The host-defense peptide piscidin P1 reorganizes lipid domains in membranes and decreases activation energies in mechanosensitive ion channels

Received for publication, July 17, 2019, and in revised form, October 1, 2019. Published, Papers in Press, October 16, 2019, DOI 10.1074/jbc.RA119.010232

Fatih Comert,1, Alexander Greenwood,1, Joseph Maramba,1, Roderick Acevedo,1, Laura Lucas,1, Thulasi Kulasinghe,1, Leah S. Cairns,1, Yi Wen,2, Riqiang Fu,1, Janet Hammer,5, Jack Blazyk,5, Sergei Sukharev,6, Myriam L. Cotten,2, and Mihaela Mihaiescu1,3

From the 1Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, Rockville, Maryland 20850, the 2Department of Applied Science, William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185, the 3Biological Department, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, the 4Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, the 5Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, and the 6Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, the 7Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, the 8Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, and the 9Department of Biomedical Sciences, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701

Edited by Ursula Jakob

The host-defense peptide (HDP) piscidin P1 (P1), isolated from the mast cells of striped bass, has potent activities against bacteria, viruses, fungi, and cancer cells and can also modulate the activity of membrane receptors. Given its broad pharmacological potential, here we used several approaches to better understand its interactions with multicomponent bilayers representing models of bacterial (phosphatidylethanolamine (PE)/phosphatidylglycerol) and mammalian (phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol (PC/Chol)) membranes. Using solid-state NMR, we solved the structure of P1 bound to PC/Chol and compared it with that of P3, a less potent homolog. The comparison disclosed that although both peptides are interfacially bound and α-helical, they differ in bilayer orientations and depths of insertion, and these differences depend on bilayer composition. Although Chol is thought to make mammalian membranes less susceptible to HDP-mediated destabilization, we found that Chol does not affect the permeabilization effects of P1. X-ray diffraction experiments revealed that both piscidins produce a demixing effect in PC/Chol membranes by increasing the fraction of the Chol-depleted phase. Furthermore, P1 increased the temperature required for the lamellar-to–hexagonal phase transition in PE bilayers, suggesting that it imposes positive membrane curvature. Patch-clamp measurements on the inner Escherichia coli membrane showed that P1 and P3, at concentrations sufficient for antimicrobial activity, substantially decrease the activating tension for bacterial mechanosensitive channels. This indicated that piscidins can cause lipid redistribution and restructuring in the microenvironment near proteins. We conclude that the mechanism of piscidin’s antimicrobial activity extends beyond simple membrane destabilization, helping to rationalize its broader spectrum of pharmacological effects.

Cationic host-defense peptides (HDPs)4 represent an interesting class of membrane-active peptides that have evolved as part of innate immunity to eradicate life-threatening pathogens while having a low incidence of bacterial resistance. The HDP piscidin P1 (FFHHIFRGIVHVGKTIHRLVTG), isolated from the mast cells of striped bass (1–3), is the most potent known member of the piscidin family. It exhibits strong antimicrobial activity against a large number of Gram-positive and -negative bacteria, including methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), with minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) in the 1–10 μmol/liter range. Notably, P1 is one of the few HDPs known to exhibit broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity while also having anti-HIV-1 (4) and anticancer properties (5). Furthermore, it displays considerable adaptability to high-salt concentrations and changing pH conditions (1, 6).

In vivo, multiple piscidins are deployed during bacterial infections. They kill bacteria at both basic (extracellularly) and acidic (phagosomes) pH values (1, 3, 7). Thus, piscidins, collect-

4The abbreviations used are: HDP, host-defense peptide; P1 and P3, piscidins 1 and 3 (antimicrobial peptides from hybrid striped bass); PC, phosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PG, phosphatidylglycerol; Chol, cholesterol; POPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; POPG, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol; dPPE, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine; LUV, large unilamellar vesicle; GUV, giant unilamellar vesicle; P/L, peptide to lipid molar ratio; MscL, large conductance mechanosensitive ion channel; MscS, small conductance mechanosensitive ion channel; PDB, Protein Data Bank; DSPC, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; TAMRA, carboxytetramethylrhodamine; HETCOR, two-dimensional heteronuclear correlation; Mic, minimal inhibitory concentration; Cl, cardiolipin; DSC, differential scanning calorimetry; T, tesla; TFE, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol; MS, mechanosensitive.
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**Activity of piscidins at heterogeneous membranes**

Potently cationic HDPs, such as piscidins (P1 and P3) exhibit pH-resiliency and anesthetic properties (11), indicating that it has immuno-modulatory effects on host cells. Furthermore, both P1 and its homolog, P3, were found to concentrate at septal regions (25). Importantly, several studies have demonstrated that septal regions are rich in nonlamellar-forming lipids such as PE and CL (Ref. 15 and references therein). Apart from sustaining membrane properties, these structurally-labile regions must allow extreme topological bilayer transformations, thereby rendering them particularly susceptible to the disruptive effects of HDPs.

Given that the impact of sequence variability on the interactions of P1 and P3 with mimics of bacterial cell membranes was previously addressed (8, 25, 26), this article focuses on the more membrane-active member, P1, and P3 is used for comparative purposes. With the main goal of better understanding the modes of action of P1 in heterogeneous membranes, we investigated the interactions of this peptide with a few multicomponent membrane systems, including mixtures of PC with Chol, PE with PG, as well as the natural inner cell membrane of Escherichia coli. We present structural and functional experimental data that reveal how P1 exploits lipid domain formation for its multifaceted action in heterogeneous membranes, including changing lipid domain distributions and ion channel activities. This new knowledge helps us better understand the broad range of P1 biological activities.

**Results**

**Permeabilization assays of POPC/Chol vesicles in the presence of P1 and P3**

Membrane permeabilization by membrane-active peptides is well-known to occur in a concentration-dependent fashion, with the threshold concentration for activity correlating with the reorientation and deeper insertion of the peptides in membranes (27–29). We prepared 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) and 4:1 POPC/Chol large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) containing trapped calcein and measured the ability of P1 and P3 to release the fluorescent dye as a function of the complex-to–lipid molar ratio (P/L). The assays were done at pH 7.4 when the histidine side chains are neutral (8). As shown in Fig. 1, P1 and P3 both permeabilize the two types of LUVs, and the threshold at which permeabilization occurs is characterized by a relatively high error bar compared with the other data points, presumably due to the stochastic aspects of the process that underlies leakage (30, 31). P1 is significantly more effective than P3 in both lipid systems, and the addition of Chol does not affect the effectiveness of the peptides. Indeed, the P/L producing 50% permeabilization (EC_{50}) of the POPC LUVs is lower for P1 (1:166) than P3 (1:28) and comparable within the experimental error of 20% whether POPC contains Chol or not (1:130 for P1 and 1:23 for P3). In 3:1 POPC/POPG, the EC_{50} values were P1/L = 1:22 and P3/L = 1:4 (8), whereas in 1:1 POPE/POPG, they were P1/L = 1:10 and P3/L = 1:3 (25). Hence, the peptides appear to be more membrane-active in
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Although the antimicrobial peptide data bank contains more than 3,000 peptides, only 13% have known three-dimensional (3D) structures (33). This is partly explained by the difficulty associated with solving the structures of amphipathic peptides that do not form crystals suitable for structural determination by X-ray diffraction (34, 35). We previously took advantage of oriented sample solid-state NMR to obtain both the structures and orientations of P1 and P3 under native-like conditions, i.e., in the presence of hydrated, fluid phospholipid bilayers (26). More precisely, these structures were solved in the presence of 3:1 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine/1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylglycerol (DMPC/DMPG) and 1:1 POPE/POPG bilayers as mimics for Gram-positive and -negative bacterial cell membranes (26). Rigorous structural determination of peptide structures in the presence of fluid bilayers requires incorporating the effect of peptide dynamics on the NMR restraints (36–39). As shown for the piscidin structures solved in DMPC/DMPG and POPE/POPG, 15N anisotropic chemical shifts (CSAs) and 15N–1H dipolar couplings (DCs) extracted from two-dimensional spectra of 15N-labeled piscidins provided accurate structural restraints that are highly sensitive to not only secondary structure but also the orientation of the peptides with respect to the bilayer normal. In particular, the 15N–1H DCs exhibit a strong dependence on the tilts of the helical axis (τ) and average azimuthal (ρ) angles adopted by the piscidin peptides bound to bilayers, and thus they can be used to reveal their kinked structures. Furthermore, we demonstrated that all structural restraints are accurate despite peptide dynamics. Here, we solved the structures of P1 and P3 in a binary 4:1 POPC/Chol mixture, as a way to mimic the zwitterionic nature and cholesterol content found in the outer leaflet of mammalian cell membranes (40).

Following the same approach used previously (26), we obtained 15N–1H DCs and 15N CSAs for P1 and P3 bound to 4:1 POPC/Chol by performing the two-dimensional heteronuclear correlation (HETCOR) experiments (41) on multiple 15N-labeled peptides bound to oriented bilayers. The spectra collected for several multiple-labeled samples of P1 were superimposed to generate Fig. S1.

Fig. 2A and Fig. S2A show the lowest energy structures that were calculated upon refinement of the NMR restraints collected for P1 and P3 in 4:1 PC/Chol, at P/L = 1:40. Table S4 summarizes the statistics for the calculated structures. The RMSDs for heavy atoms, which are 1.16 and 1.12 Å for P1 and P3, respectively, are based on considering the top 10 structures and focusing on the residues that exhibit α-helicity without fraying, i.e., residues 3–20. These RMSD values demonstrate the excellent agreement between the different restraints used for the structural determination.

The structures of P1 and P3 in PC/Chol underscore several important features. First, similarly to the structures determined in PC/PG and PE/PG, those obtained in PC/Chol exhibit highly α-helical and kinked structures, indicating that secondary structure is not significantly affected by membrane composition (26). Kinking in the middle of the peptides is mostly due to the rotation of the helix (characterized by the ρ angle) being different on each side of the conserved glycine at position 13. Such structural imperfection enables the peptides to optimize their hydrophobic moment in the presence of the bilayer (26).

As shown in Fig. 2C and Fig. S2C, the α-helix of P1 is more rotated at its N- than C-terminal end, as reflected by larger ρN values than ρC values, respectively (Table S5). Furthermore, P1 adopts a significantly larger ρN value than P3. Hence, ρ is an orienta-

---

Figure 2. NMR structures of P1 bound to POPC/Chol fluid-oriented multilayers. A, structure of P1 bound to 4:1 POPC/Chol fluid bilayers studied at 32 °C (PDB code 6PF0). The NMR samples were prepared at pH 7.4 using a P/L of 1:40. The structure, which represents the lowest-energy member of the ensemble of structural conformers, is displayed for a peptide partitioned in the upper leaflet of the bilayer. Hence, the basic (stick representation) and hydrophobic side chains point upward and downward, respectively. Gray lines represent the average position of the C2 atoms of the lipids, based on prior molecular dynamic determinations (42), and yellow circles indicate the position of the peptide center of mass. The RMSD between the top 10 structures is 1.14 Å in the α-helical region that experiences no fraying (residues 3–20) (see Table S4). B, structure of P1 as solved previously in 1:1 POPE/POPG (PDB code 2MCV) (26). C, helical wheel diagram of P1 in 4:1 POPC/Chol. The kink at a central glycine, Gly-13, allows the two halves of the helix to rotate independently around the helical axis, as indicated by different ρN and ρC values, for the N- and C-terminal regions, respectively (26). Red arrows and values represent the rotation angles for P1 in POPE/POPG for comparison, and the orange arrow represents the direction of the hydrophobic moment (µH).
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Figure 3. X-ray diffraction data for POPC/Chol in the presence of P1 and P3. A, a lamellar diffraction from multilayers of a binary mixture 2:1 POPC/Chol without peptides (black), with P1 (blue), and P3 (red). All samples were measured at 98% relative humidity and 25 °C. A Chol-rich phase (C) separates from a peptide-rich lipid phase (L). Labels show the diffraction order index for the two separate phases. B, electron density (ED) profiles of the C phase for P1 (blue) and P3 (red) compared with a profile for a neat 2:1 POPC/Chol bilayer. The corresponding repeat spacing, d (bilayer thickness), is expected to thin the bilayer (Fig. S3) due to an area expansion mismatch in the thicknesses of the two coexisting phases (Fig. 3B). The two phases, L and C, correspond to a homogeneous phase at a single repeat spacing of 0.5 or below do not show phase separation. Our data confirm that a mixture of 2:1 POPC/Chol, prepared as oriented multilayers, yields one set of equidistant diffraction peaks corresponding to a homogeneous phase at a single repeat spacing (Fig. 3A). Adding P1 or P3 to the POPC/Chol binary mixture causes the appearance of an additional set of Bragg peaks. Partitioning of amphipathic peptides at the bilayer-water interface is expected to thin the bilayer (Fig. S3) due to an area expansion at constant hydrocarbon density, a feature commonly observed in the presence of many membrane-active peptides (44–46).

X-ray diffraction studies of POPC/Chol in the presence of P1 and P3

We investigated lamellar samples containing P1 or P3 in the binary mixture of POPC and Chol at various P/L values and POPC/Chol molar ratios by X-ray diffraction. As reported previously (43), PC/Chol binary mixtures at Chol molar fractions of 0.5 or below do not show phase separation. Our data confirm that a mixture of 2:1 POPC/Chol, prepared as oriented multilayers, yields one set of equidistant diffraction peaks corresponding to a homogeneous phase at a single repeat spacing (Fig. 3A). Adding P1 or P3 to the POPC/Chol binary mixture causes the appearance of an additional set of Bragg peaks. Partitioning of amphipathic peptides at the bilayer-water interface is expected to thin the bilayer (Fig. S3) due to an area expansion at constant hydrocarbon density, a feature commonly observed in the presence of many membrane-active peptides (44–46).

However, Chol clustering with lipids causes lipid-chain ordering and stretching, resulting in a thicker bilayer (47, 48). The mismatch in the thicknesses of the two coexisting phases (domains) in a bilayer together with stacking of like-domains across the multilayers give rise to separate sets of Bragg peaks, explaining the two distinct repeat spacings. The two phases, which differ in bilayer thicknesses by more than 2 Å (Fig. 3A, inset), can be described as an Lα phase, rich in Chol (“C” phase), and an Ld phase depleted of Chol and enriched with peptide (“Ld” phase). The C phase displays a slightly thicker bilayer in the presence of peptide, compared with neat (pure) POPC/Chol (Fig. 3B). This can be explained by an increased density of Chol in the C region, as Chol is pushed away by the peptide. In contrast, the electron density profiles of the peptide-perturbed L phase (Fig. 3C) relative to the pure POPC reveal that the bilayer suffers massive perturbations in the presence of piscidins, with P1 being more disruptive than P3, based on the extent of smearing detected in the profiles. The disorder is so significant that...
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**Figure 4. Fluorescence microscopy in GUVs treated with P1 and P3.** GUVs are made of raft-forming lipid mixtures with P1 and P3. **A**, P1-TAMRA (red); **B**, Ld phase indicator, Fastdio (green); **C**, P3-TAMRA (red); and **D**, Ld phase indicator, Fastdio (green). The dark regions on the GUV surface correspond to the cholesterol-rich Ld domains. The scale bars represent 10 μm.

the segregation of polar–nonpolar regions of the bilayer is almost lost. This is accompanied by a significant bilayer thinning compared with a neat POPC bilayer (Fig. S3).

To further investigate the appearance of two phases in the presence of piscidin, we performed experiments at other P/L and POPC/Chol ratios (Fig. S4, **A** and **B**). We noted that the phase separation persists even at a lower Chol fraction (e.g., 4:1 POPC/Chol) if enough peptide is present (P/L > 1:25), but it is not observed at lower peptide fractions, including the P/L of 1:40 used for the solid-state NMR structural studies (Fig. S4B). This suggests that the separation occurs when the P/L and POPC/Chol reach specific threshold concentrations. Interestingly, at P/L = 1:25, P1 is near the threshold concentration for −100% dye leakage from POPC and POPC/Chol liposomes (Fig. 1) but only near the leakage midpoint for the POPC/POPG liposomes (8). Thus, phase separation and maximum bilayer disruption appear to be correlated. Overall, these data indicate that both P1 and P3 trigger phase separation in POPC/Chol mixtures with preference for occupying the Chol-depleted L-phase of POPC, thus causing an effective increase in local peptide density. This, in turn, can exacerbate local bilayer deformations and peptide-induced permeabilization as indicated by our neutron diffraction (Fig. 3C) and dye-leakage (Fig. 1) data, respectively.

**Fluorescence microscopy of P1 and P3 in raft-forming mixtures**

To confirm the possibility that piscidin preferentially partitions in the Ld phase, we investigated the partitioning preferences of P1 and P3 in giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) prepared from raft-forming lipid/Chol mixtures. The fluorescent lipid FastDio was shown to preferentially partition in the Ld phase (49). As shown in Fig. 4, the location of the TAMRA-labeled peptides (red) coincided with the FastDio-labeled lipid (green), confirming that both P1 and P3 colocalize with the Ld phase.

**X-ray diffraction studies of POPE/POPG mixtures in the presence of P1 and P3**

PE and PG lipids are major components of the bacterial membranes, with PE constituting roughly 80% of *E. coli* phospholipids (50). Within the temperature range of 0 to 100 °C, POPE shows two main transitions: a gel–to–fluid (Lα to Lα′) transition at 25 °C and a fluid lamellar (Lα) to inverted hexagonal (HII) phase at around 75 °C (Fig. S5A), whereas POPG is in a fluid lamellar (Lα) phase. Our diffraction data from lamellar samples made of POPE and POPG show that at temperatures below 25 °C, the gel phase of POPE separates from the fluid phase of POPG (Fig. 5A). This behavior changes dramatically in the presence of P1, as the multiple sets of peaks merge into one at temperatures as low as 15 °C (Fig. 5B). The gel phase “melts” into a unified fluid phase at temperatures well below the melting transition for pure POPE. A similar trend is found for P3 (Fig. S6); however, the phase mixing happens at slightly higher temperatures, indicating that P1 is more efficient in altering the phase state behavior of POPE. To uncover the connection between phase behavior and physical location of the peptide in these type of bilayers, we performed differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements coupled with neutron diffraction in PE lipids, as described below.

**Differential scanning calorimetry of POPE/POPG and diPoPE in the presence of P1 and P3**

Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) lipids are characterized by cone-shaped molecular geometry, due to the small PE headgroup area compared with the acyl tails. In addition, the PE headgroup can form extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonds with other PE molecules (51). Hence, this lipid possesses a large negative spontaneous curvature (52, 53). These properties make PE lipids prone to packing into a tight gel phase at low temperatures and forming nonlamellar structures at higher temperatures. A gel–to–fluid phase transition is found at T<sub>m</sub> = 25 °C for POPE, and at 20 °C for 3:1 POPE/POPG (Fig. S5A). Addition of P1 appears to cause “tailing” of this transition toward the low temperature side (Fig. 6A). A similar trend is found for P3 (Fig. S5B). Other HDPs in similar lipid mixtures were found to produce a splitting into two close transitions, presumably because the cationic peptides segregate with the anionic lipids (54, 55). Although the lipid segregation is not obvious from our DSC scans for P1 (P3), we do notice a broadening of this main transition in the presence of peptides, when compared with the pure POPE/POPG lipid (Fig. 6A and Fig. S5B). This may explain the accelerated melting of POPE in the presence of piscidin, similar to that caused by increasing the sample temperature.

Because POPE shows an Lα to HII transition at T<sub>α</sub> = 75 °C, which is far from physiological temperatures, dipalmitoyl-PE (diPoPE, T<sub>p</sub> = 43 °C) can be used for a more amenable detection of this phase transition (56). When we added small amounts of P1 to diPoPE (P/L = 1:350), we detected a strong Lα to HII transition that occurred 5 °C higher compared with pure diPoPE (Fig. 6B). The difference suggests that the peptide...
imposes positive curvature strain, opposing the intrinsic negative curvature of the lipid, thus delaying the transition to the hexagonal phase. The types of interactions that dominate the association of lipids in the bilayer are as follows: water repulsion from the hydrocarbon region; van der Waals between the hydrocarbon chains; tight solvation of the PE headgroups; and hydrogen bonding between headgroups. Notably, the enthalpy of the \( \beta \) to HII transition is larger in the presence of P1 compared with the pure lipid (Fig. 6B), indicating that P1 affects the forces acting between the PE lipids. The following question then arises. How would P1 distribute in the bilayer to create such an effect? To answer this question, we employed neutron diffraction and peptide deuterium labeling, as described below.

**Neutron diffraction profiles of diPoPE bilayers with deuterated P1**

We incorporated a deuterated form of P1 (\( d_{33} \)-P1 = \( I_{5} d_{10} F_{6} d_{5} L_{19} d_{10} V_{20} d_{8} \)) in lamellar samples of diPoPE containing a small amount (5% molar) of POPG or \( d_{31} \)-POPG. The incorporation of \( d_{31} \)-POPG was needed for a quantitative analysis of the water content by neutron diffraction (Fig. S7) (57). A pair of samples containing P1, in either unlabeled or deuterated (\( d_{33} \)-P1) form, were prepared at the same time. The positions and distributions of the deuterated components in the bilayer were calculated using deuterium contrast (58). This included determining the water profile, via H\(_{2}O\)/\( \overline{2} \)H\(_{2}O\) exchange. Fig. 7 shows the resulting deuterium profiles of P1 label (\( d_{33} \)) and water (\( \overline{2} \)H\(_{2}O\)) relative to the overall profile of the diPoPE bilayer containing P1 in a nondeuterated form. Only one broad deuterium peak, positioned superficially, in the PE headgroup region, can be distinguished. Although two sites on P1 were deuterated, near the N and C termini, the two sites cannot be parsed out in the profile, indicating that the peptide is oriented roughly parallel to the membrane surface. This is to be contrasted with our previous results for P1 in POPC/POPG where a pronounced penetration and tilt in the bilayer could be identified from the distinct positions of the same two deuterated regions (8).

The superficial location of P1 in diPoPE indicates that the interaction is concentrated in the lipid headgroup area. Water colocalizes with the lipid headgroups and the peptide at the water--bilayer interface (Fig. 7). All our studies indicate that P1 has a higher propensity to tilt, insert into, and permeabilize PE-containing bilayers. Conceivably, contributing factors include the larger area per headgroup for PC versus PE (by about 10 Å\(^2\), at full hydration) (59) and the higher headgroup hydration, both of which could facilitate the integration of the amphipathic peptide in the bilayer. Indeed, using deuterium for calibration, we determine here that 8.1 waters associate with...
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Piscidins are a family of peptides that are intrinsically designed to sense lateral pressure/tension on membranes and act as protein channels. These channels, known as mechanosensitive (MS) channels, are sensitive to changes in the lateral pressure profile of the membrane, which can be induced by external forces such as stretch or osmotic pressure. Piscidins are known for their ability to sensitize mechanosensitive channels, making them a useful tool for studying the mechanics of membranes.

Each peptide headgroup in diPoPE, compared with 9.4 waters found previously for DOPC (60), both determined at 93% relative humidity. PE has a primary amine in its headgroup, making it capable of forming both intra- and inter-molecular hydrogen bonds. This results in a more densely-packed lipid-water interface compared with a PC membrane, and less room for water and ions to bind. Because P1 resides on the bilayer surface in diPoPE (Fig. 7), it participates in the hydrogen-bonded network with PE headgroups and water. In the HII phase, it was shown that POPE lipid headgroups wrap around water-filled cylindrical channels that are roughly 30 Å in diameter (61). Such channels could accommodate at least one peptide with the helical axis oriented along the cylindrical axis (Fig. 6B, inset). To allow a change in membrane surface topology, as would be the case in a Lα→HII transition, the peptide would need to re-orient its helical axis along the cylindrical axis. Such a peptide re-arrangement could be energetically costly, as suggested by the higher enthalpy for this transition, compared with the pure lipid (Fig. 6B). Taken together, our data show that P1 intercalates between the relatively small PE headgroups disrupting the lipid packing (downward shift in Tm) and opposing the natural tendency of the diPoPE to curve (upward shift in Tm).

Patch-clamp experiments using E. coli spheroplasts in the presence of P1 and P3

The above-observed shifts in the lipid phase behavior and domain distributions in membranes upon interaction with P1 create significant changes in the lateral pressure profiles. Such disruptions could affect ion channel behavior in both bacterial and mammalian cell membranes. To explore the possibility of such an effect with P1 and P3, we investigated the behavior of mechanosensitive channels in E. coli spheroplasts, treated with both peptides (Fig. 8 and Fig. S8). The integral membrane proteins that are intrinsically designed to sense lateral pressure/tension are called mechanosensitive (MS) channels. MscS and MscL channels, which represent the two most understood tension-gated bacterial osmo-regulatory valves (62), are well-characterized, and thus convenient to detect possible perturbations of the lateral pressure profile in the inner bacterial membrane, where most HDPs deploy their membrane activity.

Fig. 8A shows the typical response of a native MS channel population to a linear ramp of pipette pressure (suction). The control curve illustrates two waves of electrical activity: the first wave reflects activation of the low-threshold MscS channels, which saturates, and the second wave represents the population of high-threshold MscL channels. If the shape and curvature of the patch stay constant in the range of activating pressures, the midpoint pressures (p0.5) for the MscS and MscL populations directly reflect activating tensions (63). As shown in Fig. 8, the ratio of p0.5 (MscS) to p0.5 (MscL) is close to 0.6 in the absence of P1. Perfusion of P1 (1.0 µmol/liter) in the chamber bathing the cytoplasmic side of the patch followed by a 15-min equilibration period reproducibly led to a reduction of activation midpoints for both channels. Fig. 8B shows values of pressure midpoints normalized to the p0.5 (MscL) recorded in response to the first ramp (pull) in the absence of peptide. The second and third ramps were applied to make sure that the patch was stable and that the midpoint did not change substantially with time. After the third ramp, P1, was applied to the bath and after a 15-min ramp application, three more sequential ramps were applied. Some patches mechanically broke under the fifth and the sixth ramp application, and for this reason the number of points on the graph decreased with the number of pulls.

The major information gained from these experiments is that the average mid-point values between the third and fourth pulls decrease in the presence of P1 (Fig. 8B). Indeed, the average relative midpoint position shifted from 0.98 ± 0.02 (in the absence of P1) to 0.78 ± 0.09 (in the presence of P1) for MscL and from 0.59 ± 0.01 to 0.50 ± 0.04 for MscS (n = 7). Because the tension midpoint and the in-plane expansion of the channel complex directly reflect the free energy of the opening transition (63), we conclude that for each of these channels the effective transition energy decreased by ~20% in the presence of P1, signifying a substantial change in the way the forces in the lipid bilayer are conveyed to the channels. Fig. S8 illustrates similar experiments performed with P3. Importantly, in all cases we see similar two-wave activation curves and clear unitary MscS currents at the foot of each activation curve signifying that in these curves mechno-activated channel currents are not intermixed with conductances of piscidin-produced pores. The latter appear in E. coli patches at substantially higher voltages (Fig. S9). Both peptides showed comparable effects of midpoint reduction at 1.0 µmol/liter. Lower peptide concentrations (0.1–0.5 µmol/liter) produced less reproducible shifts, whereas all tested patches ruptured under mechanical stimulation in the presence of 2 µmol/liter of either peptide, as both exert strong membrane destabilization. These experiments demonstrate the ability of both P1 and P3 to sensitize mechanosensitive channels in bacterial cell membranes, effectively decreasing the energy input of external tension required for the opening tran-
The observed effects occur at a concentration relevant to the antimicrobial activity of the peptides.

Discussion

Natural membranes are constituted from a variety of lipid species, and their compartmentalization in domains have important biological functions (19, 24). Membrane heterogeneity has received little attention when discussing the mechanisms of action of HDPs. However, it has been observed that anionic lipid clustering caused by cationic HDPs and cell-penetrating peptides can contribute to their mechanism of action (64). P1 differs from other well-studied HDPs in that it exhibits a high adaptability to pH, salinity, and various lipid environments (1, 4–6). This versatility derives partly from P1’s capacity to regulate charge across its four histidine residues, thus controlling its hydrophobicity (8) and, as we propose here, its ability to re-organize membrane microenvironments in either bacterial or mammalian cells, resulting in multifaceted modes of membrane disruption.

As part of this study, we solved the high-resolution structures of P1 and P3 bound to fluid bilayers of 4:1 PC/Chol. This information is essential to testing new hypotheses about the modes of action of HDPs and designing novel therapeutics. Although P1 was found to be fully α-helical in SDS micelles (65) and only 45% structured in dodecyl phosphocholine micelles (66), our studies in native-like bilayers confirmed the trend previously obtained in 1:1 PE/PG and 3:1 PC/PG (26); the peptides are highly α-helical and are generally straight, but they are frayed at their extremities and have a kink described by a 25° rotational change between their N- and C-terminal domains. In a recent investigation (8), we showed that the contrasted histidine content of P1 and P3 correlated with their different directionality of membrane insertion, tilts, insertion depths, and membrane permeabilization effects in PC/PG bilayers. Overall, our multiple studies of two homologous peptides in different lipid environments highlight that stronger membranolytic effects are associated with increased tilting and insertion depth and optimization of the helix rotation in the membrane. Importantly, these three properties (tilt, depth of insertion, and helix orientation) vary as a function of the amino acid composition of the peptides and the composition of the membranes.

Although the formation of secondary structure is a major energetic driving force for the binding of amphipathic peptides to membranes (67), flexing at the central Gly-13 further improves amphipathicity. This maximized amphipathicity together with the high ability of P1 for charge regulation associated with its multiple histidines (8) allow the peptide to strongly anchor itself at the hydrophobic–hydrophilic interface in various lipid systems, independently of the presence of anionic lipids. It is, however, interesting to note that P1 permeabilizes membranes with equal efficacy in zwitterionic membranes whether Chol is present or not (Fig. 1), despite the presumed protective role of Chol against lysis. How can this be explained?

Our investigations in lipid membranes of POPC and Chol, major components of the outer leaflet of mammalian cell membranes, clearly show that P1 causes Chol to separate from a POPC/Chol binary mixture by recruiting phospholipids into a fluid phase (Fig. 3) or partitions exclusively into the disordered phase of a raft-forming mixture (Fig. 4). These reorganizations of the membrane can effectively boost the action of P1 because a higher concentration of peptide and larger deformations can occur in the Chol-depleted domains. As a result, the insertion and tilting of the peptide needed to elicit membrane disruption can occur at lower P/L than in homogeneous bilayers. Similar
behaviors were observed for melittin (68) as well as for pardaxin (69) and scorpion HDPs (40) in binary POPC/Chol mixtures. L_d domains in phase-separated (raft-containing) mixtures were shown to be targets for a diverse set of other antimicrobial peptides (70, 71) and also to harbor both fiber and pore formation by the islet amyloid polypeptide (72). Thus, through their ability to induce phase separation even in simple binary POPC/Chol mixtures, piscidins can also create vulnerable sites of accumulation for other toxic peptides. The clear preference of P1/P3 to induce or partition into the L_d phase indicates that the two piscidins prefer the phase where the hydrocarbon chains are more exposed. As we have shown previously, such exposure is reduced in the presence of Chol (47). Notably, the NMR structures reveal that piscidins adopt the shape of a sharp wedge in the bilayer environment, with side chains distributed in a way that minimizes the footprint of the peptide on the bilayer plane (Fig. S10). This allows the peptides to easily anchor themselves between the lipid headgroups at the hydrophilic–hydrophobic interface and more strongly so in the L_d regions.

In the POPE/POPG mixtures used to characterize bacterial membranes, P1 and P3 have the effect of inhibiting the formation of the gel phase of POPE, thus altering the gel/fluid phase transition in a manner comparable with a significant increase in sample temperature. This effect is likely to interfere with the role of PE lipids as key regulators of bacterial membrane fluidity (73), especially in E. coli membranes that contain up to 80% PE lipids. Furthermore, our DSC results in diPoPE show that P1 also affects the bilayer morphology by imposing positive curvature strain. A similar effect was observed for the MSI-78 peptide (74) and LL-37 (75). Overall, the observed actions of P1 on PE bilayers, which includes loosening of the lipid packing (Fig. 5) and opposing the PE’s intrinsic negative curvature (Fig. 6B), are likely to result in significant changes in the lateral pressure patterns in real bacterial membranes.

We show that in E. coli spheroplasts the action of P1 on the inner leaflet of the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane leads to the reduction of the midpoint pressures for the activation of both MscS and MscL channels, similar to the action of the ion channel toxin GsMtx4 (76). The lateral pressure profile is difficult to determine experimentally, and extensive molecular dynamics simulation would be needed to describe the local protein–bilayer interactions. Based on the data collected here, we propose two possible explanations for the observed effects. On the one hand, when P1 enters the annular layer of lipids around a mechanosensitive channel, it creates a substantial distortion of this layer, thus re-directing the external tension force that reaches the peripheral segments of the channel through protein–lipid interactions. This effect would likely occur due to the bilayer thinning and changes in the membrane intrinsic curvature causing the channels to perceive compressive forces normal to the plane of the membrane (77), thus leading to their activation at lower mechanical thresholds. Interestingly, addition of conical shape lipids such as lyso-PC to bilayers was found to dramatically lower the activation energies of the eukaryotic mechanosensitive channel (TREK-1 and TRAAK) (78) and drive the prokaryotic MscL into an open conformation (77). By analogy, P1 imposes positive curvature on PE bilayers, resulting in similar effects on the activation of McsL and MscS in the PE-rich E. coli membranes. On the other hand, if the peptide becomes a part of the channel–lipid boundary through direct interaction, it may increase the perimeter of this annular zone, thus increasing the total force acting on the channel (force is tension multiplied by perimeter). Effectively, both peptides decrease the energy of the closed–to–open transition by ~25% for MscS (i.e. from 24 to 18 kT (79)), and by 33% (from 58 to 38 kT) for MscL (80). The two proposed explanations are similar in the sense that they imply modification of the protein–lipid boundary (direct or indirect), thus re-directing forces acting from the bilayer to the protein. The peptide sub-lethal concentrations tested here lie just below the MIC ranges for E. coli (2–10 μmol/liter for P1 and 10–20 μmol/liter for P3). Given the relatively large size of the permeation pores of MscL and MscS, loss of osmolytes through open ion channels can already occur at sub-lethal concentrations and before any peptide forms leakage-competent defects, resulting in bacterial growth inhibition. This may partly explain our previous findings that significant leakage of a small sugar analog molecule occurs through live E. coli membranes even at minimal P1 concentrations, well below 1 μmol/liter (25).

Clearly, membrane heterogeneity plays an important role in the overall action of HDPs by creating the ground for preferential localization of HDPs in functionally important membrane regions (e.g. regions of high curvature stress and line boundaries) and opportunities for entry and interference with normal cellular processes. Through the examples of the piscidins P1 and P3, and our results in model lipid membranes, we provide evidence that HDPs are able to exploit the heterogeneity of membranes, or otherwise modify the membrane microenvironment to an extent that impairs function of membrane proteins, thus exhibiting multifaceted modes of action against invading cells. Overall, we find that the effects of the piscidins in either cholesterol-rich mammalian or PE-rich bacterial cell membranes feature, as a common ground, the strong promotion of the disordered phase. The resulting changes in lateral pressure profiles (23, 81) can be significant enough to affect the conformations and functional behaviors of transmembrane proteins, including ion channels, and therefore they could offer a possible explanation for the observed anesthetic effect attributed to P1 (11). Furthermore, we show that shifts in the L_d/L_p phase distribution under the action of P1 and P3 can, in turn, influence their permeabilization properties, even at sub-lethal concentrations. This is likely an important but often overlooked mechanism of action for membrane-active HDPs.

Piscidins are especially interesting examples of HDP that show great adaptability, and therefore they may be a good starting model for the design of multipotent peptide treatments. Notably, P1 is very potent against a few lines of human cancer cells (5), many of which are known to contain increased levels of Chol, suggesting a potential use of P1 as a raft-modulating peptide agent for anti-cancer drug development (82–84).

**Experimental procedures**

**Materials**

Carboxyamidated P1 (FFHHIFRGIVHVGKTIEHLTVTG-NH_2, M_r 2,571) and P3 FHHIFRGIVHAGRSIGRFLTG-NH_2.
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$M_r$ 2,492 were used in all experiments. Unless otherwise indicated, they were obtained from Biomatik USA, LLC (Wilmington, DE) at a purity higher than 98%. Received as hydrochloride salts, the peptides were dialyzed against pure water, and the final concentrations were determined by amino acid analysis. The peptides used in the dye leakage assays, the $^{15}$N-labeled peptides used in the NMR experiments, and the $^2$H-labeled form of P1 (d$_{15}$-P1 = 15d$_{10}$ F6d$_{1}$ L19d$_{10}$ V20d$_{3}$) utilized in the neutron diffraction experiments were chemically synthesized at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and purified as reported previously (26). After lyophilization, these peptides were dissolved in dilute HCl and dialyzed to substitute chloride for trifluoroacetate ions, leading to 98% pure peptides. Following reconstitution of the peptides in nanopure water, their molar concentrations were determined by amino acid analysis performed at the Protein Chemistry Center at Texas A&M. Chol ($>99$% pure) was purchased from Sigma. Phospholipids were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). These include POPC, POPG, d$_{31}$-POPG, POPE, diPOPE, DOPC, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPC), and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-l-serine (DPPS).

Permeabilization assays

Calcine-loaded LUVs were prepared in the presence of P1 and P3, as described previously (25). LUVs contained 4 µmol/liter (total lipid) of 4:1 (mol/mol) POPC/Chol. The assays were performed in 96-well plates by pipetting 180 µl of the LUV suspension and adding 20 µl of the peptide solution. The final lipid concentration in each well was held constant at 10 µmol/liter, although the peptide concentration was varied to cover a range of P/L ratios between 2 and 256. Fluorescence was measured using a Varian (Walnut Creek, CA) Cary Eclipse spectrofluorometer. For the positive control, 20 µl of 1% Triton X-100 was used in place of the peptides.

$^{15}$N-oriented solid-state NMR

P1 and P3 were reconstituted into oriented 4:1 POPC/Chol bilayers at pH 7.4 (3 mmol/liter phosphate buffer) and a P/L = 1:40 using a procedure reported previously (26, 85). The samples were hydrated 50% by weight. Two-dimensional HETCOR (41) NMR experiments were carried out at the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute on a Bruker Avance WB600 NMR spectrometer (Larmor frequencies of 600.36 and 60.84 MHz for $^1$H and $^{15}$N, respectively); and at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory on an ultra-wide bore superconducting a 21.1-T magnet with a Bruker Avance 900 MHz NMR console (Larmor frequencies of 897.11 and 90.92 MHz for $^1$H and $^{15}$N, respectively) and a 14.1-T Bruker Avance WB600 NMR spectrometer (Larmor frequencies of 600.13 and 60.82 MHz for $^1$H and $^{15}$N, respectively). The data were collected at 32.0 ± 0.1 °C using low electrical field double-resonance probes (86) and previously reported parameters (41). The $^1$H and $^{15}$N dimensions were referenced to water at 4.7 ppm and aqueous $^{15}$N-labeled ammonium sulfate (5%, pH 3.1) at 0 ppm, respectively.

The HETCOR data were collected for P1 and P3 samples oriented with the bilayer normal is parallel to the static magnetic field, $B_0$, yielding oriented $^{15}$N CSAs and their associated $^1$H–$^{15}$N DCs (Fig. S1) as structural and orientational restraints. Multiply- rather than uniformly–$^{15}$N-labeled samples were used to facilitate the assignments of the signals. Assignments were done in an iterative fashion by fitting the DCs with dipolar waves, as described previously (26). Although the NMR restraints are consistent with two peptide orientations related by a 180° rotation about the z axis ($B_0$ static field), only one orientation allows the peptide to orient its nonpolar residues toward the bilayer interior.

Structure determination

Refined NMR structures were calculated using XPLOR–NIH (87, 88) run within the NMRBox virtual environment (89). Simulated annealing was performed by reducing the temperature from the initial value of 2,000 to 50 K in steps of 12.5 K. Ideal φ/ψ angle restraints ($−61°−45°$) with ±5° variations were used for residues 1–21 with $k_{ta}$ ramped from 100 to 300 kcal-mol$^{-1}$-rad$^{-2}$. $k_{de}$ was gradually increased from 0.5 to 1 kcal-mol$^{-1}$-s$^{-2}$, and $k_{CSA}$ was set constant at 0.1 kcal-mol$^{-1}$-s$^{-2}$ in order to be consistent with the experimental error. These force constants, which correspond to a final CSA$^{scale}$/DC$^{scale}$ of 0.1, were chosen to obtain the optimal balance between the effects of the DC and CSA restraints in the structure calculations (88, 90). The NMR restraints used in XPLOR–NIH came from the HETCOR spectra collected on each peptide. To match the experimental conditions, the orientation tensor axial component $D_a$ was set to an initial value of 10.4 kHz and refined to ~10.0 kHz for P1 and 9.9 kHz for P3. Rhombicity was fixed at zero for all calculations. The calculation also included the XPLOR–NIH potential for knowledge-based torsion angles with ramped force constants of 0.002 to 1 kcal-mol$^{-1}$-rad$^{-2}$. The calculation also used the implicit solvent potential eefxPot (91, 92), with terms for Lennard-Jones van der Waals energy ($E_{vdW}$), electrostatic energy ($E_{el}$), and solvation-free energy ($E_{slv}$). The eefxPot potential was incorporated to model the membrane–water interface, with the membrane thickness ($T$) set to 25 Å, the dielectric screening scaling factor ($a$) set to 0.85, and the profile exponent ($n$) set to 10. The initial position of the peptide was set at 15 Å from the center of the bilayer potential. The eefxPot scaling factor was set to an initial value of 0.1 at high temperature and ramped up to 1 during simulated annealing. Routine terms ANGL, BOND, and IMPR were also added to the calculation. A total of 100 structures were generated, and the 10 lowest-energy structures were accepted for analysis and representation. We note that these parameters were previously used to successfully refine the structure of P3 in PC/PG (92). The atomic coordinates for the 10 lowest-energy structures of the two systems have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with ID numbers 6PF0 (P1) and 6PEZ (P3). Structure figures were generated using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3, Schrödinger, LLC). Helical wheel diagrams were generated using the tool available online at http://helix.perrinresearch.com/wheels/.

5 Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.
Lipids (2 mg) were dissolved in chloroform and mixed with peptides in trifluoroethanol (TFE) (Acros Organics) to the desired P/L. After evaporating the organic solvents under a flow of nitrogen, the samples were dried under vacuum for 1 h, thoroughly hydrated with nanopure water in a shaker at 35 °C for 1 h, and then spread on thin glass coverslips. The bulk water was allowed to evaporate slowly overnight at room temperature. Before the diffraction experiments, the samples were annealed at 98% relative humidity and 30 °C for at least 12 h. For additional controls, POPC/Chol mixtures without peptide were prepared in H2O as above, and water-solubilized P1 (P3) was subsequently added to the preformed lipid vesicles at the desired P/L. Samples containing diPoPE lipid were prepared directly from organic solvent because of their poor solubility in water, particularly at high concentrations. Deuterium-containing and natural abundance samples of P1 were prepared in parallel. Lamellar neutron diffraction sets, probing the direction orthogonal to the bilayer plane, were acquired with the instrument MAGiK at the National Institute of Standards and Technology Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, MD. The data were processed and analyzed as described before (46, 47). Tables with structure factors can be found in (Tables S2 and S3). Repeat spacings and their uncertainties were determined by a linear fit of the Bragg peak position versus diffraction order. X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a 3-kW Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer located at the Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research (IBBR), Rockville, MD. Phases of the structure factors were determined by the swelling method (93). Structure factors were calculated from the integrated Bragg intensities after subtracting background and applying Lorentz, polarization, beam footprint, and absorption corrections. Electron density profiles were computed on an arbitrary scale, using direct Fourier reconstruction (94).

**Fluorescence microscopy**

GUVs were prepared at 84 mM in buffer (20 mmol/liter Tris, 50 mmol/liter NaCl, 127 mmol/liter sucrose, pH 7.4) using a previously described protocol (95, 96). To yield liquid ordered and disordered domains, two lipid compositions were used: 17.8:12.2:30.0:15.0:25.00 DSPC/DPPS/DOPC/1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine/Chol and 17.8:45.0:12.2:25.00 DSC/DOPC/DPPS/Chol (96). The fluorescent lipid FastDio, which preferentially partitions in the Ld phase, was added at 0.1 mol % (49). Following the formation of GUVs, 370 nmol/liter TAMRA-P1 or 540 nmol/liter TAMRA-P3 was added. Imaging was performed at 23 °C on a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY). Filters were used to avoid artifacts. Data were processed in ImageJ.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

Samples were prepared as above by co-dissolving the peptide and lipid in TFE/chloroform. The organic solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen gas, and placed under vacuum for 2 h. The dry lipid/peptide mixtures were resuspended in ultrapure water and allowed to hydrate overnight with continuous shaking. Alternatively, PIPES buffer was used (10 mmol/liter PIPES, 50 mmol/liter NaCl, phosphate, 0.5 mmol/liter EDTA, pH 7.4) for preparations of diPoPE samples, resulting in noisier data (Fig. S5D). The samples were measured at a lipid concentration of 2.5 mg/ml. DSC measurements were made on VP-DSC microcalorimeter (MicroCal Inc., Northampton, MA). Six scans were made at a scan rate of 30 °C/h. There was a 15-min equilibrating period prior to starting the experiment and a delay of 5 min between sequential scans to allow for thermal equilibration. DSC curves were analyzed by Origin, version 7.0 (OriginLab Corp.).

**Patch-clamp measurements on giant E. coli spheroplasts**

WT E. coli strain Frag-1, which natively expresses the mechanosensitive channels MscS and MscL as two dominant and readily observable species, was used in the patch-clamp experiments (97, 98). Giant spheroplasts were prepared from Frag-1 cells using the standard steps of filamentous growth in the presence of cephalixin followed by cell wall digestion with lysozyme in the presence of EDTA, as described previously (79). Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (Drummond Scientific no. 2-000-100) to the inner diameter of ~1.5 μm and used without fire polishing or coating. All measurements were done in inside-out excised patches. Stimulating pressure protocols (linear suction ramps) were delivered from a pressure-clamp apparatus (ALA Instruments, Farmingdale, NY) and programmed in the PClamp-10 software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). The standard spheroplast recording buffer contained (in mmol/liter) 200 KCl, 10 CaCl2, 90 MgCl2, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.2. Currents were measured using Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices) at 30-mV pipette voltage in most experiments. The current and pressure traces were recorded simultaneously, and the analysis of activation midpoint pressures was done using PClamp-10 software. To ensure stability and constant midpoints of the excited patches, three linear ramp pulls were done before the addition of any peptide. For surviving patches, P1/P3 was added between the third and fourth pull and allowed to equilibrate for 15 min before pulls were resumed for a total of six measurements.
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