in a soluble form. Purification involved an initial immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) step, using a HisTrap FF 5 ml IMAC column (GE Healthcare) charged with Ni^{2+} ions, removal of the affinity tag with recombinant Tobacco Etch Virus protease, and a final size exclusion chromatography step on a Superdex 200 16/60 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare). The purified protein in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.50 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), pH 8.0 (buffer A) was concentrated by ultrafiltration [2.5 ml 10 kDa MWCO Vivaspin concentrators (GE Healthcare), 1600 g] to ca. 16 mg ml\(^{-1}\) for crystallization or storage at -80°C.

Selenomethionine-substituted (SeMet) protein was produced in a similar manner to the native protein, except that 15 min before induction the following amino acids were added to the expression culture: 60 mg/l L-selenomethionine, 100 mg/l L-lysine, L-phenylalanine and L-threonine, and 50 mg/l L-isoleucine, L-leucine and L-valine, following the method described by van Duyne et al. (18). Purification was with the same protocol as for the native protein except that the TCEP concentration was increased to 2 mM for size exclusion chromatography and storage.

Crystallization and data collection – Crystallization experiments were carried out at room temperature by screening protein solutions against a 480-component crystallization screen (19), using sitting drops dispensed by a Cartesian Honeybee robot (Genomic Solutions). Initial crystals were obtained from just one condition, comprising 100 nl of protein solution (16 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in buffer A) plus 100 nl precipitant solution (200 mM MES-KOH, pH 6.0, 16% (w/v) PEG 6000, 0.3 mM NaN\(_3\)), as described (17). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were prepared with the aid of a repetitive seeding protocol; after four rounds of seeding and optimization, crystals reached a size (ca. 15 x 15 x 150 µm) large enough for data collection. Crystals of SeMet-substituted hXK (Se-hXK) were obtained by cross-seeding with native crystals into solutions of Se-hXK and propagation through 5 rounds of seeding. For data collection, the crystals were treated with a cryoprotectant solution comprising 16% (w/v) PEG 6000, 20% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 200 mM MES-KOH pH 5.9, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 75 mM NaCl. Crystals were swept through the cryoprotectant solution for 1-10 s before being flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Attempts to co-crystallize hXK with various ligands produced only poorly-diffracting crystals. Ligand complexes of hXK were therefore prepared by soaking native crystals in cryoprotectant solutions supplemented with the appropriate ligands. Complexes with D-xylulose (Xu) and its analog 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (5FX), which are syrups at room temperature, were prepared by direct addition to the cryoprotectant to give 20% (v/v) Xu or 10% (v/v) 5FX. The Xu/ADP complex was prepared with a cryoprotectant supplement of 250 mM Xu, 10 mM ADP, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\). Soaking times were 3-30 s for the Xu and 5FX complexes and ~ 5 min for the Xu/ADP complex.

All X-ray data were collected at 100 K on beamline MX2 of the Australian Synchrotron, using a Quantum 315r detector (ADSC). Data were indexed, integrated and scaled with XDS (20). The POINTLESS package (21) was used for initial space group prediction and reindexing operations, and additional scaling and merging of the data was performed with AIMLESS (21). Averaged intensities were converted to structure factor amplitudes by TRUNCATE (22). Full details of the data collection statistics and crystal details are in Table 1.

Structure determination and refinement – The structure of hXK was determined by multiwavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) phasing using a crystal of SeMet-substituted hXK that had been soaked for 30 s in cryoprotectant supplemented with 20% (v/v) D-xylulose. Data to 2.5 Å resolution were collected at the peak and inflection wavelengths of the selenium K-edge. A total of 28 Se sites were located with the SHELXC/D package (23), and refined in autoSHARP (24), which found a further 9 Se sites, established the space group as \(P3_2\) with three hXK molecules in the asymmetric unit, and gave phases...
to 2.5 Å resolution. After density modification with SOLOMON (25), 90% of the hXK model was built automatically with ARP/wARP (26).

Refined structures for apo-hXK and its D-xylulose complex were obtained using additional data sets to 1.68 Å and 1.79 Å respectively, collected at a high-energy remote wavelength using Se-hXK crystals. In each case the initial 2.5 Å model was positioned in the unit cell with PHASER (27), automatically rebuilt with ARP/wARP, and subjected to cycles of refinement with BUSTER-TNT (28), exploiting the 3-fold non-crystallographic symmetry with local structure similarity restraints. Manual rebuilding was with COOT (29). Potential water molecules were added automatically but were checked manually for appropriate hydrogen bond interactions and electron density before they were retained in the model. Structures for the ligand complexes were determined in the same way; positioned with PHASER, rebuilt with ARP/warp and refined with COOT and BUSTER-TNT. Se-hXK crystals, which tended to diffract to higher resolution, were used for the ADP and AMPPCP complexes, but native crystals were used for the 5FX complex. The inclusion of the weak data as described by Karplus and Diederichs (30) significantly improved the quality of the refined models. The free R data set used in the determination of the initial structure model was retained through refinement of all structures, with extension to higher resolution where necessary. The updated Engh-Huber geometrical restraint library (31) was used throughout the refinements, and model quality was checked with MOLPROBITY (32). Full refinement statistics are in Table 2.

Enzyme assay reagents – Reagents used for the assays were purchased from Sigma, except for D-ribulose and D-lyxose, which were obtained from Carbosynth. The D-xylulose syrup was described as at least 95% pure, and this was consistent with 1H-NMR spectra, in which no anomalous peaks were detected. The fluorinated Xu analog, 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (5FX), was synthesized using a strategy based on the work of Moravcová et al. (33) and Hadwiger and colleagues (34).

1H NMR spectroscopy – 1H NMR spectroscopy was used to confirm XK activity and carry out substrate screening assays. Stored hXK solution was rapidly thawed and desalted into 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0) buffer using a 5 ml HiTrap™ desalting column (GE Healthcare). Sugars dissolved in water were added to substrate screening assays at a final concentration of 2 mM. Assays were carried out as 600 µl reactions and contained 3-trimethylsilylpropanoate as an internal standard. The full reaction mixture contained 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ (pH 8.0), 10% D₂O, 10 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM ATP, 250 µM 3-trimethylsilylpropanoate and 2 mM sugar. Reactions were initiated by adding 40 nmoles of hXK. One-dimensional 1H-NMR spectra were collected from assay solutions in the absence of hXK, and after a one-hour incubation with the enzyme. Spectra were collected on a 400 MHz DRX spectrometer (Bruker) at 25 °C and the water peak was suppressed with a pre-saturation pulse (35). Data were acquired with XWINNMR (v. 3.0, Bruker) and processed using TOPSPIN (v. 1.3, Bruker).

Photometric assay – XK activity was measured in a continuous assay in which hXK-catalyzed production of ADP was coupled to the oxidation of NADH via the action of pyruvate kinase and lactate dehydrogenase. Assay solutions consisted of 50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 250 mM NADH, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 U pyruvate kinase (type VII from rabbit muscle, Sigma), 12 U lactate dehydrogenase (type II from rabbit muscle, Sigma), 2 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 600 mM ATP, 1.6 nM hXK and 0-200 µM D-xylulose. For analysis of inhibition of hXK by 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose this compound was added to the assay solution at concentrations of 1.5 to 170 µM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of D-xylulose after a 5 min pre-incubation of the assay solution at 25°C. Initial rate measurements were made by following the decrease of absorbance at 340 nm (ε₇₅₃ = 6220 M cm⁻¹) using a Cary 4000 ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer with a temperature-controlled sample holder at 25°C. Non-linear fitting of the kinetic data to the Michaelis-Menten equation was carried out using GraphPad PRISM (v. 5.04, Graphpad Software). The Kₘ for xylulose and kₗₗ were determined from the mean values of three independent assays. The kinetic data collected in the presence of the inhibitor 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose were fitted to a competitive model of inhibition with respect to xylulose.
RESULTS

Structure of hXK – The hXK structure was determined by X-ray crystallography, with phasing from a multiwavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) experiment utilizing the Se atoms of the SeMet-substituted protein (Se-hXK). Since the Se-hXK crystals diffracted better than native, the Se-hXK structure was taken as the reference structure, described here, and the Se-hXK crystals were also used for preparation of the substrate complexes. The apo-hXK structure was refined at 1.68 Å resolution, with good agreement with the X-ray data (R = 15.9%, R_free = 18.4%) and excellent validation statistics; analysis with MOLPROBITY places it in the 100th percentile for this resolution. In each of the hXK structures reported here, the Ramachandran plot has a single outlier at Ser246 (phi = 72°, psi = 162°). Ser246 is a pre-proline residue which is well defined by the electron density, and whose main chain carbonyl oxygen makes a strong hydrogen bond with the indole nitrogen of Trp299. One cis peptide bond is also found in all structures, between Thr383 and Pro384.

The hXK polypeptide comprises 536 amino acid residues, with a molecular mass of 58.4 kDa. The asymmetric unit of the crystals contains 3 independent molecules, which show no oligomeric association. The enzyme is thus defined as monomeric, a designation supported by dynamic light scattering and size exclusion chromatography measurements (data not shown). In each chain density is absent for the chain termini, residues 1-5 and 532-537, but otherwise the polypeptides are completely modeled.

Like other members of the sugar kinase/hsp70/actin superfamily (36), hXK is folded into two domains, which here comprise residues 6-288 (domain-I) and 289-531 (domain-II) (Figure 1). Domain-I contains one six-stranded β-sheet, two β-ribbons and 16 α-helices, arranged in a β/α/β/α stack. The six-stranded β-sheet, sheet A, includes four major β-strands (β1, β2, β3 and β5) and two minor β-strands (β9 and β10) with 321465 topology, in which strand 2 and strand 6 are antiparallel to the rest. The two β-ribbons each have two antiparallel strands. Domain-II contains one β-sheet, and eight α-helices folded in an α/β/α stack. An extended six-stranded β-sheet (sheet B), comprising β11, β12, β13, β16, β17 and β18 runs through the middle of domain-II with 321456 topology, with an additional short β-ribbon comprising β14 and β15 arranged at the base of the α/β/α stack.

The two domains are oriented roughly perpendicular to each other with respect to their α/β stacking and are linked by a loop comprising 11 residues (amino acids 289-299). A prominent cleft is formed at the centre of the molecule between the domains. The domains have folds characteristic of the N- and C-terminal domains of the FGGY sugar kinase fold, as defined in structural characterization databases (37), in which they are both considered to have a ribonuclease H-like structural motif, an α/β/α stack with a mixed 5-stranded β-sheet with 32145 topology.

Structural comparisons – Searches for structural homologs are complicated by variations in domain orientations in proteins belonging to this superfamily. Nevertheless, the top hits in searches with SSM (38) are all authenticated or putative XKs. The closest structural homolog of hXK in this analysis was the apo form of E. coli d-xyulokinase (ecXK) (PDB code 2nlx; (16)), which shares 19% sequence identity and for which 433 Ca atoms can be superimposed with a root-mean-square (rms) difference of 2.17 Å. Other close relationships were found for the putative bacterial XKs from Bifidobacterium adolescentis (PDB code 3i8b; Zhang et al., unpublished), Rhodospirillum rubrum (PDB code 3ifr; Zhang et al., unpublished) and Chromobacterium violaceum (PDB code 3hz6; Zhang et al., unpublished). For these latter proteins rms differences are 2.34 Å (429 Ca), 2.42 Å (446 Ca) and 2.64 Å (430 Ca) respectively and sequence identities are ~ 20%. There is no clear discrimination from other members of the sugar kinase family, however, with glycerol kinases from several species having rms differences of 2.7–3.0 Å for 430-440 Ca atoms when compared with hXK.

A notable feature is that when single-domain comparisons are carried out, the N-terminal domains superimpose much less well than the C-terminal domains. For hXK and ecXK, the N-terminal domains superimpose with an rms difference of 1.53 Å (236 Ca) whereas the C-terminal domains have an rms difference of 2.91 Å.
(230 Ca). In the latter, the β-sheets and several helices match well but there are many insertions, deletions and altered loops around the domain periphery; the sequences are also less conserved (17% identity compared with 23% identity). These differences may be linked to the fact that the E. coli XK forms dimers through its C-terminal domain whereas hXK is monomeric. A similar pattern is seen for the other (putative) bacterial XKS, which associate into similar dimers in the crystal.

**Demonstration of authentic XK activity** – The annotation of this enzyme as a human D-xylulokinase was tested through two functional assays. One-dimensional 1H NMR spectroscopy was carried out to verify its ability to convert D-xylulose (Xu) to the expected product, xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P), and to test its activity on other potential sugar substrates. The D-xylulokinase activity was confirmed by observing the loss of 1H NMR peaks corresponding to Xu in a reaction mixture, and the evolution of peaks that match those in a spectrum of a commercial preparation of Xu5P, as shown in Figure 2. Assignment of the 1H NMR peaks for the predominant β-furanose form of xylulose, and those of xylulose 5-phosphate, was based on published NMR characterization of these compounds (39,40). Due to overlap in other regions of the spectrum, the appearance of a peak with a chemical shift of 3.77 ppm in the presence of hXK, corresponding to the C5 protons of Xu5P, is particularly distinctive. Similar NMR experiments with related sugars indicate that hXK is specific for Xu. Specifically, the sugars tested were D-ribulose (C3 epimer of Xu), xylitol (five-carbon polyol), and D-arabinose, D-lyxose and D-xyllose (aldopentoses). Assays using native and SeMet-substituted hXK showed that both forms are equally active as xylulokinases.

The more sensitive coupled photometric assay, in which hXK-catalyzed production of ADP was coupled to the oxidation of NADH via the action of pyruvate kinase and lactate dehydrogenase was used to determine the apparent kinetic parameters for the XK reaction. The experimental kinetic constants for the turnover of Xu reaction were: \( K_{M(Xu)} = 24 \pm 3 \, \mu M \), \( k_{cat} = 35 \pm 5 \, \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( k_{cat}/K_M = 1500 \pm 400 \, 4 \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{mM}^{-1} \) (Table 3). The Xu C3-epimer, D-ribulose, was tested at a series of concentrations up to 20 mM with varied XK concentrations, but was again found not to be a substrate, confirming the results of the 1H NMR assay. Experiments also showed that XK activity is substrate-inhibited by Xu concentrations greater than 200 μM, as judged by a lag in the initial rate of the reaction (data not shown) but is unaffected by the presence of Xu5P, the reaction product, up to a concentration of 100 μM. ATP hydrolysis was not detected in the absence of Xu, indicating that uncoupled ATPase activity was absent for XK.

The photometric assay was also used to validate 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (5FX) as an inhibitor of hXK. This compound has been shown to be a dead-end competitive inhibitor of ecXK, and was used by Di Luccio et al. (16) to deduce the preferred substrate binding order for this enzyme. For hXK, the value of \( K_i \) for 5FX was 25 +/- 2 μM, comparable to the \( K_a \) for Xu.

**Structural basis of substrate binding** – As the biochemical assays demonstrated a high specificity for D-xylulose (Xu) as the sugar substrate, we examined its binding mode through the crystal structure of its complex with hXK. Xu binds in a small cavity on domain-I, directly opposite the domain-II β-sheet, which is about 7 Å away in this open-form structure. The site is formed entirely by residues on domain-I, bounded by the β5-β6 loop, which contributes Gln98 and His99, the β7-α7 loop contributing Trp137, the α8-α9 loop contributing Arg170, and the N-terminus of helix α16, to which Asp280 and Asn281 belong. The same mode of Xu binding is seen for all three molecules in the asymmetric unit, in both the Xu and Xu/ADP complexes, the electron density is excellent for the whole Xu molecule (Figure 3), and the 10 atoms have B-factors in the range 30-37 Å² (average 33.5 Å²), which compare well with the B-factors of the surrounding structure, 28-40 Å². The site appears to be pre-formed for Xu binding, as the residues that directly engage the Xu molecule are identically arranged in the apo-hXK structure; taking molecule C as the reference, the atoms of the Xu-binding residues superimpose with an rms difference of 0.47 Å (61 atoms), compared with 0.45 Å for the whole domain.

The D-xylulose substrate binds in its linear keto form. The ketone oxygen O2 (readily identified by the planar geometry at C2) and the
O1 hydroxyl are hydrogen bonded to Arg170 NE and NH2 respectively (2.9 and 2.7 Å), with O1 additionally hydrogen bonded to His99 NE2 (2.8 Å). The other Xu oxygens also form highly favorable interactions, O3 hydrogen bonded to Asn281 ND2 (2.9 Å), O4 to Asp280 OD1 (2.7 Å) and Gln98 NH (3.1 Å) and O5 to Asp280 OD2 (2.8 Å) and a water molecule (2.6 Å). The indole ring of Trp137 stacks (3.8 Å away) against the C3, C4 and C5 atoms of Xu, thus covering the non-hydrogen bonding side of the substrate. This completes a highly specific set of bonding interactions. By analogy with the mechanisms advanced for ecXK (16) and glycerol kinase (41), Asp280 should be the general base that extracts a proton from the O5 hydroxyl and activates it for nucleophilic attack on the incoming ATP phosphate.

The nucleotide binding site, on the other hand, provides far fewer interactions. In the Xu/ADP complex, a nucleotide is present in each of the three molecules, but can only be incompletely modeled. The binding site, a cleft between helices α17, α18 and α21 of domain-II, provides stable binding only for the adenine ring, which fits into a slot, sandwiched between the indole ring of Trp355, 3.6 Å away on one side, and the Gly441-Ala442 peptide, ~4.0 Å away on the other side. There are few hydrogen bonds; N1 of the adenine ring is hydrogen bonded to Asn455 ND2 and N3 to a water molecule that bridges to the ribose O2' hydroxyl. The most complete nucleotide is on molecule B and is modeled as AMP, whereas on molecules A and C only an adenosine can be modeled. The ribose and phosphates project out into solution and their greater flexibility is reflected in the mean B-factors, 56 Å² for the adenine moiety, 70 Å² for the ribose and 88 Å² for the α-phosphate for the molecule B nucleotide. When a complete ATP molecule is modeled on to the bound nucleotide, the γ-phosphate is positioned at least 10 Å from the reactive O5 of the Xu substrate across the interdomain cleft, implying that considerable movement is required to bring the two substrates together.

Conformational change – Enzymes of the sugar kinase/hsp70/actin superfamily are known to undergo large-scale domain movements that enable catalysis to occur; these movements have been well described, for example, for hexokinase (42) and glycerol kinase (43). The hXK structures determined here are all in an ‘open’ form, in which the two domains are widely separated and the two substrates at least 10 Å apart. There is evidence, however, of some domain movement when the three molecules of the asymmetric unit are compared across the various structures. All have slightly different degrees of opening. Between the most open (apo-hXK molecule C) and the most closed (hXK/5FX molecule A) there is a rigid-body rotation of 7° of domain-I relative to domain-II, about a hinge lying across the interdomain cleft. The range of conformers is smoothly varied through this 7° range, with no preferred subgrouping.

In order to model the rotation required to bring the two substrates together, we used a closed form of the homologous E. coli glycerol kinase (EcGK), as seen in an ecGK mutant with a non-hydrolysable ATP analog, β,γ-difluoromethylenadenosine 5'-triphosphate (44) (PDB entry 1glj). The XK monomer with the most open conformation (molecule C of the hXK/5FX complex) was modeled on to ecGK using a molecular dynamics approximation calculated with CHIMERA (45). This showed that domain closure of hXK involves a 47° rotation of domain-II relative to domain-I about an axis through strands β11, β12, and β13 and two hinge loops that precede helix α23 (hinge loop-1) and strand β17 (hinge loop-2). This predicted movement is greater than in EcGK (22.3°) (43) and in Plasmodium falciparum glycerol kinase (27°) (46); superpositions show that the domains are wider apart in the open form of hXK. The modeled closure of hXK buries the Xu substrate, and brings the γ-phosphate of ATP in contact with the O5 hydroxyl of Xu.

Inhibition of hXK – The inhibition assay described earlier established 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (5FX) as a competitive inhibitor of Xu turnover, with an inhibition constant \( K_i \) of 25 ±/2 µM. We also examined the binding of 5FX through the crystal structure of its complex with hXK. Electron density maps following the first cycle of refinement unequivocally showed one 5FX molecule bound to each XK monomer (Figure 4C). Xu and 5FX bind in the same mode, in which 5FX is in its linear ketoform. A comparison of Xu-bound complexes of hXK reveals them to be essentially indistinguishable.
from the 5FX complex at the resolution of the analysis. Unlike Xu, however, 5FX cannot hydrogen bond to a deprotonated Asp280 side chain, leaving six hydrogen bonds involved in the protein–inhibitor interaction. The closest protein hydrogen bond donor to the fluorine atom, with which it could potentially form a hydrogen bond, is Thr17 OG1, situated 3.7 Å away. A water molecule is, however, 2.9–3.0 Å from the fluorine, bridging to Ser16 OG.

DISCUSSION

Much of the previous interest in the enzyme D-xylulokinase (XK) has been driven by its potential use for enhancing the production of ethanol as a renewable fuel from plant material. Xylan is the major component of plant hemicellulose and, after cellulose, is the second most abundant polysaccharide in plants, comprising around 30% of the biomass. XK is regarded as the rate-limiting enzyme in the catabolism of D-xylose in bacteria and fungi (47), prompting efforts to engineer enhanced activity (48).

In contrast, the role of XK in mammals has been under-explored, although it is potentially of great importance because of the strategic position this enzyme occupies at the intersection of two pathways with key roles in sugar metabolism. As the final enzyme in the glucuronate-xylulose (GX) pathway, XK produces the important signaling molecule xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P), ultimately from the metabolism of glucose and inositol sugars. Xu5P in turn links to the pentose phosphate pathway, and hence to an important role in energy metabolism. Xu5P is further proposed to control both acute and long-term regulation of glucose metabolism and fat synthesis (5). Excessive intake of sugars in human diet is thus linked through XK to lipogenesis, fatty liver disease, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes.

Despite its metabolic importance, XK has only been characterized functionally from one mammalian source, bovine liver. No structural information is available for any mammalian XK, and no human XK has been definitively identified. In this work, we have characterized the product of a human gene that had been tentatively identified as XK from its 22% sequence identity with XK from S. typhimurium (15). Similar levels of sequence identity are seen across the wider sugar kinase family, however, between enzymes with quite distinct substrate specificities, making the annotation as hXK far from certain.

We have shown here that the gene identified by Tamari et al. (15) does indeed encode human XK. Moreover, the enzyme is highly specific for D-xylulose (Xu), which appears to be its only sugar substrate. It is inactive against the C3 epimer of Xu, D-ribulose, as well as the 5-carbon polyol xylitol, and the aldopentoses D-xylose, D-arabinose and D-lyxose. In this respect it differs from the E. coli XK (ecXK), which appears to have a weaker affinity for Xu ($K_M$ of 290 µM compared with 24 µM for hXK) and a more relaxed specificity; ecXK is active against D-ribulose, albeit with 50-fold lower $k_{cat}/K_M$, and also has measurable activity against xylitol and D-arabitol. It should be noted, however, that the metabolic context of XK in bacteria is very different from that in humans, and the bacterial XKS differ in other respects as well; all appear to be dimers, whereas hXK is clearly monomeric.

The strict substrate specificity of hXK is clearly explained by its crystal structure. As anticipated, hXK shares the 2-domain fold characteristic of the sugar kinase/hsp70/actin superfamily. The Xu substrate is bound in its linear keto form, in a cleft in domain-I, tightly sandwiched between the indole ring of Trp137 on one side and specific hydrogen bonding side chains on the other. All the polar groups on Xu make short, geometrically favorable hydrogen bonds, the electron density is excellent and the B-factors are low, indicative of stable bonding. One end of the binding cleft is closed by Arg170, which hydrogen bonds to O1 and O2 (Figure 4A), whereas at the other end the C5 hydroxyl sits between Asp280 and Trp137, in perfect position for phosphorylation by ATP. The exclusion of other sugars as substrates may be largely determined by an inability of hXK to bind sugars that strongly favor the cyclic furanose configuration. Thus, whereas Xu is present at ~20% in its linear form in solution, aldopentoses such as D-xylose, D-arabinose and D-lyxose are almost exclusively present in the cyclic configuration (49). In addition, the tight fit of the Xu substrate determines the allowable configurations at C2 and C3. Thus, the inability of hXK to act on D-ribulose is explained by the fact that the C3 hydroxyl of D-ribulose would approach to less than ~ 2.5 Å from the indole ring of
Trp137. The latter cannot adjust to avoid the clash because it is packed against Met138 and hydrogen bonded through its indole NH to the side chain hydroxyl of Thr17 (2.7 Å). In contrast, in the Xu complex with ecXK (16), the binding appears much looser, the electron density is poor and half of the substrate atoms have B-factors greater than 90 Å². Whether the substrate is correctly modeled or not, it is apparent that the binding cleft is somewhat wider in ecXK and in particular has no equivalent to Arg170 at the inner end; the equivalent residue in ecXK is Gly126. This is consistent with its apparent weaker affinity for Xu and more relaxed substrate specificity.

The strict specificity and catalytic efficiency of human XK is consistent with its role in a high-flux biochemical pathway. Based on our enzyme kinetic data, the Xu5P route of Xu metabolism is heavily favored over a potentially harmful alternative reaction in which fructokinase converts Xu to D-xylulose-1-phosphate (Xu1P); $K_M$ of hXK for Xu is 250-fold lower than that of fructokinase for Xu (50). This alternative pathway (51) was discovered as a result of catastrophic outcomes that followed the clinical use of xylitol infusions (52). Xylitol is converted to Xu in the glucuronate-xylulose (GX) pathway, and saturation of this pathway led to the formation of Xu1P and thence to an intracellular build-up of oxalate, and in severe cases multiple organ failure and death (52). Our observation that hXK shows evidence of substrate inhibition at Xu concentrations greater than 200 μM may explain the fact that, despite the high-flux nature of the GX pathway, it can still be saturated by excessive sugar flux.

The strategic position of XK as the final enzyme in the XK pathway of sugar metabolism (9), and the recognition that its enzymatic product Xu5P is a major regulator of lipogenesis (5,53), suggests that there could be value in developing specific inhibitors of XK to better understand its physiological roles. The inhibitor tested in our study, 5FX, differs from the natural Xu substrate only in substitution of the 5-hydroxy group by fluorine. This prevents phosphorylation. The affinity of hXK for 5FX as an inhibitor closely matches its affinity for Xu as substrate (both 25 μM), probably because although 5FX makes one fewer hydrogen bond, it is fully linear whereas the linear form of Xu is a relatively minor (20%) conformer (49). The crystal structure of the 5FX complex suggests, however, that more potent substrate mimics could be developed by replacing the fluorine by chemical groups that are able to displace two adjacent, well-defined water molecules that are present in all the hXK structures, hydrogen bonded to Asp14, Ser16, Thr17 and Asp280. This approach may enhance inhibitor potency and provide a useful tool for probing the physiological roles of XK and the GX pathway.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. **Three-dimensional structure and folding of hXK.** (A) The molecule is shown as a ribbon diagram, in stereo, colored in rainbow style from the N-terminus (dark blue) to the C-terminus (red). The binding sites for the two substrates are indicated, with D-xylulose shown in orange and the nucleotide (modelled as AMP) shown in magenta, both in stick mode. (B) Topology diagram, with secondary structural elements colored as in (A) and labeled.

FIGURE 2. **Analysis of the D-xylulose-5-kinase reaction catalyzed by hXK, using ^1^H NMR spectroscopy.** (A) ^1^H NMR spectrum of an assay solution containing ATP and D-xylulose in the absence of hXK, and (B) after incubation with hXK. (C) ^1^H NMR spectrum of xylulose 5-phosphate.

FIGURE 3. **Kinetic analysis of the hXK reaction.** (A) Relationship between initial reaction velocity and D-xylulose concentration in a single hXK activity assay. (B) Effect of the competitive inhibitor 5FX on the initial reaction velocity as a function of D-xylulose concentration. The assays were carried out with 600 mM ATP and 1.6 nM hXK, and with varied D-xylulose and 5FX concentrations.

FIGURE 4. **Binding of the substrate D-xylulose to hXK.** (A) Stereo view of D-xylulose (Xu) modeled into its electron density. The electron density is from the initial 2mFo–DFc electron density map (blue mesh), calculated before Xu was included in the model, and is contoured at 1.0 σ. Hydrogen bonds are shown as broken lines, with distances in Å. The water molecule that is a consistent feature of the binding site is shown as a red sphere. (B) Fit of Xu into its binding site on domain-I, shown as a molecular surface and colored by chain succession as in Figure 1. (C) The competitive inhibitor 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (5FX) modeled into the initial 2mFo–DFc electron density map (blue mesh), calculated before it was included in the model, and contoured at 1.0 σ. The binding mode is virtually identical to that of Xu.

FIGURE 5. **Nucleotide binding to hXK.** Stereo view showing ADP (modeled as AMP) fitted to the 2mFo–DFc electron density map (blue mesh) calculated by BUSTER-TNT prior to inclusion of the ligand in the model, and contoured at 1.0 σ. Relevant side chains (grey) and α-helices (yellow and orange) are labeled, hydrogen bonds are drawn as dashed lines and distances shown in Å. A bridging water molecule is shown with a red sphere.

FIGURE 6. **Modelling the closed form of hXK.** The experimentally-determined open form of hXK is shown in blue, superimposed on a modelled closed form of hXK in green, generated with Chimera (44) and based on a closed *E. coli* glycerol kinase structure (ref. 43, PDB code 1glj). The hinge axis, indicated by the purple circle, is normal to the page.
### TABLE 1
MAD data collection and phasing statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Inflection point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$P3_2$</td>
<td>$P3_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.97972</td>
<td>0.97962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions (Å)</td>
<td>$a = b = 102.54, c = 159.23$</td>
<td>$a = b = 102.54, c = 159.23$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>19.90-2.50 (2.64-2.50)</td>
<td>19.9-2.50 (2.64-2.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{sym}$ (%)</td>
<td>14.4 (99.2)</td>
<td>10.5 (57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{meas}$ (%)</td>
<td>17.8 (99.2)</td>
<td>8.0 (60.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed reflections</td>
<td>369327 (54117)</td>
<td>373459 (54775)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>64600 (9425)</td>
<td>64602 (9425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>99.8 (99.9)</td>
<td>99.8 (99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity$^2$</td>
<td>2.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle I/\sigma I \rangle$</td>
<td>10.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>12.3 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phasing statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sites</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of merit$^3$</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomorphous</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Values in parentheses correspond to the highest resolution shell

$^2$Friedel pairs separate

$^3$Combined value from SHARP
### TABLE 2

Data collection and structure refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Apo-hXK</th>
<th>Xu-hXK</th>
<th>Xu/ADP-hXK</th>
<th>5FX-hXK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>$P_3_2$</td>
<td>$P_3_2$</td>
<td>$P_3_2$</td>
<td>$P_3_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.8856</td>
<td>0.8856</td>
<td>0.9537</td>
<td>0.9537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions (Å)</td>
<td>$a=b=99.97, c=157.54$</td>
<td>$a=b=102.80, c=159.35$</td>
<td>$a=b=102.16, c=159.39$</td>
<td>$a=b=102.10, c=159.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>58.3--1.68</td>
<td>59.4--1.79</td>
<td>36.8--1.97</td>
<td>34.0--1.98 (2.01--1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{sym}$ (%)</td>
<td>17.1 (386.8)</td>
<td>22.4 (497.5)</td>
<td>14.4 (280.6)</td>
<td>12.7 (145.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{meas}$ (%)</td>
<td>19.6 (447.1)</td>
<td>24.7 (558.8)</td>
<td>17.9 (355.5)</td>
<td>15.8 (193.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{p.i.m.}$ (%)</td>
<td>6.8 (156.8)</td>
<td>7.5 (174.4)</td>
<td>10.5 (216.0)</td>
<td>6.9 (113.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC$_{1/2}$ outer shell; number of pairs$^2$</td>
<td>0.142; $n = 9926$</td>
<td>0.104; $n = 8492$</td>
<td>0.153; $n = 6389$</td>
<td>0.198; $n = 6079$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
<td>99.8 (99.5)</td>
<td>100 (99.0)</td>
<td>99.9 (99.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>8.5 (8.0)</td>
<td>11.3 (10.1)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>5.3 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle I/\sigma I \rangle$</td>
<td>9.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>9.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections</td>
<td>200085 (9928)</td>
<td>177198 (8668)</td>
<td>131532 (6488)</td>
<td>129196 (6398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork/Rfree (%)</td>
<td>15.9/18.4</td>
<td>16.7/18.7</td>
<td>17.3/19.7</td>
<td>17.3/19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms</td>
<td>23467/58/1187</td>
<td>23406/90/739</td>
<td>23622/168/732</td>
<td>23390/67/739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmsd bonds$^3$ (Å)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmsd angles$^3$ (°)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B (Å$^2$)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot$^4$</td>
<td>(30.4/ 36.9 /42.4)</td>
<td>(35.0/30.5/41.8)</td>
<td>(45.17/52.8/48.3)</td>
<td>(48.7/38.3 /52.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>most favored (%)</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed (%)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB entry code</td>
<td>4BC3</td>
<td>4BC4</td>
<td>4BC2</td>
<td>4BC5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$The values in parentheses correspond to the highest resolution highest resolution shell

$^2$Random half-set (Pearson) correlation coefficient as defined in (30)

$^3$Root-mean-square deviations from standard values (31)

$^4$As defined by MolProbity (32)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_M$ for D-xylulose (μM)*</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)*</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_M$</th>
<th>$K_i$ for 5-deoxy-5-fluoro-D-xylulose (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>35 ± 5</td>
<td>1500 ± 400</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean value from three independent assays. Errors are the standard deviation of the mean.
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