**SUMMARY**

The c-*Abl* proto-oncogene encodes a unique protein-tyrosine kinase (Abl) distinct from c-*Src*, c-*Fes* and other cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases. In normal cells, Abl plays prominent roles in cellular responses to genotoxic stress as well as regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. Abl is also well known in the context of Bcr-Abl, the oncogenic fusion protein characteristic of chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML). Selective inhibitors of Bcr-Abl, of which imatinib is the prototype, have had a tremendous impact on clinical outcomes in CML and revolutionized the field of targeted cancer therapy. In this mini-review, we focus on the structural organization and dynamics of Abl kinases and how these features influence inhibitor sensitivity.

**Structural overview of the c-Ab**

The kinase core of the c-*Abl* protein has a domain organization similar to that of the Src-family kinases, with sequential SH3 and SH2 domains, an SH2-kinase linker and a bi-lobed kinase domain (Figure 1). This core is flanked by an N-terminal ‘cap’ region (Ncap) with a signal sequence for myristoylation, which serves dual roles in regulation of kinase activity as well as membrane localization. C-terminal to the kinase domain is a long region of more than 600 amino acids encoded by a single exon, which controls interaction of Abl with other SH3-containing proteins and the actin cytoskeleton. This region also regulates nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling of the kinase (1-4). These key structural and regulatory features are discussed in detail below.

The myristoylated N-Terminal Cap (Ncap) is critical for downregulation of Abl

The Ncap is about 80 amino acids in length and is myristoylated in the 1b splice variant of Abl (5). The first crystal structure of the Abl core (residues 1-531) revealed that this N-terminal myristic acid group binds a deep hydrophobic pocket in the C-lobe of the kinase domain (6) (Figure 1). Binding of the myristoyl group into this pocket induces a bend in C-lobe helix αI, allowing the SH2 domain to dock onto the C-lobe of the kinase domain (Figure 2). Interaction of the myristoylated Ncap with the C-lobe is critical to maintenance of the autoinhibited state, as mutation of the myristoylation signal sequence results in a highly active kinase (7). Interestingly, small molecules that bind to this site also modulate kinase activity, supporting an allosteric connection between this regulatory pocket and the kinase active site (8-11).

In addition to binding the C-lobe of the kinase domain, the Ncap also influences kinase regulation via the SH3 and SH2 domains. While the Ncap region was disordered in the first crystal structure of the c-*Abl* core, a more recent structure with a modified Ncap revealed that Ser69 (numbered as per PDB: 2FO0†) is phosphorylated and contacts the short connector joining the SH3 and SH2 domains. Mutation of Ser69 increased Abl activity, identifying this site as a potential input for regulatory kinases (12). Additional contacts were observed between Ncap residues 65-80 and the SH2 domain, supporting the idea that the Ncap helps to clamp the SH3 and SH2 domains to the back of the kinase domain.

The Abl SH3 and SH2 domains work together to suppress kinase activation

The Abl SH3 domain is comprised of two short anti-parallel β-sheets packed against each other to form a barrel-shaped structure. Like other SH3 domains, the Abl SH3 binds to proline-rich peptides that adopt a polyproline type II (PPII) helical conformation (13). In the downregulated Abl core, the SH3 domain binds to the linker that connects the SH2 and kinase domains and forms a PPII helix (Figure 3). Deletion or mutation of the SH3 domain, as well as alanine substitution of linker prolines, cause upregulation of Abl kinase activity, supporting a
negative regulatory role for this interaction in kinase regulation (14,15).

While analogous SH3:linker interactions play major roles in the regulation of Abl and Src-family kinases, there are interesting differences in the sequences and structures of the linkers between these kinase families. The Abl linker adopts a unique conformation that results in part from the insertion of three additional residues relative to Src family kinases. As described in more detail below, hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry supports the persistence of Abl SH3:linker interaction in the absence of the kinase domain, and shows that these additional residues stabilize the linker PPII helical conformation required for SH3 binding. This is in striking contrast to the Src-family kinase Hck, where SH3:linker interaction does not occur in the absence of the kinase domain. Thus, SH3:linker interaction may have a more prominent role in regulating c-Abl kinase activity relative to Src-family kinases (16-18).

The Abl SH2 domain mediates sequence-specific recognition of phosphotyrosine-containing sequences. This phosphotyrosine binding function contributes to recognition and processive phosphorylation of some Abl substrate proteins (19). Structurally, the Abl SH2 domain consists of a central anti-parallel β-sheet flanked by α-helices on each side. The central β-sheet divides the domain into two functionally distinct pockets, one of which binds the phosphotyrosine side chain in the target protein. The second pocket interacts with the side chain of the third amino acid C-terminal to the phosphotyrosine residue to confer sequence specificity. In Src-family kinases, the SH2 domain engages a conserved phosphotyrosine residue in the C-terminal tail and this intramolecular interaction is critical to downregulation of kinase activity (20). In contrast, the phosphotyrosine-binding function of the Abl SH2 domain is not known to contribute to kinase downregulation. Instead, the Abl SH2 domain packs against the C-lobe of the kinase domain through a network of hydrogen bonds and a unique pi-stacking interaction involving the side chains of SH2 Tyr158 and C-lobe Tyr361 (PDB: 2FOO; Figure 2A). Binding of the myristoylated Ncap to the C-lobe, as described above, reorients the kinase domain C-lobe helix αI to allow SH2 docking (6,7,12), SH2:C-lobe interface mutations (particularly Tyr158) increase kinase activity, demonstrating the importance of this interaction to Abl downregulation (7).

More recent studies show that the SH2 domain may undergo significant reorientation during kinase activation. In the most highly activated state, evidence suggests that the SH2 domain moves from its negative regulatory position from the back of the C-lobe to a new position on the ‘top’ of the kinase domain (Figure 2B). Sometimes referred to as the “top-hat” conformation (10,21), this orientation allows the SH2 domain to interact with the N-lobe to stabilize the active form of the kinase domain. Mutagenesis of amino acids involved in this SH2:N-lobe interface, particularly SH2 Ile164, impair the kinase activity of both Abl and Bcr-Abl, supporting a positive regulatory role for this interaction in kinase function (22,23). A similar SH2:N-lobe interaction has also been reported to stabilize the active conformation of the c-Fes protein-tyrosine kinase (22).

**Abl kinase domain**

The Abl kinase domain catalyzes the transfer of γ-phosphate from ATP onto tyrosine residues in substrate proteins and peptides. The relative orientations of the N- and C-lobes as well as conserved residues in the active site coordinate the dynamic interconversion of the active and inactive conformations of the kinase domain with catalytic function (24). Structural analyses of the isolated Abl kinase domain as well as the larger core proteins described above have revealed key regulatory features (25-28). The N-lobe of c-Abl is composed of a 5-stranded anti-parallel β-sheet and a single α-helix called the αC helix. In contrast, the C-lobe is mainly helical and encompasses the peptide substrate binding site. Both lobes contribute important conserved residues to the active site, which is located between them. Amino acids connecting strands β1 and β2 in the N-lobe form the phosphate binding loop (P-loop), which is critical for coordination of the ATP-Mg\(^{2+}\) co-substrate complex. In the crystal structure of the down-regulated kinase domain with imatinib bound, a conserved glutamate residue from helix αC, Glu286, forms an ion pair with Lys271 in the N-lobe (26). This pairing is important in coordinating the phosphate group of ATP and is conserved in virtually all protein kinase structures.

The relative orientation of the N-lobe αC-helix plays a major role in regulating the interconversion of the active vs. inactive conformations of many kinase domains. In the structures of the inactive forms of c-Src and cyclin-dependent kinases, the αC helix is rotated away from the active site and the conserved Glu-Lys ion pair is disrupted (20). Interestingly, the orientation of the αC helix is essentially unchanged and this ion pair is maintained in the Abl kinase domain structure when bound to imatinib (PDB: 1IEP; Figure 4A). In addition, the Abl kinase domain can also access a c-Src/Cdk-like inactive conformation when complexed with an ATP-peptide conjugate instead of imatinib (PDB: 2G1T) (29).
The C-lobe contributes to the active site through the activation loop, another dynamic structural feature common to many kinases. Phosphorylation of a single tyrosine site in the Abl activation loop (Tyr393 in PDB: 2GQG) drives electrostatic interaction with a neighboring arginine residue (Figure 4B), stabilizing an ‘open’ conformation of the active site and allowing access to the peptide substrate (30). In the inactive, imatinib-bound conformation, the activation loop tyrosine is not phosphorylated and instead forms a hydrogen bond with the catalytic aspartate (Asp363 in PDB: 1IEP). This interaction causes the activation loop to occlude the active site by mimicking the binding mode of substrates in a manner reminiscent of pseudo substrate inhibition (Figure 4A).

N-terminal to the activation loop is an aspartate-phenylalanine-glycine (DFG) motif common to many eukaryotic protein kinases. In the active state, the aspartate residue of the DFG motif is oriented towards the active site (‘DFG-in’ conformation; Figure 4B) where it coordinates a catalytically important magnesium ion. In the inactive conformation, the aspartate moves away from the active site while the phenylalanine moves inward. This so-called ‘DFG-out’ or ‘DFG flipped’ conformation (Figure 4A) is incompatible with Mg++ binding and catalysis. Molecular dynamics simulations have established that the protonation state of the DFG aspartate controls the DFG flip and hence the conformational changes associated with kinase activation as well as imatinib binding (31).

The DFG-out conformation is essential for imatinib binding and was originally proposed to account for imatinib specificity towards Abl (25,26) (Figure 4A). However, this view changed when c-Src, a very weak binder of imatinib, was also observed to access the DFG-out conformation in the crystalline state (27,28). These studies went on to show that a ‘kinked’ conformation unique to the Abl kinase domain P-loop accounts for imatinib specificity (c-Src cannot kink this loop).

Regulation of Abl kinase activity by phosphorylation and interaction with other proteins

The kinase activity of Abl is tightly regulated in cells, and in the absence of activating stimuli, neither endogenous nor overexpressed Abl is phosphorylated on tyrosine (32,33). As described in the preceding section, the crystal structures of downregulated Abl reveal that multiple intramolecular interactions among the non-catalytic domains work together to suppress kinase activity. Disruption of these inhibitory interactions by site-directed mutagenesis increases Abl phosphotyrosine content which is positively correlated with activity (34). Phosphorylation of tyrosine sites in the activation loop, the SH3 domain, the linker, and elsewhere all contribute to kinase activation, presumably by affecting intramolecular regulatory interactions and protein dynamics. One example is Tyr245 in the SH2-kinase linker. Mutagenesis of this site to prevent phosphorylation reduces maximal activation of Abl by about 50% in vitro, indicating an important role in kinase activation that may result from disruption of SH3:linker or SH3:N-lobe interaction (32). Other phosphorylation sites implicated in Abl regulation include Tyr89 and Tyr134 in the SH3 domain, which impact binding to the SH2-kinase linker (see Figure 3). These tyrosine sites can be phosphorylated by other kinases, such as Src-family members, providing critical points for regulatory inputs in Abl signaling networks and in Bcr-Abl (35-37).

Abl kinase activity is also regulated by interacting partners, and the interaction mechanisms are often complex (1). Abl interacts with other proteins through its SH2 or SH3 domains, while SH2 or SH3 domains on the partner proteins may associate with respective phosphotyrosine sites and PPII helices on Abl. For example, the Abl interactor proteins ABI1 and ABI2 bind to Abl through reciprocal SH3-PPII helical interactions. ABI1 binding facilitates Abl oligomerization and autophosphorylation, leading to enhanced phosphorylation of Abl substrates (38-40). Interaction with ABI1 may link Abl kinases to remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton (41-43). Another example is the Ras effector protein RIN1, which engages both the Abl SH2 and SH3 domains (44), leading to kinase activation through a domain displacement mechanism. In contrast to the ABI proteins and RIN1, other Abl interacting partners have been implicated in the negative regulation of kinase activity including PAG, Fus1, and the NR2D subunit of the NMDA receptor (45-47). The structural mechanism of Abl kinase suppression by interaction with these proteins is less clear, but may involve stabilization (as opposed to disruption) of intramolecular interactions.

Exploring the conformational dynamics of Abl proteins via hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry (HX MS)

Abl function and regulation cannot be fully understood based on the static structural views provided by X-ray crystallography alone. In this regard, HX MS has proven very useful for exploring the dynamic changes that accompany kinase activation (48). Below we review the HX MS analyses of Abl that help to explain its dynamic regulation. Application
of HX MS to the more general study of protein dynamics is reviewed elsewhere (49-52).

The fundamental principle of HX MS is based on the exchange of hydrogen atoms in proteins with deuterium atoms upon exposure to D₂O solvent (53). Deuteration causes the protein to gain mass; the rate of hydrogen exchange can therefore be monitored over time (typically seconds to hours) by mass spectrometry (54). In folded proteins, hydrogens in highly dynamic regions exposed to solvent undergo rapid deuteration, while regions less exposed to solvent or involved in hydrogen bonding display slower exchange kinetics. Spatial resolution of deuterium incorporation requires pepsin digestion of the protein after quenching the exchange reaction but before chromatography and MS.

HX MS reveals cooperative unfolding in the Abl SH3 domain

HX MS is capable of detecting the interconversion of folded and unfolded protein states over time, as governed by the following relationship (53,55):

\[
F_H \xrightarrow{k_1} U_H \xrightarrow{k_2 D_2O} U_D \xrightarrow{k_1} F_D
\]

where F and U represent the folded vs. unfolded states, respectively, with subscripted H and D designating the starting protein (all hydrogen) vs. deuterated forms. When the refolding rate of a protein is much greater than the deuterium exchange rate (i.e. \(k_1 \gg k_2\)), the resulting mass spectra exhibit a single peak that uniformly increases in mass over the labeling time course (EX2 kinetics). A rarer event in protein dynamics (EX1 kinetics) occurs when the rate of protein refolding is much slower than the deuterium exchange rate (\(k_1 \ll k_2\)). Proteins undergoing EX1 exchange exhibit a unique bimodal isotopic distribution in the mass spectra due to the presence of unfolded species that undergo cooperative deuterium uptake and thus display a higher mass relative to the folded species.

Using HX MS, EX1 kinetics was discovered in the SH3 domain of Abl (56). The Abl SH3 domain undergoes a slow cooperative unfolding-refolding event with a half-life of ~10 min (56). Cooperative unfolding was greatly slowed when the SH3 domain was bound to a peptide ligand, providing a useful measure of SH3 domain occupancy (16). These observations allowed us to establish HX MS as a biophysical approach to better understand dynamic changes in the Abl SH3 domain as a function of ligand binding and linker interaction.

Characterization of Abl SH3:linker interaction dynamics by HX MS

Association of the Abl SH3 domain with the SH2-kinase linker was first studied with HX MS by monitoring changes in cooperative unfolding of the Abl SH3 domain in a series of recombinant Abl SH3-SH2-linker proteins that lacked the kinase domain (16). These studies showed that the presence of the linker slowed down the unfolding rate, providing the first direct evidence for Abl SH3:linker interaction in solution. HX MS also showed a stabilizing influence of the Ncap on intramolecular SH3:linker interaction (57) even in the absence of the kinase domain and myristoylation. This observation suggested that the Ncap may compensate for the absence of the negative regulatory C-terminal tail, which in Src-family kinases engages the SH2 domain in the downregulated conformation (58).

Src family kinases phosphorylate the Abl SH3 domain at Tyr89, a phosphorylation event required for the full transforming function of Bcr-Abl (36). In the crystal structure of the downregulated Abl core, Tyr89 is located at the interface of the SH3 domain and the SH2-kinase linker (Figure 3), suggesting that phosphorylation may disturb this key regulatory interaction. HX MS studies provide direct support for this idea, showing that phosphorylation of Tyr89 by the Src-family kinase Hck causes SH3 release from the linker (59). This result supports a model in which phosphorylation by Src-family kinases may directly contribute to Bcr-Abl oncogenic potential and drug resistance.

Characterization of the Abl kinase core by HX MS

HX MS was later used to investigate conformational changes in a larger c-Abl kinase core proteins consisting of the Ncap, SH3 and SH2 domains, the linker, and the kinase domain. One study compared changes in deuterium uptake resulting from imatinib resistance mutations. The most recalcitrant imatinib resistant mutant of Bcr-Abl observed clinically occurs in the kinase domain at the ‘gatekeeper’ position (Thr315 in PDB: 1IEP; Figure 4A). Substitution of the Bcr-Abl gatekeeper position with isoleucine (T315I) results in the loss of a direct hydrogen bond with the drug and also creates steric clash (60-62). The Abl T315I protein showed increased deuterium incorporation at the site of the mutation relative to the wild-type protein, reflecting local conformational changes. Remarkably, the T315I mutant also showed changes in deuterium uptake in the SH3 domain, providing evidence for long-range conformational impact of this mutation that helps to explain its activating effect on the kinase (62,63).
HX MS has also been a very useful approach to understand the stabilizing influence of inhibitor binding to the Abl kinase domain, both through the active site (e.g., imatinib, nilotinib) as well as the myristic acid binding pocket (e.g., GNF-2/GNF-5). Binding of GNF-5 to the myristic acid binding pocket resulted in both a local reduction in the rate of deuterium uptake relative to the unbound protein and in the ATP-binding site more than 30 Å away (9,10). This observation provides important evidence that binding of myristic acid as well as small molecules to this C-lobe pocket has a stabilizing, inhibitory influence on the active site. Furthermore, simultaneous binding of GNF-5 and the ATP-competitive inhibitor dasatinib to the Abl T315I mutant induced similar conformational changes to those observed with wild-type Abl in the presence of dasatinib alone (9,10). These observations support the idea that binding of small molecule antagonists to the myristate binding pocket helps to stabilize the downregulated conformation of the active site and/or remodel the active site such that ATP-competitive inhibitors can interact with Abl mutants.

What do structural studies of the Abl core tell us about Bcr-Abl structure and regulation?

Bcr-Abl is the chimeric oncoprotein that drives CML pathogenesis. As a result of the Philadelphia chromosome translocation associated with CML, Bcr fusion removes most of the Ncap from Abl (Figure 1). As a consequence, the negative regulatory influence of the myristate group as well as Ncap interactions with the SH3 and SH2 domains are lost. In addition, fusion to Bcr adds a coiled-coil domain to the N-terminus of Bcr-Abl (64,65). This Bcr domain causes oligomerization of Bcr-Abl, and is believed to result in juxtaposition of multiple Abl kinase domains and subsequent trans-phosphorylation of the activation loop and other sites which contribute to kinase activation (66). Short peptides that disrupt Bcr coiled-coil interactions reduce kinase and transforming activities, supporting this activation model (67).

One important question that remains unanswered regards the regulatory influence of the SH3 and SH2 domains on the kinase domain in the context of Bcr-Abl. While there are no structural or HX MS data currently available for full-length, active Bcr-Abl, other studies suggest that the SH3 and SH2 domains retain their influence over the kinase domain. One line of evidence comes from studies of imatinib-resistant mutants of Bcr-Abl. As described above, imatinib binds to the DFG-out conformation of the Abl kinase domain (Figure 4A). While many imatinib-resistant mutants of Bcr-Abl involve kinase domain residues that are directly involved in drug binding (e.g., T315I), others occur at a distance from the binding site and map to the SH2 domain, the SH3 domain and the linker. Imatinib resistance also results from over-expression of the Src family kinase Hck in the absence of Bcr-Abl mutations (35,68), and may result from Hck-mediated phosphorylation of the Bcr-Abl SH3 domain (35). These observations support a mechanism in which either mutation or phosphorylation of the SH3-SH2 regulatory region disturbs its interaction with the kinase domain, causing it to adopt a conformation incompatible with drug binding.

Recent work from our laboratories approached this question more directly by systematically increasing the proline content of the Abl SH2-kinase linker to enhance intramolecular SH3 engagement (69). Remarkably, myeloid cells transformed with Bcr-Abl proteins carrying high-affinity linkers showed enhanced sensitivity to apoptosis induced by both imatinib as well as GNF-2. These effects correlated with inhibition of Bcr-Abl kinase activity and were observed with both wild-type and imatinib-resistant forms of Bcr-Abl. HX MS of the corresponding recombinant Abl core proteins revealed that enhanced SH3:linker interaction correlated with long-range dynamic stabilization of both the kinase domain active site and C-lobe myristate binding pocket. These results provide strong evidence that regulatory SH3:linker interaction is maintained in the context of Bcr-Abl, and suggest that chemical stabilization of the SH3:linker interface may sensitize Bcr-Abl to existing CML drugs.

Other studies have explored the structural positioning of the SH2 domain relative to the kinase domain in the context of Bcr-Abl (23). As described earlier, the SH2 domain interacts with the back of the C-lobe in the downregulated conformation of the Abl core, but can undergo a dramatic shift to the ‘top-hat’ conformation upon kinase activation (22,23) (Figure 2B). In this conformation, SH2 interacts with the kinase domain N-lobe to stabilize the active state. Bcr-Abl proteins with mutations designed to disrupt SH2:N-lobe interaction showed reduced kinase activity and transforming function, strongly supporting the existence of this active conformation in the context of Bcr-Abl.

At first glance, the observation that the Abl SH2-SH3 unit can influence inhibitor sensitivity and kinase domain conformation seems at odds with a requirement for the ‘top-hat’ conformation in Bcr-Abl activation. However, these seemingly disparate observations can be reconciled when all possible active conformations of Bcr-Abl are considered. Closer examination of the activity data in the Grebien et al.
study suggests that some but not all Bcr-Abl molecules in a given population adopt the ‘top-hat’ conformation (23). In most of the experimental paradigms deployed in this study, mutations predicted to disrupt the SH2:kinase interface reduce but do not eliminate Bcr-Abl kinase activity and function. Interestingly, experiments with a ‘monobody’ designed to target the SH2:kinase interface reduced Bcr-Abl kinase activity by about 50%, at which point the concentration-response curve reaches a plateau. These observations support the existence of other active states of Bcr-Abl that are not sensitive to this reagent and therefore may not require the SH2:kinase interface to function. Such alternative active states may arise from the unique mechanism by which the Abl core is activated in the context of Bcr-Abl. In this case, fusion to the Bcr coiled-coils induces clustering of the Abl kinase core, resulting in trans-phosphorylation of the activation loop as described above. Such a mechanism may not require regulatory domain displacement from the back of the kinase domain or a shift to the top-hat conformation. The broader concept that multi-domain kinases (including Abl) may occupy multiple conformational states and take more than one path to activation is supported by previous small-angle X-ray scattering studies of the Src-family kinase, Hck (70).

In summary, both Abl and Bcr-Abl are regulated by conserved kinase domain features as well as unique structural elements of the Ncap, SH3 and SH2 domains, and the SH2-kinase linker. Future drug discovery efforts targeting allosteric mechanisms unique to this kinase system may provide a path to exceptional inhibitor selectivity.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Domain Organization of Abl kinases and crystal structure of the downregulated c-Abl core. Top: The c-Abl-1b protein kinase consists of a myristoylated (Myr) N-terminal ‘cap’ region (Ncap), followed by SH3 and SH2 domains, the SH2-kinase linker (Linker), the tyrosine kinase domain, and a long last exon region with a C-terminal actin-binding domain (ABD). In Bcr-Abl, N-terminal fusion to Bcr sequences removes most of the Ncap, leaving the remainder of Abl intact. The Bcr-derived portion includes an N-terminal coiled-coil (CC) oligomerization domain, as well as Dbl and Pleckstrin homology domains (DH/PH). Bottom: The X-ray crystal structure of the c-Abl-1b core protein in the downregulated state (PDB: 2FO0) is rendered as a ribbon on the lower left and with the surface added on the right to emphasize the tight molecular packing of the regulatory regions (Ncap-SH3-SH2-linker) against the kinase domain. The unstructured portion of the myristoylated Ncap that engages the C-lobe of the kinase domain is shown as a dotted line. Domains in the structure are color coded and correspond to the schematic at the top. In the kinase domain, the positions of the αC-helix and the activation loop are rendered in cyan and magenta, respectively. The side chain of the activation loop autophosphorylation site (Tyr412) is also shown.

Figure 2. Reorientation of the SH2 domain as a function of Abl kinase activation. A) The position of the SH2 domain (blue) in the downregulated structure of the c-Abl core is shown on the left (PDB: 2FO0). The interface of the SH2 domain with the back of the kinase domain is highlighted on the right. The distal end of the αI helix of the kinase domain C-lobe (cyan) is rotated away from the SH2 domain. Tyrosine residues from the SH2 (Tyr158) and the kinase (Tyr361) domains form a pi-stacking interaction that contributes to the stabilization of the downregulated conformation. B) The SH2 domain interacts with the N-lobe of the kinase domain to stabilize an active kinase domain conformation (PDB: 1OPL). An overview of this active ‘top-hat’ conformation is shown on the left, and a
close up of the SH2:N-lobe interface is shown on the right. Ile164 (cyan) from the SH2 domain plays a critical role in this interaction.

**Figure 3.** The Abl SH3 domain interacts with the SH2-kinase linker in downregulated Abl. The positions of the SH3 domain (red) and the linker (orange) within the downregulated c-Abl core structure (PDB: 2FO0) are shown at the top, and are enlarged below. Note that the linker adopts a PPII helix that engages the SH3 domain. The side chains of three regulatory tyrosine phosphorylation sites are also shown. Two are located on the binding surface of the SH3 domain that faces the linker (Tyr89, Tyr134) while the third is on the linker (Tyr245) and faces the N-lobe of the kinase domain.

**Figure 4.** Structural features of the Abl kinase domain important for activity and inhibitor binding. A) Close-up view of the Abl kinase domain bound to imatinib (PDB: 1IEP), which stabilizes a downregulated conformation of the active site. Key structural elements of this off state include the inward rotation of the N-lobe αC-helix (cyan), which positions Glu286 for ion pairing with Lys271. The DFG motif (Asp381, Phe382, Gly383), which is located at the N-terminal end of the activation loop (Act Loop; green), is rotated away from the active site to accommodate imatinib binding (DFG\textsubscript{out}). The activation loop tyrosine (numbered as Tyr393 in this structure) points into the active site and makes a hydrogen bond with the catalytic aspartate (Asp363; purple). Also shown is the side chain of the gatekeeper residue (Thr315; orange) which forms a critical hydrogen bond with imatinib. B) Close-up view of the Abl catalytic site in an active conformation with dasatinib bound (PDB: 2GQG; ligand carbon atoms in yellow). Note that the αC-helix and the Glu286 ion pair with Lys271 are positioned as per the inactive state in A, but the activation loop is completely reoriented. The phosphorylated activation loop tyrosine (pTyr393) is now paired with a nearby arginine residue (Arg386), releasing the catalytic aspartate and stabilizing the active conformation. The DFG motif is now flipped inward (DFG\textsubscript{in}); this conformation is not compatible with imatinib binding due to steric clash. The gatekeeper threonine also makes an H-bond with dasatinib.
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The diagram illustrates the domain structure of the Bcr-Abl protein, with key components labeled as follows:

- **Linker**
- **Myr**
- **Ncap**
- **SH3**
- **SH2**
- **αC helix**
- **Act. Loop**

The domains are color-coded and include the N-terminal cap (NCap), kinase domain, and the last exon. The Bcr-DH/PH domain is also highlighted.
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