The 40S ribosomal protein uS5 (RPS2) assembles into an extraribosomal complex with human ZNF277 that competes with the PRMT3–uS5 interaction
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Ribosomal (r)-proteins are generally viewed as ubiquitous, constitutive proteins that simply function to maintain ribosome integrity. However, findings in the past decade have led to the idea that r-proteins have evolved specialized functions beyond the ribosome. For example, the 40S ribosomal protein uS5 (RPS2) is known to form an extraribosomal complex with the protein arginine methyltransferase PRMT3 that is conserved from fission yeast to humans. However, the full scope of uS5’s extraribosomal functions, including whether uS5 interacts with any other proteins, is not known. In this study, we identify the conserved zinc finger protein 277 (ZNF277) as a new uS5-associated protein by using quantitative proteomics approaches in human cells. As previously shown for PRMT3, we found that ZNF277 uses a C2H2-type zinc finger domain to recognize uS5. Analysis of protein–protein interactions in living cells indicated that the ZNF277–uS5 complex is found in the cytoplasm and the nucleolus. Furthermore, we show that ZNF277 and PRMT3 compete for uS5 binding, because overexpression of PRMT3 inhibited the formation of the ZNF277–uS5 complex, whereas depletion of cellular ZNF277 resulted in increased levels of uS5–PRMT3. Notably, our results reveal that ZNF277 recognizes nascent uS5 in the course of mRNA translation, suggesting cotranslational assembly of the ZNF277–uS5 complex. Our findings thus unveil an intricate network of evolutionarily conserved protein–protein interactions involving extraribosomal uS5, suggesting a key role for uS5 beyond the ribosome.

The ribosome is a large and complex molecular machine responsible for coordinating protein synthesis. In eukaryotes, the mature 80S ribosome is composed of two independent subunits: the small (40S) and large (60S) subunits that individually consist of an elaborated assortment of rRNA and ribosomal (r)-proteins. Specifically, the 40S subunit contains 33 r-proteins assembled around the 18S rRNA, whereas the 60S subunit is constituted of three different rRNAs (28S, 5.8S, and 5S) along with 46 r-proteins. Thanks to recent advances in protein structure determination approaches, unprecedented insights into how individual r-proteins and rRNAs are arranged into this exceptionally complex RNA–protein structure have been disclosed, helping to further our understanding of mRNA translation.

Production of a ribonucleoprotein particle of such complexity is one of the most energetically demanding processes in eukaryotic cells, involving the activity of all three RNA polymerases (RNAI, II, and III), as well as over 300 different proteins that function in ribosome assembly (3, 4). Throughout ribosome production, genes encoding r-proteins and maturation factors are transcribed by RNAI, and the resulting mRNAs are exported out of the nucleus for translation in the cytoplasm; however, most of the translated protein products need to be imported back into the nucleus for assembly into pre-40S and pre-60S subunits (1, 3, 4). In parallel, as part of ribosomal subunit assembly in the nucleolus, transcription of rDNA loci by RNAI produces a primary rRNA transcript (47S) that is cotranscriptionally processed into mature 28S, 18S, and 5.8S rRNA via various endonucleolytic and exonucleolytic RNase machineries alongside hundreds of maturation factors and r-proteins (5, 6). Ultimately, 40S and 60S precursor particles are exported out of the nucleus via independent export pathways for final maturation steps in the cytoplasm (1).

During ribosome assembly, millions of nascent r-proteins need to be imported into the nucleus for incorporation into preribosomal particles (7). Although importin β-like receptors have been shown to be involved in r-protein nuclear import (8), the mechanistic details underlying the recognition of the 79 r-proteins by a few nuclear import receptors has remained poorly understood. Recently, it has been demonstrated that r-proteins use dedicated chaperones that are recruited in the cytoplasm to coordinate their folding, nuclear import, and incorporation into ribosomal subunit precursors (9–12). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Syo1 has been shown to mediate the coimport of r-protein L5 (uL18) and r-protein L11 (uL5) via the import receptor Kap104 (10, 13). Similarly, the Ankyrin-repeat protein Yar1 is cotranslationally recruited to nascent r-protein S3 (uS3) and protects uS3 from aggregation as well as escorts fluorescence complementation; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein; qPCR, quantitative PCR; MCS, multiple cloning site.
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uS3 into the nucleus (10, 14, 15). The necessity of dedicated chaperones to escort nascent r-proteins appears to be evolutionarily conserved, as demonstrated by binding of Bcp1 and its human homolog, BCCIPβ, to r-protein L23 (uL14) in S. cerevisiae and human cells, respectively (16, 17). As yet, however, only a few dedicated r-protein chaperones have been identified, mostly in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae (18).

In addition to their role in the ribosome, r-proteins have been reported to form complexes outside the ribosome (19, 20). To date, most of the documented extraribosomal functions are involved in either autoregulation of r-protein production or surveillance of ribosome synthesis (20). In mammalian cells, several r-proteins, including uL5, uL11, and uL14, have been shown to associate with the murine double minute 2 (MDM2) protein, which can bind and promote p53 ubiquitination, ensuring rapid p53 degradation. Accordingly, growth conditions that affect ribosome assembly cause the accumulation of free uL5, uL11, and uL14, which interfere with p53 degradation by sequestering MDM2, resulting in cell cycle arrest or apoptosis (21–25). In addition, there are clear indications that implications of r-proteins in extraribosomal complexes, but for which the function remains elusive. This is the case for uS5, which has been shown to form a complex with the protein arginine methyltransferase 3 (PRMT3) in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe and mammalian cells (26, 27). The existence of a similar complex in flies is also supported by results from a high-throughput two-hybrid screen, showing interactions between Drosophila homologs of PRMT3 (Art3) and uS5 (Sop) (28).

Recently, we have reported that the extraribosomal PRMT3–uS5 complex can also recruit the programmed cell death protein 2 (PDCD2) and PDCD2-like (PDCD2L) proteins (29).

Specifically, biochemical analysis revealed that PDCD2 and PDCD2L form distinct complexes with uS5–PRMT3, because PDCD2L was not detected in a PDCD2 purification, and reciprocally, PDCD2 was not identified as a PDCD2L-associated protein (29). Interestingly, the uS5–PDCD2 interaction has been also observed in Drosophila (30).

With the goal of getting a comprehensive view of the human uS5 protein interaction network, we used quantitative proteomics to identify additional uS5-associated proteins. Notably, we identified a conserved zinc finger protein, ZNF277, as a novel uS5-associated protein that directly interacts with uS5. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays revealed that the uS5–ZNF277 complex is located in the cytoplasm and the nucleolus. We also found that the most C-terminal zinc finger domain of ZNF277 is critical for a stable interaction with uS5. Importantly, our data indicate that ZNF277 is recruited cotranslationally by nascent uS5 and that ZNF277 and PRMT3 compete for uS5 binding in human cells. Our findings uncover ZNF277 as a novel protein associated with extraribosomal uS5, pointing to an emerging role for uS5 beyond the 40S ribosomal subunit.

Results

ZNF277 is a new uS5-interacting protein

To get a comprehensive view of the protein interaction network of human uS5, we generated a HEK 293 cell line expressing a tetracycline-inducible GFP-tagged version of uS5 for affinity purification coupled to MS (AP–MS) analysis. Specific uS5-associated proteins were determined by a quantitative proteomics approach, stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC), that classifies interactions on the basis of specificity (ratio of peptide intensities between the GFP–uS5 pull-down and the control purification) and protein abundance, as estimated by the sum of peptide signal intensities of a given protein normalized to its molecular mass (31). In total, 282 proteins showed at least a 5-fold enrichment of the SILAC ratio in the GFP–uS5 purification relative to the control (Table S1). As expected for a component of the small ribosomal subunit, several r-proteins and 40S maturation factors were identified among the top 15% of uS5-associated proteins (Fig. 1A and Table S1). Proteins that were previously shown to form extraribosomal complexes with uS5, including PRMT3, PDCD2, and PDCD2L (29), were clearly enriched in the GFP–uS5 purification (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, a zinc finger protein, ZNF277, was also detected among the top 15% of uS5-copurifying proteins (Fig. 1A, red dot, and Table S1). ZNF277 is an evolutionarily conserved protein with clusters of C2H2-type zinc finger domains whose function remains unknown. Interestingly, microdeletions in ZNF277 were recently linked to an increased risk of language impairments (32). To validate the ZNF277–uS5 association and begin to explore the functional role of ZNF277 in human cells, we performed a reciprocal SILAC-based AP–MS analysis of ZNF277, as well as a complementary proximity-dependent biotinylation assay using BioID (33), also using SILAC-based quantitative proteomics. In total, we identified five and nine ZNF277-associated proteins using the AP–MS and BioID approaches, respectively (Tables S2 and S3). Importantly, as shown in Fig. 1B, uS5 was found to be the strongest ZNF277-interacting protein in both AP–MS and BioID analyses. PDCD2L was also identified in the BioID assay of ZNF277 but showed lower enrichment and abundance as compared with uS5 (Fig. 1B). As we have previously shown for the association between PRMT3 and PDCD2L (29), the copurification of PDCD2L with ZNF277 requires uS5 (Fig. S1), suggesting that uS5 bridges/stabilizes the association between ZNF277 and PDCD2L. Our results thus define ZNF277 as a new uS5-associated protein.

We next addressed whether ZNF277 associated with free uS5 or 40S-incorporated uS5 by examining the distribution of ZNF277 after velocity sedimentation on sucrose gradients. As shown in Fig. 1C, the majority of ZNF277 was distributed in the low-density fractions (fractions 2–4), showing a similar distribution to PRMT3, which forms an extraribosomal complex with free uS5 (29). In contrast, 40S ribosomal subunits, 80S monosomes, and polysomes were detected in fractions 6–14, where ZNF277 was not detected (Fig. 1C). Importantly, detection of the 40S maturation factor RRP12 in fractions 6 and 7 (Fig. 1C) confirmed that our procedure could detect transient associations between a maturation factor and ribosomal subunit precursors. Together with the lack of additional r-proteins in affinity purification assays of ZNF277 (Fig. 1B), the sucrose gradient sedimentation analysis supports a model in which ZNF277 primarily associates with free uS5.
To examine whether uS5 interacts directly with ZNF277, we tested whether a uS5–ZNF277 complex could be reconstituted in vitro using recombinant versions of both proteins. Notably, coexpression of uS5 and ZNF277 in *Escherichia coli* was able to reconstitute a stable complex as demonstrated by the detection of uS5 and ZNF277 as the two main proteins recovered after affinity purification of uS5-FLAG under stringent conditions (Fig. 1D, lane 2). As a control, anti-FLAG affinity purification using extracts of *E. coli* that did not express uS5-FLAG did not recover ZNF277 (Fig. 1D, lane 1), despite the presence of ZNF277 in the extract (Fig. 1D, bottom panel, lanes 1 and 2). From these data, we conclude that ZNF277 directly interacts with uS5.

C2H2 zinc finger domains of ZNF277 are important for the association with uS5

The single C2H2 zinc finger domain of PRMT3 is critical for binding to uS5 in both yeast and human cells (27, 34). Inspection of ZNF277 annotation using UniProt (35) indicated the presence of two typical C2H2 zinc finger domains with the consensus amino acid sequence pattern: C-(2,4)-X-(3)-[LIVM-FYWC]-X-(8)-H-(3,5)-H (Fig. 2A, ZF#1 and ZF#2). However, further inspection of ZNF277 amino acid sequence across multiple eukaryotic species revealed the presence of three additional atypical C2H2 zinc finger domains that slightly deviate from the consensus pattern (Fig. 2A, ZF#3–ZF#5, and Fig. S2).

To determine the functional relevance of the different ZNF277 zinc finger domains for uS5 association, we substituted the first cysteine for a serine and the last histidine for an alanine in each zinc finger domain, generating zinc finger mutants 1–5 (m.1–m.5; Fig. 2A). We next assessed the ability of WT and mutant versions of GFP–ZNF277 to associate with endogenous uS5 by coimmunoprecipitation assays. As shown in Fig. 2B, uS5 was efficiently recovered in anti-GFP precipitates prepared from cells that expressed the WT version of ZNF277 (see lane 9). In contrast, a control purification prepared from extracts of cells that expressed GFP alone did not copurify uS5 (Fig. 2B, lane 8). Analysis of uS5 recovery using ZNF277 zinc finger mutants indicated that the integrity of ZF#2, ZF#4, and ZF#5 was most important for association with uS5 (Fig. 2B, lane 9).
lanes 9–14). Notably, ZF#5 was found to be absolutely required for the stable association between uS5 and ZNF277 (see lane 14). Quantification of multiple coimmunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that the integrity of ZF#5 in ZNF277 was critical for uS5 binding (Fig. 2C). We conclude that, as for PRMT3, the integrity of a C2H2 zinc finger domain in ZNF277 is essential for stable association with uS5, suggesting a similar mode of uS5 recognition between PRMT3 and ZNF277.

Because C2H2-type zinc fingers are capable of making interactions with DNA and RNA, we addressed whether the interaction between ZNF277 and uS5 depended on nucleic acids. We therefore pretreated total extracts with Benzonase, a robust nuclease that degrades all forms of RNA and DNA, before affinity purification of ZNF277. Notably, pretreatment with Benzonase did not affect the interaction between ZNF277 and uS5 (Fig. 2D, compare lanes 5 and 6). As a control, the RNA-dependent interaction between PABPN1 and Dyskerin/DKC1 (36) was lost after the Benzonase treatment (Fig. 2E, compare lanes 2 and 3), confirming that the nuclease treatment effectively degraded nucleic acids present in the extract. These results thus

---

Figure 2. The integrity of zinc finger domains in ZNF277 is important for uS5 binding. A, schematic of ZNF277 primary structure showing its five zinc finger (ZF) domains. The typical C2H2 zinc fingers ZF#1 and ZF#2 are shown in blue, whereas atypical C2H2 zinc fingers ZF#3-ZF#5 are in gray (see also Fig. S2). Asterisks are present above the canonical cysteine and histidine residues of the zinc finger motif. Cysteine and histidine residues shown in red indicate the various substitutions introduced to generate ZNF277 variants m.1–m.5. B, Western blotting analysis of total extracts (lanes 1–7) and anti-GFP precipitates (lanes 8–14) prepared from HEK 293 cells that were transiently transfected for 48 h with the indicated versions of ZNF277. Mock refers to HEK 293 cells transfected with a GFP control plasmid. C, quantification of uS5 levels recovered in GFP immunoprecipitates normalized to the levels of GFP–ZNF277. The values were expressed relative to WT ZNF277, which were set to 1.0. The data and error bars represent the averages and standard deviation from six independent experiments. *, p ≤ 0.05; ***, p ≤ 0.001; ****, p ≤ 0.0001; Student’s t test. D, Western blotting analysis of total extracts (lanes 1 and 2) and anti-GFP purifications (lanes 3–6) prepared from cells that stably expressed GFP (lanes 1, 3, and 4) and GFP–ZNF277 (lanes 2, 5, and 6). Extracts were treated (+, lanes 4 and 6) or not treated (−, lanes 3 and 5) with Benzonase before GFP immunoprecipitation. E, the RNA-dependent interaction between PABPN1 and Dyskerin (DKC1) was lost after the Benzonase treatment (compare lanes 2 and 3). IP, immunoprecipitation.
**Figure 3. ZNF277–uS5 complexes localize to the cytoplasm and nucleolus.** A, U-2 OS cells induced to expressed GFP (panels a and b) and GFP–ZNF277 (panels c and d) were fixed and analyzed by direct fluorescence. DNA staining with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenyindole (DAPI) shows the nucleus of each cell (panels a and c). Bar, 10 μm. B, U-2 OS cells induced to express GFP–ZNF277 were simultaneously analyzed by direct GFP fluorescence (panel b) and immunostaining for the nucleolar marker fibrillarin (panel c). DNA staining with DAPI shows the nucleus of each cell (panel a). Bar, 10 μm. C, representative BIFC images showing interaction between VN-uS5 and ZNF277-VC in living human cells. U-2 OS cells that coexpressed VN-uS5 and ZNF277-VC constructs were cotransfected in U-2 OS cells, and 24 h post-transfection, the cells were fixed and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Support a direct protein–protein interaction between ZNF277 and uS5.

**The ZNF277–uS5 complex is found in the cytoplasm and nucleolus**

A proteome-wide analysis of subcellular localization in different human cell types indicates that ZNF277 is mainly localized to the nucleus with some cytoplasmic staining (37). Consistent with these results, a U-2 OS cell line stably expressing a GFP-tagged version of ZNF277 showed fluorescence signal in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 3A, panels c and d). Interestingly, the nuclear distribution of ZNF277 in U-2 OS cells showed concentrated signal reminiscent of nucleolar staining (Fig. 3A, panel d), which are sites of ribosome biogenesis. To test this possibility and further characterize the subcellular localization of ZNF277, the fluorescence analysis was combined with an immunostaining procedure for endogenous fibrillarin, which is a nucleolar marker protein. Comparison of the different fluorescence signal showed that a fraction of GFP–ZNF277 was concentrated in nuclear regions that colocalized with anti-fibrillarin staining (Fig. 3B, panels a–d). In contrast, U-2 OS cells that stably expressed GFP did not show nucleolar staining (Fig. 3A, panels a and b).

We next used the bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay to address where in the cell the uS5 and ZNF277 physical interaction existed. BiFC consists of fusing two nonfluorescent fragments of the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) to two proteins from a stable complex leading to restoration of fluorescence within a cell by reconstituting the split YFP, thereby providing indication about the cellular localization of a complex (38). We used an improved version of YFP named Venus (39) to create fusions with uS5 and ZNF277: the N-terminal fragment of Venus (VN) was fused to the N terminus of uS5, whereas the C-terminal fragment of Venus (VC) was fused to the C terminus of both WT and ZF#5 mutant versions of ZNF277. Next, the VN-uS5 and ZNF277-VC constructs were cotransfected in U-2 OS cells, and 24 h post-transfection, the cells were fixed and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Consistent with our biochemical studies, uS5 and ZNF277 interacted with each other, resulting in a reconstituted Venus signal that showed nucleolar localization as well as a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution (Fig. 3C, panels d–f). In contrast, despite confirmation that each fusion protein was expressed (Fig. S3), combining fusions of uS5 and ZNF277 mutant ZF#5 produced background fluorescence (Fig. 3C, panels g–i), consistent with biochemical results indicating that a functional ZF#5 is required for ZNF277 to interact with uS5 (Fig. 2).

Together, these results confirm a direct interaction between uS5 and ZNF277 that occurs in the cytoplasm and the nucleolus.

**ZNF277 and PRMT3 compete for uS5 binding**

The observation that ZNF277 and PRMT3 both require a functional C2H2-type zinc finger domain to form a stable complex with extraribosomal uS5 suggested a similar mode of uS5 recognition by PRMT3 and ZNF277. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that PRMT3 was not detected in a ZNF277 purification (Fig. 1B), and reciprocally, ZNF277 was not identified as a PRMT3-associated protein (29). Collectively, these observations suggested a model in which PRMT3 and ZNF277 are mutually exclusive partners of uS5, using their C2H2 zinc finger domains to recognize a similar binding site on uS5. To test this possibility, we examined whether increased levels of PRMT3 impaired the formation of the uS5–ZNF277 complex in human cells. Notably, increased dosage of PRMT3 resulted in a marked decrease in the level of uS5 that was copurified with a GFP-tagged version of ZNF277 as compared with cells that overexpressed a control protein (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 4 and 5). To confirm that the impairment in ZNF277–uS5 complex formation was due to increased levels of PRMT3–uS5 complex, we used a version of PRMT3 that no longer binds to uS5 as a result of a substitution (cysteine 50 to serine) that disrupts the C2H2 zinc finger domain of PRMT3 (27, 34). Consistent with the idea that PRMT3 and ZNF277 compete for uS5 binding, overexpression of the PRMT3 C50S zinc finger mutant did not outcompete the formation of the ZNF277–uS5 complex (Fig. 4A, lane 6).

Next, we assessed whether we could reciprocally affect the levels of endogenous PRMT3–uS5 complex by reducing the cellular abundance of ZNF277. For this, we depleted ZNF277 from human HEK 293 cells using siRNAs (Fig. 2B, compare lane...
3 with lanes 1 and 2) and analyzed the level of uS5 that was copurified with endogenous PRMT3 after immunoprecipitation using a PRMT3-specific antibody. As shown in Fig. 4B, a deficiency in ZNF277 resulted in increased levels of uS5 in PRMT3 immunoprecipitates as compared with cells treated with a control siRNA (compare lane 6 to lane 5; see quantification in Fig. 4C). We conclude that PRMT3 and ZNF277 can compete for association with uS5 by forming mutually exclusive ZNF277–uS5 and PRMT3–uS5 complexes.

Normal uS5 levels are required for the cellular accumulation of ZNF277 and PRMT3

We noted that zinc finger mutants of ZNF277 that fail to associate with uS5 were expressed at lower levels than the WT protein (Fig. 2), as previously observed for PRMT3 (34). We therefore addressed whether free uS5 is needed for the proper accumulation of PRMT3 and ZNF277 in human cells. Using stable cell lines engineered to induce uS5-specific shRNAs after addition of doxycycline to the culture medium, we depleted roughly 50% of the total level of uS5 in a doxycycline-dependent manner (Fig. 5A, compare lanes 5 and 6 with lanes 2 and 3). Notably, depletion of uS5 using two independent clones that expressed different uS5-specific shRNAs resulted in a significant reduction of ZNF277 and PRMT3 protein accumulation.
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(Fig. 5, A and B) but had no effect on the levels of PRMT3 and ZNF277 mRNAs (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, the effect of uS5 deficiency on PRMT3 and ZNF277 accumulation was not generalized to all uS5-associated proteins, because levels of PDCD2 remained unchanged after uS5 depletion (Fig. 5, A and B). Thus, loss of free uS5 caused the concomitant loss of PRMT3 and ZNF277, indicating that they need to be associated with uS5 for cellular accumulation.

Cotranslational recruitment of ZNF277 to nascent uS5

Given that uS5 was identified as the main ZNF277-associated protein as determined by both AP-MS and BioID approaches (Fig. 1), we explored the possibility that ZNF277 could play a chaperoning function toward uS5. Accordingly, an increasing amount of r-proteins have been shown to require dedicated chaperones for soluble expression and delivery to assembly sites (18). One frequent observation of dedicated r-protein chaperone is the cotranslational capture of the nascent r-protein (10). To test whether ZNF277 is recruited to uS5 in a cotranslational manner, we affinity-purified GFP, GFP–PRMT3, and GFP–ZNF277 from extracts of HEK 293 cells (Fig. 6A) that were previously treated with cycloheximide to block translation elongation and thereby maintain ribosome–mRNA associations. RNA was subsequently isolated from the GFP–Trap beads and analyzed by RT-qPCR for the specific enrichment of the uS5 mRNA. The data were normalized to the GAPDH mRNA to control for experimental variation, and the values were set to 1.0 for the control GFP purification. As shown in Fig. 6B, we observed a significant enrichment of the uS5 mRNA in GFP–ZNF277 precipitates, whereas the uS5 mRNA did not selectively copurify with GFP–PRMT3. Importantly, the enrichment of the uS5 mRNA in GFP–ZNF277 pull-downs was specific, because mRNAs encoding uS3 (RPS3) and uL4 (RPL4) were not found to be selectively enriched with GFP–ZNF277 (Fig. 6B). Next, we examined whether the ZF#5 mutant of ZNF277, which does not associate with uS5 (Fig. 2), can copurify with the uS5 mRNA. Notably, affinity purification of the ZNF277 mutant containing substitutions in ZF#5 failed to show any enrichment of the uS5 mRNA (Fig. 6, C and D), suggesting that a ZNF277–uS5 protein–protein interaction is required for the copurification of the uS5 mRNA with ZNF277. We conclude that ZNF277 has the capacity to recognize uS5 in a cotranslational manner.

A deficiency in ZNF277 does not affect ribosome biogenesis

Cotranslational recognition of nascent uS5 by ZNF277 may support a chaperoning function to ZNF277. The idea of dedicated r-protein chaperones, specialized at accompanying and guarding highly basic and abundant r-proteins, is an emerging research area. Because mutants in r-protein chaperones usually result in ribosome biogenesis defects that resemble those observed upon depletion of their r-protein client, we compared ribosome profiles of cells deficient for ZNF277 and uS5. Depletion of over 90% of total ZNF277 (Fig. 7A, compare lanes 1 and 2) did not alter the levels of uS5 protein (Fig. 7A, compare lanes 1 and 2) and did not affect the ribosome profile detected from growing HeLa cells as compared with control cells (Fig. 7B, panels b and d). In contrast, a 35% depletion of total cellular uS5 (Fig. 7A, lane 3) caused a marked accumulation of free 60S subunit (Fig. 7B, panels c and d) as a result of a 40S subunit deficit, consistent with previous results obtained in fission yeast (40) and human cells (41). A deficiency in uS5 also caused a reduction in 80S monosomes (Fig. 7B, panels c and d). As shown in Fig. 5, the levels of ZNF277 were reduced in uS5-depleted cells (Fig. 7A, compare lanes 1 and 3). Together, these data argue that ZNF277 is unlikely to function as a dedicated chaperone involved in escorting uS5 from its synthesis in the cytoplasm to its assembly site in the preribosome.
Because our data indicate that ZNF277 and PRMT3 compete for uS5 association (Fig. 4), we tested the possibility that increased dosage of ZNF277, rather than a deficiency, could alter the ribosome profile. Transient expression of a GFP-tagged version of ZNF277 in HeLa cells resulted in a 10-fold increase relative to endogenous ZNF277 (Fig. S4A, lanes 3 and 4). Notably, increased levels of ZNF277 caused a small, but significant change in the ratio between small and large ribosomal subunits (Fig. S4B and C): cells with increased levels of GFP–ZNF277 showed reduced levels of free 40S subunit concomitant with greater levels of free 60S subunit compared with cells that overexpressed GFP alone. Increased dosage of ZNF277 did not appear to affect monosome and polysome levels, however (Fig. S4B). These results suggest that excess ZNF277 in human cells can sequester uS5 and alter the balance between free ribosomal subunits.

Discussion

In this study, we report that human ZNF277 forms a stable extraribosomal complex with the 40S ribosomal protein uS5. Our results also distinguish two mutually exclusive extraribosomal complexes involving uS5: ZNF277–uS5 and PRMT3–uS5, both of which use a C2H2-type zinc finger domain to direct uS5 recognition. Interestingly, our data revealed that ZNF277 associates with nascent uS5 cotranslationally, whereas PRMT3 interacts with uS5 post-translationally. Collectively, our findings reveal an intricate network of evolutionarily conserved protein–protein interactions involving extraribosomal uS5, suggesting a key role for uS5 beyond the ribosome.

Previous work using fission yeast, *Drosophila*, and human cells (26–30) uncovered the existence of two independent protein complexes that involve an extraribosomal population of uS5 consisting of PRMT3–uS5–PDCD2L and PRMT3–uS5–PDCD2 (Fig. 8). The work described in the current study therefore adds a new layer to our understanding of extraribosomal uS5 by identifying the multizinc finger protein ZNF277 as a new uS5-associated protein. A complex between ZNF277 and uS5 (Fig. 1) is supported by independent studies that used high-throughput affinity purifications coupled to MS in human cells (42), as well as analysis of protein–protein interactions in *Drosophila* using high-throughput two-hybrid screening (28). Furthermore, genomic mediation analysis of trans-expression quantitative trait loci (*trans-eQTL*) in uS5 using multiomics data sets from the BXD mouse cohort identified *Zfp277* (mouse homolog of human *ZNF277* gene) as the potential regulator of uS5 (43). Together, all of these findings support the existence of conserved complexes in *Drosophila* and human cells that can sequester uS5 and alter the balance between free ribosomal subunits.

**Figure 7. A deficiency in ZNF277 does not impair ribosome biogenesis.** A, Western blotting analysis of total extracts prepared from HeLa cells that were transfected with siRNAs specific to ZNF277 (lane 2) and uS5 (lane 3) mRNAs as well as with nontarget control siRNAs (lane 1). Extracts were analyzed using antibodies specific for ZNF277, uS5, and tubulin. B, polysome profiles using extracts prepared from HeLa cells transfected with siRNAs specific to ZNF277 (panel b) and uS5 (panel c) mRNAs as well as nontarget control siRNAs (panel a) were separated using 5–50% sucrose gradients. Panel d shows an overlay of the ribosome profiles shown in panels a–c. The positions of free small (40S) and large (60S) ribosomal subunits, monosomes (80S), and polysomes are indicated.

**Figure 8. Protein complexes revolving around extraribosomal uS5.** Shown is a schematic of independent PDCD2–uS5–PRMT3 (upper left) and PDCD2L–uS5–PRMT3 (upper right) complexes as we have described in humans (29). Mutually exclusive interactions of uS5 with ZNF277 and PRMT3 result in the formation of PDCD2–uS5–ZNF277 (lower left) and PDCD2L–uS5–ZNF277 (lower right) complexes. The existence of conserved complexes in *Drosophila* is supported by results from high-throughput two-hybrid screening and biochemical studies (28, 30), showing that homologs of PRMT3 (Art3), ZNF277 (CG9890), PDCD2 (Zfrp8), and PDCD2L (Trus) interact with uS5 (Sop).
Human ZNF277 forms an extraribosomal complex with uS5

affected neither uS5 protein levels nor ribosome biogenesis (Fig. 7), which contrasts with known dedicated r-protein chaperones (18). Independent of chaperoning functions, cotranslational formation of protein complexes has become an emerging concept of biology, which contributes to structural, spatial, and temporal aspects of complex assembly (44). We thus speculate that cotranslational recognition of uS5 by ZNF277 may provide a kinetic advantage to a potentially stronger interaction between uS5 and PRMT3.

Proteins with clusters of C2H2 zinc fingers represent the largest class of human transcription factors (45). Accordingly, the mouse homolog of human ZNF277, Zfp277, was shown to function in the transcriptional repression of the CDKN2A (Ink4a/Arf) locus in a manner dependent on the Polycomb group protein Bmi1 (46). Depletion of ZNF277 in human HeLa cells did not result in a significant increase in mRNA levels expressed from the CDKN2A gene, however (data not shown). It is therefore possible that the ZNF277–CDKN2A regulatory circuit is inactive in immortalized human cancer cells, because the transcriptional role of mouse Zfp277 was demonstrated in nonimmortalized embryonic fibroblasts (46). Recently, a novel proteomics approach to identify proteins that bind RNA sequences of interest in living cells identified human ZNF277 as a protein recognizing the Nanos response element of the p38–MAPK14 mRNA (47), thus raising the possibility that ZNF277 can also bind RNA. It will therefore be interesting to learn whether extraribosomal uS5 functions in the regulation of the DNA- and/or RNA-binding activities of ZNF277.

Our findings suggest an attractive mode of cross-regulation between the ZNF277–uS5 and PRMT3–uS5 complexes. This conclusion is supported by data showing that overexpression of PRMT3 limits uS5 association with ZNF277, whereas a deficiency in ZNF277 increases the proportion of uS5-associated PRMT3 (Fig. 4). Depletion of uS5 also caused the concomitant loss of PRMT3 and ZNF277 (Fig. 5), a behavior that is frequently observed for constitutively interacting proteins of a complex (48). Interestingly, ZNF277 overexpression is associated with improved prognosis of human cancers according to the Human Protein Atlas Project analysis of available human tumors in the repository (49). Conversely, PRMT3 overexpression, which decreases the amount of ZNF277–uS5 complex, is associated with poor prognosis of human cancers (49). The opposing effects of ZNF277 and PRMT3 expression on human cancer prognosis thus suggest that extraribosomal uS5 could be targeted to reduce the growth of human cancers.

Our BioID analysis of ZNF277 also identified PDCD2L (Figs. 1B and 8), a protein that directly interacts with uS5 (29). The copurification of PDCD2L with ZNF277 is consistent with previous results from proteomic analyses of PDCD2- and PDCD2L-associated proteins, which identified ZNF277 among the significant hits (29). Our data also revealed that copurification of ZNF277 and PDCD2L depends on uS5 (Fig. S1), as previously shown for the copurification of PRMT3 and PDCD2/ PDCD2L (29), thus supporting a model in which uS5 bridges or stabilizes the association between ZNF277 and PDCD2L (Fig. 8). It remains unclear why PDCD2 was not detected in our MS-based analysis of ZNF277-associated proteins despite the fact that endogenous ZNF277 was a top hit in PDCD2 purifications (29). One possibility is that the use of ZNF277 fusion proteins repelled the association between PDCD2 and uS5 in the context of the ZNF277–uS5–PDCD2 complex. Collectively, our findings suggest the occurrence of four independent complexes revolving around extraribosomal uS5 (Fig. 8). Because uS5 is overexpressed in diverse cancers (50–53) and is reported as a potential therapeutic target in colorectal (51) and prostate (53) cancers, elucidating the biological role of extraribosomal uS5 and its evolutionarily conserved associated proteins should provide significant insights into the emerging concept of ribosomal protein specialization and could ultimately be exploited to design strategies aimed at targeting extraribosomal uS5 in tumor cells.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture

HEK 293-FT, U-2 OS, and HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% of tetra-cycline-free fetal bovine serum. Inducible expression of GFP, GFP–PRMT3, GFP–ZNF277, GFP–ZNF277 mutant #5, BirA, and BirA-ZNF277 was achieved by flipase-mediated recombination in HEK 293-FT and/or in U-2 OS-FT cells, as previously described (54). Inducible lentiviral shRNA-expressing cells were generated using the pTRIPZ lentiviral inducible vector (GE Healthcare). Induction of GFP-tagged proteins was achieved with 500 ng/ml of doxycycline for 48 h, whereas the induction shRNA cells lines was achieved with a 72–96-h incubation with doxycycline. Induction of BirA–tagged proteins was achieved with 50 μM biotin for 24 h. siRNAs were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 at a final concentration of 25 nM (siControl and siZNF277) or 32 nM (siuS5) for 72 h.

SILAC, BioID, and immunoprecipitation assays

For SILAC and BioID experiments, proteins were metabolically labeled with stable isotopes of arginine and lysine in cell culture, as previously described (29). Briefly, HEK 293-FT cells expressing GFP- or BirA-tagged versions of proteins were grown in media containing labeled amino acids. 24–48 h after induction with doxycycline (SILAC) or biotin (BioID) cells were collected in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, and 1× Complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche)) and incubated at 4 °C for 20 min. Lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C, and equal amount of proteins were incubated with GFP-trap agarose beads from ChromoTek (Martinsried, Germany) or Dynabeads M-270 streptavidin (Thermo Fisher) for 3 h at 4 °C. The beads were then washed five times with lysis buffer, and proteins were subjected to two rounds of elution by adding 100 μl of denaturing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 0.1 mM DTT) for 10 min at 90 °C. SILAC eluates were vacuum-concentrated in a SpeedVac and resuspended in reducing buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1.25% SDS, 37.5% glycerol, 60 mM DTT, 0.025% bromphenol blue). Gel electrophoresis, in-gel digestions, LC–MS/MS, and analysis of SILAC ratios were performed as described previously (29). BioID used on-bead digestion with trypsin followed by LC–MS/MS. To assess the requirement of nucleic acids for protein–protein interactions, extracts of HEK293 extracts were
treated with 167 units/ml of Benzonase (Sigma, E1014) for 20 min at 4 °C. Benzonase-treated extracts were then centrifuged to remove precipitates, and supernatants were used for affinity purifications, as described.

Sucrose gradient analysis
To analyze the sedimentation pattern of ZNF277, sucrose gradient centrifugation was performed as previously described (26, 29). Briefly, cycloheximide-treated cells were washed twice with PBS, and lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, Complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche), 40 units/ml RNase OUT (Life Technologies), and 50 µg/ml cycloheximide (Sigma–Aldrich)) was added directly to a 15-cm dish. The cells were scraped, incubated 15 min at 4 °C, and centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C. Of the supernatant, 5% was kept for Western blotting analysis of the input, and the remainder (5–10 mg of total protein) was loaded onto a 5–50% sucrose gradient and centrifuged for 3 h at 40,000 rpm in a SW41 rotor (Beckman Coulter). The gradient was then fractionated by upward displacement with 55% (w/v) sucrose using a gradient fractionator (Brandel Inc.) connected to a UA-6 UV monitor (Teledyne Isco) for continuous measurement of the absorbance at 254 nm. 0.6-mL fractions were collected, proteins were precipitated with TCA (15%) for 30 min at 4 °C. The beads were then subjected to either an elution using FLAG wash buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10 μM ZnCl₂) with 200 μg/μl of FLAG peptide or treated with 2× SDS-PAGE loading dye and heated at 95 °C for 10 min.

Microscopy
Visual analysis of GFP-tagged proteins in human cells was as previously described (55). U-2 OS cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, and washed twice again with PBS. Fixed cells were permeabilized using a 0.5% Triton X-100/PBS solution for 10 min and washed three times with PBS. For Fig. 3B, cells were equilibrated for 20 min in PBS with 1% BSA followed by a 1-h incubation with primary antibody dilution in PBS with 1% BSA (anti-fibrillarin 1/50 (Santa Cruz)). The cells were washed three times with PBS with 1% BSA and incubated with a secondary antibody dilution (mouse Alexa Fluor 568 1/500 (Invitrogen), in PBS with 1% BSA) for 1 h. For the BiFC experiments shown in Fig. 3C, U-2 OS cells were cotransfected with Venus vector N (VN) or Venus vector C (VC) fusions and fixed 20–24 h post-transfection for visual analysis as described above. For all microscopy experiments, three final washes with either PBS alone or PBS with 1% BSA were performed before slides were mounted on a coverslip with SlowFade Gold antifade solution (Life Technologies). Images were captured by Zeiss Axio Observer microscope using a 63× oil objective.

RNA coimmunoprecipitation assay
15-cm dishes of HEK 293-FT conditionally expressing GFP, GFP–PDCD2, GFP–ZNF277, or GFP–ZNF277 mutant #5 were induced using doxycycline for 48 h. The cells were washed twice with PBS, and 5% of cells were kept for total RNA extraction (input fraction). The cells were then resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, Complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche), and 40 units/ml RNase OUT (Life Technologies)) and incubated 15 min at 4 °C. Lysate was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, and 5% of the lysate was kept for protein analysis. The remainder of the lysate was incubated with GFP-Trap beads for 3 h at 4 °C. The beads were washed five times with lysis buffer, and 10% of the beads were kept for protein analysis. RNA was extracted from the remainder of the beads using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) and analyzed by RT-qPCR using the following set of primers: uS5, 5'-TATGCCAATTGCAGAGCGACACC-3'/5'-CTTCCCTTG-
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GAGCCTTTAACAC-3' or 5'-GATGCCCCGTCACCAAGT-3'/5'-CTGATTCCTTAATGGCAGGG-3'; uS3, 5'-CAA-GAAGAGGAATTTGTCG-3'/5'-GAACATCTGTG-TTCGGTG-3'; uL4, 5'-GACGCTCACTAAGACTGATGC-3'/5'-GGTTTTGTTGTCGAC-3'; and GAPDH, 5'-GTCAGCCGCATCTTCTTTG-3'/5'-GCCAACATAGCCAAATC-3').
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