Detection of mitochondria-generated reactive oxygen species in cells using multiple probes and methods: Potentials, pitfalls, and the future
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Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) such as superoxide (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide, lipid hydroperoxides, peroxynitrite, and hypochlorous and hypobromous acids play a key role in many pathophysiological processes. Recent studies have focused on mitochondrial ROS as redox signaling species responsible for promoting cell division, modulating and regulating kinases and phosphatases, and activating transcription factors. Many ROS also stimulate cell death and senescence. The extent to which these processes occur is attributed to ROS levels (low or high) in cells. However, the exact nature of ROS remains unknown. Investigators have used redox-active probes that, upon oxidation by ROS, yield products exhibiting fluorescence, chemiluminescence, or bioluminescence. Mitochondria-targeted probes can be used to detect ROS generated in mitochondria. However, because most of these redox-active probes (untargeted and mitochondria-targeted) are oxidized by several ROS species, attributing redox probe oxidation to specific ROS species is difficult. It is conceivable that redox-active probes are oxidized in common one-electron oxidation pathways, resulting in a radical intermediate that either reacts with another oxidant (including oxygen to produce O$_2^-$) and forms a stable fluorescent product or reacts with O$_2^-$ to form a fluorescent marker product. Here, we propose the use of multiple probes and complementary techniques (HPLC, LC-MS, redox blotting, and EPR) and the measurement of intracellular probe uptake and specific marker products to identify specific ROS generated in cells. The low-temperature EPR technique developed to investigate cellular/mitochondrial oxidants can easily be extended to animal and human tissues.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) is an umbrella term that generally refers to a family of oxidizing species derived from one- or two-electron reduction of molecular oxygen. These include the following: superoxide (O$_2^-$ and its protonated form, HO$_2^-$); hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) formed from spontaneous or enzyme-catalyzed dismutation of O$_2^-$; hydroxyl radical (OH$^-$) formed from H$_2$O$_2$; reductive metal ions (Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$, Cu$^{2+}$/Cu$^{+}$, and Cr$^{3+}$/Cr$^{6+}$), and metal ion reductants; lipid and protein hydroperoxides; higher oxidizing peroxidase/H$_2$O$_2$-derived species; and singlet oxygen derived from chemical or photochemical mechanisms (1, 2). Added to this list are other species such as: peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) formed from O$_2^-$ and nitric oxide (NO); nitron dioxide radical (NO$_2^-$) formed from ONOO$^-$, NO/NO$_2^-$, or myeloperoxidase (MPO)/H$_2$O$_2$-dependent oxidation of nitrite anion (NO$_2^-$) (also referred to as reactive nitrogen species [RNS]); and hypochlorous acid (HOCl) or hypobromous acid (HOBr) formed from peroxidase (e.g. MPO)-catalyzed oxidation of the chloride anion (Cl$^-$) or bromide anion (Br$^-$) by H$_2$O$_2$. Most of these species are short-lived, react rapidly with low-molecular weight cellular reductants (ascorbate and GSH), and can cause oxidation of critical cellular components (lipid, protein, and DNA). Clearly, the use of multiple probes and methodologies is required for unambiguous detection and characterization of various ROS species (3, 4).

The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR)/spin-trapping technique is the most unambiguous approach to specifically...
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detect O$_2^\bullet$- ‘OH, and lipid-derived radicals using nitrite or nitroso spin traps in chemical and enzymatic systems (5, 6). However, the EPR-active nitrooxide spin adducts derived from the trapping of radicals undergo a facile reduction to EPR-silent hydroxylamines in cells, thus making this technique untenable for intracellular detection of these species. However, EPR at helium-cryogenic temperatures (e.g. 5–40 K) is eminently suitable for detecting and investigating redox-active mitochondrial iron–sulfur proteins (aconitase and mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes) (7–9).

During the last decade, much progress has been made with respect to understanding the mechanisms of ROS-induced oxidation of fluorescent, chemiluminescent, and bioluminescent probes (10, 11). A comprehensive understanding of the kinetics, stoichiometry, and intermediate and product analyses of several ROS probes in various ROS-generating systems makes it possible to investigate these species in cells and tissues (12–15).

Emerging literature provides evidence in support of mitochondria as signaling organelles through their generation of ROS (16–22). Low levels of ROS produced from complex I and/or complex III inhibition in the electron transport chain promote cell division, modulate and regulate mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and phosphatases, and activate transcription factors, whereas high levels of ROS can cause DNA damage and stimulate cell death and senescence (23).

Although the exact nature of ROS is not specified in most cases, it is likely that the investigators are usually referring to O$_2^\bullet$- or H$_2$O$_2$ (27–29). The reaction was shown to be 2-OH-E$^\bullet$ (30). However, the EPR-active nitroxide spin adducts derived from the trapping of radicals undergo a facile reduction to EPR-silent hydroxylamines in cells, thus making this technique untenable for intracellular detection of these species. However, EPR at helium-cryogenic temperatures (e.g. 5–40 K) is eminently suitable for detecting and investigating redox-active mitochondrial iron–sulfur proteins (aconitase and mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes) (7–9).

Both 2-OH-E$^\bullet$ and E$^+$ exhibit overlapping fluorescence spectra as do Mito-E$^+$ and 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$ (41). In addition, the nonspecific two-electron oxidation products E$^+$ or Mito-E$^+$ are formed at much higher levels than 2-OH-E$^\bullet$ or 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$ (42). Thus, the red fluorescence from cells treated with HE and Mito-SOX does not measure mitochondrial O$_2^\bullet$ but simply indicates nonspecific oxidation of the probes (43). Clearly, detecting the O$_2^\bullet$-specific product (2-OH-E$^+$ or 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$) using HPLC or LC-MS is the only approach that is unequivocal for detecting intracellular and mitochondrial O$_2^\bullet$.

The cell-permeable fluorogenic dye, CellROX Deep Red, has also been used as an ROS sensor in cells (27, 28). This probe, whose structure remains unknown, is nonfluorescent in the reduced state, and upon oxidation, it exhibits bright fluorescence in near infrared regions (44). This probe is provided as a kit for measuring oxidative stress. Based on the information provided by the manufacturers, it is clear that this probe can undergo nonspecific oxidation in the presence of various ROS species, and, furthermore, its exact chemical structure, reaction chemistry, kinetics, and products in the presence of various ROS remain unknown. Thus, the potential use of this probe as an ROS sensor in cells is questionable.

Boronates react slowly but stoichiometrically with H$_2$O$_2$ (k $\sim$1 m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) to form phenolic products. Boronate-based fluorogenic probes have been used to measure intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ by monitoring the fluorescent phenolic products in cells (45, 46). Fluorogenic boronates conjugated to a triphenylphosphonium group were also developed for tracking mitochondrial H$_2$O$_2$ (47). A major caveat with the use of boronate probes is the unfavorable reaction kinetics with H$_2$O$_2$ and that other oxidants (ONOO$^\cdot$ or HOCl) react with boronates several orders of magnitude (10$^{3–10^6}$) faster, forming the same phenolic product (48, 49). This makes it difficult to assign the intracellular fluorescence derived from boronate probes to H$_2$O$_2$ (50).

However, unlike H$_2$O$_2$, ONOO$^\cdot$ and HOCl form specific minor products (nitrated, cyclized, and chlorinated products) upon reaction with boronates. Lack of formation of the specific minor products (Fig. S2) would preclude the intermediacy of these oxidants (51). Mitochondria-targeted boronate (Mito-B$^+$) in combination with LC-MS–based analysis has been used to detect mitochondrial H$_2$O$_2$ formed in vitro and in vivo (52).

Mitochondrial membrane potential is one of the key factors controlling the uptake of cationic and fluorescent probes (53, 54). Typically, lipophilic cationic compounds accumulate in the mitochondrial matrix depending on the extent of mitochondrial polarization (55). A more negative or more polarized mitochondrion will enable higher accumulation of cationic dyes (53). Thus, it is important to measure the intracellular uptake and mitochondrial localization of ROS probes to properly interpret the results. To avoid any ambiguity related to changes in intracellular probe concentration, results may also be expressed as the ratio ([ROS product]/[probe]), assuming a linear relationship between the probe concentration and the yield of the oxidation product(s) (56). The cellular uptake of the mitochondria-targeted, triphenylphosphonium cationic moiety (TPP$^+$)-based ROS scavengers is also governed by mitochondrial membrane potential.
Cells rely on mitochondrial and cytosolic reductants (GSH and NADPH) and antioxidant enzymes to mitigate ROS levels in the cytosolic and mitochondrial compartments. \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) is dismutated catalytically by copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (Cu/Zn-SOD, SOD1) in the cytoplasm and in the mitochondrial intermembrane space. \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) generated in the mitochondrial matrix is catalytically removed by manganese SOD (MnSOD, SOD2). Peroxiredoxins, GSH peroxidases, and catalase enzymes present in mitochondria and in the cytosol scavenge \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and lipid hydroperoxides. Thioredoxins, thioredoxin reductases, and glutaredoxin in combination with GSH and NADPH repair and protect against excessive oxidation of peroxiredoxins and reactivate these antioxidant enzymes (57). Clearly, the changes in intracellular antioxidant levels that occur during metabolic reprogramming and drug resistance greatly influence the cytosolic and mitochondrial ROS levels. Thus, monitoring changes in the levels or redox state of mitochondrial antioxidant enzymes, by low-temperature EPR, for example (7), could help interpret changes in mitochondrial and cytosolic ROS levels and their role in redox signaling.

Here, we show that it is necessary to determine the cellular uptake of ROS probes (HE and its mitochondria-targeted analog Mito-SOX) and the corresponding hydroxylation and oxidation products, using LC-MS, to authenticate mitochondrial \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) generation. Similarly, it is essential to determine the cellular uptake of the mitochondria-targeted boronate probe and to measure the major and minor products by LC-MS to implicate the intermediacy of mitochondrial \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). Finally, we suggest using complementary “probe-free” compartmentalized redox blotting and low-temperature EPR to further verify mitochondrial oxidant formation. Monitoring the redox changes in intracellular redox-sensing thiol peroxidases, especially peroxiredoxins, should lead to better insights into the results obtained with exogenous probes for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and its role in redox signaling.

Results

Effect of ROS probes on mitochondrial bioenergetic function

Exposure of neuronal cells to low micromolar levels of Mito-SOX was shown to uncouple neuronal respiration and affect \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) production (58). Therefore, before proceeding with a complete analyses of ROS detection, the effects of ROS probes on cellular bioenergetics and optimal conditions/concentrations were established. Oxygen consumption rates (OCR) were measured as a readout of mitochondrial function. To determine the mitochondrial inhibitory effects of ROS probes in cells, we used the Seahorse apparatus to measure OCR in cells treated with different concentrations of probes (Fig. 1, A–C). The probes HE, Mito-HE (Mito-SOX), and o-Mito-PBA all dose-dependently inhibited OCR in MiaPaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells (Fig. 1D). However, at the concentrations chosen for ROS detection, HE 10 \( \mu \text{M} \), Mito-SOX 5 \( \mu \text{M} \), and Mito-PBA 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Fig. 1), the probes did not affect either basal OCR or the response to mitochondrial modulators (oligomycin, dinitrophenol, and rotenone/antimycin A), indicating a lack of interference with mitochondrial function.

Inhibition of mitochondrial respiration results in increased \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) and other oxidants

Inhibitors of complex I (NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase), complex II (succinate-ubiquinone oxidoreductase), and complex III (cytochrome bc1 complex) induce mitochondrial \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to various extents (59). We have previously demonstrated that mito-metformin (Mito-Met) inhibits mitochondrial complex I at a 1000-fold lower concentration than metformin. The optimal concentrations of Mito-Met and antimycin A required to inhibit mitochondrial respiration in intact MiaPaCa-2 cells were determined (Fig. 2, A and B). The concentrations to inhibit 50% (IC\(_{50}\)) of OCR were determined to be 1.1 \( \mu \text{M} \) for Mito-Met (complex I inhibitor) and 2.8 \( \mu \text{M} \) for antimycin A (complex III inhibitor) (Fig. 2).

ROS probe hydroethidine

MiaPaCa-2 cells were treated with Mito-Met for 24 h or with antimycin A immediately before the addition of the ROS probe HE. The cells were then incubated for 1 h with HE and collected for analyses of the oxidation products. Cell lysates were analyzed using HPLC (Fig. S3). Fig. 3A shows the amount of HE incorporation into cells, the \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) dimeric product \( \text{E}^\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{E}^\cdot \) that is formed by the combination of
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Two HE radicals. Formation of dimeric E\(^+\)-E\(^+\) suggests oxidation of HE by a one-electron oxidant to the corresponding radical that subsequently undergoes a radical–radical recombination reaction. The intracellular levels of these products in Mito-Met–treated or antimycin A–treated cells were markedly increased relative to the untreated control cells. Because the intracellular HE level was not significantly affected by the treatments, similar conclusions can be drawn using the absolute intracellular level and the ratios of the product to probe levels.

**Figure 2. Concentration-dependent inhibition of mitochondrial respiration in intact MiaPaCa-2 cells by Mito-Met and antimycin A.** A, MiaPaCa-2 cells (20,000 cells/well) were seeded in culture plates for 4 h to allow for cell attachment. Cells were treated with Mito-Met for 24 h and washed with unbuffered assay medium as described. After baseline OCR measurements, the response to mitochondrial modulators (oligomycin [Oligo], DNP, and rotenone [Rot]/antimycin A [AA] as described in Fig. 1) was recorded. The last stable baseline OCR reading before oligomycin injection was plotted against the concentration of Mito-Met. The *dashed lines* represent the fitting curve used to determine the IC\(_{50}\) value. B, cells (20,000 cells/well) were seeded for 24 h before performing the extracellular flux assay. After 1-h baseline OCR measurements, antimycin A was injected. The effects of antimycin A on baseline OCR were monitored for 1 h before injection of rotenone (1 \(\mu\)M). The last OCR reading before injection of rotenone (1-h treatment with antimycin A) is plotted against concentration of antimycin A. The *dashed lines* represent the fitting curve used to determine the IC\(_{50}\) value.

ROS probe, Mito-PBA

To detect \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) generated in mitochondria in Mito-Met–treated or antimycin A–treated cells, the probe o-Mito-PhB(OH)\(_2\) (ortho-Mito-PBA) was used (51). Although both the ortho-substituted probe o-MitoPhB(OH)\(_2\) and the meta-MitoPhB(OH)\(_2\) probe (known as Mito-B) (51) react at similar rates with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) to form the respective phenolic product Mito-PhOH, the ortho-substituted probe is preferred because it also reacts with ONOO\(^-\) to form very specific minor products (~10%), cyclo-MitoPh and o-MitoPhNO\(_2\), in addition to the major product, o-MitoPhOH (~90%), as shown in Fig. S2. The absence of these minor products definitely rules out the intermediacy of ONOO\(^-\).

Experimental conditions using Mito-PBA were identical to those using HE or Mito-SOX probes. Mito-Met treatment of MiaPaCa-2 cells in the presence of Mito-PBA led to an increase in o-MitoPhOH formation (Fig. 3C). Based on the intracellular levels of probe uptake, it is evident that Mito-Met significantly enhanced ROS formation. The absence of detectable ONOO\(^-\)-specific products suggests that mitochondria-derived \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) was responsible for the oxidation of o-MitoPhB(OH)\(_2\) to o-MitoPhOH in these cells (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, no increased oxidation of the Mito-PBA probe was detected in cells treated with antimycin A, consistent with the lack of increase of 2-OH-Mito-E\(^+\) formation.

Aconitate oxidation: EPR-detectable markers of mitochondrial oxidative stress

Fig. 4 shows EPR data from MiaPaCa-2 pancreatic cancer cells, untreated or treated with Mito-Met or antimycin A. The experimental conditions were identical to those used for ROS probes, except that the ROS probes were not included. The spectra presented were recorded at 12 K. Incubating cells with antimycin A (Fig. 4B) resulted in up to a 6-fold increase in the intensity of a signal at 3350 G (\(g \approx 2.02\)). This signal is due to an iron-containing center ofaconitase, previously attributed to \(\text{O}_2\)^-induced oxidative inactivation of the [4Fe-4S]\(^2+\) cluster (EPR silent) to a [3Fe-4S]\(^+\) cluster (EPR active, shown in Fig. 4 as ox-aconitase) with a concomitant loss of the noncovalent Fe\(_a\) atom of the [4Fe-4S]\(^2+\) cluster (60). Changes in the intensity of a second signal at 3530 G, the so-called \(g \approx 1.92\) signal, due to reduced [2Fe-2S]\(^+\) and [4Fe-4S]\(^-\) clusters of complexes I and II (61) were either small or not statistically significant. Incubation
of cells with Mito-Met (Fig. 4A) also elicited significant increases in the intensity of the aconitase \([3\text{Fe-4S}]^+\) signal and, additionally, led to significant diminution of the \([\text{g}=1.92\text{ FeS}]\) signal. The spectral changes observed with both antimycin A and Mito-Met were dose-dependent over the concentration ranges studied (Fig. 4). Table S1 shows the estimated spin concentrations of the oxidatively modified aconitase, \([\text{Acn 3Fe-4S}]^+\) cluster, and the composite signal from the reduced \([2\text{Fe-2S}]^+\) and \([4\text{Fe-4S}]^+\) clusters from mitochondrial complexes I and II.

It is important to highlight the following caveats in sample preparation for the EPR experiments. To match the EPR experimental conditions to those used in other experiments (5–10 ml of medium per 10^6 cells), the volume of the medium was increased by 6-fold such that there was a sufficient amount of inhibitor (Mito-Met or antimycin A) per cell. Under these conditions, Mito-Met or antimycin A treatment yielded a significant formation of ox-aconitase (Fig. 4).

**Inhibition of mitochondrial complexes I and III stimulates oxidation of mitochondrial but not cytosolic peroxiredoxin**

Because peroxiredoxins are endogenous indicators of compartmental redox stress (62), we monitored the oxidation of the predominant mitochondrial peroxiredoxin, Prx3, and the cytosolic peroxiredoxin, Prx1. Prx3 accounts for \(90\%\) of total mitochondrial peroxide-scavenging activity (5–7 \(\times\) 10^7 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)) (63). If Mito-Met and antimycin A induce the generation of mitochondrial oxidant(s), one would expect oxidation of Prx3 cysteiny1 sulfhydryl (Prx3-Red) to the corresponding Prx3 disulfide (Prx3-Ox), whereas the oxidation of inhibitor (Mito-Met or antimycin A) per cell. Under these conditions, Mito-Met or antimycin A treatment yielded a significant formation of ox-aconitase (Fig. 4).
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As discussed (38, 66), conventional fluorescence microscopy cannot distinguish E\(^{\text{+}}\) and 2-OH-E\(^{\text{+}}\) because of the spectral overlap and the significant excess of E\(^{\text{+}}\) in cells over 2-OH-E\(^{\text{+}}\). However, the emission maximum of 2-OH-E\(^{\text{+}}\) is shifted ~20 nm relative to the E\(^{\text{+}}\) emission maximum. We hypothesized that this difference could be resolved using \(\lambda\) mode confocal microscopy, where emission spectra are recorded for each pixel in a fluorescence micrograph. To illustrate the proof of concept, we treated cells with equimolar levels of E\(^{\text{+}}\) or 2-OH-E\(^{\text{+}}\) for 20 min in the presence of the plasma membrane permeabilizer (PMP). Cells were imaged by confocal microscopy using excitation at 488 nm and emission collected every 10.7 nm from 506 to 699 nm (Fig. S5). From those images, the pixel-based fluores-
escence emission spectra were constructed (Fig. 7). It is clear from the results that the spectral shift between 2-OH-E⁺ and E⁺ also holds true in cells, regardless of the image pixel chosen. This provides a potential opportunity to use spectral imaging and unmixing to deconvolute the actual spectra into 2-OH-E⁺ and E⁺ components. In the actual experimental systems, however, the concentrations of both 2-OH-E⁺ and E⁺ are likely to be much lower, and the concentration of E⁺ is likely to be severalfold higher than that of 2-OH-E⁺. We presently do not have the ability to perform these experiments using advanced lasers or filters at 405 nm. Nonetheless, we believe that the confocal spectroscopic spectral imaging approach using a super-sensitive confocal microscope or a multiwavelength optical imaging system with time-domain capabilities will help record, in
In this study, we have shown that determining redox probe uptake and analyzing the specific oxidation and hydroxylation products are critical for proper characterization of intracellular ROS. Mitochondria-targeted HE (Mito-HE, commercially available as the Mito-SOX Red probe) has routinely been used in previous studies, and the red fluorescence derived from its oxidation product was attributed to mROS or $O_2^-$. We show, however, that several products are formed from Mito-SOX as a result of one-electron oxidation and that the levels of the non-specific, red fluorescent oxidation product (Mito-$E^+$) are much higher than those of the $O_2^-$-specific product. The notion that Mito-SOX can be used as an indicator of mitochondrial $O_2^-$ should be re-examined. In the following sections, we discuss the mechanism of oxidation of widely used ROS probes, and how the chemistry of the probes impacts the extent of oxidation, the identity of the product(s), and possible interpretation of the results. We have addressed the potential problems in the interpretation of results obtained from mitochondria-targeted agents and $N$-acetylcysteine as mitochondrial ROS scavengers. We propose that, in addition to monitoring the probe uptake and determining the specific marker products from redox probes, analyzing redox-active iron–sulfur clusters (aconitase

**Figure 6. Fluorescence spectral properties of oxidation and hydroxylation products of HE and Mito-SOX.** Spectra of the compounds in the presence of DNA (0.2 mg/ml) in aqueous solution of Tris buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) and EDTA (1 mM) were collected at room temperature. Fluorescence excitation/emission matrices for 2-OH-$E^+$ and $E^+$ (A) or 2-OH-Mito-$E^+$ and Mito-$E^+$ (D) are shown in three-dimensional (upper panels) and two-dimensional (lower panels) spaces. B, excitation and emission spectra of $E^+$ (10 $\mu$M) and 2-OH-$E^+$ (7 $\mu$M). C, emission spectra of 2-OH-$E^+$ (0.7 $\mu$M) and $E^+$ (10 $\mu$M) are compared using different excitation wavelengths. D, same as B but for 2-OH-Mito-$E^+$ (10 $\mu$M) and Mito-$E^+$ (7.5 $\mu$M). F, same as C but for 2-OH-Mito-$E^+$ (1 $\mu$M) and Mito-$E^+$ (7.5 $\mu$M).
oxidation) using EPR at low temperatures, and the redox status of mitochondrial and cytosolic peroxiredoxins, will provide a more complete picture of mitochondrial ROS.

Intracellular oxidation of redox-active probes by one-electron oxidants

In recent years, investigators have used several structurally related and different redox probes to detect different ROS species generated in cells. Clearly, the eternal hope has been that the readout (fluorescent or chemiluminescent) from these probes will indicate both the amount and the identity of different ROS. Unfortunately, this mindset has caused much confusion in the interpretation of results due to a lack of consideration of the chemical reactivity of the probes. Determination of the products formed from ROS probes suggests that one-electron oxidation of ROS probes (e.g. HE, Mito-SOX, DCFH, and dihydrorhodamine (DHR)) is a common mechanistic pathway. Most fluorescent, chemiluminescent, or bioluminescent redox-active ROS probes undergo one-electron oxidation to a probe-derived radical intermediate that subsequently reacts with oxygen and/or $O_2^-$. Thus, the second step is the only differentiating feature for the different probes (HE, Mito-SOX, DCFH, DHR, luminol, and L-012 (Fig. S4)) and for lucigenin, which undergoes an initial reduction to form a radical intermediate that reduces oxygen to $O_2^-$ and also reacts with $O_2^-$ to induce chemiluminescence. Fig. 8 shows the one-electron oxidation of selected ROS probes by oxidizing species such as the hydroxyl radical or a higher oxidation species generated from peroxidase/H$_2$O$_2$ in mitochondria or the cytosol. The intermediate radical derived from DCFH undergoes redox cycling, generating additional $O_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ and DCF. This artifactual generation of H$_2$O$_2$ is likely responsible for the nonlinear relationship between fluorescence intensity and ROS levels determined using the DCFH probe. In contrast, radicals (HE$^+$ and Mito-HE$^+$) derived from HE or Mito-SOX form E$^+$ and E$^+$--E$^+$. The intermediate radicals do not appreciably react with oxygen but react rapidly with $O_2^-$ to form diagnostic marker products (2-OH-E$^+$ or 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$) (Fig. 8). Thus, most ROS probes are all initially oxidized by the same one-electron oxidation mechanism in cells, resulting in oxidation products that exhibit fluorescence. Intracellular green fluorescence derived from DCFH or red fluorescence derived from HE or Mito-SOX presumably occurs from the oxidation of the probes by the same one-electron oxidation mechanism. For example, drug-resistant cancer cells that undergo ferroptosis, a nonapoptotic, iron-dependent cell death, are likely to oxidize DCFH and HE/Mito-SOX by a one-electron oxidizing mechanism (mediated by lipid-derived radicals), yielding green fluorescence (from DCF) and red fluorescence (from E$^+$ or Mito-E$^+$) (69). Without the product analyses for 2-OH-E$^+$ and 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$, one would erroneously conclude that HE and Mito-SOX are oxidized by $O_2^-$ generated in the drug-resistant cancer cell phenotype.

The reaction between $O_2^-$ and the probe radical forms a hydroxylated product, and this is probably the only major difference between these probes. Mitochondria-derived ROS, usually denoted as “mROS,” are often detected using Mito-SOX. An increase in Mito-SOX--derived red fluorescence is often equated to mitochondrial $O_2^-$. However, unless 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$ is isolated and determined (42), attributing increased red fluorescence to mitochondrial $O_2^-$ is incorrect. Changes in 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$ may be also due to changes in probe uptake or in the peroxidatic activity. Probe uptake can be determined by...
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monitoring cellular Mito-SOX level (or the sum of Mito-SOX and its oxidation products). Peroxidatic activity results in the formation of dimeric products, including Mito-"E."–Mito-"E.". Thus, HPLC-based or LC-MS–based profiling of Mito-SOX oxidation products is necessary for proper interpretation of the changes in red fluorescence.

In contrast to phenanthridinium-based fluorescent probes (e.g. HE and Mito-SOX) and the DCFH/DHR family of dyes, the higher oxidation potential of boronate-based probes precludes them from undergoing peroxidatic oxidation. The phenolic products formed from the reaction between ROS and boronate probes can undergo further oxidation with time, forming non-fluorescent dimers. This possibility needs to be experimentally tested, however.

Use of mROS scavengers and interpretation of data

Nitroxides conjugated to TPP⁺ via various alkyl chain linkers, such as Mito-CP₁₁ and Mito-Tempo(l)₁₀ (Fig. S4), were used to catalytically dismutate mitochondrial O₂⁻ (mitochondrial SOD mimics) and protect against endothelial cell oxidative damage (70). Mito-CP is a mitochondria-targeted nitroxide analog that is synthesized by conjugating a TPP⁺ group to an untargeted CP via an 11-carbon alkyl chain, and Mito-Tempol is synthesized similarly (71). In contrast to the effect observed in normal endothelial cells, these compounds induced antiproliferative effects in cancer cells (72). This was originally attributed to mitigation of O₂⁻-induced redox signaling in cancer cells (19). To unequivocally confirm that the nitroxide group and its SOD mimetic effect are responsible for the observed effects, a proper control (Mito-CP–acetamide) was synthesized, wherein the nitroxide group in Mito-CP was replaced by an acetamido group (73). Mito-CP-Ac does not possess the O₂⁻ dismutating ability, so it was an ideal control molecule. Much to our surprise, we found that Mito-CP-Ac inhibited cancer cell proliferation as effectively as did Mito-CP (73). Thus, we revised our previous interpretation that Mito-CP inhibits cancer cell proliferation by dismutating O₂⁻. We now believe that the antiproliferative effects of Mito-CP and Mito-CP-Ac are linked to their abilities to induce mitochondrial stress and activate other redox–signaling mechanisms.

Mito-Tempol(l) belongs to a group of six-membered nitroxides wherein the nitroxide-containing group, Tempo, is tethered via an alkyl chain to the TPP⁺ that targets these compounds to mitochondria (74–76). Mito-Tempo, containing a short alkyl chain, is typically used to test the involvement of mitochondrial O₂⁻-induced effects (75). Mito-Tempo was shown to mitigate the red fluorescence originating from Mito-SOX (77). This was attributed to the dismutation of mitochondrial ROS (O₂⁻) by Mito-Tempo. These results need to be evaluated more carefully using the appropriate control compound (Mito-Tempo–acetamide, see Fig. S4) with the nitroxide group substituted by acetamide or a methyl group (78). Mito-Tempo-Ac is similar to Mito-Tempo but devoid of the O₂⁻-dismutating ability. In addition, the fluorescence quenching by the paramagnetic Mito-Tempol should be considered. The commercially available Mito-Tempo contains a short alkyl chain, and Mito-Tempol with a longer alkyl side chain is probably preferred for effective mitochondrial targeting.

Mitochondria-targeted cell-penetrating peptides (Mito-CPPs) based on the Szeto-Schiller peptide sequence (n-Arg-Dmt-Lys-Phe-NH₂) have been proposed as site-specific scavengers of mitochondrial ROS (79–81). Mito-CPPs are typically cationic and lipophilic tetrapeptides, consisting of positively charged amino acids and phenylalanine. The antioxidant property of these peptides is attributed to a rapid reaction between ROS and the phenolic group in Dmt, forming the corresponding tyrosine radical that regenerates the phenol by reacting with a reductant or with another radical, forming a dimer and other products (80). This mechanism of the protective effects of Dmt-containing peptides has been challenged, however, as Dmt is known to interact with opioid receptors (82). We have proposed using an analog of Dmt that lacks the hydroxyl group (2,6-dimethylphenylalanine) to distinguish the antioxidant from receptor-binding effects (83). Recently, Mito-CPPs were reported to inhibit mitochondrial superoxide (measured by Mito-SOX fluorescence) in H₂O₂ and antimycin A-treated cells (80). A decrease in Mito-SOX fluorescence (and O₂⁻ formation) in Mito-CPP–treated cells was attributed to scavenging of mitochondrial O₂⁻ by Mito-CPPs. Based on our present findings (Fig. 3), it is conceivable that Mito-SOX is predominantly oxidized to Mito-"E." (responsible for increased fluorescence) by mitochondrial peroxidatic activity and that Mito-CPPs inhibit Mito-SOX fluorescence by the mechanism(s) unrelated to scavenging of O₂⁻, e.g. acting as peroxide substrates. Clearly, it is crucial to determine the identity of Mito-SOX–derived products in control and oxidant-treated cells in the presence of Mito-CPPs, and only then will we be able to interpret results concerning reported Mito-CPP antioxidant mechanisms in a meaningful way.

N-Acetylcysteine, reinterpretation of results

N-Acetylcysteine (NAC), a membrane-permeable cysteine precursor, is one of the most frequently used molecules in oxidative redox biology. NAC is often designated as an “ROS scavenger” and a potent antioxidant. Its cytoprotective effects against oxidative damage and the redox-signaling effects in cancer were attributed to its ability to scavenge ROS (O₂⁻, H₂O₂) (84–86). However, neither O₂⁻ nor H₂O₂ react at an appreciable rate with NAC (2), calling into question its antioxidant mechanism due to ROS scavenging (87). Other reports suggest the antioxidant mechanism of NAC is due to its ability to enhance intracellular levels of GSH, which in turn increases the functional ability of GSH-dependent enzymatic hydroperoxide-removing systems (e.g. GSH peroxidases) (88). NAC-induced inhibition of Mito-SOX fluorescence could be due to decreased peroxidatic activity in mitochondria, resulting in decreased one-electron oxidation of Mito-SOX. Decreased peroxidatic activity may be due to decreased levels of H₂O₂ or other peroxides, as a result of the increased activity of hydroperoxide-removing enzymes (peroxiredoxins and/or GSH peroxidases).

Other ROS probes of interest for detecting mitochondrial O₂⁻

A new mitochondria-targeted O₂⁻ probe, MitoNeoD (Fig. S4), was recently developed (89). MitoNeoD contains a reduced phenanthridinium moiety that is modified to prevent DNA intercalation and reacts with O₂⁻ to form the hydroxylated.
product, MitoNeoOH. MitoNeoD possess a TPP+ and has a carbon–deuterium bond that inhibits nonspecific two-electron oxidation to the corresponding ethidium analog. Because of the deuterium isotope effect and the bulky group attached to the amino group, it is likely that a direct two-electron oxidation of the probe to the corresponding E+ analog is hindered compared with HE or Mito-SOX. These structural modifications are proposed to facilitate the selectivity toward O2−. MitoNeoD was used in vivo to detect the mitochondrial O2− by LC-MS–based measurements of the O2−-derived product. Additional bioenergetic functional studies are needed to fully explore its potential for detecting mitochondrial ROS in cells.

More recently, new fluorescent probes (e.g. HKSOX-1 and its mitochondria-targeted analog) consisting of a fluorescein-based trifluoromethanesulfonate were synthesized and used to detect O2− generated in cells (90). This approach involves the nucleophilic addition of O2− to the activated sulfonate ester yielding a free and stable phenolic fluorophore, a derivative of 2′,4′,5′,7′-tetrafluorofluorescein (Fig. S4). The rate constant for this reaction was estimated to be relatively high (k = 2 × 106 M−1 s−1). It was reported that this reaction is selective for O2− and that it did not occur in the presence of other ROS and RNS, including ONOO− and HOCI (90). One of the possible drawbacks of this assay is the generation of a potentially oxidizing radical during the reaction, as its toxicological significance is not known. More extensive investigation of the effect of these probes on mitochondrial function in various cells needs to be performed and the results validated in other laboratories. However, this particular probe clearly shows great promise for intracellular imaging of O2− in real time, taking advantage of the nucleophilic character of O2−.

Recently, more sensitive and noninvasive modalities, such as PET and radionuclide imaging, were developed for detecting in vivo O2− (91, 92). In PET detection, an 18F-labeled HE analog was used as a PET tracer. In radionuclide imaging, the HE analog containing the radiotracer tritium was used. Although these techniques are highly sensitive and clearly make in vivo application in humans possible, these probes share the same chemistry discussed previously. The limitations discussed for fluorescence-based imaging are also applicable to PET imaging and radiolabeled HE tracers. The claims for noninvasive imaging of O2− using this probe both in vivo and in vitro conditions need to be reexamined and revised.

Detection of mitochondrial oxidant formation in cells and tissues using the low-temperature EPR technique

EPR spectroscopy detects unpaired electrons, including those in free radicals, redox states in transition metal ions, and iron–sulfur clusters. EPR detection of mitochondrial redox centers requires cryogenic temperatures (5–40 K). This technique does not involve the use of an exogenous probe, and low-temperature EPR of flash-frozen intact tissue or cell samples provides a snapshot of the redox status of the various mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes at the time of freezing and reports on the midpoint potentials of the individual redox centers and the integrity of their intramolecular electron transfer pathways. Elevation of the EPR signal due to the oxidatively deactivated aconitase [3Fe-4S]+ is a biochemical marker for the presence of mitochondrial ROS. Diminution of the g = 1.92 signal from reduced iron–sulfur clusters of complexes I and II indicates diminished reduct potential (i.e. more oxidized) and compromised thermodynamic potential for ATP generation, and EPR signals from ROS-induced catalase expression indicate chronic exposure to elevated levels of ROS. Signals from the reduced complex I N3 and N4 clusters are exquisitely sensitive to redox status, as they have midpoint potentials close to the NAD+/NADH couple (7, 93). In the cultured MiaPaCa-2 cells, no detectable EPR evidence for catalase expression was observed. Signals from N3 and N4 clusters were complicated by M(II) resonances, but both antitumor A and Mito-Met elicited large (up to 6-fold) increases in the aconitase [3Fe-4S]+ signal, and Mito-Met diminished the g = 1.92 signal by a factor of 3 and suppressed the small N4 signal at all but the lowest dose. EPR signals from aconitase arise from the O2−-induced oxidative deactivation (k = 107 M−1 s−1) of the native [4Fe-4S]2+ cluster, through loss of the noncovalent Fea iron, to the EPR-detectable [3Fe-4S]+ species. O2−-oxidized, reversibly inactivated aconitase exhibits a very characteristic [3Fe-4S]+ EPR signal with a maximum at around g = 2.02 (94, 95). Other oxidants (H2O2 and ONOO−) induce inactivation of aconitase, resulting in the EPR signal due to [3Fe-4S]+ species (96, 97). Low-temperature EPR has also been used to characterize the mitochondrial redox status and oxidative biomarkers in tissues isolated from animal experiments (7) and will ultimately be applied to clinically isolated human tissues.

Implications in cancer biology

Understanding the detailed mechanism(s) of oxidation of ROS probes, as discussed above, has significant implications in elucidating the role of ROS signaling in cancer biology. Mitochondrial sirtuin-3, Sirt3, has been shown to regulate the activity of mitochondrial antioxidant enzymes (e.g. MnSOD) and intracellular ROS levels (98, 99). Sirt3, an NAD-dependent deacetylase located primarily in mitochondria, is presumably responsible for maintaining mitochondrial function, dynamics, and redox metabolism (100). In cancer cells, Sirt3 regulates hypoxia-inducible factor and switches metabolic reprogramming from mitochondrial oxidative metabolism to increased glycolysis (101). Sirt3 deficiency reportedly increases ROS, and it has been proposed that Sirt3 functions as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting ROS in various cancers (102). Overexpression of Sirt3 inhibits the growth of xenograft tumors in mice (102). Confocal fluorescence microscopy was used to measure ROS levels in cells with different Sirt3 levels. Either HE, Mito-SOX, or DCFH was used in these experiments.

The overexpression of Sirt3 in cardiomyocytes protects against doxorubicin-induced mitochondrial dysfunction (103). Doxorubicin-induced ROS formation in cardiomyocytes was monitored by following the increase in Mito-SOX red fluorescence, and the overexpression of Sirt3 mitigated Mito-SOX–induced red fluorescence.

Targeted production of ROS to overcome cancer drug resistance was reported using redox-active probes (104). Antitumor drugs like cisplatin initially elicit antitumor activity in lung cancer patients, but with continued use the patients develop resistance to cisplatin and the treatment fails. Studies have implied
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Increased ROS formation and metabolic reprogramming in cisplatin-resistant cells (105, 106). PGC1α, an important transcriptional coactivator promoting mitochondrial biogenesis, was reported to decrease mitochondrial ROS (107). PGC1α-mediated drug resistance in melanoma cells was linked to decreased intracellular ROS levels, increased mitochondrial energy metabolism, and ROS detoxification enzymatic machinery (108). Suppression of PGC1α led to decreased ROS detoxification, enhanced ROS levels, and apoptosis.

We propose that the combined approach described in this study will help obtain a robust and complete picture of oxidants and redox changes in these systems.

Concluding remarks

We have described the combined use of several redox-active ROS probes and analytical methods for detecting intracellular and mitochondrial ROS. Most of the redox-active probes (e.g., HE, Mito-SOX, DCFH, DHR, CellROX, L-012, luminol, and related analogs) undergo one-electron oxidation, forming a probe-derived radical intermediate that may reduce oxygen to O$_2^\cdot$ or disproportionate to form stable products exhibiting fluorescence, chemiluminescence, or bioluminescence. In some cases, the probe-derived radical reacts with O$_2^\cdot$ to form a specific hydroxylated product that also exhibits fluorescence. The O$_2^\cdot$-specific product can be isolated and detected by HPLC or LC-MS. The enhanced intracellular fluorescence observed using the redox-active probes (e.g., HE, Mito-SOX, DCFH, DHR, and related analogs) indicates one-electron oxidation of the probes induced by higher oxidation state peroxidase (or other hem protein), hydroxyl radical, or iron-oxo intermediate. At present, the HPLC or LC-MS is the only viable approach to unambiguously detect intracellular O$_2^\cdot$ using ROS probes, although extraction of TPP$^\cdot$-containing probes and products from mitochondria is cumbersome and suboptimal. The spectral imaging technique currently lacks the sensitivity to distinguish the fluorescence from the nonspecific oxidized product (E$^+$) and the O$_2^\cdot$-specific, hydroxylated product (2-OH-E$^+$). However, the spectral imaging approach combined with spectral unmixing is a promising technique that requires a more sensitive high-resolution confocal microscope equipped with multiple filters for detection and differentiation of oxidized species with overlapping fluorescence spectra. Boronate probes (e.g., Mito-B) react slowly with mitochondrial H$_2$O$_2$ to form specific products that can be detected by LC-MS. Boronate-based probes, however, are ideal for detecting intracellular ONOO$^-$. Using low-temperature EPR, evidence for mitochondrial ROS can be obtained by monitoring the oxidative inactivation of aconitase, compromised reduction potential of the electron transport chain, and markers for chronic exposure to ROS (e.g., catalse), in cells and tissues. The oxidized aconitase ([3Fe-4S]$^+$ form) can be used as an EPR-detectable biomarker of mitochondrial oxidants in cells and tissues.

Finally, we conclude that no single probe or technique can adequately assess and detect mitochondrial ROS formation, and we propose the use of multiple probes and analyses of products and paramagnetic intermediates using several analytical techniques including “probe-free” EPR at cryogenic temperatures. Other endogenous redox indicators (e.g., peroxiredoxins) are an additional means to gain further insights into the cellular redox environment and oxidative stress.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and treatment conditions

The MiaPaCa-2 cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA), where cells are regularly authenticated. All cells were obtained over the last 5 years, stored in liquid nitrogen, and used within 20 passages after thawing. Cells were grown at 37 °C in 5% CO$_2$. MiaPaCa-2 cells were maintained in DMEM (catalog no. 11965, Invitrogen) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml).

ROS probes

HE and Mito-SOX Red were from Invitrogen. Stock solutions (20 and 5 mM, respectively) were prepared in deoxygenated DMSO and stored at −80 °C before use. The ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$ was synthesized, as described recently (109) and stored as a 0.1 m stock solution in DMSO at −20 °C.

Preparation of HPLC standards

Ethidium cation (bromide salt) was purchased from Sigma. Mito-E$^+$ was prepared by reacting Mito-SOX with chloranil (35, 36, 110, 111). The hydroxylated oxidation products from HE and Mito-SOX (2-OH-E$^+$ and 2-OH-Mito-E$^+$) were prepared by reacting the probes with excess potassium ferricyanide, as detailed elsewhere (35, 111). A detailed description of the synthesis of the Mito-E$^+$–Mito-E$^+$ dimer and its structural characterization is provided in the supporting information. Synthesis of the oxidation and nitration products from ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$ probe was described elsewhere (51, 109). Synthesized standards of all oxidation products of HE and Mito-SOX were purified by HPLC.

Preparation of cell lysates for HPLC and LC-MS analyses

Frozen cell pellets were placed on ice and lysed in 150 μl of DPBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 and 1 μM internal standards. The internal standards were 3,8-diamino-6-phenylphenanthridine (DAPP) for the HE assay, (2-methylbenzyl)triphosphonium cation (MBTPP) for the Mito-SOX assay, and a mixture of MBTPP, ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$, ortho-MitoPhO$_2$, ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$, and ortho-MitoPhNO$_2$ for the ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$ assay (51). Immediately after lysis, the lysate (100 μl) was mixed (1:1) with acetonitrile (MeCN) containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, vortexed for 10 s, and left on ice for 30 min. The protein concentration in the remaining lysate was determined using the Bradford assay. Next, samples were centrifuged (30 min at 20,000 × g, 4 °C), and the supernatant (100 μl) was mixed (1:1) with water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. Samples were centrifuged again (15 min at 20,000 × g, 4 °C), and the supernatant (150 μl) was transferred into HPLC vials equipped with conical inserts, and the samples were analyzed by HPLC or LC-MS. During sample collection, and preparation for and during analysis, all samples were kept on ice or at 4 °C and shielded from light.
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**LC-MS and HPLC**

HPLC-based measurements of HE and its oxidation products—HPLC analyses were performed using an Agilent 1100 system (North Billerica, MA) equipped with absorption and fluorescence detectors and refrigerated autosampler (4 °C). The samples (50 µl) were injected into a reverse-phase column (Phenomenex, Kinetex C18, 100 × 4.6 mm, 2.6 μm) equilibrated with 20% (v/v) MeCN, 80% (v/v) water containing 0.1% (v/v) TFA. The compounds were eluted by increasing the content of MeCN (v/v) from 20 to 56% over 4.5 min at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min. The absorption detector was used to measure DAPP (at 290 nm; retention time 2.5 min), HE-E- (at 290 nm; retention time 4.1 min), E+-E- (at 290 nm; retention time 4.3 min), and HE (at 370 nm, retention time 1.6 min). A fluorescence detector (initial excitation at 490 nm and emission at 567 nm) was used to monitor HE (when present at low concentrations). At 2.3 min after injection, the fluorescence parameters were changed (excitation at 490 nm and emission at 567 nm) for sensitive detection of 2-OH-E- (retention time 3.2 min) and E+ (retention time 3.3 min).

**LC-MS/MS-based measurements of HE and Mito-SOX and their oxidation products**—Alternatively, HE, Mito-SOX, and their oxidation products were analyzed using a Shimadzu LC-MS8030 triple quadrupole system (Columbia, MD) equipped with an electrospray source, refrigerated autosampler (4 °C), and diverter valve in the thermostated column compartment (kept at 40 °C). The samples were injected into a reverse-phase column (Supelco, Titan C18, 100 × 2.1 mm, 1.9 μm) equilibrated with 20% (v/v) MeCN in water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. The compounds were eluted by increasing the content (v/v) of MeCN from 20 to 50% over 7 min at the flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used to detect the compounds of interest as follows: HE (316.1 > 287.1, retention time 2.2 min); 2-OH-E- (330.1 > 300.0, retention time 2.9 min); E+ (314.1 > 284.0, retention time 3.1 min); E+−E- (313.1 > 298.9, retention time 3.8 min); Mito-SOX (632.0 > 262.0, retention time 5.5 min); 2-OH-Mito-E- (323.7 > 182.9, retention time 4.7 min); Mito-E+ (315.5 > 284.1, retention time 4.8 min); Mito-E+−Mito-E- (315.2 > 183.0 retention time 4.7 min); DAPP (286.0 > 208.0, retention time 2.2 min); and MBTPP (367.0 > 105.1, retention time 6.1 min).

**LC-MS/MS-based measurements of ortho-MitoPhB(OH)2 and its oxidation products**—Analyses of α-MitoPhB(OH)2 oxidation products were performed using the same LC-MS method as described above for HE and Mito-SOX but using different MRM transitions, as described below. Alternatively, a different column and gradient were used to shorten the time of analysis (51, 109). Briefly, samples were injected into a reverse-phase column (Phenomenex, phenyl-hexyl, 50 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm) and equilibrated with 25% (v/v) MeCN in water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. Compounds were eluted by raising the MeCN concentration (v/v) from 25 to 31.7% over 2 min followed by an increase to 100% from 2 to 4 min at the flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The MRM mode was used for detecting the compounds of interest as follows: α-MitoPhB(OH)2 (397.0 > 135.0, retention time 1.6 min); α-MitoPhB(OH)2-d15 (412.2 > 117.1, retention time 1.55 min); ortho-MitoPhOH (369.0 > 107.1, retention time 1.9 min); ortho-MitoPhOH-d15 (384.1 > 278.1, retention time 1.85 min); ortho-MitoPhNO2 (397.9 > 262.1, retention time 2.1 min); ortho-MitoPhNO2-d15 (413.1 > 277.2, retention time 2.0 min); cyclo-ortho-MitoPh (351.1 > 183.1, retention time 2.1 min); MitoPhH (353.0 > 91.0, retention time 2.4 min); and MBTPP (367.0 > 105.1, retention time 2.7 min).

**Extracellular flux assay**

The bioenergetic function of MiaPaCa-2 cells in response to ROS probes was determined using a Seahorse Bioscience XF96 Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Agilent Seahorse Bioscience; North Billerica, MA). MiaPaCa-2 cells were seeded for 24 h in specialized V3-PS 96-well Seahorse tissue culture plates. Cells were treated with ROS probes, Mito-Met, or antimycin A as indicated. Prior to the start of the bioenergetic function assay, cells were washed and changed to unbuffered assay medium adjusted to pH 7.4, final volume 180 μl (DMEM, Gibco, catalog no. 12100-038) per well. Eight baseline OCR measurements were then taken before the injection of oligomycin (1 μg/ml) to inhibit ATP synthase, 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP, 50 μM) to uncouple the mitochondria and yield maximal OCR, and rotenone (1 μM) and antimycin A (10 μM) to prevent mitochondrial oxygen consumption through inhibition of complex I and complex III, respectively. From these measurements, indices of mitochondrial function were determined as described previously (112, 113).

**Low-temperature EPR spectroscopy**

MiaPaCa-2 cells (0.5–2 × 106 cells/dish) were grown on 15-cm dishes until reaching 70% confluency. After the treatments indicated, the medium was removed from the cells, and the cells were washed once with ice-cold DPBS buffer. The cells were then scraped in 2 ml of ice-cold DPBS. Next, the cells were pelleted by centrifugation (2 min at 250 × g at 4 °C), and the supernatant was carefully removed by aspiration. The pellet was quickly transferred into a quartz tube (Wilmad 707-SQ-250M) using a long-tipped Pasteur pipette. The EPR tube was deep-frozen by slowly plunging it into liquid nitrogen contained in a Dewar flask. Samples were then stored either at −80 °C or at liquid nitrogen temperature. In general, cells combined from three to four 15-cm dishes can adequately fill the active region of an EPR tube. The two key criteria for harvesting cell samples for EPR are as follows: 1) cell samples should be deep frozen as quickly as possible, and 2) frozen samples should never be thawed and refrozen for subsequent EPR studies.

EPR spectroscopy was carried out at 9.5 GHz on a Bruker EMX-TDU/L E4002501 spectrometer equipped with E532LX digital acquisition, an ER4112 Super-High-Q resonator, a Cold-Edge/Bruker ER4112HV-SS-S, Stinger cryogen-free 5–100 K closed cycle helium cryocooler, an HP 5350B microwave counter, and an Oxford Instruments ESR900 cryostat and Mercury-ITC temperature controller. Spectra were typically recorded at 12 K, 5.2 milliwatts of microwave power, 12 G (1.2 millitesla) magnetic field modulation amplitude at 100 kHz, and 1.2 G (0.12 millitesla) digital field resolution. Additional measurements were carried out at 40 K to discriminate between over-
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lapping signals with differing temperature dependences (7). Other acquisition parameters were chosen such that the spectral resolution was limited by the modulation amplitude.

Spin concentrations of the aconitase [3Fe-4S]⁺ cluster and of the composite “g = 1.92” signal due to the overlapping lines of [2Fe-2S]⁺ and [4Fe-4S]⁺ clusters from complexes I and II were determined by double-integration of spectra from which a background signal recorded on water was subtracted. Spectral regions containing the aconitase signal and the composite feature at g = 1.92 were each windowed for integration (Bruker Xenon); the integration windows were conserved across the study except for shifts due to precise frequency differences. This approach was preferred to computer fitting to a library, which was previously used in the case of tissue samples (7), because of the interfering signal from Mn(II) that is associated with cultured cells. Because the g = 1.92 region contains only the contributions from overlapping individual g₂ or g₂,₃ (g₂,₃) lines of distinct multirline rhombic or axial signals, the raw integral was multiplied by a factor of 7.41. This factor was derived from comparison of the integrated intensities of the g₁ line and the entire spectrum of a rhombic S = ½ Fe(III) signal from nitrile hydratase, with a spectral envelope of 450 G, that showed that 6% of the total spin density was reflected in the double integral of the single g₁ line (114). The conversion factor was then scaled for the ratios of the squares of the spectral envelopes of the nitrile hydratase signal (450 G) and an averaged envelope for the FeS clusters (300 G) that contribute to the g = 1.92 region (7). The raw (aconitase) and corrected (FeS) integrals were then compared with that from a 1.7 mm Cu(II)-imidazole standard recorded under nonsaturating conditions (0.5 milliwatt at 50 K). Corrections for temperature and (microwave power)¹/² were applied to estimate the spin concentrations of the iron signals.

Redox blotting

The redox status of cytosolic and mitochondrial peroxiredoxins (Prx1 and Prx3, respectively) was determined by redox Western blotting, adapted from Cox et al. (115) as described previously (116, 117). Briefly, after treatment, cells were washed quickly with Hanks’ balanced salt solution and overlaid with a thiol blocking buffer containing 0.1 M N-ethylmaleimide, 50 mM NaCl, 40 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 μg/ml catalase for 1–2 min. The cells were then scraped into the thiol blocking buffer, and incubation was continued at room temperature for a total of 15 min. Then, the cells were pelleted (5 min, 800 × g), and the pellet was lysed in the small volume of the thiol blocking buffer supplemented with 1% (v/v) CHAPS. Lysates were stored at −80 °C until analysis. On the day of analysis, the lysates were thawed on ice and then centrifuged for 5 min (8000 × g, 4 °C). The supernatants were run on nonreducing SDS-PAGE. The blots were probed with anti-Prx1 and anti-Prx3 antibodies, followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies. After the addition of chemiluminescence reagent, measurements were taken using the luminescence imager, and the blots were stripped and probed for β-actin (indicator of protein load).

Confocal microscopy (fluorescence imaging)

Cells were seeded overnight into four-chamber Nunc™ chambered coverglass slides at 1 × 10⁵ cells per chamber. Intact cells were permeabilized using 1 μM PMP (Seahorse Bioscience) in phenol red-free DMEM with 10% (v/v) FBS. All the indicated treatments were made in PMP containing solution. Cells were imaged on a Carl Zeiss LSM510 META detection system (Jena, Germany). Images were collected with a Plan apochromat ×20/0.8NA objective and image acquisition software (Aim 4.2). Excitation of the fluorophores was performed using a multilane argon laser controlled with the acousto-optic tunable filter, and the 488 nm line was utilized. The emitted light was collected in the META detector every 10.7 nm from 506 to 699 nm.
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Note added in proof—In the version of this article that was published as a Paper in Press on May 8, 2018, Fig. 1D was a duplicate of Fig. 1C. This error has now been corrected.

References

Mitochondrial oxidants, targeted probes, and techniques


Mitochondrial oxidants, targeted probes, and techniques

Knockout of mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel type 3 increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels and alters renal sodium transport. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 1666–1675 CrossRef Medline


Mitochondrial oxidants, targeted probes, and techniques


