The TatA component of the twin-arginine translocation system locally weakens the cytoplasmic membrane of *Escherichia coli* upon protein substrate binding
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The twin-arginine translocation (Tat) system that comprises the TatA, TatB, and TatC components transports folded proteins across energized membranes of prokaryotes and plant plastids. It is not known, however, how the transport of this protein cargo is achieved. Favored models suggest that the TatA component supports transport by weakening the membrane upon full translocon assembly. Using *Escherichia coli* as a model organism, we now demonstrate in vivo that the N terminus of TatA can indeed destabilize the membrane, resulting in a lowered membrane energization in growing cells. We found that in full-length TatA, this effect is counterbalanced by its amphipathic helix. Consistent with these observations, the TatA N terminus induced proton leakage in vitro, indicating membrane destabilization. Fluorescence quenching data revealed that substrate binding causes the TatA hinge region and the N-terminal part of the TatA amphipathic helix to move toward the membrane surface. In the presence of TatBC, substrate binding also reduced the exposure of a specific region in the amphipathic helix, indicating a participation of TatBC. Of note, the substrate-induced reorientation of the TatA amphipathic helix correlated with detectable membrane weakening. We therefore propose a two-state model in which membrane destabilizing effects of the short TatA membrane anchor are compensated by the membrane-immersed N-terminal part of the amphipathic helix in a resting state. We conclude that substrate binding to TatABC complexes switches the position of the amphipathic helix, which locally weakens the membrane on demand to allow substrate translocation across the membrane.

The Tat³ system serves to transport folded proteins in bacteria, archaea, plant plastids, and possibly plant mitochondria (1–4). In *Escherichia coli*, the Tat system consists of TatA, TatB, and TatC components. TatA and TatB are similar, and two-component “minimal” Tat systems exist in which TatA exerts functions of TatA and TatB (5). TatA/B components are N-terminally membrane-anchored by a very short hydrophobic transmembrane domain, which is followed by a short hinge region, an amphipathic helix (APH), and a variable C-terminal domain (6). TatC is a polytopic membrane protein with six transmembrane domains (7, 8). TatB tightly interacts with TatC (9, 10). TatA associates with these TatBC complexes and is thought to permeabilize the membrane for protein transport (11–14). TatBC complexes recognize and tightly bind the signal peptides of the cargo proteins throughout the translocation process (15, 16).

The mechanism by which this translocation is achieved must be unusual and is not understood (17). A currently favored model suggests that the N termini of multiple TatA molecules at the translocon site weaken the membrane upon substrate binding, thereby permitting a TatC-mediated pulling of the substrate through the destabilized membrane (“membrane-weakening and pulling mechanism”; see Ref. 18). Molecular dynamics simulations support this view and suggest that multiple TatA N termini can cause a local thinning of the membrane (6). However, direct experimental evidence for a thinning stress imposed by the N terminus of TatA is lacking.

Here we demonstrate that the N terminus of TatA alone can indeed destabilize the membrane. This membrane stress relates to the short length of the TatA membrane anchor. In full-length TatA, the N-terminal half of the APH immerses into the membrane and counterbalances the effects of its own N-terminal membrane anchor. Importantly, substrate association with TatA induces a reorientation of the APH and TatA and thereby destabilizes the membrane to a measurable extent. In the absence of TatBC, the same substrate-induced changes occur in the N-terminal half of the APH, but the C-terminal part of the APH is not influenced. The data suggest that local membrane destabilization is indeed part of TatABC-catalyzed transport, and substrate association triggers this destabilization on demand.

### Results

**A cell-growth effect of the TatA membrane anchor that is compensated by the TatA amphipathic helix**

TatA is a key component of the Tat system and considered to locally weaken the membrane, thereby, in conjunction with the other Tat system components, allowing the passage of folded proteins (18, 19). TatA is anchored by its N terminus in the cytoplasmic membrane (20). The N-terminal 6 residues are in...
the periplasmic surface of the membrane (6). The transmembrane helix (TMH) starts at Trp$^\gamma$/Gln$^\alpha$ on the periplasmic side and ends at Phe$^{20}$ on the cytoplasmic side (see Fig. S1). The hydrophobic residues Leu$^{9}$–Phe$^{20}$ actually cross the lipid bilayer (21, 6). As the extremely short membrane-spanning region of TatA has been suggested to have the membrane-weakening effect, we tested whether production of the TatA membrane anchor (TatA-NT, residues 1–21) affects membrane stability. In earlier studies, we had already fused TatA-NT to a small, extremely stable protein membrane stress response, indirectly indicating a membrane-destabilizing effect. The extended TatA-NT constructs showed the membrane-weakening effect (Fig. 1B). Growth readily ceased upon induction of TatA-NT-Hip production. This effect was gradually reduced in longer constructs, in the order TatA-NT-Hip > TatA-33-Hip > TatA-42-Hip > TatA-49-Hip > full-length TatA-Hip. The differential effects of the various constructs were not caused by variation of protein abundances, as the two constructs with the strongest effects were even less abundant than the others (Fig. 1C). The two longest constructs showed heterogeneous migration behavior that might relate to distinct stable conformations. All constructs were stably integrated into membranes, as evidenced by carbonate washes (Fig. 1D).

To control whether the HiPIP fusion might have had effects that influenced the outcome, we also generated all constructs without fused HiPIP, although we knew that TatA-NT alone was unstable in vivo (Fig. 2A). TatA-NT still had some inhibitory effect. The extended TatA-NT constructs showed the same order with respect to their growth-inhibitory effect as the HiPIP-fused constructs, with TatA-33 > TatA-42 > TatA-49 > TatA (Fig. 2A). Again, the abundance and membrane-targeting of the proteins was assessed by SDS-PAGE/Western blotting (Fig. 2B). As expected, TatA-NT was extremely low abundant. The three extended constructs TatA-33, TatA-42, and TatA-49 were more stable than TatA-NT. Full-length TatA was more abundant than any other construct and had to be 4-fold diluted to achieve a comparable concentration that permitted a good ECL detection of the less abundant constructs. All constructs were membrane-targeted. Membrane integration was verified by carbonate washes (Fig. 2C). It is known that

**Figure 1. Inhibition of growth after production of TatA-NT-Hip.** A, scheme of TatA, indicating the positions of the TMH, the hinge, the APH, and the unstructured C-terminal domain. *Numbered* are positions to which the C-terminally Strep-tagged mature domain of HiPIP (experiments in Fig. 1) or only the Strep-tag (experiments in Fig. 2) have been fused to create truncated TatA variants (see “Experimental procedures”). Residues in capital letters have been structurally solved. B, growth curves of the tatAE-deletion strain JARV16 with recombinant rhamnose-induced production of either TatA-Hip, TatA-49-Hip, TatA-42-Hip, TatA-33-Hip, or TatA-NT-Hip. Induction of the pBW expression vectors was done with 0.1% rhamnose at the indicated time point. S.D. values (error bars) were calculated from three independent cultures. C, SDS-PAGE/Western blot analysis of subcellular fractions of the cultures analyzed in B. S, soluble fraction; M, membrane fraction. *Unspecific*, a cross-reaction of the antibody. D, carbonate washes of the membrane-targeted constructs described above. M, membranes; S, supernatant after carbonate wash; P, pellet fraction after carbonate wash. Western blots were developed using specific HiPIP antibodies and ECL reaction.
a portion of TatA can be extracted from membranes (26), and we observed this also for TatA-49. Together, the in vivo data indicated that the membrane anchor of TatA can strongly affect growth, and such effects are counterbalanced in full-length TatA and TatA-49. All characteristics that counterbalance the toxic effect of the TatA membrane anchor in these longer TatA constructs can be attributed to the region from Thr22 to Lys49, which is the amphipathic helix and the adjacent charged patch.

The short length, not the sequence, of the hydrophobic TatA anchor region contributes to the growth phenotype

The above-described effect of the TatA membrane anchor raised the question whether the short membrane-spanning region in that anchor (Leu9–Phe20) was causing membrane damage. We assessed this aspect with further constructs. A TatA-NT(STGG)_3-Hip construct was generated in which the 12 hydrophobic residues were substituted by a (STGG)_3 linker. This substitution removes the hydrophobicity, which should result in a soluble protein. In addition, a TatA-NT(LA)_6-Hip construct was generated in which Leu9–Phe20 were substituted by the artificial transmembrane domain LALALALALA, which has been used in the past for analyses of artificial transmembrane helices (27). This construct should address questions of potential sequence specificity of observed effects. In contrast, a TatA-NT(PLG)_6-Hip construct was generated in which Leu9–Phe20 were substituted by the artificial transmembrane domain LALALALALA, which has been used in the past for analyses of artificial transmembrane helices (27). This construct should address questions of potential sequence specificity of observed effects.

The energization of the cytoplasmic membrane can be compromised by the membrane anchor of TatA

The growth defect was a first experimental hint of the previously postulated membrane-stabilizing effect of the TatA membrane anchor, as membrane destabilization can diminish transmembrane ion gradients and consequently ATP synthesis, transport processes, and metabolism, ultimately resulting in growth inhibition. To address potential effects on membrane energization more directly in vivo, we stained cells with JC-1, a green fluorescent dye that forms red aggregates in cells with energized membranes (28). Cells containing TatA-Hip, TatA-NT-Hip, TatA-NT(LA)_6-Hip, and TatA-NT(STGG)_3-Hip were examined JC1 fluorescence microscopy. Compared with TatA-Hip and TatA-NT(STGG)_3-Hip, the TatA-NT-Hip and TatA-NT(LA)_6-Hip constructs led to a clear reduction in red JC-1 fluorescence (Fig. 4A). The strains containing the TatA-NT-
Hip, TatA-NT(LA)₆-Hip, and TatA-NT(STGG)₃-Hip constructs all formed cell chains, which is due to the nonfunctional Tat system in these strains (29). Due to the chain formation, counting of red fluorescent cells was not feasible. Nevertheless, we achieved a quantitative measure for cell energization by determining the green/red JC1-fluorescence ratio using fluorescence spectroscopy (Fig. 4B). Although this method gave quite large error bars, the results demonstrated a significant de-energization by TatA-NT-Hip, and the data also agree with the microscopically observed effect of TatA-NT(LA)₆-Hip. As the (STGG)₃ substitution abolished the effects on membrane energization seen by TatA-NT-Hip, any observed reductions in membrane energization were unrelated to Tat system nonfunctionality (Fig. 4B).

Demonstration of proton leakage in vitro

The in vivo data indicated a negative influence of the TatA membrane anchor on cytoplasmic membrane energization. To overcome protein stability and growth effect issues that impeded experimental approaches in vivo, we decided to switch to a more defined experimental in vitro setup to study effects of the different TatA-NT constructs. In a first approach, we analyzed the membrane destabilization directly by acridine orange fluorescence quenching (Fig. 5). Although this method gave quite large error bars, the results demonstrated a significant de-energization by TatA-NT-Hip, and the data also agree with the microscopically observed effect of TatA-NT(LA)₆-Hip. As the (STGG)₃ substitution abolished the effects on membrane energization seen by TatA-NT-Hip, any observed reductions in membrane energization were unrelated to Tat system nonfunctionality (Fig. 4B).

The in vivo data indicated a negative influence of the TatA membrane anchor on cytoplasmic membrane energization. To overcome protein stability and growth effect issues that impeded experimental approaches in vivo, we decided to switch to a more defined experimental in vitro setup to study effects of the different TatA-NT constructs. In a first approach, we analyzed the membrane destabilization directly by acridine orange fluorescence quenching (Fig. 5). Although this method gave quite large error bars, the results demonstrated a significant de-energization by TatA-NT-Hip, and the data also agree with the microscopically observed effect of TatA-NT(LA)₆-Hip. As the (STGG)₃ substitution abolished the effects on membrane energization seen by TatA-NT-Hip, any observed reductions in membrane energization were unrelated to Tat system nonfunctionality (Fig. 4B).

First, we used TatBC-containing vesicles in which TatA was functionally inserted by in vitro translation. The identical in vitro translation conditions were then used for all TatA-NT constructs. Note that HiPIP was not fused in these experiments, as in vitro translated HiPIP does not assemble its iron-sulfur cofactor and thus cannot fold. This was irrelevant, as HiPIP was not required for TatA-NT stabilization under the in vitro conditions (Fig. 5, B and D). After optimization of the in vitro translations for the individual constructs, we could obtain quantitatively comparable amounts of all constructs inserted with surface-exposed C termini in the IMVs (Fig. 5, B and D). The constructs were quantified by the fluorescence of the Strep-Tactin Chromeo 488-conjugate. All constructs were stably integrated into the membrane (Fig. 5F). In the acridine orange assay, full-length TatA had only a slight effect on membrane stability (Fig. 5, A and E), which has been reported before (23). Quenching efficiency was determined as the percentage of quenching relative to the fluorescence level after carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP)-induced fluorescence recovery. The effect of TatA-49 was comparable with TatA. Strikingly, TatA-NT strongly reduced the proton gradient that could be generated. TatA-33 and TatA-42 also showed a strong decrease in quenching efficiency that was slightly reduced but in the same range as TatA-NT. To assess whether the short length of the hydrophobic region in the TatA membrane...
anchor was responsible for this phenotype as it was in the \textit{in vivo} assays, we tested also the TatA-NT(+6L) construct and found that the elongation of the hydrophobic helix by about 1.5 turns already resulted in clearly reduced membrane damage. We also checked whether the effect depended on the sequence of the TatA TMH using our TatA-NT(LA)\textsubscript{6} construct. Again in agreement with the \textit{in vivo} data, we could observe a strong effect of the TatA-NT(LA)\textsubscript{6} on the acridine orange fluorescence quenching that was comparable with TatA-NT. We then asked the question of whether TatBC somehow contribute to the above described effects and performed the acridine orange quenching in IMVs derived from the \textit{tat}-deficient \textit{E. coli} strain DADE (31). As expected from the \textit{in vivo} data, we found that TatBC did not play a role for the above described effects because the quenching efficiencies were comparable with the TatBC IMV data (Fig. 5, \textit{C} and \textit{E}).

\textbf{A conformational switch of the APH in response to substrate binding}

As full-length TatA as well as TatA-NT-49 clearly masked the membrane defects generated by its own membrane anchor, we considered a direct role of the APH in membrane stabilization during a resting state. NMR studies (32) and biochemical accessibility studies (33) already indicated that the APH of TatA can adopt a tilted orientation at the membrane surface. The APH could directly contribute to membrane thickness at the membrane-anchor sites. To address this aspect, we introduced rhodamine modifications into single-cysteine variants of TatA and checked their position relative to the membrane. Depending on the degree of exposure, the fluorescence of rhodamine can be quenched by added potassium iodide (KI). Titration of KI into the sample can be used to calculate the Stern–Vollmer constant ($K_{SV}$) for the individual rhodamine positions (see “Experimental procedures”). The higher this constant, the more solvent-exposed is the respective position. This method is a valuable and well-established tool to analyze protein accessibility in soluble and membrane-integral proteins (34, 35).

The positions for the rhodamine dye were chosen to address various aspects (Fig. 6A); position 2 faces the lumen of the IMVs and thus served as negative control. Within the TMH, we labeled positions 13, 16, 17, 18, and 19, the latter four covering a whole helical turn at the end of the hydrophobic transmembrane segment. Accessibility of this segment in any orientation to the aqueous surface in the course of substrate-induced conformational changes should thus become detectable. Dyes at positions 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 42, and 45 cover the region from the hinge to the APH and an adjacent conserved negatively charged stretch. Position 51 served as positive control for quenching, as it is already positioned in an exposed region up to Lys\textsuperscript{49}.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{\textbf{TatA-NT-Hip reduces the membrane potential.} A, fluorescence microscopy of JC1 staining of JARV16 producing either TatA-Hip, TatA-NT-Hip, TatA-NT(LA)\textsubscript{6}-Hip, or TatA-NT(STGG)\textsubscript{3}-Hip. All constructs were Strep-tagged. Formation of red J-aggregates was strongly reduced in TatA-NT-Hip- and TatA-NT(LA)\textsubscript{6}-Hip–producing cells. B, ratio of J-aggregates to JC1 monomers (590 nm/530 nm) of JARV16 producing the indicated TatA-NT-variant or TatA-Hip. Emission spectra were recorded with an excitation of 485 nm and emission from 500 to 650 nm. Ratios of peak maxima at 590 and 530 nm were calculated. Error bars, S.D.}
\end{figure}
port with TatABC-containing membranes, most TatA single cysteine variants were active to a detectable extent and transported the model Tat substrate HiPIP. Only TatA-K23C, TatA-L32C, and TatA-G33C constructs were inactive. In agreement with this, these variants were already described to inactivate TatA function \textit{in vivo} (37). The transport mediated by TatA-T22C was strongly affected, which similarly agrees with this \textit{in vivo} study. For TatA-A42C, we noted transport of HiPIP \textit{in vitro}, although we could confirm the reported block of TorA transport for that variant (37). The inability to support TorA transport may thus relate to the size or other specific properties of TorA. All cysteine variants of TatA were integrated into the membrane and thus resistant to carbonate wash treatment (Fig. 6C).

The KI-quenching data for these TatABC membrane vesicles with rhodamine-labeled TatA variants generated by \textit{in vitro} translation supported the reported tilted position of the APH relative to the membrane surface (32) and indicated clear differences in solvent exposure along the APH (Fig. 6D, dark columns). In the resting state (i.e. in the absence of Tat substrates),
the APH intrudes into the membrane with its N-terminal half, as reflected by the low $K_{SV}$ values. This N-terminal region of the APH therefore appears to contribute to the thickness of the membrane at the position of the membrane anchor, thereby counterbalancing the membrane stress of the short membrane anchor. As expected for a transmembrane domain, the positions in the TMH of the membrane anchor were highly protected, indicating little or no access of the quencher KI. Beginning with the flexible and highly conserved Gly$^{33}$ position (1), the APH was more surface-exposed with the exception of Ala$^{42}$.

We then assessed potential effects of substrate binding by adding fully folded HiPIP precursor (Fig. 6D, gray columns).
The most striking effect was a switch of the N-terminal half of the APH to a highly accessible, surface-exposed orientation. Also, the hinge region that connects the membrane-anchor with the APH moved to a surface-exposed position. In contrast, the rhodamine at positions 33, 34, and 35 became protected from quencher, and at positions 42, 45, and 51 the substrate addition had no effect. Together, the data thus indicate that the environment of the APH switches upon substrate interaction with the TatABC system. It is interesting that we did not detect any quencher accessibility to the transmembrane domain after substrate binding, although we have placed rhodamine dyes at four succeeding positions (positions 16–19) that cover a complete turn and thus all orientations of the membrane-spanning α-helix. Substrate binding thus does not seem to influence solvent accessibility of the TMH of TatA. It is also interesting that the region close to the very C terminus of the APH was not influenced by substrate binding.

Because it is known that TatA *per se* can interact with Tat substrates (26), we considered that TatA alone might already be able to make this switch. We thus carried out the same assays in the absence of other Tat components (IMVs prepared from the tatABCD-tatE deletion strain DADE). Again, all constructs were carbonate-resistantly membrane-integrated (Fig. 6F). The result was partially very similar (Fig. 6F); as in the case of membranes with complete TatABC systems, TatA alone showed a clear protection of the N-terminal half of the APH, suggesting a contribution to membrane thickness at the site of the TatA membrane anchor. Also as in the case of complete Tat systems, substrate binding resulted in a reorientation of the hinge and N-terminal half of the APH to a more surface-exposed position. However, in contrast to the observations in the complete TatABC system, positions 33–35 of the APH did not change their accessibility significantly when TatB and TatC were absent. It thus appears that from Gly33 on, there are no TatBC-independent changes in response to substrate binding, and therefore (i) there is probably flexibility at or near Gly-33, and (ii) the protection of Gly33–Ser35 upon substrate binding to TatABC systems depends on TatBC (see “Discussion”). Interestingly, in the absence of substrate, position 34 is somewhat less exposed with TatA alone than in the presence of TatBC, which might relate to a pre-existing TatBC interaction around Ala34, which is the region where we see the only TatBC effects. With respect to the method, it can be concluded that the use of single rhodamine dyes for fluorescence labeling did not interfere with a substrate-induced switch in the region from position 22 to 28, and it also permitted TatBC-dependent changes at positions 33–35.

### Substrate-induced membrane destabilization by TatA

As TatA alone already showed the switch with respect to the N-terminal half of the APH, it was possible that some substrate-induced membrane weakening could be already detectable in the absence of TatBC. We thus carried out acridine orange fluorescence quenching with TatA IMVs that were either pre-incubated with Tat substrate precursor or mock-treated (Fig. 7). The results showed a reduced fluorescence quenching when Tat substrate was present, suggesting that indeed the above described reorientation of the APH in response to substrate interactions results in a measurable destabilization of IMVs. As it was in principle possible that Tat substrates alone already destabilized the membranes due to their reported lipid bilayer interaction (38–40), we carried out the same experiments with IMVs prepared from the Tat-deficient strain DADE. Notably, the preincubation of DADE IMVs with HiPIP precursor had no effect on the degree of fluorescence quenching in the assay, demonstrating that the effects were only due to substrate-TatA interactions and not due to a Tat-independent membrane interaction of Tat substrate.

### Discussion

**Substrate-induced reorientation of TatA amphipathic helices coincides with the induction of membrane defects at Tat translocation sites**

We herein report a possible mechanism to induce local membrane stress on demand for Tat-dependent protein translocation. The N-terminal transmembrane domain of TatA can in principle generate membrane defects, but full-length TatA counterbalances these deleterious effects in the absence of Tat substrates. These conclusions are based on *in vivo* and *in vitro* approaches that demonstrate energization deficiencies of cells and membrane vesicles in response to the TatA constructs that are shorter than TatA-49. It thus is clear that the TMH (LA)6 had the same effect (Figs. 3–5). This fits to the recent observation that the exact sequence of that region is not a determinant for function, as it can be exchanged between *E. coli* and thylakoid systems (41). Our data now experimentally show the postulated membrane weakening by the unusual TMH of TatA...
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(18), which was so far supported by molecular dynamics simulations (6). In this TMH, only 12 hydrophobic residues cross the lipid bilayer. Notably, a stretch of 12 hydrophobic residues, although very short, is sufficiently long for a complete membrane integration of a peptide by the Sec61 translocon in eukaryotes (42).

A membrane-weakening as the basis for Tat transport would need to be transient and local. The Tat system needs to minimize constitutive membrane stress under “resting” conditions (i.e. when no substrate is present to be transported). According to our data, this can be in principle achieved by the influence of the substrate-dependent orientational switch of the APH. TatA alone is able to achieve the counterbalancing of membrane weakening (Fig. 7). The N-terminal part of its APH can immerse into the lipid bilayer in the absence of substrate, and it becomes surface-exposed in response to added substrate. TatA can thus sense and respond to substrate already in the absence of TatBC.

What drives the conformational switch?

One important question is the understanding of the driving force for the reorientation of the APH in response to substrate. We have recently demonstrated that TatA can interact with Tat substrates in a signal peptide-dependent, RR-independent manner (26). In that study, also molecular dynamics simulations were carried out that indicated intensive contacts between the APH of TatA and mainly the C-domain of the signal peptide. This fully agrees with the fact that the RR motif is not recognized by TatA (26).

Molecular dynamics simulations of TatA in membranes suggest that only oligomeric TatA assemblies can destabilize the membrane (6). As we see a membrane destabilization, substrate binding therefore probably induces the conformational switch of TatA that is organized in clusters. These clusters may transiently form or pre-exist at TatBC. TatA alone can also destabilize membranes, and it is therefore likely that the known TatBC-independent TatA clusters (43) can already associate with substrate. The group of Ken Cline (12, 44, 45) demonstrated in seminal studies substrate-induced TatA-TatBC and TatA-TatA cross-links that can be explained by TatA recruitments, rearrangements, or conformational transitions. Later, a substrate-induced conformational transition of the APH that is similar to the one that we now show for the E. coli system had been clearly demonstrated for the thylakoidal system by Aldridge et al. (33). The data of that study are largely consistent with our data, and in this study, it was already hypothesized that a moved APH could induce a membrane weakening. We now provide experimental evidence for such a membrane destabilization and relate this to a substrate-induced conformational change that restricts the membrane thickness to the short length of the TMH, which is probably the physiological function. As the switch of the APH conformation is a consequence of a substrate interaction, the APH itself is the most likely interaction site. An APH interaction with mature domains of Tat substrates had been for the first time experimentally demonstrated by the group of Carole Dabney-Smith (46) for the thylakoidal system. Also, in the E. coli system, mature domains of Tat substrates have been shown to interact with TatA (16, 47–49), and it has been demonstrated that TatA has the capacity to interact with Tat substrates in a TatBC-independent manner (26). For the thylakoidal system, it has been suggested that the APH interactions relate to nonspecific passive contacts before or during the membrane passage of mature domains, as a cross-link to a position at the end of the APH (Phe48) was TatB- and proton-motive force-dependent (46). In agreement with the thylakoidal system data, we also found no substrate effects at the C-terminal end of the APH in the absence of TatBC (Fig. 6F). The APH thus most likely binds Tat substrates around the N terminus of the APH, as evidenced by large changes in response to substrate addition in that region (Thr23–Ile28) in the presence as well as in the absence of TatBC (Fig. 6, D and F). Three of all analyzed positions were inactivated by their exchange to cysteine. The accessibility assays with these positions were nevertheless similar to neighboring positions, and effects were detected in response to substrate (K23C) or TatBC (G33C). Most likely, the absence of unexpected effects for these positions can be explained by the fact that the accessibility assays are end point measurements that do not monitor transport kinetics or overall functionality. If, for example, an exchange slows an important movement by 3 orders of magnitude from a millisecond to a second range, which would be a virtual block of transport, the assay would not differentiate this and recognize the movement. It also could be that the reversibility of a movement can be affected by inactivating mutations. We consider both options but favor the kinetic argumentation. The data thus can be carefully taken, and they agree with the overall conclusions.

In conclusion, we propose that the interaction of Tat substrates with the APH induces the conformational switch most likely by rearranging the APH-APH interactions in TatA clusters. Future studies will hopefully clarify this aspect.

The involvement of TatBC-independent steps in Tat transport is not unexpected

It has been believed for a long time that all Tat transport is initiated by an interaction of the signal peptide twin-arginine motif with TatBC and that TatA is recruited thereafter. This view is currently challenged by the observation that TatA has binding sites at “resting” TatBC complexes (50, 51) and that TatA can be co-purified with TatBC equally well when the substrate-binding site of TatC is inactivated (52). The initial experimental evidence for a transient recruitment of TatA to TatBC upon substrate binding to TatBC is based on cross-link data that may also have resulted from rearrangements of TatA at TatBC upon substrate binding (12, 44, 45). Other lines of evidence come from TatA that has been labeled with fluorescent protein (XFP) tags (53, 54). The problem of these approaches is that the dissociation of such TatA-XFP fusions from TatBC could be triggered by the ~26-kDa XFP tag attached to the 9.6-kDa TatA. In agreement with this, it has been observed that TatA-YFP was functionally inactive, and activity could be only re-established by co-production of nontagged TatA or TatE (54). Substrate binding might influence the affinity of TatBC for TatA, as mutations that enhance the affinity of TatBC to Tat substrates show a constitutive TatA-YFP/TatBC interaction (55). An increased affinity of TatBC for TatA could therefore compensate for XFP-tag effects. The transient recruitment of
nontagged TatA to TatBC thus remains to be shown. Based on the substrate-independent TatA/TatBC interactions, we currently favor the view that TatA and TatBC always function hand in hand and remain in close proximity.

The RR motif of Tat signal peptides can remain associated with TatC during translocation (15), and Tat substrates are contacting TatA during transport with their mature domains (26, 46, 49). TatA recognizes the transported domains to initiate the conformational switch, but TatBC recognizes the RR motif, and transport is only achieved when both activities cooperate. As TatA and TatBC act synergistically on distinct regions of the transported substrate, it is not surprising that the individual TatA/Tat substrate interaction does not require an RR recognition. It would be delusive to neglect the TatBC-independent TatA interactions; the membrane destabilization appears to rely on them.

**TatBC alters the accessibility of a specific region of the APH in the presence of Tat substrate**

As TatA and TatBC cooperate for Tat transport, it is important to recognize that we could detect an influence of TatBC on the accessibility of TatA regions. The main difference between the observations with TatA vesicles versus TatABC vesicles is related to the Gly\(^{33}\)/Ala\(^{34}\)/Ser\(^{35}\) region of the APH. Whereas the accessibility of this region is essentially unaltered upon substrate interaction in the absence of TatBC, this region becomes protected upon substrate interaction in the presence of TatBC, suggestive of a TatBC “footprint” on the TatA APH that indicates a steric hindrance of solvent accessibility by a TatBC-dependent environment. This may be (i) TatBC itself, which changes conformation upon substrate binding, or it may be (ii) the substrate, which might tightly associate with this region of the TatA-APH. Both scenarios are conceivable, and a direct substrate interaction with the APH has already been demonstrated (46). Also, the substrate-induced accessibility differences that have been detected in the thylakoid system were considered to potentially indicate a steric hindrance by substrate (33). In this context, one significant difference of our data from the data reported by Aldridge et al. (33) may be highly important; the movement of the hinge region preceding the APH was not detected in that study. We think that this difference is caused by the much larger size of the modifying agent (methyl-PEG\(_{12}\))\(_{3}\)-PEG\(_{4}\)-maleimide (2360 Da) that had to be used to detect modifications by shifts in SDS-PAGE analyses. We used iodide ions (127 Da), which can access positions that are sterically inaccessible for larger molecules. It is tempting to speculate that the substrate is positioned on top of the hinge region, ready to be transported, and thereby reduces the accessibility for larger agents.

In summary, we propose the following mechanism of membrane destabilization and counterbalancing (Fig. 8). The hydrophobic region of the TatA membrane-anchor is very short and destabilizes the membrane if the N-terminal region of the APH does not immerse into the membrane and thereby elongates the membrane-spanning section. Full-length TatA controls this membrane destabilization with its APH, whose N-terminal region is able to switch its orientation in response to substrate association. This switch restricts membrane thickness to the length of the TMH, which results in a membrane destabilization on demand that can be demonstrated by substrate-induced proton leakage *in vitro*. The essential role of TatBC as translocon core and motor is not called into question by the membrane-weakening role of TatA. Future experiments will surely clarify these aspects, leading to a deeper understanding of the translocation mechanism.

**Experimental procedures**

**Strains and growth conditions**

*E. coli* strain MC4100 and indicated derivatives thereof were used for growth experiments and *in vitro* studies, and *E. coli* XL1-blue was used for cloning. Strains were grown aerobically at 37 °C in LB medium (1% tryptone, 1% NaCl, 0.5% yeast extract) in the presence of 100 μg/ml ampicillin. For growth curves, 25-ml cultures were inoculated with OD\(_{600}\) of 0.1 and grown aerobically. The OD\(_{600}\) was determined in 30-min intervals. For induction of gene expression, 0.1% rhamnose was added after 90 min.

**Genetic methods and plasmids**

All constructs used in this study were Strep-tagged for their detection. Construction of pBW-*tata-strep* and pBW-*tatA-NT-mhip-strep* were described elsewhere (23). pBW-*tata-33-strep*, pBW-*tata-42-strep*, and pBW-*tata-49-strep* were cloned via standard methods with forward primer *tata*-NdeI-F (5′-TCT TCT TCT CAT ATG GGT GGT ATC AGT ATT TGG C-3′) and the corresponding reverse primer *tata*-G33-BamHI-R (5′-TTA AAG GAT CCT GCT TTT TTA AAG CCT TTG ATC G-3′), or BamHI-*tata*(K49)-R (5′-GAC GGA TCC CCT TGG TTC TTG TTC TTG TTC ATC ATC ATC C-3′), respectively. *mhip* fusions were cloned via BglII/BamHI, and pBW-*tata-NT*-strep were cloned using pBW-*tata-strep* with an additional BamHI site after G21 (23), cut with BamHI, and religated. pBW-*tata-NT*(STG)\(_{3}\)*-mhip-strep, pBW-*tata-NT*(LA)\(_{6}\)*-mhip-strep, and pBW-*tata*(9 + 6L)-mhip-strep were constructed using pBW-*tata-NT*-mhip-strep as template and forward primers Ndel-*tata-NT*(LA)\(_{6}\)*-mhip-F (5′-TAG CCA TAT GGG TGG TAT CAG TAT TTG GCA GTT AGC GCT TGC ATT GGC ACT GCC TCT CGT GCT TGG CAT CAC AAA GCT CGG CTC CAT CGG-3′), Ndel-*tata-NT*(STG)\(_{3}\)*-mhip-F (5′-ATA TAC ATA TGC GTG GTG GTA TCA GTA TTT CGA CGG GTG GGG GCA GTA CGG GTG GGG GCA GTA CGG GTG GGG GCT CGG CTC CGG CCC CCA ATG CCG TGG CC-3′), and Ndel-*tata-NT*(+6L)-F (5′-AAG TAC ATA TGC GTG GTA GTA TCA TTT GGC AGC TGC TCT TAC TGT TGC TGT TAT TGA TTA TTG CCG TCA TC-3′).
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together with reverse primer hip-BamHI-R (5’-ATA TAT AGG ATC CGC CGG CCT TCA GGG TC-3’) for amplification. PCR products were cut with Ndel/BamHI and cloned in the corresponding sites of pBW-tatA-NT-hip-strep, thereby exchanging the fragment. All constructs were verified via restriction analysis and sequencing.

For in vitro transcription and translation, the tatA gene or the Strep-tagged N-terminal membrane anchor—encoding sequence of TatA were cloned into pGEM-SZf (Promega) using the forward primer tatA-Ndel-F (5’-TCT TCT CAT ATG GGT GGT ATC AGT ATT TTG C-3’) in combination with tatA-PstI-R (5’-GGT CGG ATG TTA CTC CTT GTC ATC GTG-3’) and tatA-NTstrep-PstI-R (5’-GAC TGG AGT TAT TTT TCG AAC TGC GGG TGG CTC CAG GTG CCA AAA AGC AGT ACA AC-3’), resulting in pGEM-tatA or pGEM-tatA-NTstrep. For the construction of the pGEM-5ZF-based in vitro transcription system for tatA-NT(6L)-F and tatA-NTstrep-PstI-R were combined for PCR, and the product was cloned into the corresponding sites of pGEM-5ZF. For the construction of tatA-33strep, tatA-42-strep and tatA-49-strep in vitro transcription vectors, the corresponding pBW-tatA-33-strep, pBW-tatA-42-strep and pBW-tatA-49-strep vectors were digested with Ndel/Sall, and the fragments were cloned into the corresponding sites of pGEM-SZf. The indicated codon mutations in tatA were introduced into pGEM-tatA by QuikChange mutagenesis (Stratagene). All constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

General biochemical methods

SDS-PAGE and subsequent Western blotting were carried out by standard procedures (56, 57). For small proteins, Schägger gels (16% T, 6 M urea) were performed as described elsewhere (58). Western blots were developed employing specific HiPIP antibodies, Strep-Tactin-AP, or Strep-Tactin-HRP conjugate as indicated according to the manufacturer’s instructions (IBA, Göttingen, Germany). Images of Western blots were acquired utilizing the Intas Advanced Imager (INTAS Science Imaging Instruments GmbH, Göttingen, Germany).

For all in vivo experiments, Strep-tagged TatA constructs were produced using pBW22-based plasmids (23, 59). For preparation of proteins, cell fractionation experiments, and carbonate washes, 100-ml cultures of E. coli JARV16 were inoculated with an OD600 of 0.1 and pBW22-based protein production was induced after 90 min when cell densities reached an OD600 of ~0.6. After 3 h, cells were harvested, and cell densities were normalized to an OD600 of 1 before centrifugation of a 100-ml culture at 4500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. Cells were resuspended in 2 ml of homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 250 mM NaCl). 1 mM PMSF and DNase I were added, and cells were homogenized via French press (2 passages, 800 p.s.i.). After homogenization, cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 20,000 × g at 4 °C. For cell fractionation, membranes were sedimented by ultracentrifugation at 130,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C of one-half of the crude extract. Supernatant (soluble fraction) and membranes (resuspended in 1 volume of homogenization buffer) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE/Western blotting. For carbonate washes, the second half of the crude extract was used and also centrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min at 130,000 × g. The supernatant was carefully discarded, and crude membranes were resuspended with ice-cold 100 mM Na2CO3. After a second centrifugation step at 4 °C for 30 min at 130,000 × g, the supernatant was removed, and washed membranes were resuspended in 1 volume of 100 mM Na2CO3 again. All fractions were analyzed with SDS-PAGE/Western blotting. For JC1 staining, E. coli strain JARV16 was used. 25-ml cultures were inoculated with an OD600 of 0.05, and protein production was induced by adding 0.2% rhamnose directly after inoculation. When the maximum expression level was reached after 3 h, cells were harvested, and the density was normalized to 1 ml of OD600 = 1. After centrifugation at 3000 × g for 10 min, cell pellets were resuspended in 0.5 ml of TEG staining buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM glucose) complemented with 0.1% (v/v) JC1 (5 mg/ml JC1 stock solution) and incubated for 30 min in the dark on a roller. Cells were sedimented again by centrifugation and washed in 2 volumes of TEG buffer without dye. After centrifugation, cells were resuspended in 1 volume of TEG buffer, and 2 μl of the cell suspension were spotted on an agar slide for fluorescence microscopy. Cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy, using a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera and a Zeiss ×100/numeric aperture 1.3 EC Plan-Neofluar objective. For quantification of JC1 fluorescence, 100 μl of the washed cell suspension were added to 1.4 ml of TEG buffer and transferred into a cuvette. Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded from 500 to 650 nm with an excitation at 485 nm, using the JASCO FP-6500 spectrofluorometer (Jasco International Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Spectra were analyzed, and 590 nm/530 nm ratios were calculated using JASCO Spectra Manager software.

In vitro translocation assays were carried out as described previously (60). As translocation substrate, we used a T61C variant of the HiPIP precursor, which was fluorescence-labeled by modification of the cysteine with fluorescein-5-maleimide using standard protocols for maleimide coupling (61). HiPIP precursor was refolded from inclusion bodies and purified as described previously (36). For in vitro transcription, the tatA or tatA-NTstrep regions in the corresponding pGEM constructs were amplified using the pGEM-recognizing primers pGEM-5-F (5’-CCC AGT CAC GAC GTT GTA AAA CG-3’) and pGEM-5-R (5’-CTT CCG GCT GTG ATG TTG TG-3’), and the purified PCR product was used as template for SP6 RNA polymerase according to the supplier’s protocol (New England Biolabs). Translation was done according to standard protocols (62).

In vitro reconstitution of cysteine-labeled TatA into inverted membrane vesicles

Fluorescence-labeled TatA variants were inserted into IMVs prepared from E. coli strain DADE/pBW-tatBC (52) by employing wheat germ extract—based in vitro translation according to the protocol of Lin et al. (62), but in the presence of IMVs (A260 = 4) and with 600 pmol/ml tetramethylrhodamine (TMR)-cysteine-tRNAcys.

For preparation of TMR-cysteine-tRNAcys, uncharged tRNAcys was partially purified from bakers’ yeast tRNA (Sigma) using a Mono Q 5/50 GL (GE Healthcare) strong anion
exchanger. 5 mg of bakers’ yeast tRNA dissolved in 1 ml of Buffer A (20 mM HEPES, 0.26 mM NaCl) was applied on the column equilibrated with the same buffer, and tRNAs were eluted by a linear 0.26–1 mM NaCl gradient over 40 ml, and 1-ml fractions were collected. The tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was predominately eluted at 0.5 mM NaCl with a yield of ~250 µg/ml (~10 µM). Ethanol-precipitated tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was then subjected to aminoacylation with cysteine. A 2-ml aminoacylation reaction contained 250 µg of tRNA<sub>Cys</sub>, 1 mM cysteine, 100 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 4 mM ATP, 100 mM CTP, and 200 µl of E. coli S-100 fraction (36), and the reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 90 min. After phenolization and ethanol precipitation, the charged Cys-tRNACys was rechromatographed as before. Aminoacylation caused almost no difference on the elution of tRNA<sub>Cys</sub>. To reduce the possibly oxidized thiol group of Cys, 10 mM DTT was added to the elution fractions. The fraction containing Cys-tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was dialyzed twice against 1 liter of degassed 50 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.3, 100 mM NaCl for 1 h in a cold room, and the recovered Cys-tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was labeled with TMR by the addition of 1 mM TMR-5-maleimide (Sigma) for 30 min at 20 °C. Afterward, the unreacted TMR-5-maleimide was quenched by 2 mM DTT and dialyzed against Buffer A at 4 °C. The TMR-Cys-tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was purified by chromatography as before, and the elution fractions containing fluorescent TMR-Cys-tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> were combined and ethanol-precipitated. TMR-Cys-tRNA<sub>Cys</sub> was stored at ~80 °C.

IMVs with fluorescence-labeled TatA were applied onto a 500-µl 0.5 M sucrose cushion and sedimented for 30 min at 130,000 × g and 4 °C to remove soluble compounds. After washing with IMV buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT), the IMVs were suspended in 200 µl of buffer.

**Rhodamine collisional fluorescence-quenching assay**

To examine collisional quenching of rhodamine fluorescence at specific TatA positions, IMVs containing TMR-labeled TatA were suspended in 1.5 ml of IMV buffer to a final concentration of A<sub>280</sub> = 2. TMR emission intensity was measured at λ<sub>ex</sub> = 543 nm and λ<sub>em</sub> = 575 nm on a JASCO FP-6500 spectrofluorometer with constant stirring. Fluorescence intensity was averaged from five successive 5-s integrations. The quencher KI was then added from a 4 M stock solution to final concentrations of 10, 20, or 40 mM, and the respective emission intensities were continually recorded. Data were analyzed using the Stern–Volmer equation, \( (F'_0/F) - 1 = K_{SV} [Q] \), where \( F_0 \) is the net emission intensity in the absence of quencher, [Q] is the concentration of quencher, \( F' \) is the net emission intensity at [Q], and \( K_{SV} \) is the Stern–Volmer constant. A linear dependence of quenching \( (F'_0/F) - 1 \) on [Q] indicates direct proportionality as expected for collisional quenching. \( K_{SV} \) corresponds to the slope of a linear least-squares best fit to the data points in which the line is constrained to go through the origin.

**Acridine orange fluorescence-quenching assays**

Acridine orange fluorescence-quenching assays were performed on the JASCO spectrofluorometer. A 1.5-ml reaction mixture contained IMVs (A<sub>280</sub> = 2) in SHM buffer (250 mM sucrose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>), 25 mM creatine phosphate, 50 µg/ml creatine phosphate kinase, and 2 µM acridine orange. The mixture was incubated in a cuvette at 20 °C in the dark with constant stirring, and acridine orange fluorescence was measured in a time-course manner at λ<sub>ex</sub> = 494 nm and λ<sub>em</sub> = 540 nm. After 100 s, acridine orange quenching was induced by the addition of 1.25 mM ATP, and the measurement was continued for another 600 s. 3 µl of 5 mM CCCP was then added to the cuvette to abolish the proton gradient, and the change of the acridine orange fluorescence intensity was recorded for another 300 s.

**Fluorospectrometric estimation of relative recombinant protein concentration in membranes**

TatA and its variants used in acridine orange assays possess a C-terminal Strep-tag that is exposed to the outside of the IMVs. Relative amounts of membrane-targeted Strep-tagged protein could therefore be estimated by use of fluorescence-labeled Strep-Tactin. 3 µl of 0.5 mg/ml Strep-Tactin Chromo 488 (IBA Lifesciences) was added to 50 µl of IMVs (A<sub>280</sub> = 20) harboring in vitro–translated TatA-strep and its variants. After incubation at room temperature for 30 min with shaking, the IMVs were purified by sedimentation at 130,000 × g for 30 min through a 0.5 M sucrose cushion. IMVs were washed once with SHM buffer and resuspended in 1.5 ml of SHM buffer. Using an excitation wavelength at 488 nm, the relative fluorescence of IMV-bound Strep-Tactin Chromo 488 was determined at 520 nm.
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