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SUMMARY

This review focuses on recent studies implicating class V myosins in organelle and macromolecule transport within neurons. These studies reveal that class V myosins play important roles in a wide range of fundamental processes occurring within neurons, including the transport into dendritic spines of organelles that support synaptic plasticity, the establishment of neuronal shape, the specification of polarized cargo transport, and the subcellular localization of mRNA.

Class V myosins possess features that should make them efficient organelle motors. Eukaryotic cells including neurons use molecular motors to transport and properly distribute their organelles. Indeed, the demands placed on motor-dependent organelle transport are greatly amplified in neurons relative to other cell types because of the enormous lengths of their axon and dendrites (1). Neurons use microtubule-dependent motors (i.e. kinesins and dynein) to drive long range, bidirectional transport of organelles within these processes. Short range organelle movements in the periphery, such as within dendritic spines, appear to be driven, on the other hand, by actin-based motors, i.e. myosins.

Among the ~14 classes of myosin in vertebrates, the class V myosins have so far received the most attention as regards short range organelle and macromolecule transport within neurons, as well as within other cell types (reviewed in 2). Mice and humans possess three class V myosin genes (MYO5A, MYO5B, MYO5C), which encode the heavy chains of myosin Va, myosin Vb and myosin Vc, respectively. Of these three, myosin Va is the most widely expressed isoform in the central nervous system (CNS), being present throughout virtually the entire CNS, including the cerebrum, hippocampus and cerebellum (3,4) (Allen Brain Atlas, www.brain-map.org). Myosin Vb is present at significant levels in the hippocampus, as well as in other brain regions (5,6). In contrast, myosin Vc is essentially undetectable in the CNS, consistent with the conclusion that it is epithelial cell-specific (7).
Importantly, myosin Va and Vb possess three features that should allow them to serve as effective organelle motors within neurons (Figure 1A). First, both proteins are very processive (8), which should allow them to move organelles efficiently even when present at very low density on the organelle’s surface, the likely situation in vivo. Second, like other class V myosins, myosins Va and Vb possess very long lever arms, corresponding to their calmodulin-binding neck domains (Figure 1A). This feature allows them to take an exceptionally large step (8) (Figure 1A and 1C). Moreover, because the size of their step - 36 nm - matches the half helical repeat of the actin filament, they can walk across the “top” of the actin filament rather than having to spiral around it. This feature may facilitate the transport of bulky cargos within crowded cellular environments. Third, both myosin Va and Vb exist in an equilibrium between two conformations (reviewed in 9,10). One is a folded conformation in which the myosin’s cargo-binding globular tail domains are bound to their motor domains (Figure 1B and 1D). In this compact conformation, which is favored in the absence of cargo, the ATPase activity and actin affinity of their motor domains are strongly suppressed. Importantly, cargo addition drives both myosins into an extended, mechanochemically active conformation, either by trapping the myosin in the extended state and/or by inducing this state (Figure 1A). This stunning feature, which is shared by processive organelle motors of the kinesin family (11), allows cargo availability to control the mechanochemical properties of myosin Va and Vb. In this way, cargo-free versions of these two myosins are prevented from walking for no purpose, and their recycling via diffusion upon completion of the transport step is promoted. Here we review the current state of knowledge regarding the functions of myosins Va and Vb within neurons. The reader is also referred to other pertinent recent reviews (2,10,12-14).

**Myosin Vb transports recycling endosomes into dendritic spines to support synaptic plasticity.** Studies in generic cells have established a close physical and functional connection between myosin Vb and recycling endosomes (REs), which function to return endocytosed plasma membrane proteins such as receptors and channels back to the plasma membrane (15-17). Consistently, myosin Vb binds to Rab11, a RE-specific Rab GTPase that plays a critical role in regulating RE morphology and dynamics, and to FIP2, a Rab11 effector. Furthermore, the expression of a dominant negative version of myosin Vb dramatically impairs RE organization and function.

Importantly, REs also exist at the base of and within dendritic spines, where they function to return endocytosed AMPA receptors (AMPArs) back to the plasma membrane (18,19). Indeed, AMPARs continuously undergo endocytosis from lateral regions of the spine head, transit through the RE compartment, and return to the spine plasma membrane via exocytosis. This is especially interesting because the control of the number of AMPARs at the spine postsynaptic density (PSD) is at the heart of synaptic plasticity and memory formation. Specifically, the stable insertion of additional AMPARs at the PSD drives an increase in synaptic strength (long-term potentiation; LTP), while the stable reduction in AMPAR number at the PSD via receptor endocytosis drives a decrease in synapse strength (long-term depression; LTD).

Several studies have provided strong support for the idea that exocytosis from a large pool of AMPARs present within intraspinal REs serves as a major source of AMPARs for LTP (18,19). Moreover, the enlistment of these REs as an AMPAR source involves their translocation from the base of the spine into the spine head immediately following strong, LTP-inducing spine stimulation. Most importantly, a series of experiments demonstrate unequivocally that myosin Vb powers this translocation step (20). These include a chemical genetic approach using a transgenic mouse expressing myosin Vb that can be switched conditionally to rigor binding, which showed that the acute inhibition of myosin Vb motility, and/or tightly linking the myosin to actin, abolishes LTP (20). Finally, other experiments show that the myosin Vb-dependent translocation of REs into spines and subsequent exocytosis from this compartment drives not only synaptic plasticity, but also activity-dependent structural remodeling of the spine by providing lipid membrane and membrane proteins required for spine growth (20). In this way, REs serve to couple the structural and functional plasticity of spines.

Interestingly, the spike in intraspinal calcium concentration that occurs upon strong...
spine stimulation appears to unfold myosin Vb, thereby enhancing its interaction with Rab11 and FIP2 on the RE surface (20). This in turn enhances the frequency and robustness with which the myosin transports REs into the spine. Thus, myosin Vb appears to act as a calcium sensor to convert increases in spine calcium concentration produced by LTP-inducing stimuli into postsynaptic membrane transport required to generate LTP. It remains unclear, however, how the calcium-dependent activation of myosin Vb can avoid the dissociation of neck calmodulins and concomitant loss of mechanochemical integrity that is seen in vitro when myosin V is exposed to micromolar calcium (9).

Another study, which used RNAi and the expression of dominant-negative constructs to abrogate myosin V function, argued that it is in fact myosin Va and not myosin Vb that translocates REs into the spines of hippocampal neurons to support LTP (21). These findings are somewhat surprising, as previous studies in generic cells have only identified a role for myosin Vb in RE function. Moreover, extensive characterization of the electrophysiological properties of hippocampal neurons from dilute-lethal (d) (myosin Va null) mice identified no defects (22). Perhaps myosin Va plays a more important role in driving LTP within cortical neurons, where it might be the predominant isoform. Efforts to define the relative contributions of myosins Va and Vb to RE dynamics in cortical neurons would be greatly facilitated by the development of a mouse model for microvillus inclusion disease, a rare human disorder caused by loss-of-function mutations in myosin Vb (23).

Myosin Va transports the endoplasmic reticulum into the dendritic spines of cerebellar Purkinje neurons to support synaptic plasticity. As the master neuron in the cerebellum, the Purkinje neuron is crucial for the control of both voluntary and involuntary motor coordination (24). An extensively studied form of synaptic plasticity that might contribute to cerebellar motor learning is LTD at parallel fiber-Purkinje neuron synapses. The reduction in synaptic strength that underlies LTD is created by the endocytosis of AMPARs following strong synaptic stimulation (25). The signaling pathway leading to AMPAR endocytosis requires the production of IP3, which occurs downstream from activation of G-protein coupled, metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) located at the edges of the PSD (26). IP3 is critical for LTD generation because it triggers, via the IP3 receptor, the release of calcium from tubules of smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that are present in all of the Purkinje neuron’s spines. The resulting rise in spine calcium concentration activates PKC, which phosphorylates AMPA receptors, thereby promoting their endocytosis. Importantly, the extension of the ER into this neuron’s spines does not occur in d Purkinje neurons (27). Without this intraspinal calcium store, the local signaling pathway that drives LTD within the spine, and LTD itself, are abolished (28).

The absence of ER in the spines of d Purkinje neurons indicates a requirement for myosin Va in ER translocation into the spine. Indeed, a recent study provided clear evidence that myosin Va acts as a point-to-point organelle transporter to pull ER tubules into Purkinje neuron spines at a maximum velocity of 0.45 um/sec (29). Myosin Va was shown to concentrate at the tip of the ER tubule as it moves into the spine, and the rescue of d Purkinje neurons with slow walking versions of myosin Va results in corresponding decreases in the velocity of ER movement into spines. This later experiment has been argued to represent the acid test for proving that a particular motor moves a particular membrane organelle in vivo (2). In keeping with the paradigm mentioned above, the short-range, myosin Va-dependent transport of ER into spines occurs downstream of long-range, microtubule-dependent transport of ER within neuronal dendrites. Finally, this study showed that the myosin-Va-mediated transport of ER into spines is indeed required for the local rise in intraspinal calcium levels that is downstream of mGluR activation and required for LTD (29).

Interestingly, the neurologic phenotype of the d mouse (ataxia, opisthotonus, seizures, juvenile lethality) is essentially identical to that of mice lacking the IP3 receptor (30). In contrast, mutant mice that only lack LTD at parallel fiber-Purkinje neuron synapses do not display such severe symptoms, and deficits in cerebellum-dependent motor learning could not be detected (31). These observations, together with the widespread expression of myosin Va in the brain, suggests that the myosin Va-dependent translocation and positioning of IP3 receptor-laden ER might be of general importance for IP3...
receptor-mediated calcium signaling throughout the CNS. Obtaining anatomical evidence for this may be difficult given that CNS neurons other than Purkinje neurons employ the spine apparatus to anchor ER within spines (32). However, very short range, myosin Va-dependent movements of the ER from the spine apparatus to the spine plasma membrane may occur. Such transport events could be important for gating TRPC and/or Orai channels in the spine plasma membrane by STIM in the ER membrane, analogous to the situation in non-neuronal cells (33,34). The gating of these ion channels by spine ER would likely impact synaptic plasticity throughout much of the CNS. Future studies should address these exciting possibilities and could be facilitated by further analyses of dilute neurological (d\(^l\)) mice, which harbor a missense mutation in myosin Va that greatly reduces the amount of the protein in tissues (35). These mice initially exhibit the same phenotype as d\(^l\) mice but survive weaning to become slightly uncoordinated adults. Careful analyses of juvenile and adult d\(^l\) mice have further underscored the direct correlation between myosin Va protein levels, ER localization to Purkinje neuron spines, LTD, and cerebellum-dependent motor coordination (36). Moreover, the d\(^l\) and d\(^n\) mice have shed further light on the pathophysiology of Griscelli syndrome type 1, a rare autosomal recessive disease in humans caused by MYOSA mutations (37).

**Myosin Va and other forms of synaptic plasticity.** Recent work has implicated myosin Va in the homeostatic scaling of synaptic strength by linking the myosin to the transport into spines of GKAP, a scaffold protein that links NMDA-receptor-PSD-95 to Shank-Homer complexes and that controls homeostatic scaling (38). Interestingly, the interaction between myosin Va and GKAP is proposed to be bridged by DLC2 (DYNLL2), a small light chain that associates with the brain-spliced isoform of myosin Va, with GKAP, and with several other proteins, including dynein (38-41). We note, however, that other studies are not consistent with this dimeric light chain serving as a cargo adaptor, instead indicating that it controls the local secondary structure of intrinsically dimeric proteins like myosin Va and the dynein intermediate chain (39-41).

Interestingly, myosin Va is also important at the postsynaptic side of neuromuscular junctions, where it functions in the recycling of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors required for plasticity (42), and in the targeting of PKA to the postsynaptic microdomain of these junctions (43). Finally, recent work obtained using the natural dominant negative myosin Va mouse flailer reveals roles for myosin Va in the delivery of PSD components to the synapse and the regulation of LTD in cortical neurons (44).

**Myosin Va facilitates the targeting of transmembrane proteins to neuronal dendrites.** The establishment of the distinct structural and functional properties of axons and dendrites is driven by the specific transport of vesicles into these two compartments (1). With regard to how such specific transport is established, Arnold and colleagues (45) have shown that interfering with the function of myosin Va in cultured cortical neurons blocks dendritic targeting of several resident dendritic transmembrane proteins, such as the AMPAR subunit GluR1. This effect was specific to myosin Va, as interfering with the function of myosin Vb did not disrupt the dendritic targeting of GluR1.

A working model for how myosin Va steers transport vesicles into the dendrite was proposed based on two assumptions (45): (i) vesicles destined for the axon possess only kinesin, while those destined for the dendrite possess both kinesin and myosin Va, and (ii) the organization of actin filaments in the axon initial segment is unusual in that most barbed ends (the direction in which myosin Va moves) are pointing towards the cell body/soma. Given these assumptions, vesicles harboring axonal cargo that venture into the axon along microtubule tracks would be expected to proceed without interruption to the distal axon, while those harboring dendritic cargo would become disengaged from the microtubule track within the axon initial segment by the action of vesicle-bound myosin Va and then transported back to the soma along the uniquely-oriented actin filaments for another try at the dendrite. The net effect of this mechanism would be to prevent vesicles destined for the dendrite from proceeding beyond the axon initial segment, thereby biasing their movement towards the dendrite. Moreover, the absence within the dendrite of an actin organization-dependent “vesicle filter” like the one hypothesized to exist in the axon initial segment would allow vesicles carrying dendritic cargo to proceed into the dendrite. Consistent with this model, dendritic cargo is present in the axon initial
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segment but not the distal axon. Nevertheless, the strength of this model clearly rests on future efforts directed at testing its two main assumptions (46).

Arnold and colleagues also showed that fusing Channelrhodopsin-2 to the myosin Va-binding domain of melanophilin, a Rab27a effector that links myosin Va to Rab27a on the surface of melanosomes, causes this hybrid protein to target to the dendrites of pyramidal neurons in living mice (45). This interesting result is somewhat surprising, however, given that the tight interaction of melanophilin with myosin Va requires alternatively-spliced exon F, a 27-residue exon that is absent from the myosin Va splice-isoform expressed in brain (47). Finally, it should be noted that the gross organization of the brain is normal in myosin Va null mice. This observation argues either that another dendritic targeting mechanism compensates for loss of myosin Va, or that defects in dendritic targeting caused by the loss of myosin Va are somehow not reflected in gross brain organization. Future studies should address these and other aspects of this model.

Interestingly, a recent study has revealed a role for myosin Vb in axon development (48). Knockdown/replacement experiments showed that the myosin Vb isoform containing alternatively-spliced exon D is important for axon development in cultured hippocampal neurons and in neocortical neurons in vivo. Exon D promotes the binding of myosin Vb to Rab10, a small GTPase present on post-Golgi vesicles destined for the plasma membrane. Importantly, evidence suggests that the myosin Vb isoform containing exon D supports polarized axon development by promoting the fission of Rab10-positive carriers from the trans-Golgi network (48).

**Myosin V controls neuronal cell size by translocating PTEN to the plasma membrane.**

PTEN is a lipid phosphatase that antagonizes the myriad growth promoting activities of PI3K by catalyzing the conversion of PIP3 in the plasma membrane, which is generated from PIP2 by PI3K, back to PIP2 (49). Previous studies have established that the loss of PTEN function in many cell types, including neurons, causes an increase in cell size. Using immunoprecipitations and FRET, Eickholt and colleagues (50) showed that PTEN interacts directly with myosin Va’s C-terminal cargo binding domain. Dominant negative inhibition of myosin Va function in wild type hippocampal neurons by over-expression of the myosin’s cargo binding domain resulted in an increase in soma size, i.e. it phenocopies the loss of PTEN function. Importantly, control experiments demonstrated that this effect was mediated by increased PI3K signaling. An increase in soma size was also observed in hippocampal neurons from d mice, but only after myosin Vb was knocked down, arguing that these two myosin V isoforms act redundantly to support PTEN function (note that myosin Va’s tail domain would be expected to inhibit in dominant negative fashion the function of both myosin Va and Vb in wild type neurons). Finally, introduction of the myosin Va tail domain into the brains of living mice by in utero electroporation resulted in neurons with larger somas. These core results suggest that class V myosins play a major role in supporting PTEN function in neurons, and Eickholt and colleagues suggest that this role is the transport of PTEN by myosin V to its site of action at the plasma membrane (50). In the absence of myosin V, PTEN’s localization at the plasma membrane would be reduced, leading to unchecked PI3K signaling and cell hypertrophy.

Another interesting aspect of this study involved the specifics of myosin V’s interaction with PTEN (50). PTEN undergoes regulatory intramolecular folding, with the folded conformation exhibiting decreased membrane association, lower activity and higher protein stability compared to the extended conformation. Phosphorylation of several residues within PTEN’s C-terminal tail enhances folding. Intriguingly, myosin V interacts preferentially with the phosphorylated form of PTEN, and so can compete effectively with the intramolecular folding reaction. One potential consequence of this is that myosin V could simultaneously translocate and unfold/activate PTEN, although evidence that the myosin Va-PTEN complex has increased lipid phosphatase activity was not presented. Interestingly, two kinases that phosphorylate PTEN (CK2, GSK3β) were shown to act upstream of myosin V-mediated PTEN function and cell size regulation (50). Finally, residues in the myosin Va globular tail domain that are required for its interaction with the phosphorylated tail of PTEN were identified. Importantly, mutagenesis of these residues blocks the dominant-negative effect on soma size of the myosin’s cargo binding domain (50).
Several aspects of this story merit further investigation. One question is why a myosin V-dependent mechanism for getting PTEN to the plasma membrane would be necessary since simple diffusion, coupled with PTEN’s known membrane interaction domains, should be sufficient to achieve this. Considering that myosin Va-dependent movements in typical cortical regions of cells exhibit little directional persistence owing to the isotropic organization of the cortical actin cytoskeleton (51), one interesting possibility is that myosin V may serve primarily to tether PTEN at the membrane, and possibly to regulate its conformation and hence activity there. This idea is consistent with the FRET results presented by Eickholt and colleagues, who notably did not provide direct evidence of myosin Va-driven PTEN transport (50). Alternately (or in addition), myosin V-dependent PTEN transport might take place in dendritic spines where actin appears to be organized for efficient transport by this myosin. Since PTEN loss has also been shown to alter the migration of neurons and to increase dendritic arborization and spine density (49), it would be interesting to see if the loss of myosin Va alters these neuronal properties as well. Relevant to this, however, the dendritic arborization of cultured Purkinje neurons (29) and the density of spine-like protrusions of cultured hippocampal neurons (52) are decreased rather than increased upon interfering with myosin Va function. The latter study further showed that myosin Va binds the Rac1-activator RILPL2, which exhibits in a myosin Va-dependent manner a positive effect on the formation of spine-like protrusions in hippocampal neurons (52).

Myosin Va and neuronal mRNA transport. Studies have shown unequivocally that the yeast class V myosin Myo4p transports mRNAs (in a complex with mRNA binding proteins) from the mother cell to the bud tip (reviewed in 2,53). Evidence is growing that myosin Va may serve a similar function in vertebrate cells (54), including in neurons, where mRNA transport and localization could be especially important for establishing polarity and creating functionally distinct internal compartments. The best indication of this to date is the work of Yoshimura et al. (55), who presented evidence that myosin Va facilitates in a calcium-regulated manner the transport of the mRNA binding protein TLS, and its associated mRNA NdI-1 (which encodes an actin stabilizing protein required for dendritic spine development), into the spines of cultured hippocampal neurons. Tagged versions of myosin Va and TLS colocalized significantly within spines, and TLS accumulation in spines was suppressed by RNAi-mediated knockdown of endogenous myosin Va, as well as by over-expression of a dominant-negative myosin Va tail construct. Moreover, TLS translocation into spines was impeded in dneurons, albeit to a lesser extent than in knockdown cells. Interestingly, TLS and its bound mRNA are translocated into spines in response to mGluR activation, and this response is impaired in neurons with compromised myosin Va function (55). These observations suggest that the myosin Va-dependent transport of TLS and its bound mRNA may play a significant role upstream of localized protein synthesis in regulating synaptic function, including plasticity. Finally, as with several other myosin V cargos (reviewed in 2), the long range transport of mRNA/protein complexes within dendrites that precedes the local, myosin Va-dependent transport within actin-rich spines is driven by microtubule-dependent motors.

Interestingly, myosin Va may also regulate in negative fashion the microtubule-dependent transport of the mRNA binding protein zipcode binding protein 1 (ZBP1) within axons (56). Specifically, myosin Va was shown to interact with and co-localize with ZBP1 in hippocampal neurons, and the abrogation of myosin Va function by RNAi-mediated knockdown or the over-expression of a dominant negative myosin Va tail construct resulted in an enhancement in anterograde transport and accumulation of ZBP1 within axons. One interpretation of this result is that the myosin controls a pool of ZBP1 within the actin-rich cortex that supplies the protein for its kinesin-dependent axonal transport (56).

Other functions attributed to myosin V in the nervous system. Myosin V has also been implicated in a range of other cellular functions in neurons. For example, myosin Va modulates the axonal transport of neurofilaments (57), tetanus-containing endosomes (58), large dense core vesicles (LDCVs; 59), and synaptic vesicles (60). In Drosophila axons, myosin V acts to decrease microtubule-based transport of mitochondria (61). Moreover, myosin Va is involved in oligodendrocyte morphogenesis and myelination (62). Finally, myosin Va has been linked to the
maturation and exocytosis of neuropeptide-containing LDCVs (63, 64). Importantly, Varadi and colleagues recently presented evidence that the brain-spliced isoform of myosin Va is recruited to LDCVs via receptor complexes containing Rab27a and either granuphilin-a/b or rabphilin-3A (65). This further underscores the widespread role played by Rab GTPases in the recruitment of class V myosins to organelles (2).

Taken together, a picture emerges where myosin Va and Vb each support multiple, distinct functions within neurons that together encompass a diverse array of fundamental processes, including neuronal development, axonal transport, dendritic spine structure, and synaptic plasticity (Figure 2). Notably, these two class V myosins are capable of multitasking because they are able to interact with multiple cargos. Importantly, patients with Griscelli syndrome type I suffer from severe neurological impairment due to MYO5A mutation (37), and the MYO5B gene might be associated with an increased susceptibility to bipolar disorder (66) and schizophrenia (67). Given this, it is very important to continue efforts to dissect the neuronal functions of these two myosins, as such efforts should help explain how these motors contribute to brain function during both health and neurological/psychiatric disease.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. The structure of myosin Va and its regulation by cargo binding. (A) Cartoon depicting myosin Va, which consists of a pair of heavy chains (blue; N- and C-termini indicated) and six calmodulin light chains (yellow) per heavy chain. Each heavy chain harbors a head/motor domain for ATP hydrolysis and actin binding, as well as a neck domain/lever arm with associated calmodulins. The C-terminal tail domain comprises a coiled coil region for heavy chain dimerization (alternatively-spliced exons B, D and F lead to insertions within this region), and a globular tail domain. Both the globular tail domain and exons D and F have been implicated in cargo binding. The extended, mechanochemically active conformation of cargo-bound myosin V is shown (cargo indicated in grey). (B) Cartoon of cargo-free myosin Va in its folded conformation. Black arrows indicate that myosin Va is in equilibrium between the folded and extended conformations, and that cargo binding leads to myosin Va unfolding and activation. (C) Myosin Va attached to an actin filament, as visualized via electron microscopy followed by image averaging. Image adapted from Oke et al., 2010, PNAS USA 107, 2509-2514 (Ref. 68) with permission. The head and neck regions are visible. (D) Myosin Va in its folded conformation, as visualized via electron microscopy followed by image averaging. The myosin is shown in the same orientation as the cartoon in (B). Adapted from Thirumurugan et al., 2006, Nature 442, 212-215 (Ref. 69) by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature, © 2006.

FIGURE 2. Myosin V functions in neurons. In (A), sites of myosin V function are indicated by boxes overlaid on the schematic view of a neuron. The box color indicates the myosin V isoform (red, myosin Va; green, myosin Vb; blue, both isoforms). The letters next to the boxes refer to the physiologic roles attributed to myosin V, which are listed in (B). The specific isoform involved is indicated in brackets in (B). AIS, axon initial segment. Please refer to the text for references.
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- a - recycling endosome delivery into hippocampal neuron spines (Va, Vb)
- b - endoplasmic reticulum transport into Purkinje neuron spines (Va)
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- f - PTEN targeting and activation to regulate soma size (Va, Vb)
- g - promotion of axonal development via Rab10 (Vb)
- h - regulation of axonal transport of mRNA-binding protein ZBP1 (Va)
- i - facilitation of somato-dendritic targeting of transmembrane proteins (Va)
- j - role in oligodendrocytes important for myelination (Va)
- k - modulation of axonal transport of vesicles, neurofilaments and mitochondria (Va)
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