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SUMMARY

Pyoverdines are high-affinity siderophores produced by a broad range of pseudomonads to enhance growth under iron-deficiency. They are especially relevant for pathogenic and mutualistic strains that inhabit iron-limited environments. Pyoverdines are generated from non-ribosomally synthesized highly modified peptides. They all contain an aromatic chromophore that is formed in the periplasm by intramolecular cyclization steps. While the cytoplasmic peptide synthesis and side-chain modifications are well-characterized, the periplasmic maturation steps are far from understood. Out of five periplasmic enzymes, PvdM, N, O, P, and Q, functions have only been attributed to PvdP and PvdQ. The other three enzymes are also regarded as essential for siderophore biosynthesis. The structure of PvdN has been solved recently but no function could be assigned. Here we present the first in-frame deletion of the PvdN-encoding gene. Unexpectedly, PvdN turned out to be required for a specific modification of pyoverdine, while the overall amount of fluorescent pyoverdines was not altered by the mutation. The mutant strain grew normally under iron-limiting conditions. Mass spectrometry identified the PvdN-dependent modification as a transformation of the N-terminal glutamic acid to a succinamide. We postulate a pathway for this transformation catalyzed by the enzyme PvdN, which is most likely functional in case of all pyoverdines.

Under aerobic conditions in the neutral pH range, iron can form insoluble FeIII oxide hydrates, limiting the amount of readily available iron. Therefore, many organisms produce siderophores that bind and thereby solubilize iron in their surroundings. A special group of these siderophores are the pyoverdines, yellow-green pigments that have first been described in 1892 by Gessard (1). Turfitt used the production of pyoverdines for taxonomic classification of “fluorescent pseudomonads” (2, 3), which include many important pathogenic as well as beneficial pseudomonads. Today it is known, that pyoverdines are non-ribosomally synthesized highly modified peptides, whose biosynthesis and regulation involves more than 20 proteins (4). The cytoplasmic biosynthesis reactions are well established, but recently the periplasmic maturation has gained interest. Of the five periplasmic enzymes PvdM, PvdN, PvdO, PvdP, and PvdQ that are found in all known pyoverdine-producing species, functions have been assigned so far only to PvdP and PvdQ, which are involved in a precursor deacylation step and the chromophore cyclization, respectively (5–8). PvdQ has been identified as a potential novel drug target (5). Based on interposon mutagenesis studies, PvdM, PvdN, and PvdO are all considered to be essential for the formation of functional pyoverdines (9, 10, 6, 11).

PvdN is translocated via the Tat system (11). As heterologously produced PvdN contains as prosthetic group a pyridoxal phosphate cofactor (PLP; (12)), the Tat system may transport PvdN together with a bound PLP or a derivative thereof. However, no specific function in pyoverdine biogenesis could be attributed to PvdN.
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Here we demonstrate that the enzyme PvdN specifically introduces a side-chain modification into the produced pyoverdine. The side-chain modification did not influence growth under iron limiting conditions at different pH settings. Fluorescent pseudomonads usually produce more than one isoform of pyoverdine, which is exploited by a method known as siderotyping (13). This method utilizes the different pI values of siderophores, which include modified pyoverdine isoforms. Most of the modifications influencing the pI can be attributed to variations of a side chain at the 3-amino group of the chromophore (14, 4, 15). PvdN is responsible for such a modification. In contrast to results from earlier interposon mutagenesis studies that could not exclude polar effects (10, 9), a scar-less in frame deletion of pvdN selectively abolished this modification without affecting the formation of functional pyoverdine. A mechanism for the catalyzed reaction of PvdN is proposed that attributes a key function to the bound cofactor. K261 in the cofactor-binding pocket was essential for activity and translocation into the periplasm, suggesting that the Tat system has to translocate PvdN in an active, cofactor-containing conformation.

RESULTS

P. fluorescens A506 produces a typical pyoverdine—Based on genetic analyses, it was recently proposed that P. fluorescens strain A506 produces a typical pyoverdine, which is expected to bind Fe\textsuperscript{III} via six ligands provided by two hydroxamates of the peptide moiety and two oxo groups of the catechol fluorophore (Figure 1A; (4)). While the cytoplasmically generated peptide moiety varies in sequence, length and cyclic/linear structure among the many known pyoverdines, the enzymes involved in the periplasmic maturation of this siderophore are highly conserved in all pyoverdine-producing species and strains, indicating that their activities are required for all known pyoverdines (Figure 1B). We chose to study these aspects in the fully genome sequenced P. fluorescens strain A506 (S1), for which we have established versatile genetic tools such as genomic in-frame knock outs and complementation vectors, as well as phenotypic assays such as pyoverdine formation analyses. For an initial chemical characterization of the pyoverdine produced by P. fluorescens A506 (=PVD\textsubscript{A506}), we carried out the Csáky assay for the detection of hydroxamates, (16), the Arnow-reaction for the identification of the catechol functionality (17), and a ferric perchlorate assay to detect binding of iron at low pH values (18). Furthermore, we evaluated the expected influence of iron chelation by PVD\textsubscript{A506} on spectral properties (19).

The fluorescence emission spectrum changed upon chelation of iron by PVD\textsubscript{A506} (Figure 2AB). Specifically, the maximum at ~500 nm was quenched and the intensity of the major emission peak at ~440 nm was reduced. The electronic absorption spectrum (Figure 2C) showed a shift of a 405 nm maximum to 400 nm and the formation of a shoulder at 460 nm. PVD\textsubscript{A506} reacted positive in the Csáky assay that hydrolyzes the δ-N-formyl-δ-N-hydroxyornithines and thereby forms hydroxylamine that is detectable by a sensitive colorimetric assay (Figure 2D), indicative for the presence of hydroxamates. This was expected for the δ-N-formyl-δ-N-hydroxyornithines of the siderophore. The Arnow assay is based on the formation of a colored compound with a nitrite-molybdate reagent. As characteristic for pyoverdines (20), PVD\textsubscript{A506} did not react positively in this assay despite the presence of a catechol group. As expected, PVD\textsubscript{A506} did not show binding of iron in the ferric perchlorate assay, as the protonatable catechol is required for efficient binding (PVD\textsubscript{A506} is not a Tris(hydroxamate) type siderophore that would react positive).

The gene encoding PvdN is not essential for pyoverdine formation—In previous mutagenesis studies, it was already noted that the observed essential phenotype of a pvdN interposon mutation could have been caused by polar effects within the pvdMNO operon (10). To examine the role of PvdN in PVD\textsubscript{A506} biosynthesis, we therefore first needed to establish a scar- and marker-less in-frame deletion method for P. fluorescens A506. This was achieved by combining two methods (Figure 3A; 21, 22): First, a deletion plasmid derived from the suicide plasmid pK18mobsacB (23) was constructed, containing ~1 kbp of the left and right flanking regions of the target gene. Then, instead of conjugal transfer of the plasmid, we employed electroporation (22), which worked efficiently for P. fluorescens A506. Single crossover integrands were selected on the appropriate antibiotic. Double cross-over mutants were selected by successive
counterselection on sucrose-containing media and scar- and marker-less deletions were identified and confirmed by PCR and sequencing. We included a deletion of monocistronic pvdP as control, as PvdP has been biochemically confirmed to be essential for the fluorophore formation (8).

The resulting ΔpvdN and ΔpvdP deletion strains were tested for growth and pyoverdine production on casamino acid medium (CAA-plates) with or without the iron-depleting chelator ethylenediamine di(o-hydroxy)phenylacetic acid (EDDHA) (Figure 3B-E). As expected, the ΔpvdP control strain could not produce fluorescent pyoverdine and did not grow on EDDHA-containing medium. However, unlike expected from the earlier interposon mutagenesis studies that could not exclude polar effects in the operon (10, 11, 6), the scar-less ΔpvdN mutant strain was neither impaired in pyoverdine formation nor did the deletion affect growth on iron-depleted medium. In fact, the ΔpvdN strain showed the same phenotype as the WT strain on both media, producing approx. equivalent amounts of pyoverdine. Complementation vectors did not further increase the amount of pyoverdine released into the medium. We therefore further investigated the role of PvdN in pyoverdine formation.

PvdN is responsible for a specific modification of pyoverdine—To initially assess the impact of the ΔpvdN mutation on pyoverdine formation, we utilized isoelectric focusing (IEF) gels in combination with a chrome azurol S (CAS) overlay assay (Figure 4A; (13)), which detects iron chelators that liberate iron from chrome azurol S, resulting in color reduction. The results revealed, that PvdN is involved in the formation of a pyoverdine derivative that can be separated based on its specific pI. As it is known that multiple pyoverdine isofoms with varied side chains attached to the 3-amino group of the chromophore are generally produced in parallel (15), we could clearly attribute such a variation to the enzyme activity of PvdN.

It was now important to exactly determine the nature of the chemical modification introduced by PvdN. We approached this aspect by UPLC mass spectrometry. Two components were identified in the wild type strain (Figure 4B). Confirming the postulated structures (24), high resolution mass spectrometry revealed that one component had the mass of 1160.53 Da, which is the exact mass of the succinamide form of the pyoverdine, and the other had the mass of 1189.51 Da, which corresponds to the α-ketoglutarate. The glutamic acid that is initially formed in the periplasm is therefore virtually completely transformed into the two mentioned variants. In the ΔpvdN mutant, only the α-ketoglutarate form of pyoverdine was formed, indicating that the transformation to the succinamide was completely abolished.

Having demonstrated the nature of the chemical modification that depends on PvdN, we carried out complementation analyses to exclude that the missing modification in the ΔpvdN mutant was caused by additional unknown genetic changes. When expressed constitutively from a stable low copy vector, the recombinant pvdN gene resulted in full complementation of the phenotype, demonstrating that the phenotype was indeed exclusively caused by the lack of PvdN and no other genomic mutation (Figure 4A). There were no new intermediates accumulating in the ΔpvdN mutant, indicating that PvdN most likely transforms the unmodified original glutamic acid residue directly to succinamide at the 3-amino position of the chromophore.

The modified pyoverdine is not required for iron-limited growth under specific pH conditions—PvdN is conserved in all pyoverdine-producing bacteria and the catalyzed modification must therefore somehow contribute to pyoverdine function. As the major function of pyoverdines is the acquisition of iron under iron-limitation, and as we had already observed that the ΔpvdN mutant was able to grow under such conditions at neutral to slightly basic pH (Figure 3B-E), we thought about a potential function of the modification at distinct environmental pH. The modification clearly increases the pI of the siderophore (Figure 4A), and therefore could contribute to iron uptake under pH conditions near the pI of the non-modified pyoverdine and thereby help to ensure the iron supply in a larger pH range. However, this hypothesis turned out to be wrong: The PvdN-dependent modification was not required for growth under iron-limiting conditions under any pH condition tested, ranging from pH 6 to 9 (Figure 5). Below pH 6, P. fluorescens A506 did not grow at all, irrespective of the iron content.
**PvdN requires cytoplasmic cofactor-assembly for folding and Tat transport**—As Tat dependently translocated proteins can be translocated together with bound cofactors, we addressed this question with PvdN, which is believed to contain a PLP cofactor. In related PLP-containing enzymes, a lysine residue is conserved that plays a crucial role in cofactor-binding (25). The corresponding residue in PvdN from *P. aeruginosa* A506 is K261. In the published *P. aeruginosa* PvdN crystal structure, PLP had already formed an external aldimine with some substrate and therefore was non-covalently positioned next to the corresponding lysine residue (12). When we produced *P. fluorescens* A506 PvdN heterologously without its signal peptide in *E. coli*, wild type PvdN could be obtained with a bound PLP cofactor whereas a PvdN-K261A variant was highly unstable and could not be analyzed, indicating that cofactor binding is likely to be highly important for folding (Figure 6A). Transport was analyzed with the full-length proteins in *P. fluorescens* A506. The enzyme was only detectable in membrane and periplasmic fractions (Figure 6B). While the wild type PvdN was clearly translocated into the periplasm, the PvdN-K261A variant was not translocated anymore and unprocessed full-length protein was detected exclusively in the membrane fraction instead, indicating that the absence of PLP causes structural characteristics that are not anymore compatible with functional Tat transport.

**DISCUSSION**

The periplasmic maturation of pyoverdines has been a mystery for many years. While significant progress has been made with PvdQ and PvdP (26, 5, 8), the function of any of the proteins encoded by the *pvdMNO* operon has remained unclear. As interposon mutageneses suggested essential roles of these proteins for pyoverdine production, it was seemingly not possible to address the individual functions of these enzymes (10, 6, 11). Interestingly, we realized that an in-frame-deletion of the *pvdN* gene caused a much milder phenotype than the interposon mutation, suggesting that polar effects can be disturbing the interpretation of the former approaches, which was already considered by the authors of the original deletion study (10). We were surprised to see that the scar-less Δ*pvdN* mutation did not reduce the formation and release of fluorescent pyoverdine (Figure 3B-E). The only phenotype of the *pvdN* deletion was the absence of one specifically modified pyoverdine, and this phenotype could be complemented by the single *pvdN* gene, proving that PvdN is indeed responsible for the modification (Figure 4). In a more recent study on PvdP it was already suggested that PvdN – besides PvdM and PvdO – can only be involved in side chain modifications, as PvdP promotes all steps of chromophore formation (8). Our study now shows that this suggestion was indeed correct. Moreover, the modification that we now can attribute to PvdN is well-known and has been observed in numerous structural studies on pyoverdines (reviewed in (4)). Albeit α-ketoglutarate, succinamide, and succinate chains have been described as side chains in pyoverdines, the enzymes that catalyze their biogenesis starting from glutamic acid have never been identified, and this study unravels the first of these conversions.

An open question remains the physiological purpose of this highly conserved modification of pyoverdines. Our experiments indicate that it is not the iron acquisition at any specific pH that requires the PvdN-dependent modification (Figure 5). The amount of released pyoverdine is unaltered and the affinity to iron seems to be unaffected as well, since the mutant grows equally well on EDDHA-containing iron-limited minimal media as the wild type strain. The function of the modification might relate to specific environmental conditions or niches that cannot be mimicked in pure cultures and therefore escape our analyses. One could – for example – imagine that certain interactions with abiotic or biotic surfaces are mediated or enhanced by the modification that somehow contribute to iron supply. Another potential function may relate to signaling pathways involved in quorum sensing or host interactions. Whatever the reason for the modification is, its strong conservation among all pyoverdine-producing pseudomonads implies that it cannot be something dispensable under natural conditions.

A highly interesting side aspect of this study was the analysis of the transport of PvdN to the periplasmic space, as the data for the first time suggest a Tat-mediated co-transport of a PLP cofactor with a periplasmic enzyme. PvdN is known to be a Tat dependently translocated protein (11), and the crystal structure of *P. aeruginosa* PvdN demonstrated an incorporated PLP cofactor.

---

*Note: The text above is a continuation of the discussion from a previous page, focusing on the functional role of PvdN in the context of pyoverdine production, modification, and transport mechanisms.*
(12). In *Pseudomonas taetrolens*, it has been demonstrated that PLP could be incorporated into a Sec-dependently translocated periplasmic amino acid racemase after translocation (27), and no PLP insertion into Tat-dependently translocated enzymes has been described so far. Why is PvdN transported by the Tat system if the cofactor could in principle be also incorporated after transport? PvdN requires the PLP-binding lysine residue for functional translocation into the periplasm (Figure 6B), and our data indicate that PvdN is trapped in the membrane if this cofactor-binding is affected in PvdN-K261A. The speculation may be allowed that the membrane if this cofactor-binding is affected in PvdN-K261A. The speculation may be allowed that this cofactor-binding is required for correct folding. This is indeed strongly supported by the published crystal structure (12). PvdN forms a dimer and the deeply buried cofactor that is located close to the subunit interface likely contributes to folding and stable interaction of the to-be-transported protein (12). The observation that the unprocessed form of PvdN-K261A is detected in the membrane fraction may relate to the exposure of hydrophobic core regions, which are known to abolish Tat substrate translocation (28). If PvdN is translocated as a folded dimer, it contains two signal peptides that can mediate its translocation. Such homooligomeric Tat substrates are well-known and it is not yet understood how all signal peptides are removed after transport (29, 30).

Mechanistically, it is highly interesting to recognize that PvdN is able to catalyze the production of a succinamide chain at the N-terminus of pyoverdines. The substrate of PvdN is likely to be the glutamic acid acid form of pyoverdine, which is produced by PvdQ after decylation of the acylated ferriractin (5, 26) immediately after its translocation into the periplasm by PvdE (6). The ΔpvdN mutant still produces α-ketoglutaric acid, which therefore cannot be the product of a PvdN-catalyzed transamination, and since α-ketoglutaric acid cannot be transformed to succinamide by a PLP cofactor, it neither can be the substrate for PvdN (Figure 7A). PvdN thus apparently catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of the glutamic acid to succinamide, a reaction for which the following mechanism can be proposed: As PvdN solely contains a PLP cofactor and no redox active cofactors that could be involved (see (12)), the situation is analogous to the CcbF-catalyzed PLP-dependent decarboxylation-coupled oxidative deamination (31). Unlike CcbF, an oxo-group of the peroxo-intermediate at the αC-atom is retained, which can be readily explained by standard PLP chemistry, including proton abstraction at the αC-atom and electron-shuffling (Figure 7B, (25)). This would permit the direct formation of the amide of the decarboxylated amino acid, which would be to our knowledge the first description of such a reaction. The known crystal structure of PvdN strongly supports this hypothetic mechanism, as the deeply buried PLP-containing active site is connected to the surface via two channels. One is large enough to allow entering of the side chain and the other, more narrow tunnel could permit the passage of CO₂ and O₂ (Figure 7C). Future analyses will hopefully clarify the validity of the proposed mechanism that might also be used in other biosynthetic pathways.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Strains and growth conditions—* *P. fluorescens* A506 was used for physiological studies, *Escherichia coli* DH5α λ harboring plasmid pUC19 was used for cloning and *E. coli* ER2566 for expressions. *P. fluorescens* A506 was grown aerobically at 30 °C and *E. coli* at 37 °C in LB medium (1 % (w/v) tryptone, 1 % (w/v) NaCl, 0.5 % (w/v) yeast extract) in the presence of the appropriate antibiotics (100 µg/ml Ampicillin, 50 µg/ml kanamycin, 20 µg/ml tetracycline). For subcellular fractionation, the strain was grown in King’s B medium (2 % (w/v) proteose peptone No. 3, 1 % (w/v) glycerol, 0.15 % (w/v) K₂HPO₄, 0.15 % (w/v) MgSO₄).

For the production of pyoverdine, *P. fluorescens* A506 was grown aerobically in Erlenmeyer-flasks with baffles in CAA-medium (32) at 30 °C (5 g/l casamino acids, 5 mM K₂HPO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄ and optionally 1.5 % (w/v) agar noble for plates). For assessment of the pyoverdine phenotype, 0.5 g/l ethylenediamine di(α-hydroxy)phenylacetic acid (EDDHA) were added to the medium. Growth was assessed after approx. 36 h at 30 °C. Images of the plates were acquired with the Epson Perfection V850 Pro or the Epson Perfection V700 Photo scanner (Epson, Meerbusch, Germany).

To assess the impact of pH on iron-acquisition, we used CAA and CAA EDDHA agar supplemented with 100 mM of either of the following buffers: Bicine pH 9.0, HEPES pH 8.0, HEPES pH 7.0, MES pH 6.0, sodium acetate pH 5.0 or pH 4.0. All buffers were prepared as 1 M...
stock solutions from the free acid/base and the pH was adjusted as needed with HCl or NaOH. The growth was assessed after incubation for ~36 h at 30 °C and images were acquired as described before. In both previously mentioned droplet-plate assays, we used LB overnight cultures, which were washed twice with liquid CAA-medium and then adjusted to an OD600 of 1.0, from which 10 µl were spotted on a plate.

Genetic methods and plasmids—For construction of the scar- and marker-less deletions in P. fluorescens A506, the plasmid pK18mobsacB (23) was utilized, according to a published protocol (21) with slight modifications. In particular, we used 3' and 5' flanking regions of approx. 1 kbp length for plasmid integration and we employed overlap-extension PCR (oePCR, (33)) to connect both fragments. Furthermore, we avoided the use of conjugation and instead applied a published quick-transformation procedure for pseudomonads (22). Additionally, we included an overnight culture step in 5 ml LB medium after transformant selection on kanamycin plates, which were then diluted 1:1000 before plating 50 µl on 10 % (w/v) sucrose counter-selection plates. All incubation steps were carried out at 30 °C. The deletions were confirmed by colony PCR and sequencing of the PCR product.

For complementation of the deletion strain, pvdN was pre-cloned via PCR into the vector pEXH5 (34), adding a Strep-tag with the reverse primer. From there, the gene was cloned into the plasmid pME6010 (35) including its ribosomal binding site from pEXH5 and adding an artificial terminator (iGEM part: BBa_B1006).

For the construction of a K261A single-point mutation in pvdN we employed oePCR (33) using the pEXH5-pvdN-strep plasmid as template, subsequently cloning the resulting pvdN,K261A-strep fragment into the plasmid pEXH5 and from there into pME6010.

The sequence encoding the mature part of PvdN (starting at position 31, as predicted with SignalP 4.1 Server; 36) was cloned into the plasmid pEXH5, resulting in pEX-mat-pvdN-strep for overproduction in E. coli ER2566. All primers are listed in Table 1. All plasmids were confirmed by restriction analyses and sequencing.

Biochemical methods— SDS-PAGE and subsequent Western blotting or Colloidal Coomassie staining were carried out by standard procedures (37–40). The Western blots were developed employing Strep-Tactin-HRP conjugate according to the manufacturer’s instructions (IBA, Göttingen, Germany). Images of the Western Blots were acquired utilizing the MF-ChemiBIS 4.2 imaging system (DNR Bio-Imaging Systems, Jerusalem, Israel).

For the overproduction of mature PvdN, the main culture was inoculated with 1 % of its volume of an over-night pre-culture. The main culture was grown at 37 °C until it reached an optical density at 600 nm of approx. 0.6 and was then induced with 1 mM IPTG (from a 1 M stock solution) for three hours. The cells (from 1 l culture) were pelleted at 5,000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C, resuspended in 25 ml lysis buffer (100 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA with freshly added 1 mM PMSF, 25 µg/ml DNase and 1 mM DTT) and lysed with the French® Press (SLM Aminco/ThermoFisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany) at 20,000 psi cell pressure. The protein was then purified over 1.5 ml gravity-flow Strep-Tactin® Sepharose columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions (IBA). Subcellular fractionation was carried out according to established protocols (41) with slight modifications: 100 ml cultures were grown to OD600 of 1 and fractionated with 1 ml fractions.

Binding of PLP to PvdN was examined as previously described (42), with slight modifications. Briefly, the protein was purified as described above but the cells where lysed in a buffer containing 1 mM PLP. After purification, the buffer was exchanged against 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.2 employing 5 ml HiTrap™ desalting columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions (GE Healthcare, Solingen, Germany). The protein concentration was evaluated with Roti®-Nanoquant according to the instruction manual (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) using the SpectraMax M3 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Biberach an der Riss, Germany). 0.5 mg of protein in 1.5 ml were treated with 5 mM hydroxylamine at 4 °C for approx. 72 h, followed by filtration through a Vivaspin® 6 concentrator with a cutoff of 3 kDa (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Subsequently, the fluorescence of the PLP oxim was detected at 446 nm with excitation at 353 nm employing the Jasco FP-6500 spectrofluorometer (Jasco, Gross-Umstadt, Germany).
Extraction of pyoverdine was performed with Amberlite XAD-4 resin as described previously (43) with minor modifications. Briefly, the culture was centrifuged at 20,000 x g at 4 °C for 30 min and subsequently sterile filtered through 0.2 µm filters. The pH was adjusted to pH 6.0 and 20 g/l XAD-4 were added. The mixture was incubated for 3 h at 4 °C under constant stirring. Thereafter, the XAD-4 resin was filtered and resuspended in half of the original volume of pure (>18 MΩ) water. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 4 °C. Successively, the XAD-4 resin was filtered and resuspended in a fifth of the original volume of 15 % (v/v) methanol and incubated at 4 °C under constant stirring for 15 min. Consequently, the XAD-4 resin was filtered again and resuspended in 15 % of the original volume of 50 % (v/v) methanol and incubated at 4 °C under constant stirring for 1 h. Thereafter, the XAD-4 resin was removed by filtration and the filtrate was reduced to dryness in vacuo, never heating the liquid above 25 °C. The dried extract was stored at 4 °C and was dissolved in pure water for MS or IEF analysis. The dissolved substance was stored at -20 °C.

LC-MS analysis was performed using a Q-Tof Premier mass spectrometer (Waters, Eschborn, Germany) equipped with a LockSpray™ unit, an ESI ion source (3 kV capillary voltage, 30 V sampling cone voltage, 250 °C nitrogen gas at a flow of 650 l/h) and an Acquity UPLC (Waters). Separation was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC HSS T3 column (1.8 µm, 2.1 * 100 mm) using the following linear gradient of solvent A (double distilled water with 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile with 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid) at a flow of 0.4 ml/min: 10 % B (0 min), 90 % B (10 min), 90 % B (13.00 min), 10 % B (13.10 min), 10 % B (15 min).

To characterize the produced pyoverdines, we carried out the Csáky-assay (16), the Arnow-assay (17) and the ferric perchlorate assay as previously described (18). Furthermore, we recorded absorption and emission spectra with the Jasco V-650 spectrophotometer and the Jasco FP-6500 spectrofluorometer (Jasco) respectively, with and without the addition of 1 mM FeCl₃. To qualitatively estimate the produced amount of pyoverdine, 3 ml of the culture were centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 2 min. 2 ml of the supernatant were adjusted to pH 8.0 by adding 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0 from a 1 M stock solution. Thereafter, absorption spectra were recorded with the Jasco V-650 spectrophotometer (Jasco). All previously mentioned assays were performed on culture supernatant without further workup. To further characterize the pyoverdines, the XAD-4 extracted samples were subjected to IEF electrophoresis with subsequent chrome azurol S overlay detection as previously described (13) with minor modifications. Briefly, the sample amount was normalized to an absorption at 400 nm of approx. 0.1, utilizing the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA). For IEF electrophoresis we employed vertical precast SERVAgel™ IEF 3 – 10 gels with 12 wells (SERVA Electrophoresis, Heidelberg, Germany) together with an SE260 Mighty Small II Deluxe Mini vertical electrophoresis unit (Hoefer, Holliston, USA), an EPS 601 electrophoresis power supply (GE Healthcare) and a MultiTemp III cooling system (GE Healthcare). For IEF analysis, we used 35 µl sample premixed with the appropriate loading buffer. The IEF gels were run with the cooling set to 4 °C with voltage settings according to the manufacturer’s instructions, except that the electrophoresis time at 200 V was extended to 3 h. The gels were imaged immediately after running with the MF-ChemiBIS 4.2 imaging system (DNR Bio-Imaging Systems) with top UV-lamps turned on. The CAS overlay solution was always prepared fresh from stock solutions and 30 ml were poured into a 10 x 10 cm petri dish (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). When the CAS overlay solution had solidified, the IEF gels were wetted with pure water and placed on top of the cast gel. The incubation time was adjusted until bands were visible in the CAS overlay solution (approx. 5 min). The CAS assay was imaged with the same lamp-settings as the IEF gels.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers Used</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-F1-MR</td>
<td>CTGTTCGGATCCCGAGCATGA GTGCGCTATAAGATCATCTC</td>
<td>Forward primer for pvdN left flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-F2-MR</td>
<td>CTACACCAACACAGAATAGTC CATGACCAGTTATAGACCCT TTTCCCTTTTGGACGAG</td>
<td>Forward primer for pvdN right flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-R1-MR</td>
<td>GGTCATGACTTCTCCGCTG GGTAGTTAAGTGAACGAG</td>
<td>Reverse primer for pvdN left flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-R2-MR</td>
<td>GCAATCTAAGCTTTGGCCAAAC AAGGCTGACACCTGAGGAG</td>
<td>Reverse primer for pvdN right flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-DF-MR</td>
<td>AACGGCGTAAACCTGGCAAGAAC</td>
<td>pvdN genomic deletion control primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-DR-MR</td>
<td>AGCGGTGCTCTAGACGGAGA TTT</td>
<td>pvdN genomic deletion control primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-F-MR</td>
<td>TTCCGACATAGGACGACGCG CCGAGATCCATCCGACGAG</td>
<td>Ndel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN-strep-R-MR</td>
<td>CGATATGACTTCTCCGCTG ATCTGAGCTGACACCTGAGGAG</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-DF-MR</td>
<td>GGTGCAATTCCTTTGTCGCTGA GGTG</td>
<td>pvdP genomic deletion control primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-DR-MR</td>
<td>CGGCGGACATTCTGCTATGTC TTT</td>
<td>pvdP genomic deletion control primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-F1-MR</td>
<td>TGCTCTAAGCTTTTGGGATCC GTCAGGCTGAGCAGGATGATCG</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-F2-MR</td>
<td>ACCGTTAAGAAGGTCGAGA CGAGCTTAAAC</td>
<td>Forward primer for pvdP right flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-R1-MR</td>
<td>GGCGCCAGCACAGTTTTAAG CCGTGTCACCTGACCTGATC TAAGAAAGGAC</td>
<td>Reverse primer for pvdP right flanking region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdP-R2-MR</td>
<td>TTAAACCCTGGAGGACTCTG GATGGCGCTGGTCAGGCTGAGA</td>
<td>XmaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN_A261-F-MR</td>
<td>CTCTCTTATCGCCTGGCCACC CCGCGGATGATTGCCTGCCC CGGCGGACGCG</td>
<td>primer for K261A exchange by oePCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-PvdN_A261-R-MR</td>
<td>CGGCGGATGATTGCCTGCCC CGGCGGACGCGA</td>
<td>primer for K261A exchange by oePCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfA506-matPvdN-F-MR</td>
<td>ATACGCGCATATGGCCGACG AAGCCACCCATGGACGAGGATTT AAGAACAC</td>
<td>Ndel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEXH5-RBS-F-MR</td>
<td>GCCGCGGATGATGCTTTAATTT TATAAGGAGTGATAC</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEXPT7-strep-term-R-MR</td>
<td>GCCCTTGGATCCTGGCCGCGGG CGGGCTCGAGGCGGCGGGTTT TTTTACTCTCTGACTG CGGGCTCGAG</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Pyoverdine maturation by periplasmic enzymes and their genetic relatedness. (A) Postulated structure of PVD_{A506} (24), showing the fluorophore and the position of the modified N-terminal residue (R₁) which are the regions where periplasmic maturation occurs. The three shown R₁ side chains represent the three structures that are relevant in this study. (B) Conserved clustered organization of the pvdMNO operon and the pvdP gene, which encode the periplasmic pyoverdine maturation enzymes. PvdQ, which has also functions in other pathways, is not encoded in this cluster.
Figure 2: Initial characterization of the pyoverdine PVD_{A506}. (A) Fluorescence in the range of 350-800 nm of PVD_{A506} in culture supernatant from *P. fluorescens* A506, excited at 200-340 nm in the absence (A) or presence (B) of 1 mM FeCl₃. (C) Electronic absorption spectra of the samples from (A) and (B). (D) Electronic absorption spectrum of the Csáky assay, indicating the presence of hydroxamates. Fresh medium (CAA) was treated in the same manner as the culture supernatant (PVD_{A506}).
Figure 3: A scar- and marker-less in-frame deletion of pvdN in *P. fluorescens* A506 does not abolish formation of fluorescent pyoverdines. (A) Scheme for the scar- and marker-less in-frame gene deletion in *P. fluorescens* A506 (see text for details). LF, left fragment; RF, right fragment; LF and RF are derived from the upstream or downstream sequences of the region to be deleted. (B), (C), (D) and (E): droplet assays of 1) *P. fluorescens* A506 ΔpvdP, 2) *P. fluorescens* A506, 3) *P. fluorescens* A506 ΔpvdN, 4) *P. fluorescens* A506 ΔpvdN + pME6010-pvdN-strep and 5) *P. fluorescens* A506 ΔpvdN + pME6010-pvdN\_K261A-strep. Strains were incubated either in the absence (B and C) or the presence of EDDHA (D and E). The plates were imaged (B and D) and fluorescence was detected on a UV-table (C and E).
**Figure 4: PvdN is responsible for a pyoverdine modification.** (A) Isoelectric focusing indicates that wild type *P. fluorescens* A506 produces two pyoverdines, whereas the ΔpvdN mutant strain can only form one pyoverdine species (left gel). Beside the wild type and mutant strains, the IEF gel also shows the pyoverdines of strains with complementation vectors that contain either a wild type pvdN gene or a gene encoding the protein with a K261A mutation. Note the functional complementation by the wildtype pvdN gene, whereas the exchange of the active site lysine completely abolishes the activity. The CAS overlay assay of the same IEF gel demonstrates iron-binding capacity of both pyoverdines (right). (B) Analysis of pyoverdine content in wild type *P. fluorescens* A506 and its ΔpvdN mutant. Reverse phase chromatography elutions (left) and corresponding mass spectra (right) of the succinamide- and α-ketoglutarate forms of the pyoverdine (numbers correspond to structures in Figure 1A). The elution profiles monitor the respective molecular masses during reverse phase chromatography, indicated beside the y-axes, showing that no succinamide is formed by the ΔpvdN mutant.
Figure 5: The ΔpvdN mutation does not affect iron acquisition under iron limiting conditions at pH values that allow growth of strain A506. *P. fluorescence* (wt and ΔpvdN) was grown under iron limiting (CAA) and iron starvation (CAA + EDDHA) conditions for 36 h at indicated pH values, colonies were imaged (left) and the pyoverdine fluorescence was detected (right). Note that there is no detectable difference in growth and pyoverdine formation between the two strains.
Figure 6: PLP binding is required for translocation of PvdN across the cytoplasmic membrane. (A) UV-vis absorption based PLP detection in PvdN as purified after heterologous production in *E. coli* ER2566/pEX-mat-pvdN-strep and subsequent transimination with ammonium hydroxide to produce a stable, well detectable PLP oxime (42). (B) *Strep*-tactin-HRP conjugate-mediated detection of C-terminally *Strep*-tagged PvdN or PvdN-K261A in membrane and periplasmic fractions of *P. fluorescens* A506 containing either pME6010-pvdN-strep or pME6010-pvdN<sub>K261A</sub>-strep by SDS-PAGE/Western blotting. Unprocessed PvdN-K261A full-length protein accumulates in membranes and shows abolished translocation, whereas the wild type protein is translocated into the periplasm. >, mature PvdN in the periplasmic fraction; *, full-length PvdN in the membrane fraction. Positions of molecular mass markers (in kDa) are indicated on the left.
Figure 7: Role and putative mechanism of PvdN catalysis in the biosynthesis of pyoverdines.
(A) Branched modification pathway of the side chains at the N3-position of the pyoverdine fluorophore. (B) Likely mechanism of PvdN catalyzed PLP-dependent oxidative decarboxylation under retention of the amine nitrogen. See text for details. (C) As expected for the proposed model, two channels connect the PLP-containing active site cavity with the surface. PLP atoms are colored to visualize the channels, which both lead to the reactive side of the cofactor. For this figure, PvdN of *P. fluorescens* A506 was homology modeled using the available PvdN structure (PDB5i90; ~62% sequence identity; GMQE 0.79; (44–46)) as template. The image was generated using VMD software (47).
PydN Catalyzes a Periplasmic Pyoverdine Modification
Michael T Ringel, Gerald Draeger and Thomas Brueser

J. Biol. Chem. published online October 4, 2016

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.755611

Alerts:
- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC’s e-mail alerts

This article cites 0 references, 0 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/early/2016/10/04/jbc.M116.755611.full.html#ref-list-1