The inhibition of host innate immunity pathways is essential for the persistence of attaching and effacing pathogens such as enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) and Citrobacter rodentium during mammalian infections. To subvert these pathways and suppress the antimicrobial response, attaching and effacing pathogens use type III secretion systems to introduce effectors targeting key signaling pathways in host cells. One such effector is the arginine glycosyltransferase effector NleB1 (NleB\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{R}} in \textit{C. rodentium}) that modifies conserved arginine residues in death domain-containing host proteins with N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), thereby blocking extrinsic apoptosis signaling. Ectopically expressed NleB1 modifies the host proteins Fas-associated via death domain (FADD), TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain (TRADD), and receptor-interacting serine/threonine protein kinase 1 (RIPK1). However, the full repertoire of arginine GlcNAcylation induced by pathogen-delivered NleB1 is unknown. Using an affinity proteomic approach for measuring arginine-GlcNAcylated glycopeptides, we assessed the global profile of arginine GlcNAcylation during ectopic expression of NleB1, EPEC infection \textit{in vitro}, or \textit{C. rodentium} infection \textit{in vivo}. NleB overexpression resulted in arginine GlcNAcylation of multiple host proteins. However, NleB delivery during EPEC and \textit{C. rodentium} infection caused rapid and preferential modification of Arg\textsuperscript{117} in FADD. This FADD modification was extremely stable and insensitive to physiological temperatures, glycosidases, or host cell degradation. Despite its stability and effect on the inhibition of apoptosis, arginine GlcNAcylation did not elicit any proteomic changes, even in response to prolonged NleB1 expression. We conclude that, at normal levels of expression during bacterial infection, NleB1/NleB\textsuperscript{C\textsubscript{R}} antagonizes death receptor-induced apoptosis of infected cells by modifying FADD in an irreversible manner.

Enteropathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli} (EPEC)\textsuperscript{5} is one of the most common causes of diarrheagenic disease in infants and young children in low income countries (1, 2). Upon ingestion, EPEC rapidly colonizes the mucosa of the small intestine, forming a tight association with the apical surface of host enterocytes leading to the destruction of brush-border microvilli and the formation of actin-rich pedestal-like structures (3). This distinct intestinal histopathology is known as the attaching and effacing (A/E) lesion and is the hallmark of A/E pathogen infection by EPEC, enterohemorrhagic \textit{E. coli}, and the murine pathogen \textit{Citrobacter rodentium} (4). A/E lesion formation is the result of the activities of the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE)-encoded type III secretion system (5) and its associated effector proteins such as the translocated intimin receptor (6, 7) and WXXX Effector mitochondria-associated protein Map (8–10). Although these LEE effectors are essential for enabling the establishment of infection for A/E pathogens, additional effectors located outside the LEE, known as non-LEE (nle) effectors (11), also play an important role in the augmentation of host signaling and subversion of the host innate immune response (4). For example, NleE from EPEC inhibits NF-\textkappaB signaling via methylation of key cysteine residues in TAB2 and TAB3, thereby blocking their binding to ubiquitylated tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factors (12). Similarly, cell death pathways may be modulated by A/E pathogens through the cooperative action of nle effectors such as EspL, which

\textsuperscript{5}The abbreviations used are: EPEC, enteropathogenic \textit{E. coli}; A/E, attaching and effacing; LEE, locus of enterocyte effacement; nle, non-LEE; PTM, post-translational modification; FADD, Fas-associated via death domain; TRADD, TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain; EThcD, electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation; PNGase F; peptide-N-glycosidase F; SILAC, stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture; FA, formic acid; ACN, acetonitrile; IAP, immunoaffinity purification; AGC, automatic gain control; HCD, higher-energy collision dissociation.
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degrades receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif-containing proteins, thereby blocking receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif-dependent inflammatory and necroptotic signaling pathways (13), and NleF, which directly inhibits caspase-4, -8, and -9 activation (14–16).

Another nle effector, NleB1, is the prototypic member of a novel family of bacterial glycosyltransferase enzymes that mediate the glycosylation of arginine residues, an atypical post-translational modification (PTM) not observed in eukaryotic cells (17, 18). NleB1 inhibits Fas ligand and TNF-mediated apoptosis by blocking death domain interactions in the corresponding receptor complexes (17, 18). To date, multiple death domain-containing host targets of NleB1 have been reported, including the Fas-associated death domain protein (FADD), TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain (TRADD), and receptor-interacting serine/threonine protein kinase 1 (17, 18). Modification of these substrates occurs at a conserved arginine residue within the death domain corresponding to Arg117 of FADD and Arg235 of TRADD (17, 18). In addition, NleB from C. rodentium (here referred to as NleB(CR)) was reported to inhibit NF-κB activation (19) and to reduce type I Interferon production (20) through modification of GAPDH. Although GAPDH can be glycosylated in vitro by NleB(CR) (19, 21), whether glycosylation occurs in vivo has yet to be determined (18). EPEC NleB1 was also recently shown to interact with Enscosin and block vesicular movement along microtubules, although this did not require glycosyltransferase activity (22).

Importantly, none of these studies investigated the extent of arginine glycosylation during wild-type EPEC infection in vitro or C. rodentium infection in vivo. Hence, some of the target modifications observed may be the result of NleB overexpression and may not occur when native levels of NleB are delivered by the wild-type pathogen.

Using a recently developed antibody specific for Arg-GlcNAc linkages (23), we established an Arg-GlcNAc-specific enrichment method coupled with mass spectrometry (MS) to provide a robust means to monitor arginine GlcNAcylation during A/E pathogen infection. Here, we applied this to identify the endogenous targets modified by NleB1/NleB(CR) during wild-type EPEC and C. rodentium infection. We observed that human and mouse FADD were preferentially targeted by both these enzymes at Arg117 under wild-type infection conditions and that overexpression of NleB1 led to indiscriminate Arg GlcNAcylation of non-authentic targets. The resulting modification of Arg117 in FADD was stable and resistant to both environmental and enzymatic activities. However, despite the permanence of the Arg-GlcNAc modification, its presence did not elicit any changes within the host cell proteome even after prolonged expression of NleB1. Thus, these findings expand our understanding of NleB1/NleB(CR)-mediated Arg GlcNAcylation as an irreversible and silent modification and highlight the promiscuous nature of NleB1 under non-wild-type infection conditions.

Results

Development of an Arg-GlcNAc immunoenrichment method

The addition of GlcNAc to arginine by NleB1 is thought to target a limited subset of death domain-containing proteins during infection (17, 18). However, until now, no assessment of the kinetics or repertoire of endogenous targets has been undertaken. As with other glycosylation events, the tendency of glycopeptides to undergo ion competition/suppression in the presence of abundant non-glycosylated peptides (24, 25) suggests that enrichment would be required for a proteome-wide investigation of arginine GlcNAcylation, similar to approaches used for other PTMs (26–28). With the recent development of a specific Arg-GlcNAc antibody (23), we assessed the use of antibody-based capture of arginine-GlcNAcylated peptide for proteome-wide assessment of arginine GlcNAcylation mediated by ectopically expressed NleB1. Using HeLa cell lines stably expressing doxycycline-inducible FLAG-NleB1, we have previously shown that Fas signaling can be inhibited (14). Consistent with the mechanism of inhibition, robust levels of arginine GlcNAcylation could be observed after 24 h compared with HeLa cells stably expressing catalytically inactive FLAG-NleB1^DxD (Fig. 1A). To identify arginine GlcNAcylation events during stable expression of FLAG-NleB1, proteins were digested, and glycosylated peptides were enriched using Arg-GlcNAc antibody (supplemental Fig. 1A). Using this approach, 42 unique arginine-GlcNAcylated peptides were identified (supplemental Table 3). Using manual curation, we identified 15 Arg-GlcNAc glycopeptides corresponding to 10 unique sites with high confidence enriched within FLAG-NleB1 compared with cells stably expressing FLAG-NleB1^DxD (Fig. 1B and supplemental Annotations). Although glycosylation events are typically labile under collision-based fragmentation, we observed Arg-GlcNAc to be partially stable, consistent with previous observations of Arg rhamnosylation (29, 30). This stability enabled the localization of Arg-GlcNAc to the previously reported sites for FADD (Arg117; Fig. 1C) and TRADD (Arg235; supplemental Annotations) (17, 18) while also enabling the assessment of novel arginine GlcNAcylation events, including of the charged multivesicular body protein 2a (Arg16; Fig. 1D). To further complement these assignments, electron transfer dissociation/EThcD fragmentation was undertaken, resulting in the validation of five arginine GlcNAcylation sites within HeLa cells stably expressing FLAG-NleB1 (supplemental Annotations). Thus, our glycopeptide immunoenrichment method enabled the detection of arginine GlcNAcylation events on endogenous protein substrates after ectopic expression of FLAG-NleB1.

Arg117 in FADD is the preferred target for NleB1 during wild-type EPEC infection

The ability to enrich Arg-GlcNAc glycopeptides using the Arg-GlcNAc-specific antibody provided an ideal means to assess the extent of arginine GlcNAcylation during EPEC E2348/69 infection. In contrast to stable expression of FLAG-NleB1, which resulted in the modification of multiple host proteins, only a single protein of ~25 kDa was detected by immunoblotting during EPEC E2348/69 infection (Fig. 2A). This dominant band appeared rapidly and became saturated within 3 h. Arginine-GlcNAc enrichment of glycopeptides from EPEC E2348/69 infection at 3 h confirmed arginine GlcNAcylation of Arg117 in FADD as the dominant modification (Fig. 2B). Surprisingly, multiple bacterially derived proteins, including gluta-
thione synthetase (B7UHZ4) and 30S ribosomal protein S4 (B7UK20), were also identified (supplemental Table 4).

As additional faint bands were observed at later time points of infection, we also assessed the targets of arginine GlcNAcylation 8 h postinfection. Similar to the 3-h time point, Arg117 in FADD and the bacterial targets were readily detectable (supplemental Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 4). However, at this time point, arginine GlcNAcylation of at Arg235 in TRADD could also be observed, albeit inconsistently across the biological replicates (supplemental Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 4). No arginine GlcNAcylation was detected during infection with EPEC expressing catalytically inactive NleB1, strain EPECE2348/69 PP4/IE6 (pNleB1DXD) (Fig. 2, A and B).

Proteome analysis of the input sample confirmed the presence of both TRADD and FADD within HT-29 cells during EPEC infection with comparative proteomics using intensity-based absolute quantification supporting the presence of FADD at higher relative levels of abundance than TRADD (supplemental Fig. 3 and supplemental Table 5). Taken together, these results demonstrate that Arg117 in FADD was the preferred target of NleB1 during EPEC E2348/69 infection.

Figure 1. Establishing a peptide Arg-GlcNAc enrichment approach to identify endogenously modified targets. A, stable expression of FLAG-NleB1 and FLAG-NleB1DXD for 24 h results in arginine GlcNAcylation of multiple host proteins only within NleB1. B, label-free quantification of Arg-GlcNAc peptide pulldown results from induction of NleB1 versus NleB1DXD. The scatter plot shows the mean ion intensity peptide ratios of NleB1 versus NleB1DXD plotted against negative logarithmic t test p values from biological triplicate experiments. Within biological replicates of NleB1-expressing cells, multiple putative arginine-glycosylated proteins were identified with manually curated Arg-GlcNAc peptides shown in red and Arg-GlcNAc peptides containing previously known Arg-GlcNAc modifications shown in purple. C and D, manually curated MS-MS spectra showing the previously known Arg-GlcNAc site of FADD Arg117 and the novel site Arg16 within charged multivesicular body protein 2a. HexNAc, N-acetylhexosamine.

Extent of Arg GlcNAcylation upon overexpression of NleB1 during infection

Given the discrepancy in levels of arginine GlcNAcylation between HeLa cells stably expressing FLAG-NleB1 and NleB1 delivered by wild-type EPEC E2348/69 during infection, we assessed whether overexpression of NleB1 during EPEC E2348/69 infection altered the range of arginine-GlcNAcylated targets of NleB1. As two potential Arg glycosyltransferases exist within EPEC (NleB1, located within the IE6 genomic island, and NleB2, located within the PP4 genomic island), complementation was undertaken within the PP4/IE6 background. NleB1 expressed from a multicopy plasmid during EPEC infection resulted in extensive Arg GlcNAcylation compared with that observed under wild-type infection conditions (Fig. 3A). The enrichment of arginine-GlcNAcylated glycopeptides at 3 and 8 h after infection revealed extensive modification of both bacterial proteins and host proteins, including FADD (Fig. 3B and supplemental Table 6). In total, 1154 arginine-GlcNAcylated glycopeptides, corresponding to 980 unique sites with a localization of >0.75, were identified. The majority
Taken together, this supports that increased levels of NleB1 of FADD (supplemental Fig. 5 and supplemental Table 5). Multicopy plasmid despite the detection of Arg GlcNAcylation compared with the ability by both methodologies during wild-type infections considerable basicity (supplemental Fig. 4).

We postulated that the observed saturation of Arg117 in FADD and Arg235 in TRADD at days 4 and 8 after wild-type C. rodentium or ΔnleB<sup>CR</sup> mouse infection. Consistent with NleB1 from EPEC E2348/69, arginine GlcNAcylation of Arg117 in FADD was readily observable upon wild-type C. rodentium infection at days 4 and 8, whereas no modified substrates were observed during infection with ΔnleB<sup>CR</sup> (Fig. 4, A and B). Indeed, Arg117 in FADD was the only modification that could be observed. Data-independent analysis of enriched arginine-glycosylated samples also failed to identify any additional substrates of arginine GlcNAcylation during mouse infection with wild-type C. rodentium (data not shown). Proteome analysis of the input sample confirmed the presence of TRADD and FADD as well as C. rodentium proteins within samples (supplemental Fig. 6 and supplemental Table 7). Thus, similar to EPEC E2348/69 infection, Arg117 in FADD was modified by NleB<sup>CR</sup> during C. rodentium infection in vivo.

**Preferred target of NleB during C. rodentium infection**

The identification of Arg<sup>117</sup> in FADD as the preferred target of arginine GlcNAcylation during EPEC infection and the emergence of non-authentic targets upon overexpression of NleB1 prompted us to investigate arginine GlcNAcylation during mouse infection with C. rodentium. Previously, Li et al. (18) showed that, upon ectopic expression, NleB<sup>CR</sup> glycosylated FADD but was unable to modify TRADD. To verify the target of NleB during C. rodentium infection in vivo, we performedimmunoenrichment and parallel reaction monitoring (32) to selectively monitor the modification of Arg<sup>117</sup> in FADD and Arg<sup>235</sup> in TRADD at days 4 and 8 after wild-type C. rodentium or ΔnleB<sup>CR</sup> mouse infection. Consistent with NleB1 from EPEC E2348/69, arginine GlcNAcylation of Arg<sup>117</sup> in FADD was readily observable upon wild-type C. rodentium infection at days 4 and 8, whereas no modified substrates were observed during infection with ΔnleB<sup>CR</sup> (Fig. 4, A and B). Indeed, Arg<sup>117</sup> in FADD was the only modification that could be observed.

**Stability and permanence of the Arg<sup>117</sup> FADD modification by NleB1**

We postulated that the observed saturation of Arg<sup>117</sup> arginine GlcNAcylation in FADD during EPEC E2348/69 infection (Fig. 2A) supported arginine GlcNAcylation being a stable and irreversible modification given that NleB1 is not translocated to high levels during wild-type infection (31). To assess stability of the Arg-GlcNAc modification, we performed in vitro experiments to track changes in arginine GlcNAcylation over time in response to environmental conditions and host enzymatic activity. Purified His-FADD was incubated with GST-NleB1 and UDP-GlcNAc for 3 h at 37 °C and then further incubated at 37, 21, or 4 °C for extended periods of time before being subjected to immunoblot analysis. When kept at 4 °C, arginine GlcNAcylation of FADD was still detectable after 100 days of incubation (Fig. 5A) even though some protein degradation was observed as indicated by the presence of additional bands when probing for GST-NleB1 (Fig. 5A). To exclude the possibility...
that over time the Arg-GlcNAc modification of FADD was lost and then reincorporated onto FADD by active GST-NleB1, heat treatment was used to inactivate GST-NleB1 after initial incubation. Arg-GlcNAc modification of FADD was still detected when the heat-inactivated mixtures were kept at 37 °C for 9 days or at 21 °C for 15 days (Fig. 5B). Thus, under physiological temperatures, the arginine GlcNAcylation of FADD was highly stable.

The enzymatic removal of glycosylation is frequently utilized for proteomic analysis of glycoproteins. Most asparagine N-linked glycosylations can be removed by the enzyme peptide-N-glycosidase F (PNGase F), whereas a variety of enzymes are required to remove O-linked glycosylation (33). These enzymes have varying specificities, and their ability to recognize Arg-linked glycosylation was unknown. Hence, we tested commonly used glycosidases to assess whether they could hydrolyze Arg GlcNAcylation. Using denatured bovine fetuin, we found that PNGase F, sialidase A, and endo-α-N-acetylgalactosaminidase (O-glycanase) were functional under the conditions used as shown by a decrease in molecular weight of fetuin upon their coincubation (supplemental Fig. 7).

Figure 3. Overexpression of NleB1 results in non-authentic modifications of both bacterial and host substrates. A, time course of EPEC E2348/69 infection over 12 h shows extensive arginine GlcNAcylation when NleB1 is overexpressed compared with wild-type EPEC E2348/69 infections. B, label-free quantification of Arg-GlcNAc peptide pulldown results from 8-h infection of HT-29 cells with EPEC E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1) versus EPEC E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1DXD). The scatter plot shows the mean ion intensity peptide ratios of E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1) versus E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1DXD) plotted against negative logarithmic t test p values from biological triplicate experiments. Within biological replicates of EPEC E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1) infections, multiple putative arginine-GlcNAcylated peptides were enriched from both bacterial and host origin (colored in red) with Arg-GlcNAc peptides containing previously known Arg-GlcNAc modifications shown in purple. MQ, MaxQuant. C, Arg-GlcNAc sites observed within EPEC E2348/69 ΔPP4/IE6 (pNleB1) infections are predominantly derived from bacterial origin with the host proteins modified during infection identical to those seen during stable NleB1 induction. D, pLogo motif analysis of Arg-GlcNAc sites observed within EPEC E2348/69-derived proteins. Arg-GlcNAc sites are flanked by basic residues.
modified FADD, there was no loss of GlcNAc from FADD (Fig. 6A), suggesting these enzymes are unable to recognize and hydrolyze the Arg-GlcNAc glycosidic bond.

To further probe the sensitivity of Arg-GlcNAcylated FADD to enzymatic activities within the host cell, we investigated the stability of this modification in the presence of cellular lysates. NleB1-modified His-FADD carrying the Arg-GlcNAc modification was incubated with HeLa and HT-29 cell lysates and then subjected to immunoblot analysis. Upon overnight incubation, we observed a small decrease in the levels of FADD Arg GlcNAcylation (Fig. 6B); however, this decrease was proportional to changes in the protein levels of His-FADD and was consistent with protein degradation rather than loss of the modification (Fig. 6B). Therefore, there did not appear to be enzymes within HeLa or HT-29 cell lysates capable of removing the Arg-GlcNAc modification. Thus, once FADD is modified by Arg GlcNAcylation, it is irreversible within the host cell.

Figure 4. FADD is the preferred target of NleB during C. rodentium infection. A, extracted ion chromatograms showing the presence of modified FADD peptides at days 4 and 8 postinfection with wild-type C. rodentium ICC169 that are absent in the Arg GlcNAcylation enrichment of C. rodentium ΔnleB-infected samples. NL corresponds to neutral loss associated with ETD fragmentation. B, EThcD fragmentation confirms that the site of modification in FADD corresponds to Arg117 during C. rodentium infection. HexNAc, N-acetylhexosamine.

Figure 5. Arg-GlcNAcylated FADD is stable and irreversible in response to physiological temperatures. Immunoblots of in vitro generated Arg-GlcNAcylated His-FADD incubated at various temperatures are shown. A, time course of in vitro NleB1-modified His-FADD stored at 4 °C for up to 100 days. B, time course of in vitro NleB1-modified His-FADD stored at 37 °C for 9 days and 21 °C for 15 days. Heat inactivation of NleB1 prior to incubation with His-FADD resulted in the inhibition of Arg GlcNAcylation. Incubated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, immunoblotted, and probed with anti-GlcNAc antibody to detect modified FADD. Anti-His, anti-GST, and anti-β-actin antibodies were used for control immunoblots.
Host cell response to arginine GlcNAcylation

The identification of arginine GlcNAcylation as an irreversible modification in mammalian cells prompted us to determine whether the modification was detected by host innate immune sensing mechanisms. To exclude the contribution of bacterially induced proteome changes, we utilized the stable inducible FLAG-NleB1 and FLAG-NleB1DXD cell lines to assess proteome changes in response to NleB1 activity after 2, 8, and 24 h of FLAG-NleB1 expression using SILAC-based quantitative proteomics (Fig. 7A). Using this approach, 6532 proteins were identified with 3502 quantified at all time points, including all previously observed arginine glycosylation targets with the exception of TRADD (supplemental Fig. 8 and supplemental Table 8). Strikingly, the induction of Arg GlcNAcylation had no significant impact on the cell proteome despite robust arginine GlcNAcylation induced upon expression of FLAG-NleB1 (Fig. 7B, C, and supplemental Table 8). Similar to the total proteome, levels of Arg-GlcNAcylated targets were largely unaffected by modification (Fig. 7D). Thus, even upon expression of FLAG-NleB1, the proteome and arginine-GlcNAcylated targets appeared unaffected by NleB1 activity. Together these experiments support the notion that host protein arginine GlcNAcylation by NleB1 is a silent and irreversible modification.

Discussion

During EPEC and C. rodentium infection, several studies have demonstrated that the NleB effectors target multiple host proteins for arginine GlcNAcylation (17, 18, 21). These studies were all performed under conditions of NleB overexpression and/or ectopic expression. Here, we also found that ectopic expression of NleB or infection with EPEC E2348/69 overexpressing NleB1 lead to Arg GlcNAcylation of multiple host targets. This confirmed that the NleB effectors modify a greater range of proteins, including bacterial proteins, than previously reported. Arginine GlcNAcylation in bacterial targets typically occurred in regions rich in basic residues akin to the sequences observed within the death domains of mammalian proteins (Fig. 3C). However, this preference in modification site was not absolute with numerous host arginine GlcNAcylation events observed within regions lacking flanking basic residues, such as Arg16 of charge multivesicular body protein 2a (Fig. 1D and supplemental Fig. 4).

In contrast to the results observed upon overexpression of NleB1, wild-type EPEC E2348/69 infection led to arginine GlcNAcylation of a single target, which was confirmed as Arg117 in the death domain of FADD (Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 4). This observation was replicated in vivo during wild-type C. rodentium infection (Fig. 4), suggesting that FADD is the dominant and preferred target of NleB/NleBCR. The preferential modification of FADD under wild-type conditions supports our previous finding of the importance of Fas signaling in limiting the duration of C. rodentium infection (17). As FADD is the sole adapter required for caspase-8 activation during Fas signaling (34, 35), blocking this key protein prevents the initia-
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The observation of a narrower host target range of NleB1/NleBCR during wild-type infection compared with ectopic and overexpression during infection has been noted for other bacterial effector studies (36, 37). For example, the type III Shigella protease IpaJ has been shown to target only the ADP-ribosylation factor and ADP-ribosylation factor-like GTPases during infection compared with the majority of the N-myristoylome during ectopic expression (37). Interestingly, in this work, we did observe modification of TRADD when infections were extended to 8 h, albeit inconsistently within replicates (one of three biological replicates; supplemental Fig. 2 and supplemental Table 4). Although this observation supports the finding that TRADD can be targeted by wild-type levels of NleB1, the contribution of a later and weaker modification of TRADD to the inhibition of TNF signaling is unclear as this function is redundant with several other EPEC effector proteins (12, 13, 36, 38, 39). Furthermore, we were unable to detect Arg GlcNAcylation of TRADD during C. rodentium infection either 4 or 8 days postinfection despite the detection of TRADD protein in the input material used for Arg GlcNAcylation enrichment (supplemental Table 7 and supplemental Fig. 6). This supports the previous finding that

Figure 7. Arginine GlcNAcylation does not elicit proteome changes within the host. A, experimental design of SILAC experiment to investigate the effect of Arg GlcNAcylation on the host proteome. FLAG-NleB1 and FLAG-NleB1DXD stable cell lines were induced with doxycycline (DOX) for 2, 8, or 24 h and compared with uninduced NleB1 controls. B, a time course of Arg GlcNAcylation demonstrates a temporal dependence of Arg GlcNAcylation that coincides with the expression of FLAG-NleB1. C, SILAC-based quantitation comparing the changes in the proteome between cells stably expressing NleB1 or NleB1DXD after 24 h of doxycycline induction. The scatter plot shows the mean SILAC protein ratio of cells stably expressing FLAG-NleB1 versus FLAG-NleB1DXD plotted against negative logarithmic test p values from biological triplicate experiments. Density-based coloring demonstrates that the vast majority of proteins are unaffected by the induction of Arg GlcNAcylation with no statistically significant protein changes observed within the proteome. D, known arginine GlcNAcylation targets show no changes in protein abundance in response to NleB1 induction in all time points examined.
NleB<sup>CR</sup> is unable to modify the death domain of TRADD (18).

The observation that overexpression of NleB1 leads to widespread non-authentic arginine GlcNAcylation of substrates sheds light on the range of targets reported for NleB effectors. A previous study used in vitro labeling coupled to Western blotting-based analysis to suggest that NleB<sup>CR</sup> glycosylated GAPDH (19). However, MS and radiolabeling experiments performed in another study later refuted this target (18). In this study, at no point did we detect arginine GlcNAcylation of GAPDH during wild-type infections or upon overexpression of NleB1 during infection or ectopic expression, although the modification of arginine residues within GAPDH was recently demonstrated (21). It should be noted that an important nuance of the identification of modified peptides is that the identification alone does not directly provide information about the occupancy rate of the site. As MS instrumentation improves, even low-occupancy sites of modification may be able to be detected, but these may not contribute to any observable phenotype. This feature coupled to the complication of substrate promiscuity means that great care needs to be taken when assigning the targets of Arg GlcNAcylation under non-infection conditions as these targets may be artifactual in nature. Recently, it was suggested that the Salmonella NleB homologues SSeK1 and SseK3 arginine-GlcNAcylated FADD and TRADD, but again these assignments are based on ectopic expression showing markedly different arginine GlcNAcylation profiles compared with wild-type Salmonella infection (40).

In addition to the modification of host proteins by NleB1, we also observed arginine GlcNAcylation of EPEC proteins even when grown under laboratory conditions (supplemental Fig. 9 and supplemental Table 9). Bacterial glycosylation has been noted in multiple pathogens (41, 42), and although we believe this is the first example of Arg GlcNAcylation of bacterial proteins, the functional consequences of these modifications, if any, are still unclear.

Finally, as FADD is the predominant target of NleB1/NleB<sup>CR</sup>-mediated arginine GlcNAcylation, we directly assessed the stability of the modification in response to environmental conditions, including incubation with host enzymes and the effect of NleB1 activity on the proteome (Figs. 5–7). We found that arginine GlcNAcylation of FADD is highly stable and unaffected by glycosidases/host enzymatic activities or physiologically controlled temperatures. This suggests that similar to other bacterially mediated PTMs, such as threonine elimination (43), arginine GlcNAcylation leads to a permanent and irreversible modification within the host cell. Despite the fact that arginine GlcNAcylation appears insensitive to removal by host factors, the modification does not induce detectable changes in the host proteome even when NleB1 is overexpressed, suggesting that, unlike bacterial glycosylation mediated by the clostridial toxin TcdA/B (44, 45), NleB1-mediated arginine GlcNAcylation is not sensed by cell-intrinsic defense pathways (46) (Fig. 7).

In summary, we conclude that, when overexpressed, NleB1 can modify a far wider repertoire of proteins than previously appreciated. However, during wild-type pathogen infection, Arg<sup>117</sup> of FADD is the only detectable target of biological significance both in vitro and in vivo.

Experimental procedures

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in supplemental Table 1. Strains of *E. coli* and *C. rodentium* were grown at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth with shaking or in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) without shaking. When required, the following antibiotics were added at the indicated concentrations: ampicillin, 100 μg/ml; kanamycin, 50 or 100 μg/ml; nalidixic acid, 50 μg/ml; chloramphenicol, 25 μg/ml.

**Analysis of Arg glycosylation in stable inducible cell lines expressing FLAG-NleB1 and FLAG-NleB1<sup>1<sup>oxd</sup></sup>**

HeLa cell lines expressing FLAG-NleB1 and catalytically inactive FLAG-NleB1<sup>1<sup>oxd</sup></sup> were constructed as described previously (14) and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For immunoblotting studies, cells were seeded into 6-well plates at 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well 1 day prior to induction with doxycycline (20 ng/ml) for 24, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, and 0 h. At the desired time points, medium was removed, and cells were collected in Kall B buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1.0% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA with Complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) added immediately prior to use). Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE using precast 4–12% gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked for 1 h in 5% skim milk in TBS-Tween 20 and then incubated for at least 16 h at 4 °C with either anti-Arg-GlcNAc antibody (1:2,000; ab195033, Abcam), anti-FLAG-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) M2 antibody (1:2,000; A8592, Sigma-Aldrich), or mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody (1:5,000; catalogue number AC-15, Sigma-Aldrich). Proteins were detected using anti-rabbit or antimouse IgG HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:3,000; catalogue numbers NEF812001EA and NEF822001EA, respectively, PerkinElmer Life Sciences) and developed with ECL Prime Western blotting reagent (GE Healthcare). All antibodies were diluted in TBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1% Tween 20. Images were visualized using an MFChemiBis imaging station (DNR Bio-Imaging Systems). For proteomic analysis, 100-mm dishes were seeded at 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/well the day prior to induction. Effector protein expression was induced with doxycycline (20 ng/ml) for 24 h, and cells were washed three times in ice-cold PBS to remove media-related proteins prior to lysis with ice-cold guanidinium chloride lysis buffer (6 M guanidinium chloride, 100 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, 40 mM 2-chloroacetic acid) according to the protocol of Humphrey et al. (47). All experiments were performed in biological triplicate.

**SILAC analysis of inducible cell lines expressing FLAG-NleB1 and FLAG-NleB1<sup>1<sup>oxd</sup></sup>**

SILAC labeling of HeLa derivatives was accomplished as described previously (48, 49). Briefly, DMEM lacking Lys and Arg was supplemented with 10% dialyzed FBS (Invitrogen), 1 × penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma), and combinations of the following lysine and arginine isotopologues: for “light” labeled cells, l-arginine (34 mg/liter) and l-lysine (73 mg/liter) (Sigma-Aldrich); for “medium” labeled cells, l-[<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>]arginine (35 mg/liter)
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ter) and δ-[14C]-1-lysine (74.8 mg/liter); and for “heavy” labeled cells, L-[1-15N]arginine (35.8 mg/liter) and δ-[1-15C]-L-lysine (76.6 mg/liter) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA). Cells were split 1:4 into the three SILAC media formulations and passed five times for complete replacement of labeled amino acids. For each condition within a biological replicate, one confluent 100-mm dish was used with cells washed three times in ice-cold PBS prior to being lysed with ice-cold guanidinium chloride lysis buffer and mixing 1:1:1 prior to sample preparation. All experiments were performed in biological triplicate.

Infection of HT-29 cells with EPEC for Arg glycosylation analysis

HT-29 cells were infected with EPEC E2348/69 (50) and ΔPP4/IE6 derivatives (17, 39) to induce arginine glycosylation of host proteins and analyzed by either immunoblotting or Arg glycosylation peptide affinity purification of cell lysates. For immunoblotting, 1 day prior to infection, HT-29 cells were seeded at 2.5 × 10⁵ cells/ml in 24-well plates (Greiner Bio One), and various EPEC derivatives were cultured in 10 ml of LB broth overnight at 37 °C. The following day, bacterial cultures were subinoculated 1:75 in DMEM and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 2.5 h prior to infection. HT-29 cells were infected with a multiplicity of infection of 1 with various EPEC strains for 1, 3, 5, 8, or 12 h. At the required time point, HT-29 cells were lysed in Kα B buffer and subjected to immunoblotting as outlined above. For Arg glycosylation peptide affinity purification, HT-29 cells were seeded at 4 × 10⁶ cells/ml in 100-mm dishes the day prior to infection, and various EPEC strains were cultured in 10 ml LB broth overnight at 37 °C. The following day, bacterial cultures were subinoculated 1:75 in DMEM and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 2.5 h prior to infection. HT-29 cells were infected with a multiplicity of infection of 1 with EPEC derivatives for either 3 or 8 h. At the required time, cells were washed three times in ice-cold PBS to remove media-related proteins and lysed with ice-cold guanidinium chloride lysis buffer. All experiments were performed in biological triplicate. Western blot analyses of biological were performed as above using anti-Arg-GlcNAc antibody (1:2,000), rabbit polyclonal anti-NleB1 (1:500; produced by the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute antibody facility from recombinant NleB1), and mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody (1:5,000).

Infection of mice with C. rodentium

Animal infections were performed according to protocol of Pearson et al. (17) and Wong Fok Lung et al. (51). All animal experiments were approved by the University of Melbourne Animal Ethics Committee. C. rodentium ICC169 (52) and C. rodentium ΔnleBCRnleBCR (17) were cultured in LB broth containing antibiotics, as required, overnight at 37 °C with shaking. On the following day, bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,220 × g for 10 min at room temperature, and the bacterial pellet was resuspended in PBS. Unanesthetized 5-8-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were each given 200 μl of a bacterial suspension containing ~1 × 10⁷ CFU in PBS by oral gavage. The viable count of the inoculum was determined by retrospective serial dilution and plating on Luria agar containing the required antibiotic. Five mice were used per infection group, and colonic epithelial cells were harvested at days 4 and 8.

Colon epithelial cell isolation for Arg glycosylation peptide affinity purification

For isolation of colonic epithelial cells, colons were removed, cut longitudinally, and rinsed in ice-cold Hanks’ balanced salt solution (137 mm NaCl, 5.4 mm KCl, 0.25 mm Na₂HPO₄, 0.1 g glucose, 0.44 mm KH₂PO₄, 1.3 mm CaCl₂, 1.0 mm MgSO₄, 4.2 mm NaHCO₃) to remove fecal material. Prior to epithelial cell release, colonic tissue was washed in ice-cold 0.5 mm DTT, RPMI 1640 medium and then dissected into 0.25-cm² sections. Tissue sections were transferred into 3 mm EDTA, 0.5 mm DTT in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free Hanks’ balanced salt solution and incubated for 15 min at 37 °C with shaking. Epithelial cells were released by vortexing and isolated by staining through a 100-μm cell strainer. Tissue sections were subjected to two rounds of epithelial cell release with 3 mm EDTA, 0.5 mm DTT in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free Hanks’ balanced salt solution and staining through a 100-μm cell strainer. Isolated epithelial cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and snap frozen prior to lysis with ice-cold guanidinium chloride lysis buffer.

Recombinant protein production

Recombinant protein was produced as described previously (17). Briefly, plasmids for the expression of His₆-tagged FADD and GST-tagged NleB1 were transformed into BL21 C43(DE3) E. coli. LB overnight cultures of BL21 containing the appropriate expression vector were used to inoculate 200 ml of LB broth at 1:100 and grown at 37 °C with shaking to an optical density (A₆₀₀) of 0.6. Cultures were induced with 1 mm isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside and grown for a further 2.5 h before being pelleted by centrifugation. Before purification, bacterial pellets were resuspended in the appropriate binding buffer from Novagen His-Bind and GST-Bind kits. Bacterial suspensions were lysed using an EmulsiFlex-C3 high-pressure homogenizer (Avestin) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purification of proteins was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Novagen). Proteins were diaiyzed using Cellu-Sep T3 regenerated cellulose tubing (Fisher Biotech), and protein concentrations were determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) kit (Thermo Scientific).

In vitro arginine GlcNAcylation stability assays

Purified GST, GST-NleB, and His-FADD were used for in vitro N-acetylglycosylation assays, which involved incubation of 2 μg of proteins either alone or in combination in the presence of 1 mm UDP-GlcNAc (Sigma) at 37 °C for 3 h in 150 mm NaCl, 20 mm Tris, pH 8. The mixtures were then either incubated at 4 °C or heat-inactivated at 80 °C for 10 min and then incubated at 4 °C, room temperature (~21 °C), or 37 °C for extended periods of time. At various time points following the initial incubation, some of the mixture was taken for immunoblotting.

To assess stability in response to glycosidases, in vitro glycosylated FADD was incubated with and without glycosidases according to the ProZyme Enzymatic Deglycosylation kit pro-
protocol (ProZyme, Hayward, CA). Briefly, proteins were denatured at 100 °C in the presence of 0.1% SDS and 60 mM mercaptoethanol for 5 min and allowed to cool before Nonidet P-40 was added to a final concentration of 0.8%. PNGase F, sialidase A, and endo-α-N-acetylglactosaminidase were then added, and the reaction was allowed to proceed overnight at 37 °C before adding sample buffer, boiling, and subjecting the samples to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting as above. Bovine fetuin control reactions were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Detection of proteins for the control reaction was performed by colloidal Coomassie staining of the polyacrylamide gels.

To assess stability in response to cellular lysates, HeLa and HT-29 were prepared from confluent 100-mm dishes by scraping in PBS with Complete protease inhibitor mixture. The HeLa or HT-29 cell suspensions were passed through a 26-gauge needle 50 times to lyse the cells before pelleting to remove cell debris. In vitro glycosylated protein was added directly to lysates and incubated for 16 h at 37 °C. Sample buffer was added to incubated samples before they were boiled, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were probed with mouse monoclonal anti-GalNAc (1:2,000; CTD110.6, Cell Signaling Technology), mouse monoclonal anti-His (1:2,000; AD1.1.10, AbD Serotech), rabbit polyclonal anti-GST (1:2,000; 26H1, Cell Signaling Technology), or mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin (1:5,000) primary antibody and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibody and developed as described above.

**Isolation of proteins for proteome analysis and Arg glycosylation peptide affinity purification**

Cells lysed in ice-cold guanidinium chloride lysis buffer were collected and boiled at 95 °C for 10 min with shaking at 2000 rpm to shear DNA and inactivate protease activity. Lysates were then cooled for 10 min on ice and boiled again at 95 °C for 10 min with shaking at 2000 rpm. Lysates were cooled, and protein concentration was determined using a BCA assay. 2 mg of protein from each sample was precipitated by mixing 4 volumes of ice-cold acetone with 1 volume of sample. Samples of protein from each sample was precipitated by mixing 4 volumes of ice-cold acetone with 1 volume of sample. Samples were precipitated overnight at −20 °C and then centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The precipitated protein pellets were resuspended with 80% ice-cold acetone and precipitated for an additional 4 h at −20 °C. Samples were centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to collect precipitated protein, supernatant was discarded, and excess acetone was driven off at 65 °C for 5 min.

**Digestion of complex protein lysates**

Dried protein pellets were resuspended in 6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 40 mM NH₄HCO₃ and reduced/alkylated prior to digestion with Lys-C (1:200, w/w) and then trypsin (1:50, w/w) overnight as described previously (53). Digested samples were acidified to a final concentration of 0.5% formic acid and desalted with 50 mg of tC₁₈ Sep-Pak (Waters Corp.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, tC₁₈ Sep-Pak was conditioned with Buffer B (0.1% formic acid (FA), 80% acetonitrile (ACN)), washed with 10 volumes of Buffer A* (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2% ACN), the sample was loaded, the column was washed with 10 volumes of Buffer A*, and bound peptides were eluted with Buffer B and then dried.

**Arg glycosylation affinity purification**

Peptide affinity purification was accomplished according to the protocol of Udeshi et al. (54) but modified to allow for Arg-GlcNAc enrichment. Briefly, aliquots of 100 μl of Protein A/G Plus-agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz CA) were washed three times with 1 ml of immunoaffinity purification (IAP) buffer (10 mM NaPO₄, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.2) and tumbled overnight with 10 μg of anti-Arg-GlcNAc antibody at 4 °C. Beads coupled to anti-Arg-GlcNAc were then washed three times with 1 ml of 100 mM sodium borate, pH 9, to remove non-bound proteins and cross-linked for 30 min with tumbling using 20 mM dimethyl pimelimidate (Thermo Scientific) in 100 mM HEPES, pH 8.0. Cross-linking was quenched by washing beads with 200 mM ethanolamine, pH 8.0, three times and then tumbling beads in an additional 1 ml of 200 mM ethanolamine, pH 8.0, for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed three times with IAP buffer and used immediately.

Purified peptides were resuspended in 1 ml of IAP buffer, and the pH was checked to ensure compatibility with affinity conditions. Peptide lysates were then added to the prepared cross-linked anti-Arg-GlcNAc antibody beads and tumbled for 3 h at 4 °C. Upon completion, antibody beads were centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 2 min at 4 °C, and the unbound peptide lysates were collected. Antibody beads were then washed six times with 1 ml of ice-cold IAP buffer, and Arg-GlcNAc peptides were eluted using two rounds of acid elution. For each elution round, 100 μl of 0.2% TFA was added, and antibody beads were allowed to stand at room temperature with gentle shaking every minute for 10 min. Peptide supernatants were collected and desalted using C₁₈ StageTips (55, 56) before analysis by LC-MS.

**High-pH fractionation of SILAC proteomes**

Fractionation of SILAC-labeled samples was achieved by basic reversed-phase chromatography according to the protocol of Udeshi et al. (54) with minor modifications. Briefly, peptides were resuspended in Buffer A (5 mM ammonium formate, 2% ACN, pH 10) and separated using a 1100 series high-performance liquid chromatography instrument (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) with an XBridge C₁₈ column (1.0 × 150 mm, 3.5 μm; Waters) and a flow rate of 100 μl/min. Separation was accomplished using a 90-min gradient. The concentration of Buffer B (5 mM ammonium formate, 90% ACN, pH 10) was ramped from 0 to 6% Buffer B over 5 min and then to 8% over 2 min followed by an increase to 27% Buffer B in 38 min, to 31% Buffer B in 4 min, to 39% Buffer B in 4 min, and to 60% Buffer B in 7 min and completed with a 4-min run at 100% Buffer B and a 26-min gradient back to 100% Buffer A. 100-μl fractions were collected in a 96-well plate with every sixth fraction combined to generate a total of six fractions, which were concentrated by vacuum centrifugation, desalted using C₁₈ StageTips, and subjected to mass spectrometric analysis.
Defining the targets of NleB during bacterial infection

HCD identification of SILAC proteomics- and FLAG-NleB1 ectopic expression-generated Arg-GlcNAc affinity-enriched peptide using reversed-phase LC-MS

Purified peptides were resuspended in Buffer A* and separated using an in-house packaged 25-cm, 75-µm-inner diameter, 360-µm-outter diameter, 1.7-µm 130-Å CSH C₁₈ (Waters) reversed-phase analytical column with an integrated HF-etched nanoelectrospray ionization tip. Samples were loaded directly onto the column using an ACQUITY UPLC M-Class System (Waters) at 600 nL/min for 20 min with Buffer A (0.1% FA) and eluted at 300 nL/min using a gradient altering the concentration of Buffer B (99.9% ACN, 0.1% FA) from 0 to 32% Buffer B over 90 min, then from 32 to 40% Buffer B in the next 10 min, then increased to 80% Buffer B over an 8-min period, held at 100% Buffer B for 2 min, and then dropped to 0% Buffer B for another 20 min. Reversed-phase-separated peptides were infused into a Q-Exactive (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer, and data were acquired using data-dependent acquisition. One full precursor scan (resolution, 70,000; 350–2,000 m/z; AGC target of 3 × 10⁶) followed by 10 data-dependent HCD MS-MS events (resolution, 17,500; AGC target of 1 × 10⁶ with a maximum injection time of 200 ms; normalized collision energy of 28 with 20% stepping) were allowed with 35 s dynamic exclusion enabled.

EThcD identification of Arg-GlcNAc affinity-enriched peptides derived from infections using reversed-phase LC-MS

Purified peptides were resuspended in Buffer A* and separated using a two-column chromatography setup comprising a PepMap100 C₁₈, 20-mm × 75-µm trap and a PepMap C₁₈ 500-mm × 75-µm analytical column (Thermo Scientific). Samples were concentrated onto the trap column at 5 µL/min for 5 min and infused into an Orbitrap Fusion™ Lumos™ Tribrid™ mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) at 300 nL/min via the analytical column using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UPLC system (Thermo Scientific). 125-min gradients were run, altering the concentration of Buffer B (99.9% ACN, 0.1% FA) from 0 to 32% Buffer B over 90 min, then from 32 to 40% Buffer B in the next 10 min, then increased to 80% Buffer B over an 8-min period, held at 100% Buffer B for 2 min, and then dropped to 0% Buffer B for another 20 min. Reversed-phase-separated peptides were infused into a Q-Exactive (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer, and data were acquired using data-dependent acquisition. One full precursor scan (resolution, 70,000; 350–2,000 m/z; AGC target of 3 × 10⁶) followed by 10 data-dependent HCD MS-MS events (resolution, 17,500; AGC target of 1 × 10⁶ with a maximum injection time of 200 ms; normalized collision energy of 28 with 20% stepping) were allowed with 35 s dynamic exclusion enabled.

Data analysis

Identification of proteins and Arg-glycosylated peptides was accomplished using MaxQuant (v1.5.3.1) (57). Searches were performed against the mouse (UniProt proteome ID UP00000588; Mus musculus; downloaded May 18, 2016; 50,306 entries), E. coli O127:H6 strain E2348/69 (UniProt proteome ID UP000008205; E. coli O127:H6 strain E2348/69/ EPEC; downloaded July 28, 2014; 4,595 entries), C. rodentium ICC168 (UniProt proteome ID UP000001889; C. rodentium strain ICC168; downloaded December 12, 2016), or human (UniProt proteome ID UP000005640; Homo sapiens; downloaded October 24, 2013; 84,843 entries) proteome depending on the samples with carbamidomethylation of cysteine set as a fixed modification. Searches were performed with trypsin cleavage specificity allowing two miscleavage events and variable modifications of oxidation of methionine, N-acetylation, and arginine GlcNAcylation stability studies and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. E. L. H. aided in the design of experiments, performed MS sample preparations/enrichments, and analyzed the results, and wrote most of the paper. C. G. performed in vitro arginine GlcNAcylation stability studies and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. G. L. P. generated the inducible NleB1 cell lines and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. C. L. K. performed murine infections. A. I. W. and N. A. W. provided mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the Pro- teomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (63) partner repository with the data set identifier PXD006810.
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