Identification of substrates of the small RNA methyltransferase Hen1 in mouse spermatogonial stem cells and analysis of its methyl-transfer domain
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Small noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs) regulate many genes in eukaryotic cells. Hua enhancer 1 (Hen1) is a 2′-O-methyltransferase that adds a methyl group to the 2′-OH of the 3′-terminal nucleotide of sncRNAs. The types and properties of sncRNAs may vary among different species, and the domain composition, structure, and function of Hen1 proteins differ accordingly. In mammals, Hen1 specifically methylates sncRNAs called P-element-induced wimpy testis-interacting RNAs (piRNAs). However, other types of sncRNAs that are methylated by Hen1 have not yet been reported, and the structures and the substrates of mammalian Hen1 remain unknown. Here, we report that mouse Hen1 (mHen1) performs 3′-end methylation of classical piRNAs, as well as those of most noncanonical piRNAs derived from rRNAs, small nuclear RNAs and tRNAs in murine spermatogonial stem cells. Moreover, we found that a distinct class of RNA-derived sncRNAs are mHen1 substrates. We further determined the crystal structure of the putative methyltransferase domain of human Hen1 (HsHen1) in complex with its cofactor AdoMet at 2.0 Å resolution. We observed that HsHen1 has an active site similar to that of plant Hen1. We further found that the putative catalytic domain of HsHen1 alone exhibits no activity. However, an FXPP motif at its N terminus conferred full activity to this domain, and additional binding assays suggested that the FXPP motif is important for substrate binding. Our findings shed light on its methylation substrates in mouse spermatogonial stem cells and the substrate-recognition mechanism of mammalian Hen1.

Small RNA-mediated RNA interference (RNAi) plays essential roles in regulating gene expression in eukaryotes (1, 2). Three major classes of small RNAs, microRNAs (miRNAs, ~22 nucleotides (nt)), short-interfering RNAs (siRNAs, ~21 nt), and P-element-induced wimpy testis (PIWI)-interacting RNAs (piRNAs, 24–31 nt), are defined according to their associated partners and functions (3–5). These small RNAs can associate with Argonaute (Ago) proteins to form RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs). Through base pairing between small RNAs and their RNA targets, RISCs can specifically recognize their targets and regulate gene expression transcriptionally or post-transcriptionally (1, 6).

Although biogenesis pathways are diverse, miRNAs and siRNAs in plants and piRNAs in animals, all contain a methylated 3′-terminal nucleotide (7–11). In Arabidopsis, the methylation of duplex miRNAs and siRNAs is mediated by the methyltransferase (MTase) protein Hen1 (HUA enhancer 1), which catalyzes the transfer of a methyl group from the cofactor S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet) to the 2′-hydroxyl of the 3′-terminal nucleotide of small RNAs (12). In hen1 mutant plants, miRNAs exhibit abnormal heterogeneous polyuridylation.
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tion length or truncation at their 3′-unmethylated ends, and a considerably reduced abundance, resulting in pleiotropic phenotypes (13–17). The previously reported Arabidopsis thaliana Hen1 (AtHen1) crystal structure showed that AtHen1 consists of multiple domains: two dsRNA-binding domains (dsRBDs), La-motif–containing domain, protein peptidyl isomerase–like domain, and the C-terminal Rossmann fold methyltransferase (MTase) domain. The MTase domain can bind the product of the methyl donor S-adenosylhomocysteine and exhibit MTase activity toward miRNA/miRNA* duplexes in a magnesium (Mg²⁺)-dependent manner. In addition, multiple domains in the N-terminal region can contribute to the recognition and binding of the target dsRNA (18).

In contrast to plants, Hen1 homologues in animals catalyze the methylation of piRNAs and single-stranded siRNAs but not small RNA duplexes (10, 11, 19–22). Mouse Hen1 (mHen1) is specifically expressed in testis and methylates the 3′-ends of piRNAs (9, 11, 21). Drosophila melanogaster Hen1 (DmHen1) uses Ago2-bound single-stranded siRNAs and PIWI-bound piRNAs as substrates. Loss of function of DmHen1 results in shortened length, decreased abundance, and perturbed functions of piRNAs (10). Similarly, the Hen1 homologue in zebrafish also methylates piRNAs in germline cells and is required for oocyte development (22). The substrates of mammalian Hen1 are quite different from the substrates in plants. The substrate of mammalian Hen1 is a single-stranded RNA; thus, the mammalian Hen1 lacks the dsRBD domains that exit in plant Hen1 to facilitate the binding of RNA duplex. The animal Hen1 is relatively small compared with plant Hen1, containing only an N-terminal MTase domain and a C-terminal domain (CTD). The CTD of Hen1 in zebrafish is responsible for the localization of Hen1 to nuage, a perinuclear area for piRNA biogenesis (22). In addition to animals and plants, Hen1 homologues have also been studied in bacteria, in which Hen1 is able to methylate single-stranded small regulatory RNAs and is involved in the bacterial RNA repair system (23, 24). The substrates and functions of Hen1 vary in different species, and the domain architectures of Hen1 may vary.

However, for mammalian Hen1 many questions remain unanswered, such as how many types of small noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs) bear 3′-end methylation, whether piRNAs are the sole substrate for mammalian Hen1, and the structure and molecular basis of the catalytic mechanism of mammalian Hen1. Here, we investigated the methylation pattern of sncRNAs in mouse spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) by sodium periodate (NaIO4)–assisted deep sequencing, a method previously used to investigate piRNA biogenesis (26, 27). We generated mHen1 knockout SSC lines by the CRISPR/Cas9 method as well as Mili (a piRNA-binding protein indispensable for piRNA biogenesis) knockout SSC lines (Fig. 1A). Total RNA from WT and mHen1−/− SSCs were treated with NaIO4 prior to constructing a cDNA library of the small RNAs. Lacking 2′-OH modifications, the hydroxyl groups on the 3′-terminal base of the sncRNAs were oxidized into a formyl group, which could not be ligated with a 3′ adapter and were eliminated during reverse transcription with a 3′ adapter–specific DNA primer during sncRNA cDNA library construction, whereas RNA molecules with a 2′-O-methyl modification at their 3′-end were unaffected (Figs. 1A and Fig. S1A). Equal amounts of synthetic single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) spike-ins with 2′-O-methylation at the 3′-end ribose, which were verified by a β-elimination reaction, were added into each sample before NaIO4 treatment for sncRNA quantification (Fig. S1A).

The sequencing results revealed that miRNAs and piRNAs were two major populations of sncRNAs in WT mouse SSCs (Fig. 1B and Table S1). The piRNAs in Mili−/− SSCs were almost diminished, consistent with previous studies that Mili is indispensable for pre-pachytene piRNAs production in mouse testis, whereas the miRNAs remained unchanged (Figs. 1B and Fig. S1B) (28, 29). In mHen1−/− SSCs, the abundance of total miRNA was slightly reduced, whereas the relative expression level of individual miRNA after normalization by total miRNAs was not changed, indicating mHen1 knockout may have some secondary effect on miRNA processing or stability. The miRNAs completely disappeared after treatment with NaIO4 in WT SSC, indicating that the elimination of sncRNAs without 3′-end modification by NaIO4 treatment was complete. By contrast, approximately half of the piRNAs in WT SSCs remained
after NaIO₄ treatment, indicating that a significant proportion of piRNAs was unmethylated. These methylated piRNAs disappeared in mHen1⁻/⁻ SSCs upon NaIO₄ treatment, supporting the conclusion that piRNA 3'-methylation is Hen1-dependent in mammals (22, 25). In contrast to previous studies that mHen1 knockout reduced piRNAs levels in the fly, zebrafish ovary and mouse testis (10, 22, 30, 31), as detected by 5'-end labeling of snRNA with γ-³²P followed by PAGE or Northern blotting, the relative abundance of piRNAs increased more than 2-fold in mHen1⁻/⁻ SSCs as detected by deep sequencing with methylated ssRNA oligos as spike-ins (Fig. 1B). To investigate the cause of this discrepancy, we compared the ligation efficiency of 3'-end 2'-O-methylated and non-2'-O-methylated synthetic ssRNA with the 3' adaptor by T4 RNA ligase II. The
result showed that 2′-O-methylation at the 3′-end reduced ligation efficiency of ssRNA more than 4-fold (Fig. S1C), indicating that the appearance of a piRNA abundance increase upon mHen1 knockout was likely due to the higher adaptor ligation efficiency of piRNAs without 3′-methylation during the cDNA library construction procedure. However, the relative methylation level of different sncRNAs still can be accurately calculated by comparing the sncRNAs read counts with or without NaIO4 treatment.

Notably, in contrast to a previous study in which the piRNA length has almost no change in the testis of mHen1 knockout mice (25), upon mHen1 knockout in the SSCs, the length of piRNAs became ~1 nucleotide shorter than that in WT SSCs (Fig. 1, B and C). This discrepancy indicates that mHen1-dependent 3′-methylation may have different functions on the pre-pachytene piRNAs predominantly expressed in the SSCs and the pachytene piRNAs predominantly expressed in the adult mouse testis. Our result in the mouse SSCs is consistent with the previous report in zebrafish upon Hen1 knockout (22) and supports the hypothesis that 2′-O-methylation at the 3′-end of the piRNAs by Hen1 may contribute to the resistance of piRNAs to 3′-exonuclease trimming in germ cells. Moreover, 3′-end trimming of piRNAs appears to occur prior to 3′ tailing (Fig. 1C), consistent with the observations of hen1 mutant in plants (17), indicating that this phenomenon is conserved in plants and mammals. The nontemplate tailing ratio of piRNAs was about half that of the miRNAs in SSCs, and most of the tailing was more than 1 nucleotide (Fig. 1, D and E). The tailing ratio of piRNAs increased more than 2-fold in mHen1−/− SSCs, to ~15% of total piRNAs. Interestingly, this increase was mostly contributed by single-nucleotide uridylation and adenylation, which accounted for about 50% of total tailing in mHen1−/− SSCs (Fig. 1E), in agreement with the observation that RNAs without 2′-O-methylation at the 3′-end are favorable substrates for uridylation and adenylation (32). Compared with the increased tailing of piRNAs by the loss of mHen1, the tailing of miRNAs was not affected, consistent with the lack of 2′-O-methylation at the 3′-end of miRNAs in mammals (Fig. 1E). Interestingly, those tailed piRNAs could still be methylated by mHen1, even though the methylation level was less than that of the nontailed piRNAs (Fig. 1F). This observation indicates that the 3′-methylation of piRNAs may normally take place in a processing step that occurs earlier than tailing in the cells.

**Novel sncRNA substrates of mHen1 in mouse SSCs**

Beside the canonical piRNAs that generated from piRNA clusters, we found that a portion of piRNAs in SSCs was derived from rRNAs, snRNAs, and tRNAs, which were significantly enriched by anti-Mili immunoprecipitation (Mili-IP) and diminished under Mili knockout (Fig. 2, A–C; Table S1). Notably, ~15% of rRNA-derived small RNAs in SSCs were piRNAs with a peak length of 25–32 nt (Fig. 2, A and D), whereas more than half were from 18S rRNA (Fig. 2E). Compared with other RNA-derived sncRNAs, these RNA-derived piRNAs had an obvious U bias at the 5′-end (Fig. 2F). The highly expressed RNA-derived piRNAs were located in a few hotspots and had a defined 5′-end and a heterogeneous 3′-end, which were similar to the canonical piRNAs (Fig. 2G). Approximately one-quarter of snRNA-derived sncRNAs were piRNAs (Fig. 2, B, H, and I), with an even higher U bias at the 5′-end than the rRNA-derived piRNAs (Fig. 2F).

By contrast, we found that only 7% of tRNA-derived sncRNAs were piRNAs (Figs. 2C and 3, A and B). These tRNA-derived piRNAs had both of G and U biases at their 5′-end (Fig. 3C), which were different from the 5′ U bias of the Miwi homologue MARWI-bound tRNA-derived piRNAs in marmoset germ cells (33), but were similar to the Miwi2 homologue Hiwi2-bound piRNAs in human somatic cancer cells (34). As expected, the 3′-methylation of the majority of rRNA-, snRNA-, and tRNA-derived piRNAs were mHen1-dependent (Fig. 3, D–F). Interestingly, we found that some tRNA-derived sncRNAs also had mHen1-dependent methylation (Fig. 3, G and H), but their expression levels were not affected in Mili−/− SSCs (Fig. 3I) or Miwi2−/− SSCs (Fig. 3J) and were not enriched by Mili-IP (Fig. 3I), suggesting that in addition to piRNAs, other sncRNAs can act as mHen1 substrates in cells. We referred to this new type of small RNAs as mHen1 methylated tRNA-derived small RNAs (hmtsRNAs). These RNAs were ~31–33 nt in length, longer than piRNAs in SSCs, and had a more obvious 5′ G bias than tRNA-derived piRNAs (Fig. 3, A and C). In addition, they were derived from different tRNAs compared with tRNA-derived piRNAs. Specifically, >95% of the hmtsRNAs were derived from four specific tRNA isotypes: Gly-, Lys-, Glu-, and Val-tRNA, whereas tRNA-derived piRNAs were mainly processed from Asp-, Gln-, and Val-tRNA (Fig. 3K). The majority of hmtRNA sequences started from the 5′ first nucleotide and ended near the anti-codon loop of their corresponding tRNA (Fig. 3L). Notably, the abundance of hmtRNA was greatly enriched in mature sperm, accounting for 40% of total small RNAs (Fig. 3M), which may be functional in the latter stages of spermatogenesis as well as in early embryonic development as reported (35, 36).

**Human Hen1 exhibits higher activity in the presence of manganese ion over magnesium ion**

In addition to the canonical piRNAs, we showed that a portion of tRNA-, rRNA-, and snRNA-derived small RNAs associated with Mili in the SSCs were also methylated by Hen1, indicating the variety of substrates of mammalian Hen1. Hen1 shares a conversed MTase domain across species, although the domain architecture of Hen1 varies in plants, mammals, and bacteria (Fig. 4A). To investigate the molecular basis of the 3′-end methylation by animal Hen1, we attempted to crystallize human Hen1. Human Hen1 is 393 amino acids in length, which consists of an N-terminal MTase domain and a CTD. According to sequence alignment, the MTase domain is conserved across eukaryotic species. The catalytic domain of human Hen1 shares a sequence identity of 35% with that of AtHen1 (Fig. 4B). To understand the mechanism of the sncRNA methylation by Hen1, we first tried to assess its catalytic activity. Although the MTase activity of bacteria Hen1 was well characterized in previous studies, the enzymatic activities of mammalian Hen1 have not been studied yet (37). A 30-nt single-stranded RNA oligo was synthesized for the MTase assays. 3H-CH3-AdoMet was used as the cofactor to measure how many RNA substrates are methylated. Previous studies showed that bacterial Hen1...
Figure 2. rRNA-, snRNA-, and tRNA-derived piRNAs in SSCs. A–C, proportion of piRNAs derived from rRNA (A), snRNA (B), and tRNA (C) in WT SSCs, Mili−/− SSCs, and Mili-IP in WT SSCs. D, length distribution of rRNA-derived sncRNAs. E, ratio of piRNAs derived from different rRNA species. F, 5′-end nucleotide bias of rRNA-derived piRNAs. G, distribution of 18S rRNA-derived sncRNAs. Count at each position is added up with all reads at the same 5′-end mapped site. The count was normalized by exogenous spike-ins. For the region where the most piRNAs are derived, the piRNA sequences and the count of sequences are also indicated. H, length distribution of snRNA-derived sncRNAs. I, ratio of piRNAs derived from snRNAs. J, 5′-end nucleotide bias of snRNA-derived piRNAs.
exhibited higher activity in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$ over Mg$^{2+}$ (37, 38). We purified the catalytic domain of Hen1 (HsHen1-MR; residues 21–258) (Fig. 5B). In a reaction containing 100 pmol of RNA oligo and 8 µM HsHen1-MR, only 15.6 pmol of RNA was methylated in the presence of 5 mM MgCl$_2$ over 45 min, whereas under an equimolar concentration of MnCl$_2$, ~100% RNA (101 pmol as measured) was methylated. The catalytic activity has a huge leap between 1 and 1.5 mM MnCl$_2$ and
Structural and functional study of Hen1

Figure 4. Domain architecture of human Hen1 that is different from plant Hen1. A, schematic representation of the domain structure of human Hen, Arabidopsis Hen1, and bacteria Hen1 (Trichormus variabilis). B, sequence alignment of MTase domain of Hen1 from different species. Hs, Homo sapiens; Mm, Mus musculus; Dm, Drosophila melanogaster; Dr, Danio rerio; At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Os, Oryza sativa; Japonica Group; Pe, Populus euphratica; C, Clostridium thermocellum; A, Anabaena variabilis. Numbers above sequences are labeled based on HsHen1. The secondary structure of the HsHen1 MTase domain is schematically represented above the sequences, with α-helices highlighted as cylinders, β-strands as arrows, loops as solid lines, and disordered regions as dotted lines. Strictly conserved residues are highlighted in red boxes and identical residues in yellow boxes. The FXPP motif (Phe-692–Leu-697) is marked in a black triangle. Residues binding AdoMet are marked with black triangles. Residues binding the divalent ion are marked with black asterisks.

was basically saturated at 2 mM MnCl₂. Also, the overall amount of transferred methyl group in the presence of different concentrations of MnCl₂ is much higher than in the presence of MgCl₂. Therefore, human Hen1 also showed a preference of Mn²⁺ similar to bacteria Hen1 (Fig. 5C). We performed the following methyltransferase experiments using 2 mM MnCl₂.

**FXPP motif is essential for substrate recognition by HsHen1**

To compare the catalytic activity of the full-length Hen1 (HsHen1-FL) with that of the catalytic domain, we purified the full-length protein and two truncated versions, HsHen1-ML (residues 31–258), spanning residues of the methyltransferase domain, and HsHen1-MI (residues 25–258) (Fig. 5, A and B).

Both HsHen1-MR and HsHen1-MI showed a little higher activity than HsHen1-FL in the in vitro methyltransferase assay with triple repeated experiments. The measured activity for full-length Hen1 is 81%, compared with 100% for HsHen1-MR and 93% for HsHen1-ML, respectively. These data suggest that the CTD domain may have a negative impact on the methyltransferase activity. Surprisingly, HsHen1-ML showed no activity compared with the full-length construct (Fig. 5D). By comparing HsHen1-ML and HsHen1-MI, we found they are only 6 amino acids shorter at the N terminus of HsHen1-ML (Fig. 4B). Sequence alignment indicated that a conserved FXPP motif exists in the N terminus of the MTase domain of eukaryotic Hen1 but not in bacterial Hen1. In the crystal structure of

Figure 3. mHen1-dependent 3′-methylation of noncanonical piRNAs and hmtsRNAs in SSCs. A, length distribution of tRNA-derived sncRNAs. B, ratio of piRNAs and hmtsRNAs derived from tRNAs. C, 3′-end nucleotide bias of tRNA-derived piRNAs and hmtsRNAs. D–F, boxplots represent the mHen1-dependent or mHen1-independent methylation level of tRNA-derived (D), snRNA–derived (E), and tRNA-derived (F) piRNAs at 3′-end in WT and mHen1−/− SSCs. G, dependences of the small RNAs derived from tRNAs. x axis represents the abundance change of sncRNAs in Mili−/− versus WT SSCs. y axis represents the methylation level change of sncRNAs in WT versus mHen1−/− SSC. Brown dots represent piRNAs, which were Mili-dependent. Green dots represent hmtsRNAs, which were Mili-independent. H, methylation level of hmtsRNAs in WT and mHen1−/− SSCs. L, percentage of hmtsRNAs in tRNA-derived sncRNAs in the WT and Miwi−/− SSCs. K, abundance (count) of piRNAs and hmtsRNAs originating from each tRNA. L, 3′-end position of tRNA-derived piRNAs and hmtsRNAs mapped on the intact tRNAs. The percentage of hmtsRNAs in tRNA-derived sncRNAs in the WT and Miwi2−/− SSCs. and identical residues in yellow boxes. The FXPP motif (Phe-692–Leu-697) is marked in a black triangle. Residues binding the divalent ion are marked with black asterisks.

Figure 5. mHen1-dependent 3′/H11541-methylation of noncanonical piRNAs and hmtsRNAs in SSCs.
AtHen1, the corresponding region (residues 692–697) specifically recognized and anchored the phosphate group connecting the second and the third nucleotides of the 3'-end guide RNA via two hydrogen bonds (Fig. S2). Therefore, we proposed that the FXPP motif may play an important role in substrate binding.

Next, we generated three mutants, namely F27A, P29A, and P30A, on the FXPP motif of HsHen1-MR (Fig. 5B). Fluorescence polarization (FP) assays were performed to measure the binding affinity between the RNA substrate and WT or mutant HsHen1-MR. A 26-nt RNA oligo with a fluorescein label at 5'-end was used. The measured dissociation constant ($K_D$) for HsHen1-MR was 0.12 ± 0.01 μM. Mutation on the FXPP motif dramatically reduced the binding (Fig. 5E). P29A abolishes the binding. F27A and Pro-30 reduced the binding affinity about 10- and 30-fold, respectively. HsHen1-ML, which lacked the FXPP motif, showed no binding as expected, similar to the bacteria Hen1, although their sequences are not conserved (Fig. 4B)(37). In addition, different lengths of RNA oligos were also tried, including 30, 20, 14, and 8 nt in length. The FP results showed that variation in length has little impact on the binding affinity of HsHen1-MR and a 26-nt fluorescently-labeled RNA. Color scheme of different HsHen1 proteins is indicated. F, fluorescence polarization assay showed affinities between HsHen1-MR and different lengths of FAM-labeled RNA oligos. Color scheme of different length of FAM-labeled RNA oligos is indicated.

Figure 5. FXPP motif that is specific in eukaryotic Hen1 is important for RNA binding. A, schematic representation of human Hen1 and three truncated mutants. B, purified WT or mutant HsHen1 used in the methyltransferase assays or FP assays were detected by 15% SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie Blue staining. Purified HsHen1-FL (residues 1–393), HsHen1-MR (residues 21–258), HsHen1-MI (residues 25–258), and HsHen1-ML (residues 31–258), and HsHen1-MR mutants (F27A, P29A, P30A, E132A, E135A/E136A, H136A, and H181A) are indicated from left to right. C, in vitro methyltransferase assays showed that HsHen1-MR prefers manganese over magnesium. 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, or 5 mM either of MnCl2 or MgCl2 as specified were added and incubated in 37 °C for 45 min. D, in vitro methyltransferase assays of WT and mutant HsHen1. The averages of three independent experiments with S.D. values are shown. E and F, binding affinities between HsHen1 proteins and FAM-labeled RNA oligos. The fluorescence intensity changes under polarized illumination were plotted. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean (n = 3). E, fluorescence polarization assay showed affinities between HsHen1-ML, WT, or mutant HsHen1-MR and a 26-nt fluorescently-labeled RNA. Color scheme of different HsHen1 proteins is indicated. F, fluorescence polarization assay showed affinities between HsHen1-MR and different lengths of FAM-labeled RNA oligos. Color scheme of different length of FAM-labeled RNA oligos is indicated.

Overall structure of the putative MTase domain of human Hen1 in complex with AdoMet

Next, we performed crystallization trials to explore the catalytic mechanism of human Hen1. We made many attempts to crystallize full-length Hen1 (HsHen1-FL) as well as three truncated versions, including HsHen1-MR, HsHen1-MI, and HsHen1-ML (Fig. 5A). However, only HsHen1-ML with its cofactor AdoMet was successfully crystallized. Because HsHen1-ML has no catalytic activity, we call this domain putative MTase domain. The structure was determined by molecular replacement using the structure of the AtHen1 MTase domain (PDB code 3HTX) as a search model. There is only one HsHen1-ML molecule in an asymmetric unit. All of the structural statistics were refined within a reasonable range (Table 1). Overall, the HsHen1 putative MTase domain adopts a classical Rossmann-fold, consisting of eight central β-strands (β1–β8) surrounded by five α-helices (α1–α4) (Fig. 6A). In detail, β1–β5 and β6 are parallel to each other, whereas β7, which is located between β5 and β6, is antiparallel to the other strands. β8 is followed by β7 connected by a long loop, which could not be modeled in this structure due to the poor electron density. Helices α1 and α2 are folded on one side of the β-sheet core, and the others are packed on the opposite side. Superimposition of the MTase domain of HsHen1 to AtHen1 (residues 696–933;
PDB 3HTX) showed that these two domains could be aligned quite well with a root-mean-square-deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 1.4 Å, except that helix α3 in HsHen1 is much shorter than in the AtHen1 structure (Fig. 6B). The cofactor AdoMet is bound on the concave surface of HsHen1-ML formed by four loops connecting β1–α2, β2–β3, β3–β4, and β4–α3, respectively (Fig. 6, C and D).

**Conserved active sites in human Hen1**

Previously, studies have illustrated the catalytic sites in AtHen1 and bacteria Hen1 comprise the AdoMet-binding site, the divalent metal, and the 3'-adenine nucleotide of the RNA methyl acceptor (18, 23). In our crystal structure, the cofactor AdoMet was clearly observed (Fig. 6C). AdoMet was bound in a pocket formed by Tyr-36, Gly-55, Asp-78, Ile-79, Val-115, and Leu-133 (Fig. 6D). The adenine ring of AdoMet was stabilized by the phenolic hydroxyl group of Tyr-36. Moreover, Ile-79, Val-115, and Leu-133 contact the indole ring of AdoMet through hydrophobic interactions. In the previously reported AtHen1 structure, two glutamic acids and two histidine residues were involved in the chelation of the divalent metal ion (18). However, the divalent metal and the RNA substrate were not observed in our structure. Superimposition of the MTase domains of HsHen1 and AtHen1 showed that in the absence of the divalent ion, the side chains of Glu-132, Glu-135, His-136, and His-181 of HsHen1 flipped away to some degree, especially Glu-132 and His-181 (Fig. 6E). We also noticed that the methyl group of AdoMet in the HsHen1 structure was oriented toward the corresponding position of the 2'-OH of the 3'-terminal nucleotide in AtHen1 structure with a distance of 1.8 Å, making the transfer of the methyl group from AdoMet to RNA spatially possible (Fig. 6F).

To validate whether these residues are critical for HsHen1 MTase activity, site-specific mutagenesis was performed. Glu-132, Glu-135, His-136, and His-181 are four residues that are supposed to chelate the metal ion (Fig. 6F). Mutations of these residues decreased the methyl transfer activity considerably (Figs. 5B, 6F). H136A and H181A showed significant loss of MTase activity. The measured methylated RNA oligos for H136A and H181A are 28 and 24 pmol, respectively, which are 3.5- and 4.1-fold less effective than that of the WT HsHen1-ML. No activity was observed for the E132A mutant and the double mutant E135A/H136A. Therefore, the residues in the active site of human Hen1 are important for the activity just as in AtHen1 and bacteria Hen1.

**Discussion**

In this study, we systematically investigated the Hen1-dependent 2’-O-methylation of diverse types of snRNAs in SSCs. In the absence of mHen1, piRNAs were trimmed from their 3'-ends by one nucleotide and had increased tailing ratio with one or more additional U and A residues at their 3'-end. We also identified a novel class of small RNA substrates of mHen1 in SSC, which are 31–33 nt long and derived from a few types of tRNAs with a significant 5’ G bias (named hmtsRNAs). We further determined the crystal structure of the putative catalytic domain of human Hen1 with its cofactor AdoMet. We found that similar to bacteria Hen1, HsHen1 prefers Mn²⁺ over Mg²⁺. The methyltransferase assay result indicated that with the N-terminal six additional amino acids containing the eukaryotic Hen1 conserved the FPP motif, the putative MTase restored full activity as the full-length protein. Site-specific mutagenesis and the binding assays showed that the FPP motif was critical for the substrate RNA binding. Moreover, our results provided structural and biochemical insights into the catalytic domain of mammalian Hen1 and may shed light on the Hen1 substrates in mouse SSCs.

The hmtsRNAs identified in this study accounted for 36% of the tRNA-derived sequences in the mouse SSCs. Compared with the canonical piRNAs, these hmtsRNAs had lower methylation levels, which may be due to their unfavorable interaction with Hen1 without the assistance of PIWI or its associated proteins. The majority of hmtsRNA sequences 3'-ended near the anti-codon loop. Because Hen1 protein can only catalyze methylation at the 3'-end of RNAs but not internally, the hmtsRNAs are likely to be initially cleaved from partially processed tRNA precursors in which nucleotides have not yet been modified and then been methylated at 3'-end by Hen1. Previous studies have shown that tRNA-derived small RNAs in mature sperm have important functions in epigenetic transgenerational regulation of gene expression, and the 3’-methylation by Hen1 may selectively stabilize the certain types of tRNA fragments or facilitate their recognition by some unknown proteins that are functional in spermatogenesis and embryonic development, which warrant future investigation. We also found that in addition to the previously reported tRNA-derived piRNAs (33, 34), a portion of rRNA- and snRNA-derived small RNAs was also associated with Mili in the SSCs, and possibly

---

**Table 1**

Summary of diffraction data and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>P3,21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td>38.5, 38.5, 249.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>30.00–2.00 (2.07–2.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge%</td>
<td>8.1 (25.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)</td>
<td>15.9 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.9 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy*</td>
<td>6.6 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of reflections</th>
<th>15,327</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge</td>
<td>0.20/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of protein</td>
<td>1599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of AdoMet</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of solvent atoms</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average R-factor (Å)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdoMet</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s.d.</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favored (%)</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed (%)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlier (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.
function as piRNAs. Moreover, we observed that most of the rRNA-, snRNA-, and tRNA-derived piRNAs were 3'-methylated by Hen1, although their methylation levels were slightly lower than those of canonical piRNAs. A portion of the 3'-modification of the rRNA-, snRNA-, and tRNA-derived piRNAs was not dependent on mHen1, suggesting that they may be processed from their precursors bearing modifications or methylated by a yet unknown MTase.

We also showed that the N-terminal FXPP motif of the MTase domain is important for the binding of substrate RNAs. Sequence alignment indicated that this motif is conserved in eukaryotic Hen1 proteins, including plant Hen1 and mammalian Hen1. However, the substrates of plant Hen1 and mammalian Hen1 are not the same. The substrate for plant Hen1 is duplex RNAs, whereas the substrate for Human Hen1 is single-stranded RNAs. Our FP results show that the FXPP motif is important for single-stranded RNA binding. By analyzing the structure of AtHen1, we found that in addition to the MTase domain, AtHen1 contains two dsRBD domains, which bind and stabilize the miRNA/miRNA* duplex. However, only the 3'-end of the target strand is inserted into the active site. The FXPP motif in AtHen1 interacts with the backbone of the 3'-terminal nucleotides of the target strand. Therefore, for AtHen1, the recognition of the substrate RNA can be divided into two parts. Two dsRBD domains bind to the major grooves of the duplex RNA, whereas the FXPP motif binds to the 3'-end of the target strand, suggesting the same role of the FXPP motif in AtHen1 and human Hen1.
Our results showed a similar binding affinity for the single-stranded RNA substrates from 30 to 8 nt, indicating that the binding affinity between Hen1 and its RNA substrate would not be affected by the length of the RNA oligo. Recent studies of piRNA biogenesis revealed a trimming mechanism of piRNA intermediates (40–43). In silkworms and mice, the production of mature piRNAs required a poly(A)-specific RNase family deadenylase PNLD1 to trim the 3′-end of piRNA intermediates to an optimal length. Hen1 may be coupled with PNLD1 to add the 2′-O-methyl group to the trimmed piRNAs. Therefore, we proposed that Hen1 itself has no capability to distinguish single-stranded RNAs of different size. Mammalian Hen1 is likely to distinguish its substrates in the assistance of other factors in piRNA pathway.

Experimental procedures

Cloning, expression, and purification of human Hen1

The MTase domain (residues 21–258, 25–258, and 31–258) and full-length domain (residues 1–393) of HsHen1 were amplified by PCR and cloned into the pET-SMT3 vector that contains an N-terminal Ulp1-cleavable 6×His–Sumo tag. Point mutations were performed by using the site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs). All of the constructs were verified by sequencing and were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3). After being induced with 0.2 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, the cells were incubated overnight at 18 °C. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 min and were lysed by a cell disruptor (JNBO) at 4 °C. Proteins were purified by affinity chromatography using a His-Trap column (GE Healthcare) and then removed the 6×His-Sumo tag. The proteins were further purified by gel filtration with Superdex G75 Hilo 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT.

Crystallization, data collection, and structural determination

Purified HsHen1-ML was concentrated to 15 mg/ml and crystallized by vapor diffusion with the reservoir solution of 100 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM MgCl2, 10% (w/v) PEG 3350, pH 7.5, at 16 °C. Diffraction data were collected at BL17U of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) and processed with HKL 2000 (44). The model was built using the MR method with the structure of the AtHen1 MTase domain (residues 697–933, PDB code 3HTX) as the search model. Model building and structural refinement were carried out using COOT (45) and PHENIX (46). All the structure figures were generated with PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8 Schrödinger, LLC). The statistics of the diffraction data and the refinement data are summarized in Table 1. The coordinate has been deposited under PDB accession code 5WY0.

In vitro methyltransferase assays

The methyltransferase assays were carried out in a 10-μl reaction mixture containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 0.1 mM EDTA, 20 μM 3H-CH3-AdoMet, 2 mM MnCl2 with 10 μM 30 nt RNA (5′-UGUCUGAGCUGACGAGCAGGCUGUCGUCUGA-3′) and 8 μM purified protein. WT or mutant HsHen1 proteins were pretreated with 1 mM EDTA on ice for 30 min to remove any endogenous divalent metal ions and then dialyzed against reaction buffer for further methyltransferase assays. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 45 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 1 μl of 1 mM EDTA. Then the samples were spotted onto a Whatman 3 MM filter disk. The disk was washed twice with 5% TCA solution and three times with ethanol for 5 min per wash. After washing, the disk was completely dried. The radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting (Beckman). For the methyltransferase assays showing that HsHen1-MR prefers manganese over magnesium, 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, or 5 mM either of MnCl2 or MgCl2 as specified were added.

Fluorescence polarization assays

The RNA oligos were synthesized with fluorescein amide (FAM) labeled at 5′-end (30 nt, 5′-FAM-UGUCUGAGCUGACGAGCAGGCUGUCGUCUGA-3′; 26 nt, 5′-FAM-UAGACAGUGCUUCAGACUACAGA-3′; 20 nt, 5′-FAM-UGUCUCUCACGACUACAGA-3′; 14 nt, 5′-FAM-UUCACGACUCAGA-3′; and 8 nt, 5′-FAM-GAUCAGACAGA-3′) (Bioneer).

FP experiments were performed in 96-well plates (Corning) with the fluorescence reader Synergy™ NEO (BioTek). Serial dilutions of purified HsHen1 proteins were prepared in FP assay buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM MnCl2) with a final concentration ranging from 0.006 to 6.25 μM. FAM-labeled RNA oligos was then added to a final concentration of 4 nM for a final assay volume of 100 μl. The reaction mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Polarization was measured at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and emission wavelength of 528 nm. Each plate was read three times, and the values were averaged prior to analysis. All dissociation constant (Kd) values were determined by fitting the titration curve with Origin 8.0 software.

Isolation and manipulation of mouse SSCs

SSCs were isolated and cultured according to a previous protocol (47). In brief, testes were dissociated from a 15-day-old C57BL/6xDBA2 F1 male mouse carrying transgenic actin-enhanced GFP genes, and single-cell suspensions were obtained. Briefly, ~2.0 × 105 cells were suspended in 1 ml of StemPro34 SFM medium containing 5 mg/ml BSA, 6 mg/ml glucose, 2 mM glutamine, 1× antibiotic/antimycotic, 1× minimal essential vitamins, 1× nonessential amino acids, 10 μg/ml bovine insulin, 25 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, 0.06% lactic acid, 100 μM ascorbic acid, 30 mM sodium selenite, 60 μM putrescine, 100 μM bovine apo-transferrin, 10 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1% fetal bovine serum, 20 ng/ml recombinant mouse EGF, 10 ng/ml recombinant human basic fibroblast growth factor, and 10 ng/ml recombinant rat glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor. Cells were cultured in a 12-well plate in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2 at 37 °C for 24 h. The cells were pipetted 10 times, and the floating cells were collected by centrifugation at 270 × g for 5 min. The pellets were suspended in SF medium and incubated in a humidified incubator for 10 days during which time the medium was refreshed every 3 days. The SSC colonies were trypsin-digested and plated on mouse embryo fibroblast feeder cells in a 24-well plate with SF medium. Plasmids encoding spCas9 and sgRNAs (20 μg) targeting mHen1, Mili, and Miwi2 were tran-
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Consistently transfected into SSCs with the Amaxa Cell Line Nucleofector Kit L, as described previously. Single SSC colonies were picked and verified by Sanger sequencing. WT and knockout SSC colonies were expanded and used for small RNA sequencing. The sgRNA sequences were shown as following: mHen1, GGAATACTGGCAACATCATTATCCATTTATCAGAATGCCGAGTTCGTTATATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCAGCAGAAAGCATACGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTT; and Miwi2, GCCACCCATTCAGTGTGGTTTTTAGCTAGAAGATTAAATTAGCTGTACCACTTTGAAAAAATGGGACCCAGTCCGTGGCTTTTTT; and Miwi2, GCCACCCATTCAGTGTGGTTTTTAGCTAGAAGATTAAATTAGCTGTACCACTTTGAAAAAATGGGACCCAGTCCGTGGCTTTTTT.

RNA samples were denatured, fractionated by electrophoresis, and harvested in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The beads were washed four times with TBS buffer (30 mM borax, 30 mM boric acid, and 50 mM NaOH, pH 9.5) and incubated at 45 °C for 90 min. Finally, the RNAs were precipitated and dissolved in DEPC-treated water. The RNA samples were denatured, fractionated by electrophoresis on a 20% polyacrylamide, 8 M urea gel at 500 V until the bromophenol blue reached the bottom of the gel, and then electrophoretically transferred and cross-linked to a nylon membrane (Roche Applied Science). The membranes were probed at 50 °C in DIG Easy Hyb buffer (Roche Applied Science) with terminal digoxigenin-labeled DNA oligonucleotides overnight, and then washed with 2× SSC and 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS buffer at 37 °C. The membranes were incubated with an anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche Applied Science) (1:20,000 diluted) and then CDP-Star (ABI), after which the signal was detected on X-ray film.

Computational categorization of small RNAs

The raw fastq data were pre-processed using a common procedure. After quality filtering, sequencing reads were clipped from the 3′- adaptor allowing a minimum match of 10 nt from the 5′-end. Reads unable to match the adaptor sequence or with lengths shorter than 17 bp after the 3′- adaptor was clipped were discarded. The useful reads were mapped to the mouse genome by bowtie (48). The mapped genome sequences were further aligned to known miRNAs, tRNAs, rRNAs, snRNAs, and snoRNAs by bowtie. The remaining 25–32-nt sequences were used to identify piRNAs following the method described previously (49) with slight modifications. The clustering parameters were determined as MinReads = 4 and Eps = 2500 bp by running a series of k-dist analysis with different Eps and MinReads of our own data. All of the candidate clusters that satisfied these parameters were considered as piRNA clusters, and the sequences located in these clusters were defined as piRNA without any further scoring and filtering. Only the reads that exactly matched the 5′-start site of annotated miRNAs and 3′-ends with =2-nt deletions or additional sequences derived from pri-miRNAs were counted as miRNAs. The count of each miRNA, piRNA, tRNA-, rRNA-, and snRNA-derived sequences was corrected by the count of methylated spike-ins. The small RNAs were mapped in the following order: miRNA, tRNA, rRNA, snoRNA, snRNA, and piRNA. The rRNA-, tRNA-, and snRNA-derived ncsRNAs for which the expression levels decreased more than 10-fold upon Mili knockout in SSCs were identified as piRNAs. The identified piRNA clusters are listed in Table S2. The count of individual miRNAs normalized by methylated spike-ins in each sample is listed in Table S3. The information of noncanonical piRNAs derived from rRNAs, tRNAs, and snoRNAs is listed in Table S4. The information of hmt/RNAs is listed in Table S5.

β-Elimination treatment and Northern blotting

Synthetic RNAs were treated with 1× borate buffer (30 mM borax and 30 mM boric acid, pH 8.6) and 25 mM freshly dissolved NaIO₄ (200 mM) were kept on ice for 1 h in the dark. Then the RNAs were precipitated and dissolved in DEPC-treated water for a small RNA library construction according to the Illumina protocol. High-throughput RNA sequencing was performed using HiSeq 2500 with 50 running circles (GENEWIZ). The four spike-in RNA oligonucleotides containing 5′-phosphorylation with or without 3′-end 2′-O-methylation were chemically synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, IDT). The sequences were shown as follows: spike-in #1, 5Phos/rCrCrUrGrArCrUrArGrUrGrArCrUrArU, and 3’-methylated spike-in #1m, 5Phos/rCrCrUrGrArCrUrArGrUrGrArCrUrArU; spike-in #2, 5Phos/rArArCrUrGrArCrUrGrUrGrUrGrUmU; spike-in #2m, 5Phos/rArArCrUrGrArCrUrGrArCrUrGrArU; and 3′-methylated spike-in #2m, 5Phos/rUrUrGrUrGrArArGrArGrArUrArUrCrUrUmG.

Immunoprecipitation

In vitro-cultured SSCs were digested and washed with PBS and harvested in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.1 unit/µl RNase inhibitor (Fermentas), and 1:100 protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). After rotation at 4 °C for 20 min, the lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 × g at 4 °C for 15 min. A total of 400 µl of supernatant was mixed with 5 µg of Mili antibody (MABE363, Millipore), coupled with protein G beads (Invitrogen), and incubated overnight with gentle rotation at 4 °C. The beads were washed four times with TBS before TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was added for RNA extraction.

Nontemplate tailing

The sequences unable to be mapped to the mouse genome without any mismatch were used for further analyses of nontemplate tailing. Only those with a 5′-end identical to the annotated miRNAs and piRNAs and with consecutive mismatches at 3′-end were defined as tailed. The ratio of tailing might have been underestimated because the last residue of some small RNAs that aligned to the genome was in fact added through 3′-tailing but was indistinguishable by bioinformatics analysis alone.
Sources of sequences and genome assemblies

Mouse genome annotation mm10 were downloaded from the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) 5 (50, 51). Known RNA sequences were retrieved from the following databases: miRNA, miBase (39); tRNAs, genomic tRNA database (52); rRNAs, 18S and 28S from NCBI; 5S and 5.8S from Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html) 5 (33); snoRNAs and snRNA from Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html) (53). 5

Data and material availability

All data used to obtain the conclusions in this paper are presented in the paper and the supporting Materials. The deep sequencing data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information under accession number GSE97595. Atomic coordinates and structure factors for the reported crystal structures have been deposited with the Protein Data bank under accession number 5WY0. Other data may be requested from the authors.
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