Expansion of base excision repair compensates for a lack of DNA repair by oxidative dealkylation in budding yeast
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The Mag1 and Tpa1 proteins from budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) have both been reported to repair alkylation damage in DNA. Mag1 initiates the base excision repair pathway by removing alkylated bases from DNA, and Tpa1 has been proposed to directly repair alkylated bases as does the prototypical oxidative dealkylase AlkB from Escherichia coli. However, we found that in vivo repair of methyl methanesulfonate (MMS)-induced alkylation damage in DNA involves Mag1 but not Tpa1. We observed that yeast strains without tpa1 are no more sensitive to MMS than WT yeast, whereas mag1-deficient yeast are ∼500-fold more sensitive to MMS. We therefore investigated the substrate specificity of Mag1 and found that it excises alkylated bases that are known AlkB substrates. In contrast, purified recombinant Tpa1 did not repair these alkylated DNA substrates, but it did exhibit the prolyl hydroxylase activity that has also been ascribed to it. A comparison of several of the kinetic parameters of Mag1 and its E. coli homolog AlkA revealed that Mag1 catalyzes base excision from known AlkB substrates with greater efficiency than does AlkA, consistent with an expanded role of yeast Mag1 in repair of alkylated damage. Our results challenge the proposal that Tpa1 directly functions in DNA repair and suggest that Mag1-initiated base excision repair compensates for the absence of oxidative dealkylation of alkylated nucleobases in budding yeast. This expanded role of Mag1, as compared with alkyl repair glycosylases in other organisms, could explain the extreme sensitivity of Mag1-deficient S. cerevisiae toward alkyl damage.

DNA bases can be damaged by alklylation, which may cause mutations that lead to cell death or disease. Several examples of alkylated bases that arise in DNA from endogenous and exogenous sources are shown in Fig. 1A. Pathways for repair of alkylated bases in many organisms, including Escherichia coli and humans, include base excision repair (BER) and direct reversal repair (DRR). For example, repair of the alkylated base 1,6'-ethenoadenine (eA) in E. coli can be initiated by the DNA glycosylase AlkA and completed by other enzymes in the BER pathway, or DRR can be catalyzed by the DNA dealkylase AlkB in a reaction requiring ferrous iron, oxygen, and 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) (Fig. 1B). Other alkylated bases are typically repaired by either BER or DRR. Interestingly, it has been suggested that some bacteria and archaea that appear to lack an AlkB-family oxidative dealkylase instead use BER with an expanded substrate repertoire to repair alklylation damage (1–3). In support of this idea, DNA glycosylases from these organisms have been shown to excise alkylated bases that have more commonly been thought to be substrates for direct repair by AlkB and related DNA dealkylases.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is an important organism for the study of DNA repair, yet the distribution of its alklylation repair between BER and DRR is undefined. Mag1, a DNA glycosylase that is a known homolog of E. coli AlkA, is present in S. cerevisiae (4, 5). Both Mag1 and AlkA remove a variety of alkylated bases from DNA, and the resultant abasic DNA is then processed by downstream enzymes of the BER pathway. Mag1 is the only DNA glycosylase in S. cerevisiae that is known to excise alkylated bases. S. cerevisiae also expresses Tpa1, which, like E. coli AlkB and many other proteins, is a member of the Fe(II)- and 2OG-dependent dioxygenase superfamily (6). Tpa1 has recently been reported to be a functional homolog of AlkB, acting as an oxidative dealkylase to repair alkylated DNA (7). However, Tpa1 is more closely related to Fe(II)/2OG-dependent dioxygenases that catalyze post-translational hydroxylation of proteins (8–10), and it has been reported to catalyze prolyl hydroxylation of ribosomal protein S23 and to regulate translation (11, 12). We thus set out to clarify the roles of Mag1 and Tpa1 in the repair of alklyation damage to DNA in S. cerevisiae.
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Deletion of tpa1 in yeast does not confer sensitivity to MMS

The alkylating agent methyl methanesulphonate (MMS) is widely used to generate DNA damage to investigate DNA repair pathways. MMS methylates DNA primarily at the N-7 position of G (7mG), the N-3 and N-1 positions of A (3mA and 1mA), and the N-3 position of C (3mC) (13). We constructed yeast strains lacking mag1, tpa1, or both genes using standard methods. These strains were exposed to MMS to compare the contributions of Mag1 (the yeast homolog of E. coli AlkA) and Tpa1 (a possible functional homolog of E. coli AlkB) to the repair of alkylation damage. The results reveal that the mag1Δ strain is ∼500-fold more sensitive to 0.3% MMS after 1-h exposure than is WT (Fig. 2), as has been observed previously (14). This is consistent with the established ability of Mag1 to remove alkylated bases, including 7mG and 3mA, from DNA (15). However, the tpa1Δ strain and WT are similarly sensitive to MMS, and the mag1Δ tpa1Δ double-deletion strain is no more sensitive to MMS than is the mag1Δ deletion strain alone (Fig. 2).

Our results with mag1Δ, but not with tpa1Δ, agree qualitatively with previous observations in E. coli where both the alkA and alkB genes were initially discovered in screens for mutants with increased sensitivity to MMS (16, 17). In addition, the MMS sensitivity of an alkAΔ alkBΔ double-deletion strain was approximately at the level predicted from the additive sensitivity of each of the single mutants (18). Thus, our results validate the importance of Mag1, but not Tpa1, for repair of DNA alkylation damage in S. cerevisiae. No members of the Fe(II)/2OG-dependent dioxygenase superfamily other than Tpa1 have been identified as possible AlkB family oxidative dealkylases in S. cerevisiae (19, 20). This raises the question of how lesions typically repaired by the DRR protein AlkB in E. coli, such as 1mA and 3mC, are repaired in yeast.

Yeast Mag1 excises alkylated bases that are known substrates of E. coli AlkB

We considered the possibility that yeast, like some bacteria and archaea, utilize DNA glycosylases to remove alkylated bases that are more commonly considered to be substrates for DRR by AlkB and related DNA dealkylases (1–3). To test the idea that the DNA glycosylase Mag1 may be playing this role in yeast, we surveyed its activity toward a group of known AlkB substrates, including 1mA and 3mC (Fig. 1A) (21–24). The εA lesion is a known substrate of Mag1 (25); we used a gel-based assay to show that the other alkylated bases shown in Fig. 1A are also substrates of Mag1 when embedded in 25mer duplex DNA oligonucleotides (Table S1 and Fig. 3A). Single-turnover kinetic measurements ([Mag1] > [substrate]) for each substrate showed that Mag1 has much higher k_{max} values for excision of 3mC, εC, and εA than for excision of 1mA (Figs. 3 and S1). Furthermore, although k_{max} values for excision of 3mC, εC, and
\( \begin{align*} 
\varepsilon A \text{ and } \varepsilon C \text{ are very similar (0.010–0.014 min}^{-1} \text{; Fig. 3C and Table 1), we were only able to measure an upper limit for } K_{1/2} \text{ for } 3mC, \text{ suggesting that it binds to Mag1 very tightly and is a preferred substrate. We were also only able to measure an upper limit for } K_{1/2} \text{ for } 1mA, \text{ suggesting that it binds to Mag1 tightly, despite its comparatively low } k_{\text{max}} \text{ value of 0.00026 min}^{-1} \text{ (Table 1). Excision of these alkylated bases by Mag1 did not occur when they were present in 25mer ssDNA oligonucleotides (Fig. S2).}
\end{align*} 

Although our single-turnover measurements reveal that Mag1 excises alkylated bases from known AlkB substrates, comparison of \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values for different substrates provides a better measure of substrate specificity. These apparent second-order rate constants, commonly referred to as specificity constants, report on all of the steps up to and including the first irreversible step \( (26) \); for Mag1 they include the substrate binding and \( N \)-glycosidic bond hydrolysis steps. We used direct competition assays to determine relative \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values for each Mag1 25mer substrate with reference to \( \varepsilon A \)-19mer (Figs. 4 and S3). Compared with the previously reported Mag1 substrate, \( \varepsilon A \), both 3mC and \( \varepsilon C \) have larger relative \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) values, and 1mA has a relative \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) value within 2-fold of the relative \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) value for \( \varepsilon A \) (Table 1). Indeed, 3mC was excised so much faster than \( \varepsilon A \) in our competition reactions that we were...
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Table 1: Kinetic parameters for excision of alkylated bases from dsDNA by Mag1 and AlkA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mag1</th>
<th>AlkA</th>
<th>Relative Mag1/AlkA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eA-25mer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m) (min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.0058 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{1/2}) (nM)</td>
<td>120 ± 30</td>
<td>780 ± 40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}) (M(^{-1}) min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>120,000 ± 30,000</td>
<td>7,600 ± 400</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative (k_{\text{cat}}/K_m)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3mC-25mer

- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | 0.013 ± 0.001 | 0.0023 ± 0.0001 | 5.7 |
- \(K_{1/2}\) (nM) | <10\(^{-6}\) | 540 ± 10 | <0.02 |
- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) (M\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | >1,300,000 | 4,300 ± 200 | >300 |
- Relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) | >60\(^{6}\) | 0.79 ± 0.18 |                |

EC-25mer

- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | 0.010 ± 0.001 | No reaction |                   |
- \(K_{1/2}\) (nM) | 48 ± 18 | No reaction |                   |
- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) (M\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | 210,000 ± 80,000 | <12\(^{6}\) | >18,000 |
- Relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) | 2.3 ± 0.5 | <0.1\(^{6}\) |                   |

1mA-25mer

- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | 0.00026 ± 0.00003 | 0.0012 ± 0.0001 | 0.22 |
- \(K_{1/2}\) (nM) | <10\(^{-6}\) | 3,400 ± 600 | <0.0029 |
- \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) (M\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | >26,000 | 350 ± 70 | >74 |
- Relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) | 0.70 ± 0.10 | 0.21 ± 0.05 |                   |

* At 25 °C and pH 7.5 (50 mM MOPS, 0.2 mM KOAc, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM TCEP, 0.1 mg/ml BSA).
* From competition experiments with eA-19mer at 25 °C and pH 7.5 (50 mM MOPS, 0.1 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM TCEP, 0.1 mg/ml BSA).
* The single-turnover rate constants for base excision from 3mC-25mer or 1mA-25mer were independent of Mag1 concentration at 50 nM Mag1, the lowest concentration used in our reactions, and we estimate that we would have been able to detect a dependence for \(K_{1/2}\) of 10 nM or higher (Fig. 3C).
* See Fig. S3B for calculation of limit.
* See Fig. S6D for calculation of limit.

**Comparison of activity of yeast Mag1 and E. coli AlkA toward known substrates of E. coli AlkB**

AlkA is a DNA glycosylase from *E. coli* that is a homolog of Mag1 (4, 5). Because both AlkA (BER) and AlkB (DRE) are present in *E. coli*, whereas only Mag1 appears to be present in *S. cerevisiae*, we wondered whether AlkA and Mag1 would react differently with known AlkB substrates. We therefore performed single-turnover (Fig. S6) and competition assays (Fig. S7) with AlkA, as described above for Mag1, to compare the preferences of the two glycosylases for the known AlkB substrates in Fig. 1A.

We confirmed that eA. coli AlkA excises eA from dsDNA (Fig. S6A) as has been reported previously (25). We also observed slow AlkA-catalyzed excision of 1mA from 1mA-25mer (Fig. S6B) and of 3mC from 3mC-25mer (Fig. S6C), although excision of these bases from dsDNA by AlkB was not detected in previous studies (1, 29). No excision of eC from eC-25mer by AlkB could be detected (Fig. S6D), so only limits for its \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) and relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) values are reported in Table 1.

Overall, the single-turnover kinetic parameters \(k_{\text{cat}}\), \(K_{1/2}\), and \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) are more favorable for Mag1 than for AlkA for all substrates tested (Table 1). For excision of eA, for example, Mag1 has ~2-fold larger \(k_{\text{cat}}\) and ~7-fold lower \(K_{1/2}\) values that correspond to a 16-fold larger \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) value for Mag1 than for AlkB with this established substrate. However, this Mag1/AlkB ratio of 16 for excision of eA is dwarfed by the limits of >300, >18,000, and >74 that we obtained for the \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{1/2}\) ratios of the two glycosylases for excision of 3mC, eC, and 1mA, respectively (Table 1). These large ratios indicate that 3mC, eC, and 1mA in dsDNA are all much better substrates for Mag1 than for AlkB and suggest that Mag1 may be important for their repair in yeast.

The relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) values for excision of 3mC, eC, and 1mA by AlkB, obtained from competition experiments, are all less than 1, indicating that they are inferior substrates relative to the well-characterized AlkB substrate eA (Fig. S7 and Table 1). In contrast, for Mag1 the relative \(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m\) values are similar to or greater than 1 for excision of 3mC, eC, and 1mA, indicating that these bases are similar to or preferred over eA as substrates (Table 1). This result is consistent with the proposal that Mag1, by engaging with known AlkB substrates, may compensate for the absence of an AlkB-family oxidative dealkylase in *S. cerevisiae*.
Discussion

Yeast missing mag1 are ~500-fold more susceptible to cell death than WT yeast after exposure to the alkylating agent MMS, but yeast missing tpa1 do not show increased susceptibility (Fig. 2). This led us to question the proposed direct participation of Tpa1 in repair of alkylated DNA (7) and to hypothesize that Mag1 may play a larger role in this process than has been appreciated. We showed that Mag1 excises alkylated bases present in duplex DNA that are known to be substrates of the DRR enzyme AlkB, including 3mC and 1mA. Although Tpa1, like AlkB, is a member of the Fe(II)/2OG-dependent dioxygenase superfamily, Tpa1 does not repair any of the AlkB substrates we tested in either ssDNA or duplex DNA. Instead, we confirmed that Tpa1 is a prolyl hydroxylase, consistent with its close sequence and structural relatedness to other prolyl hydroxylases and its role in regulation of translation (Table S2, Fig. S5, and Refs. 8–12). We conclude that Tpa1 is not a DNA dealkylase and that yeast fare without one, due at least in part to the expanded substrate repertoire of the DNA glycosylase Mag1.

Other organisms that appear to lack an AlkB-family oxidative dealkylase and have been proposed to instead use DNA
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glycosylase-initiated BER to accomplish repair are *Deinococcus radiodurans*, *Archaeglobus fulgidus*, and *Bacillus cereus* (1–3). Like yeast, each of these organisms has a glycosylase that excises 3mC and 1mA from DNA. From a physiological perspective, it may be beneficial for organisms that can grow in the absence of oxygen, such as *E. coli* and *B. cereus* (30), or for organisms that are obligate anaerobes, such as the methanoarchaea *A. fulgidus* (31), to avoid reliance on AlkB-like dioxygenases for DNA repair (20, 32). However, *D. radiodurans* is an obligate aerobe (33). In addition to BER, it is possible that novel repair mechanisms, nucleotide excision repair, or deployment of translesion DNA polymerases may replace DNA dealkylation in some organisms.

Mag1 compensates for the absence of an AlkB-family dealkylase in yeast by excising 1mA and 3mC, which are important substrates of AlkB (21, 22). Although AlkB is able to repair eC (23), the primary enzyme involved in repair of eC in *E. coli* is the DNA glycosylase MUG (34, 35). *S. cerevisiae* lacks a MUG homolog (36), but we have shown that eC is a substrate for Mag1. Notably, eC is not a substrate for AlkA, the homolog of Mag1 from *E. coli*. Thus, in addition to compensating for the absence of an AlkB homolog, Mag1 may also compensate for the absence of a MUG homolog in yeast.

The canonical AlkB substrates 3mC and 1mA are both excised by Mag1, and their $K_{1/2}$ values of $<10$ nM indicate that DNA containing either damaged base binds to Mag1 very tightly. However, turnover of 1mA by Mag1 is slow, with a half-time of days. This modest activity raises the possibility that Mag1 may recognize and bind to some alkylated bases but not ultimately excise them. According to this model, the Mag1-DNA complex would serve as a damage sensor to recruit components of nucleotide excision repair or other repair pathways that would then carry out repair.

Our studies of Mag1 fit in with a general theme of DNA repair: organisms use different combinations of repair proteins and pathways to remove identical damage. It is common for repair proteins to have overlapping substrate specificities, which leads to redundancy in DNA repair in some organisms. Apparently, gain or loss of redundancy and other shifts in the overall balance of DNA repair have occurred throughout evolution. Selective pressure based upon the amounts and types of DNA damage to which an organism is exposed due to its specific physiology and environment could drive such changes. Given the potentially lethal consequences of DNA damage to genomes, such flexible and continually evolving DNA repair strategies are vital.

**Experimental procedures**

**Construction of yeast strains**

BY4741, *mag1Δ::kanMX*, and *tpa1Δ::kanMX* strains were obtained from the yeast knockout collection (37). Derivative *URA3* strains were constructed and verified using standard methods (38) and are listed in Table S3. The WT *URA3* sequence was amplified by PCR using genomic DNA from yeast strain YW151 (see Table S4 for primers) and transformed into each of the three strains by the lithium acetate procedure (39). Integrants were selected on media lacking uracil and tested for sensitivity to 5-fluoroorotic acid. Correct integration was verified by PCR using primers listed in Table S4 (Table S5 and Fig. S8). To construct the *mag1Δ::LEU2 tpa1Δ::kanMX* double knockout, the *LEU2* cassette was amplified from pRS425 by PCR using the primers in Table S4 and transformed into the *tpa1Δ::kanMX* strain. Integrants were selected on media lacking leucine. Correct integration was verified by PCR using primers listed in Table S4 (Table S5 and Fig. S9).

**Analysis of MMS sensitivity of yeast strains**

Yeast cultures were inoculated to an optical density of 0.1 at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) from overnight cultures and grown in yeast extract/petitone/dextrose (YPD) medium to OD$_{600}$ = 0.6. The culture was diluted to OD$_{600}$ = 0.2 with fresh YPD. This cell suspension was further diluted to OD$_{600}$ = 0.1 with YPD (for −MMS control cultures) or 0.6% MMS (v/v) in YPD (for +0.3% MMS cultures). Cell suspensions were incubated at room temperature with rotation for 1 h before diluted aliquots were spread on YPD plates. Colonies were counted after 3–5 days at 30 °C to determine cell survival.

**Purification of proteins**

*S. cerevisiae* Mag1 (40) and *E. coli* AlkA (41) were expressed in *E. coli* and purified as described previously. The *S. cerevisiae* gene for Tpa1 was amplified by PCR using BY4741 genomic DNA as a template, cloned into the BamHI and Xhol sites of ppSUMO, and expressed in Rosetta2 *E. coli* (Novagen). Cells were grown overnight in LB broth to inoculate 500-ml terrific broth cultures. These cultures were grown at 37 °C until OD$_{600}$ reached 1.0, and then the temperature was reduced to 18 °C for 1 h prior to induction with 200 μM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and each pellet was resuspended in 100 ml of lysis buffer (25 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM sodium chloride, 10% (v/v) glycerol, pH 7.4). Cells were lysed by two passages through an Emulsiflex C3 homogenizer (Avestin, Inc.) at 18,000 p.s.i. gauge and centrifuged to clarify the supernatant. The supernatant was stirred at 4 °C while polyethyleneimine was added to 0.05% (w/v), and then centrifugation was repeated. The protein was bound to a nickel-charged HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) and eluted over an imidazole gradient (15–400 mM). Peak fractions were pooled, and the fusion protein was digested by incubation with ULIP1 overnight. The protein was then bound to a HiTrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare) and eluted over a sodium chloride gradient. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated using a centrifugal ultrafiltration cell (Amicon) to a final concentration of 188 μM as determined by the absorbance at 280 nm using the predicted extinction coefficient of 98,780 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$.

A pET19-derived expression construct for *E. coli* AlkB (42) was transformed into BL21(DE3) *E. coli*, and protein was expressed via autoinduction in 500 ml of terrific broth cultures at 18 °C (43). Cells were harvested via centrifugation and resuspended in 100 ml of lysis buffer (25 mM potassium phosphate, 300 mM sodium chloride, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.4) per pellet. Lysis and nickel-affinity chromatography were performed as described for Tpa1. The tag was removed by incubation with PreScission protease for 1 h. The protein was then bound to a HiTrap SP HP column (GE Health-
Synthesis and purification of oligonucleotides

DNA substrates were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. or the Keck facility at Yale and were purified using denaturing PAGE as described previously (44). Sequences are provided in Table S1. Single-strand DNA concentrations were determined from the absorbance at 260 nm using calculated extinction coefficients. For oligonucleotides containing εA, the extinction coefficient was calculated for the same sequence with an A in place of εA and corrected by subtracting 9400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ to account for the weaker absorbance of εA (45). For oligonucleotides containing 1mA, 3mC, and εC, the extinction coefficient was calculated for the same sequence with A in place of 1mA or C in place of 3mC and εC. Duplexes were annealed with 1.5-fold excess unlabeled complement.

Single-turnover glycosylase assays for Mag1 and AlkA

Reactions were carried out at 25 °C in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM KOAc, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM TCEP with enzyme in excess of substrate. Typical reactions contained 5 nM fluorescein-labeled DNA and 50 nM−3 μM enzyme (Mag1 or AlkA). Reactions were initiated by adding a small volume of DNA substrate to the remaining reaction components in a final volume of 20–40 μl. Aliquots were withdrawn at various times and quenched with sodium hydroxide to give a final concentration of 0.2 M. Abasic sites were converted into single-strand breaks for most quenched samples by heating at 70 °C for 10 min; εC degrades under the standard hydrolysis conditions, so samples containing εC were subjected to milder hydrolysis at room temperature for 1 h. The milder hydrolysis gives a mixture of two products, the first from β-elimination on the 3’ side of the abasic site to give an oligonucleotide terminated by an α,β-unsaturated aldehyde fragment and the second from δ-elimination of the aldehyde fragment remaining on the first (46). Only the second product is stable when the standard hydrolysis conditions (70 °C) are used. Hydrolyzed samples were mixed with an equal volume of formamide/EDTA loading buffer and analyzed by PAGE on 17.5% (w/v) gels containing 6.6 M urea. Gels were scanned using a Typhoon imager (GE Healthcare), and emission was measured with a 520BFP40 filter following excitation of the fluorescein label at 488 nm. Fluorescence intensities of gel bands were quantified using ImageQuant TL (GE Healthcare) and corrected for the amount of background signal. The data were converted to fraction product (P) and then fit by the single exponential shown in Equation 1. The single exponential included a zero point, which is the fraction of prehydrolyzed substrate in control reactions without enzyme, and an end point term, which is the total fraction of each DNA substrate that could be converted to abasic DNA by Mag1 and AlkA and subsequently hydrolyzed. Different DNA substrates had different end points, which were confirmed in reactions with other glycosylases (data not shown). End points for both εA-25mer and εC-25mer were 0.95, whereas end points for the more synthetically challenging 1mA-25mer and 3mC-25mer substrates were 0.60 (3, 47).

\[
V_d/V_b = \left(\frac{[A]}{[B]}\right) \times \left(\frac{(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m)_A}{(k_{\text{cat}}/K_m)_B}\right) \times \exp(-k_{\text{obs}}t) + \text{Zero point}
\]  

(Eq. 2)

Activity assays for Tpa1 and AlkB

DNA repair activity of Tpa1 and AlkB was assayed by incubating 5 μM each enzyme with 100 nM ss or duplex versions of the 25mer DNA substrates shown in Table S1. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C in a 10–20–μl reaction mixture containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM TCEP, 2 mM sodium L-ascorbate, 1 mM 2OG, 50 μM ammonium iron (II) sulfate. Reaction samples were quenched with 10 mM EDTA in a solution that contained a 10-fold excess of Mag1 or Bacillus subtilis AAG (49) over the DNA substrate. When ssDNA substrates were used in the reaction, an excess of the complementary strand was also included in the quench solution. Quenched samples were incubated at room temperature overnight to allow the DNA glycosylase present to convert any unrepaired DNA into abasic DNA (Mag1 and B. subtilis AAG are active in EDTA). Sodium hydroxide was then added to a final concentration of 0.2 M, and samples were heated at 70 °C for 10 min to convert abasic sites into single-strand breaks, and the hydrolyzed samples were analyzed by denaturing PAGE on 20% (w/v) gels as described above. This glycosylase treatment converts unrepaired 25mer DNA into 12mer DNA, but 25mers that have been repaired by AlkB or Tpa1 remain intact and appear as slower migrating bands on gels (50). B. subtilis AAG was used instead of Mag1 to treat 1mA-containing DNA samples because of its faster kinetics with this substrate under these conditions (data not shown). Mock DNA repair reactions were performed for each DNA substrate in the absence of Tpa1 or AlkB as a positive control for the DNA glycosylase activity of Mag1 and B. subtilis AAG and to reveal the maximum amount of repairable DNA in each initial sample. As noted above, end points for both εA-25mer and εC-25mer were 0.95, whereas...
end points for 1mA-25mer and 3mC-25mer substrates were 0.60 (3, 47).

The hydroxylation activity of Tpa1 was assessed by incubating 2 μM enzyme with 25 μM RPS23 37-94 a 38-amino acid fragment of human ribosomal protein RPS23 (sequence, AKGIV LEKVG VEAKQ PNSAI RKCVR VQLIK NGKKI TAF-CONH2). Reaction mixtures contained 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 μM sodium L-ascorbate, 20 μM 2OG, 50 μM ammonium iron (II) sulfate. Concentrated stocks of 2OG, sodium L-ascorbate, and RPS23 37-94 were prepared in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5; a 40 mM stock of ammonium iron (II) sulfate was prepared in 20 mM HCl, and dilutions were made in Milli-Q water. The reaction was initiated by addition of enzyme, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and quenched with formic acid (1% final concentration). Hydroxylation of the RPS23 peptide was monitored by MALDI-TOF MS on a Bruker Autoflex Speed instrument in positive reflectron mode. Assay mixture (1 μl) was mixed with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix (1 μl; 1 mg/100 μl prepared in 50% acetonitrile) and applied onto a ground steel target.
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