Human cytochrome P450 enzymes bind drugs and other substrates mainly through conformational-selection modes
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Cytochrome P450 (P450) is a vast family of enzymes that catalyze the oxidation of a wide range of compounds, including drugs, steroids, and other natural and synthetic substrates. The binding of substrates to P450s involves complex mechanisms, and the nature of these interactions can be understood through kinetic and spectroscopic analyses.

The binding of substrates to P450s is often described in terms of a 2-state system, where the enzyme reversibly binds the substrate and undergoes conformational changes. However, for some P450s, the binding process is more complex and may involve induced-fit or conformational-selection mechanisms.

For example, P450 2D6 binds its ligand rolipram in a mechanism involving conformational-selection. The kinetic analysis of binding with multiple concentrations of substrates and computational modeling of these data allows for the identification of the type of binding mechanism. Binding of the steroid progesterone to P450 21A2 was also best described by a conformational-selection model. Hexyl isonicotinate binding to P450 2E1 could be described by either a conformational-selection or an induced-fit model. Simulation of the binding of the ligands midazolam, bromocriptine, testosterone, and ketoconazole to P450 3A4 was consistent with an induced-fit or a conformational-selection model, but the concentration dependence of binding rates for varying substrate concentrations revealed discordance in the parameters, indicative of conformational-selection. Binding of the P450s 2C8, 2D6, 3A4, 4A11, and 21A2 was best described by conformational-selection, and P450 2E1 appeared to fit either mode. These findings highlight the complexity of human P450-substrate interactions and that conformational-selection is a dominant feature of many of these interactions.

Cytochrome P450 (P450) is a major catalyst involved in the metabolism of drugs, steroids, carcinogens, fat-soluble vitamins, and natural products. The binding of substrates to some of the 57 human P450s and other mammalian P450s is more complex than a two-state system and has been proposed to involve mechanisms such as multiple ligand occupancy, induced-fit, and conformational-selection. Here, we used kinetic analysis of binding with multiple concentrations of substrates and computational modeling of these data to discern possible binding modes of several human P450s. We observed that P450 2D6 binds its ligand rolipram in a mechanism involving conformational-selection. P450 4A11 bind the substrate lauric acid via conformational-selection, as did P450 2C8 with palmitic acid. Binding of the steroid progesterone to P450 21A2 was also best described by a conformational-selection model. Hexyl isonicotinate binding to P450 2E1 could be described by either a conformational-selection or an induced-fit model. Simulation of the binding of the ligands midazolam, bromocriptine, testosterone, and ketoconazole to P450 3A4 was consistent with an induced-fit or a conformational-selection model, but the concentration dependence of binding rates for varying both P450 3A4 and midazolam concentrations revealed discordance in the parameters, indicative of conformational-selection. Binding of the P450s 2C8, 2D6, 3A4, 4A11, and 21A2 was best described by conformational-selection, and P450 2E1 appeared to fit either mode. These findings highlight the complexity of human P450-substrate interactions and that conformational-selection is a dominant feature of many of these interactions.

The binding of the substrate camphor by bacterial P450 101A1 (P450cam) is an apparently facile process that has been described in terms of a 2-state system with a $k_{on}$ rate of $4.6 \times 10^{6}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and a $k_{off}$ rate of 6 s$^{-1}$ ($K_d = 1.3 \mu M$) (26). Rates of substrate binding have also been reported for a small number of mammalian P450s, including several human P450s (Table 1). Several mammalian P450s have been reported to show complex binding behavior, and some of these results may be attributable to multiple occupancy (31–33). However, multistep binding can be observed even for a substrate (e.g. bromocriptine) when only one molecule is present in the P450 enzyme (32, 34, 35).

The multistep nature of ligand binding to some P450s (31–35) raises the issue of whether the basis of the phenomenon is mainly attributable to induced-fit or conformational-selection (Fig. 2), a general question in modern enzymology (36–41). The two pathways can be considered energetically equivalent in terms of a “thermodynamic box” diagram, and distinguishing between them is usually not trivial. Evidence for both models has been presented for P450s. Davydov et al. (42) reported high pressure spectroscopic evidence for conformational heteroge-
neity of P450 3A4 in the absence of ligand. Our laboratory presented kinetic evidence suggesting an induced-fit model for binding of testosterone to P450 3A4, based upon kinetic double-mixing experiments with testosterone and the (Type II) inhibitor indinavir (33). Studies with other P450s have provided evidence for both models, depending upon the case. For instance, an NMR study with an unnatural amino acid showed spectral heterogeneity of bacterial P450 119, which can be evidence for a conformational-selection model (43). NMR spectra of P450 17A1, in the presence of substrates and ligands, revealed peaks indicative of multiple conformations (44), and protein structures differed in the presence of the $R$- and $S$-enantiomers of orteronel (45). The existence of multiple structures of human P450 2E1 (46, 47), P450 1A1 (48, 49), and 3A4 (25, 34, 50, 51) can be interpreted as evidence for an induced-fit mechanism but cannot be excluded as support for an alternative conformational-selection model.

We investigated the binding of steroids to human P450 17A1 and concluded that the mechanism is dominated by conformational-selection, not induced-fit (52). The conclusion was based upon (i) the decreasing rates of ligand binding as a function of steroid concentration (37), (ii) the differing plots of concentration dependence seen with the ligand and the enzyme (53), and (iii) comparisons made by fitting into KinTek Explorer models (54). In this report we evaluated more human P450-substrate systems (Fig. 3) and also re-examined some previous conclusions, with a view to minimalization of models if possible. Accordingly, we investigated human P450 2C8, 2E1, 4A11, and 21A2 binding and also re-investigated previous data obtained with P450s 3A4 and 2D6, as well as adding new experiments. We conclude that the P450s examined also primarily use conformational-selection mechanisms.

![Figure 1. General catalytic mechanism for P450 reactions (1, 4).](image)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$k_{on}$</th>
<th>$k_{off}$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2A6</td>
<td>Coumarin</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Hydroxycoumarin</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$6.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A11</td>
<td>Androstenedione</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19A1</td>
<td>Androstenedione</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$240 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-OH androstenedione</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$300 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-Formyl androstenedione</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.24 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21A2</td>
<td>Progesterone</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.66 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17$\beta$-OH progesterone</td>
<td>$7.2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.42 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27C1</td>
<td>All-trans-retinol</td>
<td>$7.2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.42 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Induced-fit and conformational-selection kinetic schemes. $E$ and $E'$ are conformationally distinct forms of the enzyme (S is substrate and P is product).

For instance, our laboratory presented kinetic evidence suggesting an induced-fit model for binding of testosterone to P450 3A4, based upon kinetic double-mixing experiments with testosterone and the (Type II) inhibitor indinavir (33). Studies with other P450s have provided evidence for both models, depending upon the case. For instance, an NMR study with an unnatural amino acid showed spectral heterogeneity of bacterial P450 119, which can be evidence for a conformational-selection model (43). NMR spectra of P450 17A1, in the presence of substrates and ligands, revealed peaks indicative of multiple conformations (44), and...
Results

General

All experiments were done with ferric P450 enzymes. Although ferrous P450s can bind substrates (e.g. Ref. 7), in many (but not all) P450 systems the binding of substrate facilitates the kinetics of reduction (6, 55). Therefore most of the interest in substrate binding is with the ferric enzymes. The point should be made that even if binding is not the rate-limiting step, the absence of bound substrate may therefore change rates of other steps in the catalytic cycle (Fig. 1). As pointed out in the Introduction, we monitored the binding of substrates to P450s in most cases by observing the spectral changes associated with partial removal of the distal H2O ligand from the heme iron in the active site (Type I change), a relatively well-established principle (1, 11–15).

P450 2D6 and rolapitant

The binding of P450 2D6 and the inhibitory drug rolapitant have been described with a 2-state model using data we developed earlier (56). The single-exponential fits (Fig. 4A) were relatively good, and the amplitudes could be plotted versus the rolapitant concentration to yield a $K_{d,app}$ of $\sim 10$ µM (Fig. 4B) (cf. 1.2 µM in steady-state (56)). A plot of the binding rates versus rolapitant concentration yielded a negative slope (Fig. 4C), indicative of a conformational-selection process (37). A simple 2-state model did not provide an adequate fit to the experimental data (Fig. 4D). Although a reasonable fit could be achieved with an induced-fit model (Fig. 4E), the fit to a conformational model (Fig. 4F) was as good or better. We conclude, based largely on the relationship between rates of binding and rolapitant concentration (Fig. 4C), that the process involves conformational-selection.

P450 2A11 and lauric acid

We previously described binding of lauric acid to P450 4A11 based on a simple second-order experiment with equal concentrations of enzyme and substrate ($k_{on} 2 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $k_{off}$ 4 s$^{-1}$) (Table 1) (27). Reinvestigation of the binding with multiple concentrations of lauric acid showed complex behavior, with a need to use biexponential fitting (Fig. 5A). Rates for both phases of binding showed inverse relationships with the concentration of lauric acid (Figs. 5, B and C), indicative of a conformational-selection model (37).

Fitting to an induced-fit model yielded a poor fit, particularly at the lower lauric acid concentrations (Fig. 5D). Fitting to a simple conformational-selection model showed good fits at the lower concentrations of lauric acid, although the fit at higher concentrations was less satisfactory (Fig. 5E).

P450 2E1 and hexyl isonicotinate

Many of the classic substrates for P450 2E1 are small molecules (57) and do not give strong binding spectra (58). Alkyl isonicotinic acid esters have been shown to be substrates for ω-1 hydroxylation by P450 2E1 (at least in reactions supported by the oxygen surrogate cumene hydroperoxide), as well as generating Type II binding spectra (17). The binding of hexyl isonicotinate to P450 2E1 was rapid and could be fit with single exponential or biexponential equations (Figs. 6, A and B). The rate of the fast phase of binding increased with the ligand concentration (Fig. 6B) but the rate of the slower phase did not (Fig. 6C).

A simple 2-state model was not adequate in fitting the data (Fig. 6D). Both an induced-fit model (Fig. 6E) and a conformational-selection model (Fig. 6F) yielded satisfactory fits, at least at the lower concentrations of the substrate, and a conclusion could not be reached as to which was superior.

P450 21A2 and progesterone

P450 21A2 bound its substrate progesterone in a clearly biexponential mode (Fig. 7, A and B, showing separate time frames). Plotting of either the single-exponential rate (Fig. 7C) or the slow rate of the biexponential fit (Fig. 7D) yielded plots that...
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Figure 4. Binding of rolapitant to P450 2D6. P450 2D6 (2 μM) was mixed with rolapitant (2 (green), 10 (red), 20 (dark blue), 50 (gold), and 100 (light blue) μM) (raw data presented previously (56)). A, single exponential fits of ΔA_{390-418} traces. B, plot of ΔA_{390-418} amplitude versus final concentrations of rolapitant (K_{app} = 33 μM). C, plot of single exponential rate fits versus final rolapitant concentration. D, fits to a simple 2-state kinetic model (E + S ⇌ ES, Fig. 2) with k_1 = 1.0 × 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1} and k_{-1} = 7.4 s^{-1} (e_{390-418} 5.3 mm^{-1} cm^{-1}). E, fit to an induced-fit model (Fig. 2) with k_1 = 1.1 × 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}, k_{-1} = 26 s^{-1}, k_2 = 9.6 s^{-1}, and k_{-2} = 1.8 s^{-1} (e_{390-418} 5.7 mm^{-1} cm^{-1}). F, fit to a conformational-selection model (Fig. 2) with k_1 = 0.65 s^{-1}, k_{-1} = 0.46 s^{-1}, k_2 = 0.19 × 10^6 μM^{-1} s^{-1}, and k_{-2} = 2.0 s^{-1} (e_{390-418} 4.2 mm^{-1} cm^{-1}).

Figure 5. Binding of lauric acid to P450 4A11. P450 4A11 (2 μM) was mixed with varying concentrations of lauric acid (5 (blue), 10 (red), 20 (magenta), 30 (gold), 50 (purple), 75 (dark green), and 150 (light green) μM). A, biexponential fits to traces of ΔA_{390-418}. B, plot of fast rate from A versus final concentration of lauric acid. C, plot of slow rate from A versus final concentration of lauric acid. D, fit of data (A) to an induced-fit model (Fig. 2) with k_1 = 0.09 × 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}, k_{-1} = 8.5 s^{-1}, k_2 = 24 s^{-1}, and k_{-2} = 0.73 s^{-1} (e_{390-418} 8.0 mm^{-1} cm^{-1}). E, fit of data (A) to a conformational-selection model with k_1 = 0.15 s^{-1}, and k_{-1} = 0.25 s^{-1}, k_2 = 0.55 × 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}, and k_{-2} = 1.6 s^{-1} (e_{390-418} 9.5 mm^{-1} cm^{-1}).
showed decreasing rates with increasing substrate concentrations, suggesting a conformational-selection model (the faster of the biexponential rates were too fast to be useful). Fitting of the data yielded a generally better fit for a conformational-selection model than an induced-fit model (Fig. 7, E and F).

**P450 2C8 and palmitic acid**

The binding of the substrate palmitic acid to P450 2C8 yielded relatively weak spectral changes and the data were less robust (Fig. 8A). However, the traces could only be fit to biexponential plots (Fig. 8A). The rates of the faster phase increased slightly with the concentration of palmitic acid (Fig. 8B) but the rates of the slower phase decreased (Fig. 8C), indicative of a conformational-selection process. The fit to an induced-fit model (Fig. 8D) was generally not as good as that to a conformational-selection model (Fig. 8E).

**P450 3A4 binding of midazolam and other ligands**

P450 3A4 interactions with several substrates and inhibitors were previously reported (32, 33), including the drug midazolam. We previously considered several possibilities for binding of midazolam to P450 3A4, including versions with multiple occupancy (32). The best of these fits, developed then using DynaFit software (59), involved a double induced-fit mechanism with two spectrally equivalent complexes (e.g. Scheme 1A of Ref. 32), and we tested some simpler models with the goal of finding less complex models that could adequately explain the data.

We re-evaluated some of the original data (32, 33) using our newer approaches, including the KinTek Explorer software (54). In our previous work, we reported rates of single-exponential fits for the rates of binding plotted versus midazolam concentration (32). The binding traces are clearly complex, as reported earlier (32) with biphasic absorbance changes (Fig. 9A). Neither plots of single-exponential fits nor either of the double-exponential rates yielded linear plots as a function of substrate concentration (Fig. 9B).

Reasonable fits were obtained with a simple induced-fit model (Fig. 9C). The \( k_{on} \) rate (\( k_1 \)) was \( 4.4 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), which is realistic in light of other P450s (Table 1). The fit began to diverge at the higher midazolam concentrations. A basic conformational-selection model (which was not included earlier (32)) also fit well except at the higher midazolam concentrations (Fig. 9D). The \( k_{on} \) rate of only \( 0.29 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) is low but probably not unrealistic. We also re-evaluated the binding of other ligands to P450 3A4, using the data files from our previous work (Figs. S1–S3).

With the substrate testosterone, a single-exponential fit was not unreasonable, and the rates increased with the substrate concentration (Fig. 9, A and B). A biexponential fit was better, and the rates for both reaction phases increased with testosterone concentration (Fig. 9, C and D). An induced-fit model (with \( k_{on} 1.7 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), Fig. S1E) provided a credible fit, except for being somewhat too fast at the higher concentrations. Adjustment of the conformational-selection model (Fig. S1F) to fit the higher concentration data involved a \( k_{on} \) rate of only \( 0.13 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), and the fit was inadequate at lower testosterone concentrations.

Bromocriptine binding was also re-examined (32), in that the size of this substrate and the crystal structure of the complex...
(34) rule out multiple ligand occupancy. Plotting the single-exponential fits of the data (Fig. S2A) versus the bromocriptine concentration showed increasing rates (Fig. S2B), as reported earlier (32). With biexponential fits (Fig. S2C), the faster rate also increased with bromocriptine concentration (Fig. S2D). Fitting to a simple induced-fit model was fair at low substrate concentrations (Fig. S2E) but attempts to fit to a conformational-selection model were much worse at multiplex bromocriptine concentrations (Fig. S2F).

Ketoconazole is an inhibitor of P450 3A4, producing a Type II difference spectrum with an azole nitrogen bonding to the heme iron (25). This inhibitory drug has also been reported to be a substrate and be oxidized by P450 3A4 (60). Fitting the previous data (33) to either single or biexponential plots of rate versus ketoconazole concentration (Fig. S3, A and C) gave plots in which the rates increased with the ketoconazole concentration (Fig. S3, B and D). The plots could be fit with an induced-fit or a conformational-selection model (Fig. S3, E and F), with deficiencies in each.

**P450 3A4 and midazolam concentration dependence**

The results with fitting of the previous P450 3A4 data (32, 33) were ambiguous, in that some could be fit with either an induced-fit or a conformational-selection model (Fig. 9, C and...
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Figure 8. Binding of palmitic acid to P450 2C8. P450 2C8 (2 μM) was mixed with varying concentrations of palmitic acid (1.0 (red), 2.0 (dark blue), 4.0 (green), 10 (gold), 20 (magenta), and 40 (light blue) μM). A, biexponential fits to traces of ΔA_{390-A_{418}}, B, plots of fast (red points) and slow (green points) rates from A, C, plot of slow rate of binding from A, expanded from B, D, fit of data (A) to an induced-fit model (Fig. 2) with k₁ = 0.11 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, k₂ = 6.8 s⁻¹, k₃ = 24 s⁻¹, k₋₂ = 1.8 s⁻¹ (ε_{390-418} 4.0 mm⁻¹ cm⁻¹), E, fit of data (A) to a conformational-selection model (Fig. 2) with k₁ = 0.4 s⁻¹, k₂ = 110 s⁻¹, k₃ = 4.0 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and k₋₂ = 0.26 s⁻¹ (ε_{390-418} 4.0 mm⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

D, and Figs. S1, E and F, and S3, E and F). Furthermore, increased rates (hyperbolic) as a function of ligand concentration can be interpreted in terms of both induced-fit and conformational-selection models in the absence of more data (40, 53).

We repeated the rate measurements with a fixed concentration of P450 3A4 and varying concentrations of midazolam (Fig. 10A). As before (32), the rate increased with the midazolam concentration (Figs. 9B and 10A). The P450 3A4 concentration was also increased in the presence of a fixed concentration of a midazolam (2.5 μM) (Fig. 10B), yielding increased rates as a function of P450 3A4 concentration. Combining the results of Fig. 10, C and D (from plots of single exponential fits of the data from Fig. 10, A and B, respectively), in Fig. 10E indicated discordance in the patterns of rate dependence, which is a pattern characteristic of conformational-selection (with fast pre-equilibrium steps) but not an induced-fit model, in which the second-order rate plots should be identical (53).

Discussion

Several human P450s were examined regarding the kinetics of interaction with substrates, with the aim of developing models that are as simple as possible and judging whether induced-fit or conformational-selection dominates. Based on previous work (32, 33, 52) and new experimental studies, we conclude (Table 2) that (i) some systems are simple and can be represented by two states, (ii) most P450-substrate systems can be described by a conformational-selection model, (iii) some P450-substrate systems may be described by an induced-fit or a conformational-selection mechanism in the absence of more data, and (iv) some P450-substrate systems are still more complex and probably involve elements of both induced-fit and conformational-selection. The simple systems (item i and Table 2) may prove to be more complex upon further analysis.

Clearly many mammalian P450s show complex binding behavior, as judged by lack of increased binding rates with substrate concentration (e.g. Figs. 4, 5, 7, and 8). Even when conventional methods produce linear plots, further analysis may indicate more kinetic complexity (e.g. P450 2E1, Fig. 6). In previous studies with P450s, we concluded that initial encounters of substrates with P450s were fast (1–10 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) and that subsequent steps involved migration of the substrate to the vicinity of the heme prosthetic group to produce the spectral changes (31–33). This can be considered a type of induced-fit mechanism, or at least one that would appear to be in the kinetic analysis. However, in several cases a pure induced-fit mechanism could not fit the data (e.g. Figs. 4, 5, 7, and 8), and a conformational-selection model was more appropriate. An issue with a pure conformational-selection model for a P450 is that the initial conformational equilibrium (Fig. 2) should be independent of the substrate used, and the only major difference in the kinetics with different substrates should be in k₋₂, the k_{on} rate constant (Fig. 2), in that k_{on} (k₋₂) should be similar for different substrates.
Figure 9. Binding of midazolam to P450 3A4 (data from Ref. 32). P450 3A4 (2 μM) was mixed with midazolam (20 (red), 40 (green), 60 (dark blue), 80 (gold), 100 (light blue), and 150 (magenta) μM). A, double-exponential fits to data from (32) at varying midazolam concentrations. B, plots of biexponential rates (A) versus midazolam concentration: fast rate (■), slow rate (▲). C, fits to induced-fit model (Fig. 2) with \( k_1 \) = 3.6 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, \( k_{-1} \) = 70 s⁻¹, \( k_2 \) = 3.4 s⁻¹, and \( k_{-2} \) = 4.5 s⁻¹ (\( \varepsilon_{390-418} \) 28 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹). D, fits to conformation selection model (Fig. 2) with \( k_1 \) = 1.8 s⁻¹, \( k_{-1} \) = 1.6 s⁻¹, \( k_2 \) = 0.2 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and \( k_{-2} \) = 8.1 s⁻¹ (\( \varepsilon_{390-418} \) 10 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Figure 10. Binding of midazolam and P450 3A4 as functions of concentration of each component. A, P450 3A4 (2 μM) was mixed with the indicated concentration of midazolam (color schemes match the indicated concentrations used). B, midazolam (5 μM) was mixed with the indicated concentration of P450 3A4 (dialyzed before use to remove glycerol). Color schemes match the indicated P450 3A4 concentrations used for mixing. C, plot of single-exponential rates (A) (fitted using KinTek Explorer) versus midazolam concentration. D, plot of single-exponential rates (from B) (fitted with KinTek Explorer) versus P450 3A4 concentration. E, combined data points from C and D (varying P450 3A4, ●; varying midazolam, □). The decreased signal/noise ratio with the higher P450 3A4 concentration used in B is due to the use of a 4-mm path length cell to reduce the absorbance of the Soret band.
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Table 2
Classification of human P450s in terms of binding modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simple 2-state</th>
<th>Conformational selection</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2A6</td>
<td>17A1 (7)†</td>
<td>2E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27C1</td>
<td>2D6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2C8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3A4 (7)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4A11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Number of substrates analyzed.

In the review of the manuscript, one of the referees suggested that a possible explanation for the need to use biexponential fits for the substrate-binding traces was the existence of two noninterconverting enzyme conformations. We tried to fit some of the binding data with two $E + S \Leftrightarrow ES$ equilibria. The model began to fit, but the amplitude was problematic and, as might be expected from the magnitude of the values of the slow exponential phases of binding (see several of the other figures, e.g., Figs. 5–9), the $k_{on}$ rate constants needed to be $< 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, which is unrealistic for a simple diffusion-limited reaction (see below) and would require the introduction of additional steps. Also, a model with two noninterconverting forms of the enzyme, in which one form bound the substrate but was spectrally silent, could not fit the data.

In our analysis, $E'S$ (Fig. 2) has the $H_2O$ ligand at partially removed and the heme iron atom at least partially in the high-spin state, giving the final spectra (1, 11–15), except in the case of P450 2E1 (Fig. 6). However, in principle it might be possible for the conformational equilibrium ($E$ interconverting with $E'$) to be the result of a low-/high-spin equilibrium, i.e., in the absence of substrate both low- and high-spin iron might be present and only the high-spin form would bind the substrate. However, if this were the case then a P450 should exist in a mixed-spin state population (in the absence of ligand). This has been observed with some P450s, e.g., P450 2E1 (61), and P450 1A2 is isolated essentially only in the high-spin configuration (62) and cannot be used in binding studies of the type done here (31). However, this cannot be a general explanation for the kinetic observations presented here and elsewhere (52), because the analysis of P450 17A1 binding is consistent with a ratio of 0.4–0.7 (52) of two conformations, using the estimated forward and reverse rates of conformational change (termed $k_r$ and $k_{-r}$ in the nomenclature of Vogt and Di Cera (37)). When we analyzed our preparation of (unliganded) P450 17A1 using second-derivative analysis (63), the preparation was $\geq 95\%$ low-spin (Fig. S4). Also, analysis of the data for P450 3A4 (Fig. 10), with the approach of Vogt and Di Cera (37), gives $k_{-r} = 1.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $(k_r + k_{-r}) = 1.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$, so $k_r/k_{-r} = 0.5/1.1 = 0.5$. However, our second derivative analysis showed that the preparation was $\geq 95\%$ low-spin (Fig. S4) and this finding would not be consistent with the $k_r/k_{-r}$ ratios or the rate constants used in the modeling.

The fits presented here are intended to employ the most minimal mechanisms and are admittedly less than perfect, with the goal of trying to identify main features. In the application of FitSpace software (KinTek Explorer) (64), the estimated rate constants could not be concluded to be highly constrained (data not shown) due to the lack of independent data sets to restrain the modeling. Another caveat of this work is that we have not extensively considered a large number of the substrates for the “drug-metabolizing” human P450s (e.g., P450s 2D6, 3A4, 2E1), which have many substrates.

The most generally appropriate value of a $k_{on}$ rate constant for an enzyme is uncertain. Although diffusion-limited values have been considered by some to be in the range of $10^8$–$10^9 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (65), others have suggested lower values ($10^5$–$10^7 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) (66) in the absence of intermolecular forces (e.g., charge). The values we used are in this range. Lower rates (e.g., $< 10^{-3} \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (20)) are often reported for surface plasmon resonance studies, but these are very compromised by surface artifacts (67).

In previous work with P450 3A4 we concluded that an induced-fit mechanism was an appropriate explanation for the multiphasic binding kinetics (33). This work was done before the theoretical framework for distinguishing mechanisms by concentration dependence of kinetics was published (37, 53). One argument against conformational-selection is that observed rates of binding are different and P450 3A4 ligands varied considerably (32, 33). However, it is possible that more than two conformational states may be involved (Fig. 2), and for instance, we might be using $E$, $E'$, and $E''$ in different cases with $E'$ being only a minor component or in slow equilibrium with the other forms (for binding certain ligands). The argument for induced-fit that we advanced in our 2007 report on P450 3A4 (33) was based on the results of a double-mixing experiment with indinavir followed by testosterone (Fig. 7 of Ref. 33). This experiment is complicated, in that the absorbance change ($A_{390}$ increase) seen with binding testosterone is in the opposite direction of that seen with indinavir ($A_{405}$ decrease) and at that time we did not resolve the spectra. We did observe a slow decrease in the amplitude of the testosterone response as a function of the time elapsed after indinavir was incubated (before the addition of testosterone). Also, as pointed out in the report (33), a conformational-selection model does not necessarily exclude silent steps. Furthermore, our more recent work with the dye/substrate Nile Red showed transient absorbance-silent (but fluorescent) changes with P450 17A1, an enzyme for which a conformational-selection mechanism was demonstrated (52).

Pearson et al. (20) also studied ketoconazole binding to P450 3A4 (Fig. S3), as well as itraconazole. As pointed out here, ketoconazole is both an inhibitor and a substrate, and the same applies to itraconazole. Pearson et al. (20) developed a model in which free P450 3A4 could bind ketoconazole in either of two modes. This possibility certainly cannot be ruled out and is not inconsistent with our own conclusions, except that the surface plasmon resonance method used (20) yielded $k_{on}$ rate constants of only $1–4 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, which are inconsistent with our own values in solution experiments. As pointed out earlier, surface plasmon resonance experiments involve bound molecules and are subject to surface artifacts (67).

Recently Montemiglio and co-workers (68) used a double-mixing approach to conclude that a bacterial P450, P450 OleP, utilizes a conformational-selection mechanism in binding 6-deoxyerythronolide B. As in the case of our earlier results (33), it is not clear that this particular mixing experiment proves conformational-selection. In the P450 3A4 experiment (33) the
binding of indinavir was very tight ($K_d$ 0.3 $\mu$M) and the P450 3A4 and indinavir concentrations were both 8 $\mu$M, so that essentially all indinavir would be complexed with the P450 if it bound before testosterone (33). In the P450 OleP study (68), the $K_d$ was 5 $\mu$M and the 6-deoxyerythronolide B and clotrimazole concentrations were 100 and 5–25 $\mu$M, respectively, so that there was competition for binding of these two ligands to P450 OleP. Nevertheless, the bulk of the other kinetic work by Montemiglio and co-workers (68) presents valid evidence for the involvement of conformational-selection for P450 OleP binding of 6-deoxyerythronolide B, and we do not dispute the overall conclusion.

The studies with the bacterial P450s OleP (68) and EryK (69) both implicate conformational-selection in the binding of substrates. In both cases the proteins are monomeric. We have not directly assessed the oligomeric state of any of our human P450s (except for P450 17A1, for which only about one-half is monomeric as judged by size exclusion chromatography (52)), and oligomerization is a general mode for mammalian P450s when they have been analyzed (70, 71). Changes in oligomerization, either in the degree of oligomerization or rearrangement within an oligomer, could be part of the process of conformational-selection and cannot be ruled out (72).

Bacterial P450cam (P450 101A1) is probably the most extensively characterized P450, from a biophysical standpoint (55). It is a monomeric enzyme and was the first P450 to be crystallized; numerous crystal structures of the enzyme at various steps in the catalytic cycle, with several ligands, are now available. There has been some controversy about the roles of open and closed forms in catalysis, particularly in the complexes with its accessory electron transfer partner putidaredoxin (73, 74). This seemingly simple P450 is also complex due to the issue of multiple ligand occupancy (74–77). Furthermore, conversion of high-spin iron back to low-spin occurs upon binding of the second molecule of camphor (substrate) (75, 77). Relevant to the present work is the multiplicity of X-ray crystal structures of ligand-free P450cam existing in both closed (78) and open (79) forms. NMR spectroscopy and molecular dynamic work also lead to the conclusion that ferric P450cam exists in an ensemble of conformations in the substrate-free form (80).

However, we are not aware that any kinetic binding studies have been published on the binding of substrate as it relates to this phenomenon. We are also not aware if the presence of bound putidaredoxin affects the binding of any substrates to P450cam.

Another issue to consider is the complexity of other P450 reactions. For instance, the reduction of ferric P450 by NADPH-P450 reductase is often biphasic (but not always) (6). Complex explanations have been presented to account for this including membrane organization (81), flavin electron transfer (82), and spin state (83), although the latter cannot be general, e.g. case of P450 1A2 (6). One possibility is that ensembles of conformational forms of both free and substrate-bound P450 exist in equilibrium and are reduced at different rates, just as different ensembles bind ligands at different rates.

One point of discussion is that models for conformational-selection are generally restricted to two entities but that is probably not the limit, e.g. see Benkovic et al. (84). Multiple conformational explanations may be relevant and, as mentioned earlier, be responsible for variations in the rates of binding of P450 3A4 (32, 33), even if the rates of conversion in the unliganded state are independent of the ligand. Realistically it is not useful to include more than two species in most efforts at kinetic modeling, in the absence of evidence that more conformations exist, due to the complexity. Another complicating issue is that we have built our models (Fig. 2) with the assumption that only one form of the enzyme can bind the substrate, but we cannot rule out the possibility that two (or more) forms both bind substrate (even yielding the expected spectral changes) and only one is poised for productive catalysis (20).

Another issue is that accessory proteins might modify the ligand binding behavior of a P450 (or other enzyme). In this regard, Scott (85) has reported that the presence of the iron-sulfur protein partner adrenodoxin enhances the binding of the substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone to P450 11B2 by 7-fold, and we have repeated this finding. All microsomal P450s bind NADPH-P450 reductase and some also bind cytochrome $b_5$. With human P450 17A1, which is known to interact with cytochrome $b_5$ (86), we found that cytochrome $b_5$ did not modify the binding of the substrate 17a-hydroxyprogrenolone (52). No effect of NADPH-P450 reductase was seen in binding of substrates to P450s 1A2, 2A6, 2D6, and 3A4 (87). However, we have not systematically examined other P450s in this regard or for the effect of binding NADPH-P450 reductase or cytochrome $b_5$ on the binding of substrates.

We have analyzed P450s with multiple substrates (Table 2) and concluded that conformational-selection was the dominant model in each case, as we did with P450 17A1 and seven steroids (52). In the case of P450 3A4 we analyzed data with four ligands (Figs. 9 and 10 and Figs. S1, S2, and S3). With P450 3A4 the patterns were rather consistent, but only in the case of the substrate midazolam did we extend the analysis to definitively corroborate conformational-selection (Fig. 10). To some extent the question of an induced-fit mechanism versus conformational-selection could be dependent upon the ligand. Although the phenomenon of conformational-selection should be independent of the ligand, the possibility exists that with a particular ligand an induced-fit phenomenon might be operative to the extent of overwhelming the overall nature of the observed kinetics. Another possibility, already mentioned, is the existence of more than two conformations and the preference of some to bind to a particular ligand.

Although we have done some analysis with nine human P450s in this and previous work (7, 30, 52), there are 48 other human P450s and we cannot comment on their behavior. With some of the mammalian P450s, the binding of substrates does not induce spectral changes (18, 62). Moreover, the weaker spectral changes seen with some of the P450-ligand associations are more difficult to analyze (e.g. Figs. 4, 7, and 8) and probably preclude detailed analysis of the type done in Fig. 10 by varying the protein concentration (53).

In conclusion, we analyzed a number of human P450s that we had interest in and were available in our laboratory. Some of the
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analyses were more difficult because of the weak spectral changes observed upon binding, but these did show the decrease in binding rates with increasing ligand concentration that is characteristic of conformational-selection (53). P450 3A4 binding rates increased with substrate concentrations but the detailed kinetic analysis with midazolam binding revealed a conformation selection mechanism due to the discordance of second-order rates of binding (Fig. 10E) (53). We conclude that many of the human P450s appear to bind their substrates via a conformational-selection mode.

Experimental procedures
Chemicals

Except for the synthesized chemical (see below), all others were purchased from Sigma and used without further purification.

Hexyl isonicotinate (isonicotinic acid hexyl ester)

Hexyl isonicotinate was synthesized by the condensation of isonicotinic acid with 1-hexanol using 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide and 4-dimethylaminopyridine in (CH$_3$)$_2$NCHO as described (17) in 66% yield: high resolution MS: calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{18}$NO$_2$, found 208.1332, 208.1341, 24.3 ppm. UV $\lambda_{max}$ (CH$_3$OH) 275 nm, $\varepsilon_{275}$ 1.780 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$), $\delta$ 0.91 (t, 3H, -CH$_3$), 1.3–1.4 (m, 8H, -CH$_2$), 4.3 (t, 2H, -OCH$_2$), 7.85 (dd, 2H, H-3 (ring)), 8.75 (dd, 2H, H-2 (ring))

Enzymes

Human P450s 2C8 (88), 2D6 (89), 2E1 (61), 3A4 (90, 91), 4A11 (27), and 21A2 (29) were expressed with C-terminal oligo-His tags (and slightly modified N-terminal amino acid sequences to improve expression) in Escherichia coli and purified to near electrophoretic homogeneity as described previously. All of these constructs have some truncation of the N terminus, but our work (27, 29, 61, 88–91) and that of others in the field all show what are expected to be full catalytic activities (92). With regard to the effect of truncation on conformation, it is difficult to answer completely, in that in only one case in which a crystal structure of a full-length mammalian P450 has been reported (93) and with all of the P450 structures the N-terminal tail is unstructured and has too much motion to be seen in the crystals (21).

Measurement of kinetics of binding

All measurements were made using an OLIS RSM-1000 stopped-flow spectrophotometer (On-Line Instrument Systems, Bogart, GA) in the rapid scanning mode with a 20 × 4 mm cell, 1.24 mm slits, and 600 line/500 nm gratings at 23 °C. In the cases where P450 heme absorbance was high (e.g. Fig. 10B, $A_{418}$ > 1), a 4-mm path length cell (4 × 4 mm) was utilized. For collection time periods of $\leq$4 s, data were collected at 1000 scans/s. For time periods of $\geq$4 s, 62 scans/s were collected in the signal averaging mode. The wavelength range was 330–570 nm.

The general measurement mode involved mixing one syringe containing 2–4 μM P450 (in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) with an equal volume of the same buffer containing varying concentrations of substrate or other ligand.

The data were saved as Excel files and most were converted to $\Delta A_{max} - A_{min}$ files (usually $\Delta A_{390}-A_{418}$, except $\Delta A_{430}-A_{410}$ with hexyl isonicotinate binding to P450 2E1). The resulting Excel files were corrected to $\Delta A_{0} = 0 = 0$ and saved as txt files for import into the KinTek Explorer program.

Kinetic modeling

All work was done with KinTek Explorer® software (KinTek, Snowshoe PA) using an Apple iMac OSX 10.13.6 system and Explorer Version 8.0 (2018) (54). txt files were imported directly into the program.

The general procedure involved an initial overall analysis a family of traces of $\Delta A$ versus time (varying substrate concentration), with a series of single exponential fits for each. The individual rates were plotted versus the substrate concentration. This analysis was followed by a series of biexponential fits of all traces and then plotting both rates (fast and slow phases) versus substrate concentration. From these plots a conclusion was reached whether the system followed a single 2-state or a more complex model, based on whether a plot of the apparent rate versus substrate concentration was linear.

Attempts were made to globally fit the data to either an induced-fit model (Model 1, Equations 1 and 2),

$$E + S \rightleftharpoons ES (k_r, k_s) \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

$$ES \rightleftharpoons ES^* (k_2, k_3) \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

with $E$, P450; $S$, substrate; $ES$, initial substrate complex; $ES^*$, final substrate complex and only $ES^*$ being observed (*), or to a conformational-selection model (Model 2, Equations 3 and 4),

$$E \rightleftharpoons E' (k_r, k_s) \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

$$E' + S \rightleftharpoons E'S^* (k_2, k_3) \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

with $E$ and $E'$ being alternate conformational forms of P450; $S$ being the substrate, and $E'S$ being the only observed P450-substrate complex (Fig. 2).

Individual rate constants for both forward and reverse steps and the $e$ (the extinction coefficient) were adjusted manually to obtain the most general fits with the various models, whereas attempting to hold (i) the $k_{on}$ rate ($E + S \rightarrow ES$ or $E' + S \rightarrow E'S$) $\geq 0.5 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ if possible and (ii) matching the maximum absorbance reached at the end of the reaction.
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