Quantitative Dynamics of the Link Between Cellular Metabolism and Histone Acetylation
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**Background:** Site-specific in-vivo dynamics of histone acetylation have not been analyzed in a quantitative manner.

**Results:** Histone acetylation turnover varies depending on the histone residue and presence of neighboring modifications.

**Conclusion:** Acetylation of histones is a dynamic process that involves the dual action of HATs and HDACs to affect chromatin.

**Significance:** Acetylation turnover can be quantitatively measured in many cellular processes.

**SUMMARY**

Acetylation on the tails of histones plays an important role in controlling transcription initiation. While the steady-state abundances of histone acetyl groups have been reported, the rate at which histones are acetylated and deacetylated on a residue-specific basis has not been quantitatively established. We added ¹³C glucose to human cells and monitored the dynamic incorporation of ¹³C-labeled acetyl groups onto specific histone lysines with quantitative mass spectrometry. We determined the turnover of acetylation to be generally slower than phosphorylation, but fast relative to methylation, and that the rate varied depending on the histone, the residue modified, and also the neighboring modifications. Cells were also treated with a deacetylase inhibitor to determine the rate due to histone acetyltransferase activity alone and in the absence of deacetylase activity. Introduction of ¹³C-labeled glucose also resulted in the incorporation of ¹³C into alanine, which allowed us to partition histones into existing and newly synthesized protein categories. Newly synthesized histones were slower to accumulate histone modifications, especially modifications associated with silent chromatin. Finally, we applied our new approaches to find that quiescent fibroblasts exhibited lower levels of labeled acetyl accumulation compared to proliferating fibroblasts. This suggests that acetylation rates can be modulated in cells in different biological states, and that these changes can be detected with the approach presented here. The methods we describe can be broadly applied to defining the turnover of histone acetylation in other cell states, such as during cellular reprogramming, and to quantify non-histone protein acetylation dynamics.

Acetylation of histone tails has been demonstrated to be an important regulator of transcriptional activation in eukaryotic cells (1,2). Enzymes that add acetyl groups to the tails of histones, histone acetyltransferases (HATs), are frequently associated with transcription factors,
which facilitate their interaction with promoters or enhancers (3,4). Experiments in which the localization of acetylated histones was determined with chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by detection of the associated DNA, have indicated that the histones located upstream of actively transcribed genes are acetylated on the lysines of histones H3 and H4 (2,5-7). Histone deacetylation is associated with gene repression, and histone deacetylases (HDACs) have been identified as components of complexes that repress transcription (8,9). Recent studies suggest that complexes containing HATs and HDACs are actually present on the same promoters (10-12), and that a balance exists between the two complexes. That balance is shifted in order to activate or repress the neighboring gene.

The donor for histone acetylation is acetyl-CoA, both in yeast and metazoans. In yeast, the intracellular pool of acetyl-CoA fluctuates widely across the cell cycle, peaking near the start of a new cycle. Cell growth can be initiated by the addition of acetyl-CoA, which stimulates acetylation of key growth gene promoters via the SAGA complex (13). Human cells do not undergo division in response to the addition of carbon sources, but instead use cues from growth factors and hormones. Like yeast, acetylation is regulated by metabolic intermediates of glucose, and one major enzyme involved is ATP-citrate lyase, which converts citrate produced by the mitochondria into acetyl-CoA (14). Removal of glucose or a reduction of ATP-citrate lyase resulted in a loss of acetylation on several histones and reduced transcription of genes involved in glucose metabolism (14).

While the steady-state levels of histone lysine acetylation can be determined by methods such as western blotting, understanding the dynamics whereby acetyl groups are added and removed requires methods for monitoring the newly deposited acetyl groups independently of the previously deposited acetyl groups. One approach has been to monitor the incorporation of radiolabeled carbon atoms. Radiolabeled acetate has been used to monitor the presence of acetyl moieties and to estimate histone turnover (15). Using radiolabeled acetate to label bulk histones, a half-life of approximately 15 minutes for deacetylation was estimated by averaging all histones and all acetylated residues (no residue specificity) (16). More recent approaches have also been developed to monitor acetylation dynamics in vitro and in vivo. In one study, NMR was used to monitor acetylation and deacetylation of reporter peptides. Recombinant HATs and HDACs were tested for the kinetics of acetyl exchange in order to determine specificity for particular lysines (17). In another study, a FRET probe was designed with an acetyl-binding domain (bromodomain) that specifically recognizes H4K12Ac. The probe was used in live cells to monitor the accumulation of H4K12Ac following treatment with the HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A (TSA). Acetylation levels peaked after approximately 3 hrs, suggesting that the half-max time for the addition of acetyl on H4K12 is less than 3 hrs. Another method used acid-urea gels to separate and quantify acetylated and non-acetylated H3, and also detected methylation marks on the acetylated histones (18,19). It was discovered that acetylation accumulates faster on H3K4me3-containing histones than histones with other methylation marks in the presence of TSA. Moreover, the transition from minimally acetylated to hyperacetylated histones with TSA treatment was achieved after 15 minutes, which suggests that the half-max time for the addition of acetyl on H3K4me3-containing histones is less than 15 minutes (18).

Here, we describe a new method for monitoring the dynamics of histone acetylation using metabolic isotopic labeling of proteins with heavy $^{13}$C labeled glucose in human cells paired with quantitative high-resolution mass spectrometry. We show that acetylation rates vary depending on the modification state as well as the presence of neighboring modifications. We further characterized the rate of histone acetylation in the presence of an HDAC inhibitor, and found that alanine labeling from glucose can partition histones into existing and new pools, revealing that modifications accumulate on new histones at different rates than old histones. Finally, we measured the accumulation of labeled acetyl groups in proliferating and quiescent fibroblasts and found that quiescent cells have lower labeling efficiency, although both cell types have similar overall steady-state acetylation levels.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**
Isotope labeling experiments – Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293) were grown in DMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) + 7.5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA). Cells were washed twice with PBS and introduced into labeling media. DMEM + 7.5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum + 10 mM U-13C sodium acetate (Cambridge Isotopes, Andover, MA) was used for acetate labeling experiments; DMEM without glutamine + 7.5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum + 4 mM U-13C glutamine (Cambridge Isotopes) was used for glutamine labeling experiments; and DMEM without glucose + 7.5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum + 4.5 g/L U-13C glucose (Cambridge Isotopes) was used for glucose labeling experiments. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points by washing with PBS followed by scraping into PBS, pelleting, and flash freezing for -80°C storage until subsequent analysis.

Histone preparation for MS – Histones were isolated as previously described using acid extraction (20). Histones were then derivatized with propionic anhydride to block unmodified lysines. Briefly, 100 µgs of bulk histone was concentrated to 40 µl using a vacuum concentrator and 20 µl of 3:1 anhydrous isopropanol to propionic anhydride (Sigma, Basal, Switzerland) was added. The solution was immediately neutralized by addition of a base. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes and concentrated back to 40 µl, constituting one round of “propionylation”. One additional round of propionylation was performed and the solution was reduced to near dryness. 85 µl of 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0) was added and histones were digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) at a ratio of 1:50 trypsin to histone for 6-8 hrs at 37°C. The reaction was quenched by acidifying with glacial acetic acid (to <pH 5) and freezing at -80°C. Newly exposed N-termini were modified with two rounds of propionylation. Histone peptides were purified from salts with C18 STAGE-Tips constructed as described previously (21).

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry – An Agilent 1200 series HPLC configured to split the flow for a final output of ~200 nL/min was used to resolve histone peptides using a 75 µm inner diameter fused silica column packed with 10-15 cm of 5 µm C18 (Michrom, Auburn, CA). Peptides were eluted with a gradient of 0.7%-30% buffer B (buffer A: 0.1 M acetic acid; buffer B: 95% acetonitrile in 0.1 M acetic acid) over 35 minutes followed by 30%-98% buffer B over 30 minutes, and were ionized via electrospray ionization. Peptides were analyzed using an LTQ-Orbitrap-XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Full scans of m/z 290-1000 with a resolution of 30,000 were measured in the Orbitrap. The mass spectrometry data were then analyzed using software that has previously been described elsewhere (22-24). Briefly, for a given peptide with several possible modifications, an optimization-based model simultaneously considers the MS isotopic distribution, MS/MS fragment ions and relative peptide hydrophobicity relationships to identify and quantify all PTM isoforms. All charge states for modified peptides were included in the analysis. Interferences in the MS isotopic distributions between co-eluting labeled and unlabeled peptides were deconvoluted. The resulting identifications were subsequently validated by manual inspection of the tandem mass spectra. The raw abundance of each modified histone peptide identified by the algorithm was computed by integrating its contribution to the experimentally observed isotopic distribution across the peak width. This raw abundance is then normalized across all observable labeled states within a specific modified state. For instance, both the 13C and 13C labeled forms for each acetylation that we measured were used to determine the label incorporation for that modification (relative distribution). This method of normalization controls for variable ionization efficiencies across various modified states of the same peptide sequence, which could potentially skew the analysis and interpretation of the data. The software is available for licensing from: http://puotl.technologypublisher.com/technology/8306

Modeling and half-max calculations – The relative distribution of the labeled acetyl lysines across the time course were fitted (MATLAB version 7.8) to the logarithmic function: y = A × (1 - e^(-t/B)). This function was chosen to extrapolate both the asymptotic labeling efficiency as A and the time required to reach half of the asymptotic labeling efficiency as ln(2) × B for each modified
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histone peptide. This report will abbreviate the latter parameter as half-max time (t1/2max, minutes). Within a given time point for a given modified peptide, each replicate measurement was treated as a discrete datum, rather than collated with the other respective measurements into a single average, in order for the model to account directly for the variability across biological replicates and to increase the statistical significance of the optimizations.

Quiescence model – Primary human fibroblasts were isolated from foreskins. The subcutaneous cells of a foreskin were removed and the tissue was cut into 1 mm long strips. The strips were incubated for 2 hrs at 37°C in 0.5% dispase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Following removal of the epidermis, the dermis was cut into 1 mm × 1 mm squares and incubated for 1-2 hrs at 37°C in 1000 U/ml Collagenase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were filtered through a 70 µm mesh filter, washed with growth media (DMEM + 8% FBS + 1 mM sodium pyruvate + 10 mM HEPES + 2 nM L-glutamine + 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids), and plated in growth media. Cells were cultured for 3-4 days to ensure purity of fibroblasts. Fibroblasts were plated at approximately 10,000 cells/cm² and collected after 24 hrs for the proliferating state or after 14 days for the contact inhibition-induced quiescence state. Cells were cultured for 9 hrs in DMEM without glucose + 7.5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum + 4.5 g/L U-13C glucose for labeling experiments.

RESULTS

Histone acetyl carbons are largely derived from glucose – In order to quantitatively measure rates of acetylation, we used three approaches for labeling intermediates that provide the carbons for acetyl groups (Fig. 1A). In the first approach we added 13C-labeled glucose, since its metabolism can ultimately lead to production of acetyl CoA, the donor substrate used by HATs to acetylate histones. In the second approach, we utilized 13C-labeled glutamine, which can contribute carbons to the pool of acetyl CoA used by HATs via the TCA cycle. In the third approach we bypassed central carbon metabolism and added 13C-labeled acetate directly to the cells as the substrate for acetylation. HEK293 cells were cultured with these three isotopically labeled components individually, and the histones were analyzed by nanoflow liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (nanoLC-MS/MS). A control sample, in which no isotopically-labeled components were added, served as a control that demonstrated the baseline isotopic distribution for the H3 peptide K18QLATK23(ac)AAR (Fig. 1B). The species 570.84 m/z corresponds to the peptide in which K23 is acetylated and all atoms exist in their principal or most abundant isotopic state (monoisotopic state). The species 571.84 m/z is the acetylated peptide with two heavy isotopes, and this species exists due to naturally occurring isotopes that have been incorporated into the amino acids constituting the peptide. Labeling of the acetyl carbons with glucose, glutamine, or acetate caused a shift in abundance from the monoisotopic species (570.84) to the species with two heavy isotopes (571.84), since acetyl has 2 carbons that were 13C-labeled. The addition of 13C-labeled acetate or 13C-labeled glutamine for 24 hrs caused a modest amount of acetyl labeling, as indicated by a ~20% increase in the relative abundance of 571.84 m/z (Fig. 1C and D). 24 hrs of 13C-labeled glucose resulted in an increase in the relative abundance of the 571.84 species to approximately 80% (Fig. 1E). The larger increase in the 13C-labeling with glucose incubation compared with glutamine or acetate indicates that glucose is the major contributor of carbons to acetyl groups on human histones. Tandem mass spectrometry was used to confirm that the observed mass shift was in fact due to the heavy carbon isotopes incorporated into the acetyl group rather than the naturally occurring heavy isotopes within the peptide backbone. The H3 peptide K18QLATK23(ac)AAR was fragmented in the mass spectrometer and the fragments were detected. The masses of the fragments observed versus the theoretical masses revealed a two-dalton shift on the ions corresponding to the fragments that contain the acetylated lysine (Fig. 1F). Therefore, 13C glucose is the preferred substrate to monitor the turnover of acetylation and is the predominant source of acetyl carbons in HEK293 cells.

Acetylation rates are residue-specific – We extended our analysis using 13C glucose to understand the dynamics of acetylation on several lysines on H3 and one acetylation on H4. HEK293 cells were cultured with 13C labeled glucose and harvested at 10, 20, 60, 120, and 1440 minutes. Histones were acid extracted and processed for
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nanoLC-MS/MS. Mass spectrometry analysis showed that after 10 minutes in the presence of labeled glucose, a shift was observed in the mass (529.3 m/z) corresponding to a labeled acetyl on the H3 peptide K9STGGK14APR in which either K9 or K14 is acetylated (Fig. 2A and B). After 2 hrs of incubation with 13C glucose, the abundance of the species with a labeled acetyl exceeded the abundance of the acetyl species with no labeled carbons (Fig. 2C). After incubation with 13C-labeled glucose for 24 hrs, the conversion of the unlabeled acetyl to the labeled form reached a maximum (Fig. 2D). Approximately 20% of the acetyl species was unlabeled even after 24 hrs, and remained unlabeled after 48 hrs (data not shown). This is likely due to some contribution to acetylation from other unlabeled carbon sources such as glutamine supplied in the media, or recycling of metabolic or protein components.

Our method for processing histones produced several small peptides containing one or two lysines. These lysines could be unmodified, methylated, or acetylated in the cell, and the modification status was determined via their predicted mass and predicted elution properties from the HPLC used to separate the peptides prior to mass spectrometry analysis. Using custom software to identify and quantify these modified histone peptides, we determined the relative abundance of each of the modified forms for a given peptide. For the acetylated species, we then found the fraction that was unlabeled (old acetyl) versus labeled (new acetyl), and plotted the percentage of the labeled form over time (Fig. 2E).

The data were fit to a logarithmic curve to generate a time constant used in calculating a half-max. Half-maxes were determined for six different acetylated forms on histone H3 and one acetylation on H4 (Table 1). Half-maxes were similar for H3K9Ac or K14Ac, K9me1K14Ac, K9me2K14Ac, K9me3K14Ac, K18Ac or K23Ac, and H4-1Ac. However, the ratio of the half-max with butyrate to without butyrate was different. A ratio of 2 would suggest that butyrate was targeting the relevant HDACs with high specificity, and that turnover of the acetyl group is equally dependent on HATs and HDACs, instead of new histone synthesis or degradation. The ratio with to without
butyrate was 1.9 for H3K9Ac or K14Ac and K9me1K14Ac, suggesting that the HAT(s) and HDAC(s) that modify these sites are contributing equally and that butyrate is an efficient inhibitor of the HDAC(s). The ratio for H3K9me2K14Ac, K9me3K14Ac, and K18Ac or K23Ac were ~1.5, indicating that the HAT(s) and HDAC(s) that target these sites are not contributing equally or butyrate is not an efficient inhibitor of the HDAC(s). The ratio for H4-1Ac was the lowest at 1.1, suggesting that HDACs are less involved in the turnover of this modification, or that butyrate does not target the HDAC(s). In fact, the majority of this modification is on H4K16, which is deacetylated by Sirtuin 1, and not a target of butyrate (25). The ratio for H3K9AcK14Ac was the slowest at 2.5, suggesting that deacetylation is a large contributor to the rate of turnover for these modifications. The addition of H3K9acK14ac may only occur at specific sites in the genome that must be initially deacetylated, and so the loss of deacetylation eliminates the production of appropriate substrates, causing the half-max to be so slow. Overall, the half-maxes of acetyl groups were slower when cells were treated with butyrate, and the decrease in turnover rates varied based on the particular acetyl site and neighboring modifications.

Alanine becomes labeled in newly synthesized histones—Alanine can be synthesized from pyruvate, a metabolite of glycolysis, as well as branched chain amino acids (26,27) (Fig. 4A). Alanine is a nonessential amino acid and was not present in the DMEM medium used for culturing cells herein, so newly synthesized proteins contained endogenously synthesized alanines, some of which were derived from glucose. Many histone peptides and all of the peptides that we analyzed contain at least one alanine residue. Mass spectrometry analysis of a control peptide that contained an alanine, but no known modifications (data not shown), revealed that after 24 hrs in the presence of 13C glucose, a shift was observed that corresponded to +3 Daltons, or a fully 13C-labeled alanine (Fig. 4B and C). This H3 peptide is represented in H3.1, H3.2, and H3.3, making it an ideal peptide for measuring new protein synthesis, both from replication dependent and independent histones. Fragmentation of the unlabeled, monoisotopic species (m/z = 544.81) produced fragments that correspond to the predicted amino acid sequence (Fig. 4D). Fragmentation of the alanine-labeled species (m/z = 546.32) produced fragments that were shifted three Daltons when alanine was present on the fragment (Fig. 4E). No shift was observed for fragments that contain portions of the sequence lacking alanine. The fraction of the peptide that was labeled would be approximately 50% after 24 hrs if every alanine was derived from glucose and the cell cycle for HEK293 cells was 24 hrs long. We observed approximately 35% turnover of unlabeled alanine to labeled alanine. Thus, while some new proteins contain unlabeled alanines (Fig. 4B and C), most newly synthesized proteins contain labeled alanines derived from 13C glucose. This allowed us to discriminate between old and new histones based on the presence or absence of an isotopic shift.

Newly synthesized histones are modified at different rates—Based on our determination that the presence of 13C in alanine can serve to distinguish most old proteins from new proteins, we analyzed the relative abundance of selected modifications on old histones and new histones after 24 hrs in the presence of 13C glucose. Using an estimated turnover of ~35% for alanine-labeled histones (Fig. 4B and C), we would expect that the ratio of old to new histone peptides would be ~1.85:1. The unmodified form of the peptide K9STGGK14APR was present at a ratio of 2.1:1, indicating that most newly synthesized histones that are unlabeled at K9 and K14 are synthesized at a rate similar to that expected for general protein synthesis (Fig. 5A). However, the ratio of old to new histones increased as K9 became more modified; the ratio was 3.1:1 for K9me1K14un, 3.3:1 for K9me2K14un, and 5.6:1 for K9me3K14un. This indicates that these modifications are not added to new histones immediately, but are instead added after a delay, and may take a full cell cycle to become fully modified. A similar trend was observed when we analyzed the relative abundance of acetylated species on the histone H3 peptide K9STGGK14APR, with the exception that K9me1K14Ac was produced faster than K9Ac or K14Ac (Fig. 5A). The slowest accumulation of alanine-labeled species occurred on K9me2K14Ac and K9me3K14Ac. The higher ratio of old histone peptides to new peptides correlated with previous...
observations that higher methylation states are slower to accumulate after S phase (28).

In contrast, for H3K4, peptides with more methyl groups were generated faster than peptides with fewer methyl groups. Alanine-labeled peptides of H3K4me1 accumulated more slowly than H3K4 that was di- or trimethylated (Fig. 5C, D, and E). H3K4unmodified also had high amounts of alanine-labeled species, potentially due to demethylation of H3K4me3 (Fig. 5B). Turnover of the H3K4 methylated species is higher than the control peptide in Figure 4C, likely due to these modifications existing in higher frequencies on replication independent variants such as H3.3. Replication independent variants can be exchanged more rapidly throughout the cell cycle, allowing for some modifications to turnover faster than expected, if only cell cycle dynamics are considered. Additionally, Henikoff and co-workers demonstrated using a metabolic/genomic based approach that active gene regions (Trithorax group protein bound, K4 methylation) of the genome have faster turnover rates than silenced regions (Polycomb protein bound, K27 methylation) in Drosophila, which would be consistent with our mass spectrometry results (29).

Quiescent fibroblasts exhibit altered incorporation of labeled acetyl groups—To determine whether our method for monitoring acetylation turnover can be used to identify differences in acetylation dynamics between cells in different biological states, we analyzed primary human foreskin fibroblasts either in proliferating or quiescent conditions. Cells were cultured for 9 hrs in medium containing $^{13}$C glucose and analyzed by nanoLC-MS. A mass spectrum of the H3 peptide K9STGGK14APR acetylated on K9 or K14 revealed that proliferating fibroblasts contained a higher amount of labeled acetyl groups after 9 hrs than quiescent fibroblasts (Fig. 6A and B). These differential labeling rates were not unique to H3K9 or K14 and were observed on H4 in which the histone was singly acetylated (Fig. 6C and D). The fraction of acetyl groups that were labeled in proliferating and quiescent fibroblasts was determined for five modification states (Fig. 6E). All modifications showed a highly reproducible level of incorporation for all five sites, and the incorporation for quiescent cells was approximately half of that for proliferating cells. The incorporation was less than that observed for HEK293 cells, which had approximately 80% incorporation after 9 hrs (Fig. 2E). The steady-state level of acetylation was similar between proliferating and quiescent cells as shown for histone H4 (Fig. 6F). Therefore, we observed a higher incorporation of labeled acetyl groups in proliferating than quiescent fibroblasts, even though the steady-state levels were similar, suggesting that proliferating cells are more rapidly exchanging acetyl groups on histones, or that quiescent cells utilize different pathways for acetyl carbons.

DISCUSSION

Deriving accurate estimates of the rate of histone acetylation has been hampered by the large number of different types of acetylation events and the fact that the rate of acetylation can depend on the presence of nearby lysine modifications. The application of mass spectrometry to this problem has the potential to solve these issues since it can provide highly quantitative information on the presence of specific modifications. In this study, we measured the dynamics of histone acetylation for several lysines on H3 and H4 using quantitative mass spectrometry. Our data indicate that the half-max values for acetylation are fast relative to reports of histone methylation (30,31), but likely slower than reports of non-histone phosphorylation in both the cytoplasm and the mitochondria (32,33). Our data also indicate that the rate of new acetyl accumulation is dependent on the histone, the lysine, and neighboring modifications. Further, by distinguishing newly synthesized from preexisting histones, we show evidence that the modifications on newly deposited histones differ from those that preexist in the chromatin. Finally, acetylation dynamics are not uniform among different cell states, and this may be an area of future exploration in processes such as reprogramming and differentiation.

Several approaches have been used to measure the rate of acetylation in model organisms. Early approaches did not have the resolution to distinguish one lysine from another, and reported half-lives that were averages across all lysines of a particular histone (19,34,35). The half-life values ranged from 12-200 minutes in chicken erythrocytes to 4-15 minutes in S. cerevisiae, depending on the histone. More recent approaches have been used to measure acetylation
rates on particular lysines, and even to take into account neighboring modifications. In vitro analysis of acetylation using reporter peptides and recombinant HATs revealed that full acetylation of the reporter was achieved within 4 minutes for H4K12 and H4K20 (17). FRET can be used to monitor H4K12 acetylation dynamics, and acid-urea gels in combination with western blotting can be used to determine acetylation turnover for H3K4me3- or H3K9me3-containing histones (18,36). We are able to estimate the half-max of acetylation in a residue-specific manner and incorporate information about neighboring residues. We show that the half-max of acetylation ranges from 53-87 minutes depending on the lysine monitored and the nearby modifications. Our values are slightly higher than other estimates, which likely reflect the fact that each method focuses on different collections of acetylation events. Middle-down mass spectrometry analysis of entire histone tails (37) would help to account for all additional modifications and the interdependency of modifications on acetylation dynamics.

We found varying effects of butyrate treatment on the half-max of different modification states. Some residues had an increase in half-max time by a factor of 1.9 relative to no butyrate treatment, suggesting that incorporation of $^{13}$C glucose in the absence of butyrate is dependent equally on acetylation and deacetylation. Other modification states showed smaller increases in the half-max time, and this indicates that butyrate is not an efficient inhibitor of deacetylation for these modifications. The exact HDACs inhibited by butyrate are not known with certainty, although reports suggest that class I HDACs and some class II HDACs are targets (38). Sirtuins are not known to be targets of butyrate. Lysine 16 acetylation, the predominant form that we measured on H4, is removed via sirtuins (25,39). This may explain why the half-max did not increase for H4 acetylation when treated with butyrate. It also opens up the possibility of using this technique to screen existing or new HDAC inhibitors for their specificity.

Our results indicate that glucose provides the major source of carbons for acetylation, at least in HEK293 cells. Labeled glutamine and sodium acetate treatment resulted in small shifts in labeled acetyl groups, whereas labeled glucose treatment resulted in near complete turnover. These results are consistent with other reports showing that glucose or metabolic intermediates are involved in histone acetylation (13,14,40,41). Our method is capable of testing whether changes in the flux from glucose to nuclear acetyl-CoA can influence acetylation turnover, and therefore transcription activity, or if downstream enzymes such as ATP-citrate lyase act as master regulators to maintain pools of acetyl-CoA for acetylation.

We show that proliferating and quiescent fibroblasts have differential labeling of acetyl groups. The disparity in labeled acetyl accumulation may be due to the rate of glucose uptake between the two cell states, Indeed, proliferating and quiescent cells may consume different amounts of glucose (42). This would support the idea that glucose is the major factor contributing not only to steady-state acetylation, but also the rate of acetylation turnover. The difference in labeled acetyl accumulation may also be due to fundamental differences in the proliferating and quiescent states, reflecting unique transcriptional programs that are regulated via HAT and HDAC activity (43). The approach described to define the dynamics of acetylation could be highly useful in reprogramming studies, or differentiation models that require extensive transcriptional and chromatin changes on an acute dynamic or epigenetic time-scale.

Acetylation of non-histone proteins is becoming more widely appreciated, and large-scale proteomic screens have revealed the existence of thousands of acetylated proteins in various cell types (44,45). Some of the more common acetylated protein examples besides histones include tubulin and p53 (46-48). In the case of p53, p300 or pCAF can catalyze the acetylation event that facilitates the transcription factor activity of p53 (49). Sirt1 acts as the deacetylase for p53, and can reverse the ability of p53 to induce processes such as apoptosis (50). The methods presented here can easily be extended and coupled with existing immunoaffinity enrichment of global acetylated peptides for investigating the stability of these modifications under a variety of cellular conditions. Understanding the rates at which these protein acetylation reversals occur, or whether acetylation is constantly being turned over, will add to the understanding of how this important
protein modification is regulated in normal physiology and disease situations.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**FIGURE 1.** Glucose is the major substrate for histone acetylation. (A) Metabolic pathways were utilized to label new acetyl groups with $^{13}$C. The subsequent accumulation of new acetyl groups on histone residues was monitored with mass spectrometry. All species that are or become labeled are marked with
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an asterisk. Carbons lacking four bonds should be assumed to have hydrogen at those positions. (B-E)
HEK293 cells were incubated in medium containing (B) unlabeled glucose, (C) U-13C acetate, (D) U-13C glutamine, or (E) U-13C glucose for 24 hrs. The “U” refers to universally labeled, where all carbons are 13C. Cells were harvested and histone modifications were analyzed by mass spectrometry. The isotopic distribution of the H3 peptide K18QLATK23AAR where K23 is acetylated is shown for each condition. An increase in the m/z = 571.84 species indicates an increase in new, 13C-labeled acetyl. (F) The MS/MS spectra for K18QLATK23AAR where K23 is acetylated shows the appropriate fragmentation ions corresponding to a +2 Da shift on the second lysine residue. b ions are the fragments starting on the N-terminus of the peptide and y ions are fragments starting on the C-terminus of the peptide. Fragments that contain a +2 Da shift are marked with an asterisk. B-E represent [M+2H]+2 spectra.

FIGURE 2. Metabolic incorporation of heavy glucose provided labeled acetyl groups. HEK293 cells were incubated in media containing (A) unlabeled glucose or 13C glucose. Cells were harvested at multiple time points and the histones analyzed by nanoLC-MS/MS. The relative abundances of isotopes for the H3 peptide K9STGGK14APR in which K9 or K14 is acetylated are shown for cells incubated for (A) 0 min, (B) 10 min, (C) 2 hrs, or (F) 24 hrs with 13C glucose. A shift in the relative abundance of the m/z = 529.3 species indicates turnover from old acetyl to new acetyl. (E) The fraction of labeled acetyl species, where 1.0 is 100% labeled, is plotted over time and an exponential function was fitted to the observed abundances. A-D represent [M+2H]+2 spectra.

FIGURE 3. HDAC inhibition leads to slower turnover by blocking removal of old acetyl groups. HEK293 cells were incubated in media containing (A) unlabeled glucose or 13C glucose + 10 mM sodium butyrate. Cells were harvested at multiple time points and the isolated histones were analyzed by nanoLC-MS/MS. The relative abundance of isotopes for the H3 peptide K9STGGK14APR in which K9 or K14 is acetylated is shown for cells incubated for (A) 0 min (B) 10 min, (C) 2 hrs, or (F) 24 hrs with 13C glucose + 10 mM sodium butyrate. A shift in the relative abundance of the m/z = 529.3 species indicates an increased fraction of the peptide that has a labeled acetyl. (E) The fraction of labeled acetyl species, where 1.0 is 100% labeled, is plotted over time and an exponential function was fitted to the observed abundances. A-D represent [M+2H]+2 spectra.

FIGURE 4. Labeled carbons derived from exogenously provided glucose can be metabolized to form 13C-labeled alanine. (A) Alanine can be synthesized directly from pyruvate, allowing for labeled carbons from glucose to be incorporated into newly synthesized alanine. Carbons lacking four bonds should be assumed to have hydrogen at those positions. (B and C) The 41-49 amino acid peptide from H3 that has no known modification sites shows a shift of a +1.5 m/z species (+3 Da) after 24 hrs of 13C glucose treatment. MS/MS analysis of the control peptide treated with (D) unlabeled glucose or (E) 13C glucose identifies the +1.5 m/z shift as corresponding to alanine based on fragmentation ions. b ions are the fragments starting at the N-terminus of the peptide and y ions are fragments starting on the C-terminus of the peptide. Fragments that contain a +3 Da shift are marked with an asterisk. B and C represent [M+2H]+2 spectra.

FIGURE 5. Estimating the lag between histone synthesis and lysine modification. HEK293 cells were cultured with 13C glucose for 24 hrs and the histones were analyzed by nanoLC-MS. The intensities of each modified form of the peptide K9STGGK14APR were calculated for unlabeled alanine and labeled alanine (+3 Da). (A) Intensity values were used to create a relative abundance (1=100%) of both unlabeled and labeled modified forms. The numbers on the graph indicate the ratio of unlabeled to labeled. The relative abundance of unlabeled and labeled modifications on (B-E) H3K4 is plotted. B-E represent [M+2H]+2 spectra.
FIGURE 6. Quiescent fibroblasts incorporate less labeled acetyl. Fibroblasts were cultured in $^{13}$C glucose media for 9 hrs and harvested while proliferating or after contact inhibition-induced quiescence. The relative abundance of isotopes for the H3 peptide $K_{9}STGK_{14}APR$ where H3K9 or K14 is acetylated is shown for (A) proliferating and (B) quiescent fibroblasts. The relative abundance of isotopes for the H4 peptide $GRGK_{5}GGK_{6}GLGK_{12}GGAK_{16}R$ in which one lysine is acetylated is shown for (C) proliferating and (D) quiescent fibroblasts. (E) The fraction of labeled acetyl groups after 9 hrs of incubation in $^{13}$C glucose is plotted for several acetylations for proliferating and quiescent fibroblasts. (F) The steady-state relative abundances (1=100%) for the H4 peptide (residues 4-17) when unmodified, singly acetylated, or doubly acetylated are plotted for proliferating and quiescent fibroblasts. Three independent experiments were performed and the error bars represent standard error. A-D represent [M+2H]$^{+2}$ spectra.

TABLE 1. Half-max ($t_{1/2}$, minutes) values for acetylated residues on H3 and H4. The half-max was calculated as described in the methods for each modification state, with and without sodium butyrate treatment. A range of half-max values shows the upper and lower values for 5% error. Ratios are shown representing the half-max with butyrate treatment over the half-max without butyrate treatment.
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### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$-max (min) $^{13}$C-Glucose</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$-max (min) $^{13}$C-Glucose + Butyrate</th>
<th>Ratio of butyrate treated to glucose alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H3K9Ac or K14Ac</td>
<td>49.2-52.7-56.4</td>
<td>91.3-102.0-114.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9me1K14Ac</td>
<td>50.5-54.2-58.0</td>
<td>93.6-102.7-113.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9me2K14Ac</td>
<td>64.4-66.8-69.5</td>
<td>88.4-96.2-105.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9me3K14Ac</td>
<td>58.6-62.5-66.6</td>
<td>88.2-97.2-107.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K9AcK14Ac</td>
<td>68.9-75.4-82.7</td>
<td>177.0-185.3-194.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K18Ac or K23Ac</td>
<td>63.7-66.1-68.6</td>
<td>84.2-90.6-97.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4-1Ac</td>
<td>80.0-86.7-94.1</td>
<td>86.5-93.5-101.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>