Amyloid aggregation of pathological proteins is closely associated with a variety of neurodegenerative diseases, and α-synuclein (α-syn) deposition and Tau tangles are considered hallmarks of Parkinson’s disease and Alzheimer’s disease, respectively. Intriguingly, α-syn and Tau have been found to co-deposit in the brains of individuals with dementia and parkinsonism, suggesting a potential role of cross-talk between these two proteins in neurodegenerative pathologies. Here we show that monomeric α-syn and the two variants of Tau, Tau23 and K19, synergistically promote amyloid fibrillation, leading to their co-aggregation in vitro. NMR spectroscopy experiments revealed that α-syn uses its highly negatively charged C terminus to directly interact with Tau23 and K19. Deletion of the C terminus effectively abolished its binding to Tau23 and K19 as well as its synergistic effect on promoting their fibrillation. Moreover, an S129D substitution of α-syn, mimicking C-terminal phosphorylation of Ser129 in α-syn, which is commonly observed in the brains of Parkinson’s disease patients with elevated α-syn phosphorylation levels, significantly enhanced the activity of α-syn in facilitating Tau23 and K19 aggregation. These results reveal the molecular basis underlying the direct interaction between α-syn and Tau. We proposed that this interplay might contribute to pathological aggregation of α-syn and Tau in neurodegenerative diseases.
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other tauopathies (27–29). Four microtubule-binding repeat domains (R1–R4) have been shown to mediate Tau fibril formation (30). Previous studies also revealed that α-syn and Tau coprecipitate in vitro, and in vivo, both in the brains of patients and in mouse models (12, 31, 32). In addition, Tau and α-syn have been found to interact and promote each other’s fibrillation (12, 13, 33, 34). However, the molecular basis underlying the interplay between α-syn and Tau is poorly understood, and it is unclear whether factors such as posttranslational modification or molecular chaperones regulate the interaction between α-syn and Tau.

In this study, we showed that Tau and α-syn monomers directly interacted with each other and co-aggregated and that this co-aggregation was synergistically facilitated by heterotypic association between Tau and α-syn. We further demonstrated that α-syn employs its C terminus to directly bind to the VQIVYK motif of the microtubule-binding domain of Tau. Disruption of this interaction abolished binding between the two proteins and co-aggregation of Tau and α-syn. More importantly, S129D was found to mimic Ser129 of PD, as both exhibited elevated levels of phosphorylation and dramatically enhanced the capability of α-syn to promote Tau fibrillation. Overall, these results reveal the essential role of the α-syn C terminus in mediating co-aggregation of α-syn and Tau and demonstrate how disease-related phosphorylation amplifies this deleterious effect under pathological conditions.

Results

α-Syn and Tau facilitate each other’s fibrillation

We first investigated whether α-syn could modulate the fibrillation of Tau. For this purpose, human Tau23 was prepared, along with the three microtubule-binding fragments of Tau-K19, containing the fibril core of Tau (Fig. 1A) (35). Monomeric Tau23, K19, and α-syn proteins were purified from Escherichia coli and assessed by size exclusion chromatography. The monomeric K19 resisted aggregation on its own in aqueous solution (Fig. 1, B and C). However, addition of α-syn at a molar ratio of α-syn: Tau as low as 1:20 induced rapid amyloid aggregation of K19, as monitored by a thioflavin T (ThT) kinetics assay at 37 °C and negative staining EM (NS-EM) (Fig. 1, B and C). As a control, another amyloid protein, fused in sarcoma low-complexity domain (FUS-LC), which mediates pathological fibril formation of FUS in ALS (36), had no effect on K19 fibrillation (Supporting Fig. S1). In addition to K19, α-syn could also promote Tau23 amyloid aggregation in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1, B and C). As observed in the control group, α-syn on its own did not form fibrils under the same conditions, even at a high concentration of 100 μM (Fig. 1, B and C).

We next investigated whether Tau fibrils induced by α-syn were formed solely by K19 or by combined activity of K19 and α-syn. Fibrils formed under different conditions were centrifuged, dissolved, and checked by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Fig. 1D and Fig. S2, the α-syn–induced K19 fibril sample contained α-syn, demonstrating co-aggregation of K19 and α-syn. To further confirm this finding, we prepared α-syn with an N-terminal His-tag, which can bind to nanogold particles, and used it to promote fibrillation of K19. We directly visualized the nanogold particles in α-syn–induced Tau fibrils under NS-EM (Fig. 1E and Fig. S3), confirming that K19 fibrils induced by α-syn contained the α-syn protein.

We next explored whether Tau can induce fibrillation of α-syn. As shown in Fig. 2, A and B, although α-syn only forms a small number of fibrils on its own, the ThT assay and NS-EM imaging demonstrated that α-syn rapidly formed abundant amyloid fibrils in the presence of the K19 or Tau23 monomer. Even a small amount of K19 at a 10:1 molar ratio of α-syn:K19 could efficiently induce α-syn fibrillation (Fig. 2, A and B). The Tau23 monomer exhibited a similar capability for inducing α-syn fibrillation (Fig. 2, A and B). However, FUS-LC, used as a control, could not promote aggregation of α-syn (Fig. S4). To further examine whether K19 co-aggregates with α-syn in this scenario, nanogold labeling and electrophoresis were carried out. As shown in Fig. 2C and Fig. S5, the K19-induced α-syn fibril comprised α-syn and K19 proteins. In addition, nanogold-labeled His-K19 associated with α-syn fibril was directly visualized using NS-EM (Fig. 2D).

Intriguingly, we found that, compared with the monomeric form, preformed fibrils of K19 and Tau23 exhibited enhanced activity in promoting α-syn fibrillation at the same concentration (Fig. S6). In contrast, no enhanced activity of α-syn preformed fibrils of inducing fibrillation of the K19 or Tau23 monomer was observed (Fig. S7).

Interface between α-syn and Tau

NMR titration experiments were used to investigate the structural basis of the interaction between α-syn and Tau. To map the interface of α-syn for Tau binding, we titrated unlabeled K19 into a 15N-labeled α-syn monomer and monitored the changes in the 2D 1H-15N heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra of α-syn. Intriguingly, residues with significant chemical shift perturbations were clustered at the C terminus of α-syn, especially negatively charged residues such as aspartic acid and glutamic acid within residues 120–140 (Fig. 3A and Table S1). Notably, titration of the Tau23 monomer to 15N-labeled α-syn showed a similar pattern of chemical shift perturbations of the C-terminal residues of α-syn as that of K19 (Fig. 3B), demonstrating that K19 may serve as a major binding domain in Tau23 for α-syn binding. However, unlike the monomer, the Tau23 fibril could not induce any significant chemical shift changes in the α-syn monomer upon titration (Fig. S8). Because α-syn is a membrane-associated protein (17), we investigated whether the presence of lipids influences the interaction between α-syn and K19. We prepared a liposome mixture composed of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine:1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine:1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine lipids at a molar ratio of 5:3:2, which was mixed with 15N-α-syn with at a molar ratio of 20:1. Next, a 5-fold molar concentration of K19 (compared with that of α-syn) was added to the mixture, and changes in the NMR spectra of α-syn were monitored. We found that only the C-terminal residues of α-syn exhibited significant chemical shift perturbations (Fig. S9); this result is consistent with that of 15N-α-syn alone titrated with K19 (Fig. 3A). Taken together, these results suggested...
that binding of α-synuclein on the membrane does not influence the interaction of α-syn and K19.

To further identify the interface of K19 for α-syn binding, unlabeled α-syn was titrated to 15N-labeled K19. Almost all residues exhibited some degree of chemical shift perturbations (Fig. 3C and Table S2). The most perturbed regions appeared to be within or adjacent to the VQIVYK motif of R3, which is known as the PHF6 motif and was previously identified as a key amyloidogenic region of Tau (35). Notably, upon titration with the C-terminal 40-residue deletion mutant of α-syn (α-syn1–100), the chemical shift perturbation of the PHF6 motif was largely diminished (Fig. S10). To further validate the interface of K19 to α-syn, a paramagnetic relaxation enhancement experiment was performed. Because our results showed that
the C terminus of α-syn interacts with K19, we introduced a nitroxide spin label, S-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrol-3-yl) methyl methanesulfonothioate (MTSL), at residue Ala124 of α-syn (MTSL–A124C–α-syn). We then mixed 15N-labeled K19 (50 μM) with 50 μM MTSL–A124C–α-syn. NMR spectra were collected in the absence (paramagnetic environment) and presence (diamagnetic environment) of 1 mM sodium ascorbate. As shown in Fig. S11, a significant decrease in signal intensity (Ipara/Idia < 0.5) was observed in the PHF6 region, further validating that the C terminus of α-syn binds to the PHF6 motif to mediate α-syn–Tau binding. Together, these results show that α-syn utilizes its negatively charged C terminus to interact with Tau, mainly via its PHF6 motif.

Because truncation of the C terminus of α-syn abolishes the interaction between Tau and α-syn, we next examined whether disruption of the binding between Tau and α-syn directly influences the synergistic effects of Tau and α-syn fibrillation. As shown in Fig. S12, neither K19 nor Tau23 promoted fibrillation of α-syn1–100 in which the C-terminal 40 residues were deleted. Conversely, truncation of the C terminus diminished the capacity of α-syn to promote fibrillation of K19 or Tau23 (Fig. 4, A and B). These results demonstrate that direct interaction between the C terminus of the α-syn monomer and Tau is essential to synergistically facilitate their co-aggregation.

**Figure 2. Tau facilitates α-syn fibrillation.** A, ThT kinetics of α-syn (100 μM) aggregation facilitated by K19 (left panel) and Tau23 (right panel) at the indicated molar ratios at 37 °C. The error bars denote mean ± S.D. with n = 3. Fibrillation buffer (2) containing 14 mM MES (pH 6.8) (preferred for α-syn fibrillation) was used in the assay. a.u., absorbance unit. B, samples obtained at the end point of the ThT assay described in A were further examined by NS-EM. Scale bars = 500 nm. C, supernatants (S) and precipitates (P) of α-syn and K19 samples analyzed by SDS-PAGE. D, NS-EM images of fibrils formed by 200 μM α-syn incubated with 20 μM His-K19 and probed by nanogold particles. The black box region is magnified on the right, and arrows indicate attachment of nanogold particles on fibrils. Scale bars = 50 nm.
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region may influence the co-aggregation process. Ser129 phosphorylation is one of the most important disease-related modifications of α-syn and has been identified as a pathological signature in the brains of PD patients (37, 38). However, the specific relationship of this signature to PD pathology is largely unknown. To study its effect in the cross-talk between α-syn and Tau, we prepared a single point mutation, S129D, to mimic Ser129-phosphorylated α-syn under pathological conditions. Intriguingly, compared with the WT α-syn, S129D exhibited a significantly enhanced effect in facilitating Tau23 and K19 amyloid aggregation, as measured by a ThT kinetics assay and NS-EM imaging (Fig. 4, C and D, and Fig. S13, A and B). However, S129D showed a similar binding affinity to Tau as WT α-syn when measured by biolayer interferometry (Fig. S13C and Table S3). These results indicate that S129D does not significantly strengthen overall binding between α-syn and the Tau monomer despite its much stronger capability to induce co-aggregation of α-syn and Tau.

**Discussion**

Despite the fact that Tau and α-syn massively aggregate under pathological conditions (3, 6), they are both highly soluble proteins and do not easily form amyloid aggregates on their
own in vitro or under physiological conditions. Using NMR spectroscopy combined with molecular dynamics simulations, two groups have shown that the α-syn monomer adopts a compact conformation restrained by transient long-range intramolecular interactions between the negatively charged C-terminal residues and residues ~30–100 in the central region of α-syn (39, 40). Theillet et al. (41) further showed that the central NAC region of the α-syn monomer is buried in the compact structure.
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in the cell. The compact conformations are mainly stabilized by long-range intramolecular interactions between the negatively charged C terminus and the aggregation-prone NAC region of α-syn (39–41). Impairment of the intramolecular interaction in the α-syn monomer may disrupt its compact conformation and promote α-syn fibrillation. Indeed, polyamines that bind the C terminus of α-syn were found to disrupt the intramolecular interaction in the α-syn monomer and promote fibrillation of α-syn (42, 43). With regard to Tau, NMR studies revealed that Tau is highly dynamic in solution, with an intricate network of transient long-range contacts (44, 45). Small-angle X-ray scattering analysis implied that the long-range contacts may be formed between the N- and C-termini of Tau (46). Disruption of the intramolecular contact network of Tau by serine/threonine phosphorylation can promote fibrillation of Tau (45, 47).

In addition, previous studies have shown that polyanionic molecules (e.g., heparin, RNA), which bind to the microtubule-binding repeat domain of Tau by electrostatics interaction, may disrupt its intramolecular contact and promote Tau fibrillation (48, 49). Intriguingly, the C terminus of α-syn is highly enriched in negatively charged residues and, thus, may have a similar effect as that of polyanionic molecules to promote Tau fibrillation.

Notably, the PHF6 region, identified as the α-syn binding region in this study, can also be recognized by different chaperones (e.g., Hsp27, Hsp104, and Hsp40) (50–52). Fibrillation of Tau could be prevented by binding between PHF6 and chaperones, unlike that of α-syn. Therefore, despite a similar region being involved in Tau binding for α-syn and chaperones, detailed interaction patterns as well as other additional binding regions in Tau may be distinct, resulting in contradictory effects in modulation of Tau fibrillation. Accordingly, additional efforts will be needed to dissect the mechanisms underlying the distinct binding patterns for modulating Tau aggregation in different ways.

Under the pathological conditions of PD, α-syn tends to be highly phosphorylated at Ser129 (37, 38, 53). Our study further demonstrated that phosphorylation of α-syn at Ser129 dramatically enhanced its activity in inducing co-amyloid aggregation, whereas C-terminal truncated α-syn completely lost this activity. This may help to explain why Tau is prone to self-assemble and co-aggregate in some cases of Lewy body–associated diseases. In addition to Ser129, several other phosphorylation sites were identified within or adjacent to the binding interface of α-syn and K19, including Tyr125 and Tyr136 in α-syn and Ser320 in K19 (54, 55).

Further research is warranted to clarify how such modifications, as well as familial disease–associated mutations (e.g., A30P and E46K of α-syn and P301L of Tau) alter the interplay between Tau and α-syn and consequently influence the synergetic effects of their co-aggregation.

Although we revealed that α-syn and Tau can interact in their monomeric forms and further co-aggregate into amyloid fibrils, the details of this interaction and the precise arrangement of the two proteins in the fibrillar form remain unclear. Several possible arrangements are possible. For instance, α-syn and Tau may form heteroproteofibils and fibrils, similar to the fibril structure of the RIPK1–RIPK3 complex (56, 57). Alternatively, they may form homoproteofibils on their own and further associate by lateral bundling of multiple protofibrils or connect to each other along the fibril-growing axis to form heterofibrils. Further structural studies of co-aggregated Tau and α-syn fibrils may help to address this question. Finally, based on our NMR titration experiments, we showed that Tau23 fibrils exhibit stronger activity in promoting α-syn fibrillation than the Tau23 monomer, although Tau23 fibrils cannot bind directly to the α-syn monomer. Thus, Tau23 fibrils may interfere with other species of α-syn, such as oligomers and protofilaments, or they might serve as a nucleus for inducing α-syn fibrillation, which needs to be further investigated.

Experimental procedures

Protein expression and purification

Human Tau23/K19 was expressed and purified as described previously (58). Briefly, Tau23/K19 was purified using a HighTrap HP SP (5 ml) column (GE Healthcare) followed by a Superdex 75 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare). For 15N-labeled proteins, protein expression was the same as that for unlabeled proteins except that the cells were grown in M9 minimal medium along with [15N]H4Cl (1 g liter−1). The purified proteins were concentrated and stored at −80 °C. The purity of the proteins was assessed by SDS-PAGE, and their concentrations were determined using a BCA assay (Thermo Fisher).

ThT fluorescence assay

ThT fluorescence assays were performed to monitor fibrillation of K19/Tau23 in the absence and presence of α-syn. The ThT assays were carried out in a 384-well plate (black with a flat optical bottom, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 142761), and fluorescence was measured using a Varioskan fluorescence plate reader (FLUOstar Omega).

Two different fibrillation buffers were used in the ThT assay: 25 mM Bis-Tris (pH 6.8) containing 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, and 1 mM EDTA (preferred for Tau23/K19 fibrillation) (1) and 14 mM MES (pH 6.8), preferred for α-syn fibrillation (2). To monitor how α-syn and FUS-LC influence fibrillation of K19/Tau23, all ThT assays were performed in the fibrillation buffer (1) mentioned above. α-Syn was premixed with K19/Tau23 at molar ratios (K19:α-syn) of 1:0.5, 1:0.1, and 1:0.05 and (Tau:α-syn) of 1:1, 1:0.5, and 1:0.1. FUS-LC was premixed with K19 at molar ratios (K19:FUS-LC) of 1:0.5, 1:0.1, and 1:0.05. To monitor aggregation of α-syn in the absence and presence of K19/Tau23 and FUS-LC, all parameters were kept the same for the K19/Tau23 ThT assays except that fibrillation buffer (2) was used. K19/Tau23 was premixed with α-syn at molar ratios (α-syn:K19) of 1:0.1, 1:0.01, and 1:0.001. FUS-LC was premixed with α-syn at molar ratios (α-syn:FUS-LC) of 1:0.1, 1:0.01, and 1:0.001. The final concentration of ThT in the reaction mixtures was 50 μM. A total volume of 55 μl of premixed solution was added to each well. Samples were shaken at 600 rpm at 37 °C, and fluorescence was measured with excitation at 440 nm and emission at 485 nm. We ran three repetitions for each of the sample in the same plate and then calculated the average value with standard deviation from the triplicates of each samples to obtain the ThT curves represented in the figures. All
samples shown in one figure were tested in the same 384-well plate to minimize systematic errors.

**Negative staining EM**

Each sample (5 μl) was deposited onto a glow-discharged holey carbon EM grid covered with a thin layer of carbon film (Beijing Zhongjingkeyi Technology Co. Ltd.) for 45 s, followed by washing twice with water (5 μl). The grid was then stained with 3% (w/v) uranyl acetate for 45 s for staining. An FEI Tecnai T12 electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV was used to examine and visualize the samples. Images were collected by a Gatan US4000 4k × 4k charge couple device camera.

**Analysis of supernatant and precipitate of K19 and α-syn aggregation by SDS-PAGE**

Samples of K19 (200 μM), α-syn (20 μM), and K19 (200 μM) mixed with 20 μM α-syn were incubated for 80 h in fibrillation buffer (1). A control sample was taken from the premixed K19 (200 μM) and α-syn (20 μM) solution without further incubation. Samples of α-syn (200 μM), K19 (20 μM), and α-syn (200 μM) mixed with 20 μM K19 were incubated for 80 h in fibrillation buffer (2). A control sample was taken from the premixed α-syn (200 μM) and K19 (20 μM) solution without further incubation. The volume of each sample was 200 μl. All samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 1 h, followed by washing twice with 200 μl of incubation buffer. Urea (8 M, 5 μl) was added to each sample, and the samples were shaken at 600 rpm at room temperature overnight. Later, 20 μl of the samples was mixed with 5 μl of the gel loading dye (5×). After boiling for 10 min, 10 μl of each mixed sample was loaded and assessed using 15% SDS-PAGE gels.

**Nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid–Nanogold labeling**

Premixed His-tagged α-syn (200 μM) and K19 (20 μM) or His-tagged K19 (200 μM) with α-syn (20 μM) was incubated for 80 h in fibrillation buffer (2). Next, 5 μl of each sample was deposited onto a glow-discharged holey carbon EM grid covered with a thin layer of carbon film (Beijing Zhongjingkeyi Technology Co. Ltd.) for 45 s, followed by washing twice with water (5 μl). The grid was placed upside down on a droplet of 5 mM nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid–Nanogold (Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY) solution (25 mM Na2HPO4 and 50 mM NaCl (pH 7.0)) and incubated for 6 min at room temperature. The grid was rinsed with a droplet of a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM imidazole, 150 mM KCl, and 0.05% NaN3 for 2 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the grid was rinsed with water and stained with 10 μl of 3% (w/v) uranyl acetate for 3 min. Finally, it was dried and prepared for imaging using a Tecnai T12 microscope (FEI Co.) operated at 120 kV. Control grids were prepared using the same protocol but with premixed α-syn and K19 at the indicated concentrations.

**Preparation of liposomes for NMR spectroscopy**

The liposomes 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-1-serine, and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (molar ratio of 5:3:2) (Avanti Polar Lipids Inc.) were prepared by mixing with chloroform and methanol (2:1 (v/v)) in a glass tube using an extrusion method (59, 60). The lipid mixture was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas and then dried thoroughly under a vacuum to yield a thin lipid film. Later, the dried thin film was rehydrated by adding an aqueous buffer (50 mM Na2HPO4 and 50 mM NaCl (pH 7.0)), subjected to vortex mixing, and shaken for 1 h at 300 rpm on an orbital shaker. Freeze–thaw cycles and sonication were carried out until the mixture become clear. The turbid liquid was extruded 19 times through a polycarbonate filter of 200-nm pore size (GE Healthcare) using a mini extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids) to form homogenous unilamellar vesicles.

**MTSL labeling of α-syn**

Nitroxide spin-labeled MTSL (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was attached to the mutated cysteine residue in the α-syn variant (A124C) via a thiol-specific reaction. A124C–α-syn was first reduced in a buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4 (pH 7.0), 50 mM NaCl, and 0.05% NaN3 with 1 mM DTT, which were subsequently removed using a 5-ml desalting column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in a buffer of 50 mM Na2HPO4 (pH 7.0), 50 mM NaCl, 8 M urea, and 0.05% NaN3. The proteins were then incubated with 1 mM MTSL (more than 10 times in excess) at room temperature overnight. Later, 20 μl of the samples was mixed with 5 μl of 3% (w/v) uranyl acetate for 45 s. After boiling for 10 min, 10 μl of each mixed sample was loaded and assessed using 15% SDS-PAGE gels.

**NMR spectroscopy**

All the NMR experiments were performed at 298 K on a Bruker 900 MHz or Agilent 800 MHz spectrometer with a cryogenic triple resonance inverse probe. Backbone resonance assignment of α-syn/K19 was accomplished according to previous publications (52, 61). For all NMR samples, the total volume was 500 μl with an NMR buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4, 50 mM NaCl, and 10% (v/v) D2O (pH 7.0). For α-syn/K19 titration assays, each titration sample contained 50 μM 15N-labeled α-syn/K19 in the absence and presence of 5-molar-fold unlabeled K19/α-syn diluted from high-concentration stocks. 15N-labeled α-syn (25 μM) was mixed with 20-molar-fold liposome as a control sample. A new sample was made with 25 μM 15N-labeled α-syn with 20-molar-fold lipidosome and 125 μM K19. As for the paramagnetic relaxation enhancement experiment, 15N-labeled K19 (50 μM) was first mixed with 50 μM MTSL–A124C–α-syn. After collecting the control spectrum, 1 mM sodium ascorbate (50 mM stock) was added to the sample. All 2D 1H–15N HSQC spectra were collected with 16 scans per transient and complex points of 2048 × 160. Chemical shift changes (Δδ) were calculated using the following equation: 

$$\Delta \delta = SQRT((\Delta^1H)^2 + (0.17 \times \Delta^15N)^2)$$

where Δ1H and Δ15N are the chemical shift differences of the amide proton and amide nitrogen between the free and bound state of the protein, respectively. All NMR spectra were analyzed and processed using NMRPipe (62) and NMRView (63).

**Bilayer interferometry assay**

The binding affinity between K19 and α-syn/α-syn S129D was measured by bilayer interferometry using ForteBio Octet...
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RED96 (Pall ForteBio LLC). All data were collected at 25 °C in 96-well black flat-bottom plates (Greiner Bio-One) with orbital shaking at 1000 rpm. A total volume of 200 μl in an assay buffer containing 50 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 50 mM NaCl (pH 7.0) was used for each sample. Streptavidin biosensors were incubated in the assay buffer for 1 min, and then the biotinylated K19/Tau23 (20 μg ml⁻¹) was loaded onto the surfaces of the biosensors (ForteBio) for 3 min, followed by washing using assay buffer for 1 min to remove unbound proteins. An autoinhibition step was used to eliminate nonspecific binding of K19/Tau23 to biosensors, in which 20 μM K19/Tau23 in assay buffer was incubated with biosensors for 6 min, followed by incubation in assay buffer for 2 min. Next, an association step was performed by incubating biosensors with different concentrations of α-syn or α-syn S129D, as indicated, for 6 min, followed by a disassociation step performed by incubation with the assay buffer for 6 min. All data were processed by data analysis software 9.0 (ForteBio).

Data availability
All data are contained within the manuscript and supplemental materials.
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