Formins are a conserved group of proteins that nucleate and processively elongate actin filaments. Among them, the formin homology domain–containing protein (FHOD) family of formins contributes to contractility of striated muscle and cell motility in several contexts. However, the mechanisms by which they carry out these functions remain poorly understood. Mammalian FHOD proteins were reported not to accelerate actin assembly in vitro; instead, they were proposed to act as barbed end cappers or filament bundlers. Here, we show that purified Drosophila Fhod and human FHOD1 both accelerate actin assembly by nucleation. The nucleation activity of FHOD1 is restricted to cytoplasmic actin, whereas Drosophila Fhod potently nucleates both cytoplasmic and sarcomeric actin isoforms. Drosophila Fhod binds tightly to barbed ends, where it slows elongation in the absence of profilin and allows, but does not accelerate, elongation in the presence of profilin. Fhod antagonizes capping protein but dissociates from barbed ends relatively quickly. Finally, we determined that Fhod binds the sides of and bundles actin filaments. This work establishes that Fhod shares the capacity of other formins to nucleate and bundle actin filaments but is notably less effective at processively elongating barbed ends than most well studied formins.
and sarcomeric actin isoforms. *Drosophila* Fhod remains processively associated with the barbed end, where it slows elongation in the absence of profilin and allows elongation at rates similar to actin alone, in the presence of profilin. Although Fhod does not accelerate barbed-end elongation, we find that Fhod protects barbed ends from capping protein with a characteristic run length of ~2 μm. Fhod additionally binds tightly to the sides of filaments and bundles filaments together.

**Results**

*Fhod accelerates actin assembly*

We purified the C-terminal half of *Drosophila* Fhod isoform A, encompassing the FH1 domain, FH2 domain, and C-terminal tail (Fig. 1, A and B). This isoform is sufficient to rescue viability in Fhod null flies (22), and its C terminus is identical to that of isoform H, which rescues sarcomere organization in indirect flight muscle (23). We first tested the effect of Fhod on the assembly of *Acanthamoeba* actin in bulk pyrene assays; Fhod accelerates actin assembly in the presence of profilin (Fig. 1C). We also tested the ability of Fhod to promote actin assembly in the presence of profilin, which binds most actin mono-
**Fhod nucleates actin**

We compared *Drosophila* Fhod with human FHOD1, which was reported to inhibit actin assembly (21). Surprisingly, FHOD1 accelerated actin assembly in our hands, albeit weakly (Fig. 2A). Previous work with both FHOD1 and FHOD3 used actin from rabbit skeletal muscle (13, 21). Because formin activity can depend on the actin isoform,4 we asked whether our use of *Acanthamoeba* actin could account for these conflicting results. Indeed, human FHOD1 did not nucleate rabbit skeletal actin (Fig. 2B). As previously observed, actin assembly was inhibited over the first 1000 s (21). The pyrene trace suggests that FHOD1 does interact with rabbit skeletal actin, despite its inability to nucleate this isoform. In contrast, *Drosophila* Fhod nucleated both actin isoforms, with only slightly lower activity in the presence rabbit skeletal actin (Fig. 2C and D). These results are consistent with the more restricted localization of human FHOD1 to cytoplasmic actin structures, versus the role of *Drosophila* Fhod in both sarcomeric and cytoplasmic structures (22, 23). *Drosophila* Fhod actin assembly activity is thus representative of FHOD family members, at least in non-sarcomere contexts. Although *Drosophila* Fhod appeared substantially more potent than human FHOD1, we note that human FHOD1 was highly prone to C-terminal truncation (Fig. 1B), which might reduce its nucleation activity (26–28). Unless otherwise indicated, all subsequent experiments were performed with *Drosophila* Fhod and *Acanthamoeba* actin.

**Fhod does not promote barbed-end elongation**

Formins typically slow elongation in the absence of profilin and accelerate elongation in the presence of profilin. Using bulk seeded elongation assays, we found that Fhod slows barbed-end elongation in the absence of profilin with an affinity of 6 nM (Fig. 3A and B). This effect was unchanged by the K1112A mutation but strongly reduced by the I966A mutation. Although high concentrations of I966A mutant appeared to slow elongation, we attribute this decrease to filament bundling (see "Fhod bun-

---

Fhod nucleates actin

Because we did not observe clear evidence of processive elongation by Fhod, we used several additional assays to verify and characterize the interaction between Fhod and barbed ends. We first verified barbed end binding in bulk barbed end depolymerization assays. The dose dependence gives us an additional measure of the affinity between Fhod and barbed ends. Fhod inhibited actin depolymerization with a $K_d$ of 5 nM (Fig. 4, C and D), similar to our measurement from the seeded elongation assays. We then used actin reannealing assays, in which two colors of sheared actin filaments were mixed and allowed to reanneal. Fhod inhibited actin reannealing, indicating that it binds barbed ends and can remain bound on the timescale of minutes (Fig. 4C). Thus Fhod binds barbed ends tightly, like other formins, but does not accelerate elongation, unlike most formins.

Fhod antagonizes capping protein

We measured the ability of Fhod to antagonize capping protein, which binds tightly to barbed ends and prevents elongation. In bulk seeded elongation assays, 6 nM capping protein was sufficient to completely abolish actin elongation. Fhod abrogated this effect when added to F-actin seeds prior to capping protein (Fig. 5A). We fit these data to a competition binding equation to determine that Fhod has an apparent $K_d$ of 7 nM for growing barbed ends (Fig. 5B), consistent with our previous measurements. Recent evidence suggests that formins can antagonize capping protein not only by passive competition for the barbed end but also by binding capped barbed ends and actively displacing capping protein (29, 30). However, filaments did not grow when capping protein was added before Fhod (data not shown), indicating that the actin elongation we observed was due to Fhod processively protecting the barbed end and not due to Fhod actively displacing capping protein from barbed ends.

We used TIRF microscopy to observe competition between Fhod and capping protein on individual filaments. We incubated seeds with Fhod prior to adding capping protein and actin monomers and then measured how long Fhod could protect the growing barbed ends. Consistent with our bulk assays, we found that barbed ends were completely capped by capping protein in the absence of Fhod but were able to grow in the presence of Fhod. Because the vast majority of filaments were capped by the time we could start imaging (2–3 min after the start of polymerization), we measured filament lengths in static images taken 5 min after addition of actin monomers (Fig. 5, C and D). By fitting the filament lengths to a single exponential curve, we determined that Fhod has a characteristic run length of 2 μm (Fig. 5E). This provides us with an approximate measure of Fhod processivity, with two assumptions: 1) capping protein binds to barbed ends as soon as Fhod dissociates, and 2) capping protein does not cap barbed ends that are already bound by Fhod. The first assumption is consistent with the strong affinity (~0.4 nM) of capping protein for barbed ends. However, the ability of capping protein to bind mDia1-bound barbed ends and displace mDia1 (29, 30) suggests that capping protein might also bind Fhod-bound barbed ends, which would make our measurement of Fhod processivity an underestimate.

We first verified barbed end binding in bulk barbed end depolymerization assays. The dose dependence gives us an additional measure of the affinity between Fhod and barbed ends. Fhod inhibited actin depolymerization with a $K_d$ of 5 nM (Fig. 4, C and D), similar to our measurement from the seeded elongation assays. We then used actin reannealing assays, in which two colors of sheared actin filaments were mixed and allowed to reanneal. Fhod inhibited actin reannealing, indicating that it binds barbed ends and can remain bound on the timescale of minutes (Fig. 4C).Thus Fhod binds barbed ends tightly, like other formins, but does not accelerate elongation, unlike most formins.

Because we did not observe clear evidence of processive elongation by Fhod, we used several additional assays to verify and characterize the interaction between Fhod and barbed ends. We first verified barbed end binding in bulk barbed end depolymerization assays. The dose dependence gives us an additional measure of the affinity between Fhod and barbed ends. Fhod inhibited actin depolymerization with a $K_d$ of 5 nM (Fig. 4, C and D), similar to our measurement from the seeded elongation assays. We then used actin reannealing assays, in which two colors of sheared actin filaments were mixed and allowed to reanneal. Fhod inhibited actin reannealing, indicating that it binds barbed ends and can remain bound on the timescale of minutes (Fig. 4C). Thus Fhod binds barbed ends tightly, like other formins, but does not accelerate elongation, unlike most formins.

Fhod antagonizes capping protein

We measured the ability of Fhod to antagonize capping protein, which binds tightly to barbed ends and prevents elongation. In bulk seeded elongation assays, 6 nM capping protein was sufficient to completely abolish actin elongation. Fhod abrogated this effect when added to F-actin seeds prior to capping protein (Fig. 5A). We fit these data to a competition binding equation to determine that Fhod has an apparent $K_d$ of 7 nM for growing barbed ends (Fig. 5B), consistent with our previous measurements. Recent evidence suggests that formins can antagonize capping protein not only by passive competition for the barbed end but also by binding capped barbed ends and actively displacing capping protein (29, 30). However, filaments did not grow when capping protein was added before Fhod (data not shown), indicating that the actin elongation we observed was due to Fhod processively protecting the barbed end and not due to Fhod actively displacing capping protein from barbed ends.

We used TIRF microscopy to observe competition between Fhod and capping protein on individual filaments. We incubated seeds with Fhod prior to adding capping protein and actin monomers and then measured how long Fhod could protect the growing barbed ends. Consistent with our bulk assays, we found that barbed ends were completely capped by capping protein in the absence of Fhod but were able to grow in the presence of Fhod. Because the vast majority of filaments were capped by the time we could start imaging (2–3 min after the start of polymerization), we measured filament lengths in static images taken 5 min after addition of actin monomers (Fig. 5, C and D). By fitting the filament lengths to a single exponential curve, we determined that Fhod has a characteristic run length of 2 μm (Fig. 5E). This provides us with an approximate measure of Fhod processivity, with two assumptions: 1) capping protein binds to barbed ends as soon as Fhod dissociates, and 2) capping protein does not cap barbed ends that are already bound by Fhod. The first assumption is consistent with the strong affinity (~0.4 nM) of capping protein for barbed ends. However, the ability of capping protein to bind mDia1-bound barbed ends and displace mDia1 (29, 30) suggests that capping protein might also bind Fhod-bound barbed ends, which would make our measurement of Fhod processivity an underestimate.
**Fhod nucleates actin**

Finally, we asked whether Fhod shares the capacity of other formins to bind the sides of actin filaments and bundle them. In high-speed cosedimentation experiments, Fhod pelleted with F-actin, demonstrating that Fhod binds the sides of actin filaments, with a $K_d$ of 180 nM (Fig. 6, A and B). In low-speed cosedimentation, Fhod increased the amount of F-actin in the pellet, indicating that it forms actin bundles (Fig. 6, C and D). The I966A and K1112A mutations had no detectable effect on actin bundling activity (Fig. 6).

**Fhod bundles actin filaments**

Figure 5. Fhod antagonizes capping protein. A, actin elongation from preformed seeds with a range of Fhod concentrations added before capping protein. Final conditions were 0.25 $\mu$M F-actin seeds (~0.4 nM barbed ends), 0.5 $\mu$M G-actin (10% pyrene-labeled), 1.5 $\mu$M S. pombe profilin, ~6 nM mouse capping protein and 1.5–48 nM Fhod. B, quantification of elongation rates from A, measured as the initial slope over the first 90 s relative to the slope of actin alone. Fhod antagonizes capping protein, allowing elongation. Data with Fhod and capping protein were fit to a competition binding model to determine the affinity of Fhod to barbed ends. The data and reported $K_a$ are means ± standard deviation from four independent experiments. The binding curve shows the best fit to the average values. C, observation of actin elongation (white) from preformed seeds (green) with Fhod added before capping protein. Final conditions were 5 nM F-actin seeds (1% biotinylated, labeled with Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin), 1 $\mu$M S. pombe profilin, ~2 nM Fhod, and 6 nM capping protein. Images were taken 5 min after initiation of polymerization. Scale bars, 10 $\mu$m.

Discussion

Here, we show that *Drosophila* Fhod shares the classic activities of formins, actin nucleation and processive elongation, with the additional capacity to bundle actin filaments. Our observation of actin assembly with both *Drosophila* Fhod and human FHOD1 contrasts substantially with the previous reports that mammalian FHOD proteins slow actin assembly *in vitro* (13, 21). We resolved these conflicting results for FHOD1 by showing that FHOD1 nucleates actin from *Acanthamoeba* effectively but does not nucleate actin from rabbit skeletal muscle. Our group previously reported a similar preference for the formin Delphilin, which nucleates cytoplasmic actin isoforms much more effectively than actin from rabbit skeletal muscle. Although Delphilin is expressed exclusively in neurons and therefore unlikely to encounter sarcomeric actin isoforms, FHOD1 is expressed in both muscle and non-muscle cells. In cardiomyocytes, FHOD1 is largely excluded from the sarcomere, instead localizing primarily to the costamere and intercalated disc (16, 17). Therefore, the inability of FHOD1 to nucleate sarcomeric actin might be important to its function in this context.

The use of rabbit skeletal actin in previous work is unlikely to explain why FHOD3 did not nucleate *in vitro*, because FHOD3...
is expressed predominantly in striated muscle and required for sarcomere assembly. Given the conserved role of FHOD family members in striated muscle, Drosophila Fhod and mammalian FHOD3 likely share a common mechanism in assembling sarcomeric actin. Although we find the cellular data with FHOD3 most suggestive of nucleation (12, 13), it remains possible that FHOD proteins instead stabilize or bundle filaments that are polymerized by a different actin nucleator. Flies carrying the I966A mutation, which abolishes nucleation and barbed end binding while retaining bundling activity, have relatively mild defects in sarcomere organization (23), supporting the possibility that the side binding and bundling activities of Fhod are more critical than nucleation or barbed end binding.

We did not observe evidence of accelerated barbed-end elongation with either Fhod or human FHOD1. This is not unprecedented, because some formins such as Drosophila Daam (31) and mouse FMNL1 (32) either slow barbed-end elongation or leave the elongation rate unchanged in the presence of profilin. We expect that both the FH1 and FH2 domains contribute to the inability of Fhod to accelerate barbed-end elongation. The slow barbed-end elongation in the absence of profilin is suggestive of an FH2 domain that spends most of the time in a closed conformation, similar to Cdc12 (33). The addition of profilin restores the elongation rate to that of actin alone, indicating that the FH1 domain has some ability to recruit profilin-actin but perhaps not as effectively as the FH1 domains of other formins. The effectiveness of polyproline tracks in the FH1 domain depends on the number of prolines and their distance from the FH2 domain (34, 35). The polyproline tracks of the Fhod FH1 domain are located relatively far from the FH2 domain, with the closest track (PPPMMPMP) located 31 residues from the FH2 domain. For comparison, the weak elongator Cdc12 has its closest polyproline track 26 residues away from the FH2 domain, whereas the closest polyproline tracks of the strong elongators Bni1 and mDia1 are only 22 and 16 residues away, respectively.

We approximate that Fhod has a characteristic run length of 2 μm, which is equivalent to a dissociation rate of ~0.01 s⁻¹ based on the elongation rate of 8 subunits/s. This dissociation rate is an order of magnitude faster than mDia1 and several orders of magnitude faster than mDia2, Bni1, Cdc12, and Capu (26, 36), which does not fit the general trend of faster elongation rates, resulting in faster dissociation rates (34). We observed evidence of Fhod protecting barbed ends only when experiments were performed in a tube, i.e., reannealing assays and when Fhod was incubated with seeds and actin monomers in a tube prior to introducing the mixture onto the surface. This suggests that the surface hinders Fhod processivity, making our measurement of Fhod processive elongation activity an underestimate. However, that Fhod is sensitive to conditions that do not perturb the processivity of other formins may indicate that processive elongation is not a critical activity of Fhod. FHOD family members generally localize to the relatively short actin filaments found in stress fibers and the sarcomere, which likely do not require accelerated barbed end growth. Therefore, Fhod nucleation and bundling activities might be more important in these contexts. We found that Fhod is indeed a potent actin bundler; its affinity of 0.18 μM for sides of actin filaments is comparable with the formins Fus1 (37) and AFH1 (38) and an order of magnitude stronger than mDia1 (39), Daam (31), and Capu (26). Alternatively, it is possible that Fhod accelerates actin elongation in vivo through collaborations with other proteins; for example, CLIP-170 was recently shown to augment the processive elongation of mDia1 (40).

**Experimental procedures**

**Protein expression, purification, and labeling**

cDNA for Drosophila Fhod isoform A (SD08909, obtained from the Drosophila Genomics Resource Center) and human FHOD1 (generous gift from T. Iskratsch) were used as templates to clone C-terminal constructs into a modified version of the pET-15b plasmid with an N-terminal His₆ tag. Point mutations were generated by site-directed mutagenesis as described (41). Drosophila Fhod constructs were transformed in Rosetta (DE3) cells (Novagen), which were grown in 1 liter of Terrific Broth supplemented with 100 mg/liter ampicillin and 32 mg/liter chloramphenicol. Expression was induced at an OD of 0.6–0.8 by adding 0.25 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside and shaking overnight at 18 °C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed in PBS, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Human FHOD1 was expressed in Rosetta 2 (DE3) cells induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside as above.

Cell pellets expressing Drosophila Fhod were thawed in extraction buffer (10 mM MOPS, pH 7, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 2 μg/ml DNasel). All subsequent steps were performed on ice or at 4 °C. The cells were lysed by microfluidizing, cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 20 min, and then purified using a HitrapSP-FF cation exchange column (GE Life Sciences) with a gradient of 0–400 mM NaCl over 16 column volumes. Pooled fractions were diluted at least 6-fold into 10 mM Tris, pH 8, 1 mM DTT and further purified on a MonoQ anion exchange column (GE Life Sciences) with a gradient of 40–500 mM NaCl over 50 column volumes. Peak fractions were exchanged into storage buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0–20% glycerol), centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 20 min, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C.

Cell pellets expressing human FHOD1 were resuspended in extraction buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM βME) supplemented with PMSF and DNaseI and lysed as above. Clarified lysates were nuted with 1 ml of Talon resin (Clontech) per liter of culture for 1 h. Resin was washed with 20 column volumes of extraction buffer, followed by 20 column volumes of wash buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM βME). Resin was washed twice for 30 min each with 20 column volumes of wash buffer supplemented with 10 mM MgCl₂ and 5 mM ATP. FHOD1 was eluted with 200 mM imidazole in wash buffer. Eluted protein was dialyzed into 10 mM MOPS, pH 7, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, and then run on a Mono S cation exchange column (GE Life Sciences) with a gradient of 0.2–1 M NaCl over 32 column volumes. Peak fractions were dialyzed overnight into storage buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT), centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 20 min, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Actin assembly activity remained stable after freeze-thaw or up to 4 days on ice.
**Fhod nucleates actin**

*Drosophila* Fhod protein binding characteristics were determined using the absorbance at 280 nm with an extinction coefficient of 122,840 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ (ProtParam), which was verified by comparing the absorbances of native and denatured protein. The concentration of human FHOD1 was determined by quantifying Sypro Red staining. All *Drosophila* Fhod and human FHOD1 concentrations are reported in terms of dimer concentrations.

*Anacthameba castellani* actin was purified (42) and labeled with pyrene iodoacetamide (42), Alexa Fluor 594 succinimidyl ester (43), or EZ-link maleimide-PEG2-biotin (Thermo Scientific) (44) according to published protocols. Unlabeled and pyrene-labeled rabbit skeletal actin were kindly provided by the Reisler laboratory (University of California, Los Angeles). *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Fhod nucleates actin was calculated from the initial slope of the polymerization trace over the first 90 s and normalized against the rate of capping protein, and magnesium-actin was added after addition of Fhod. Seeds and additional proteins in KMEH (10 mM HEPES, pH 7, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$) were added to magnesium-actin to initiate actin elongation. Elongation rates were determined by linear regression over the first 90 s and normalized against the rate of actin alone in each experiment. For experiments without capping protein, the affinity of Fhod for barbed ends was determined by fitting the data to the simplified binding equation, $r = \frac{[Fhod] \times [CP]}{[Fhod] + K_d}$, where $r$ is the normalized elongation rate and $K_d$ is the affinity of capping protein for barbed ends (49).

For depolymerization assays, 1 mM F-actin (70% pyrene-labeled) was incubated for at least 15 min at room temperature and then depolymerized by diluting 10-fold in 1× KMEH with or without Fhod. For depolymerization assays, 1 mM F-actin (70% pyrene-labeled) was incubated for at least 15 min at room temperature and then depolymerized by diluting 10-fold in 1× KMEH containing Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin. Actin was incubated with phalloidin for 10 min, diluted 20-fold in 1× KMEH supplemented with 100 mM DTT, spotted on a polyl-lysine-coated coverslip, and imaged. All steps were performed as delicately as possible with cut pipette tips to minimize shearing.

**Pyrene assays**

Pyrene assays were performed essentially as described (47) on an Infinite 200 Pro plate reader (Tecan). In all assays, Fhod was diluted in storage buffer before addition to polymerization buffer to improve stability. The concentration of barbed ends was calculated from the slope (obtained by linear regression over the first 90 s) using the equation 
\[
[be] = \begin{align*}
\frac{1}{K_{d1}} \frac{[Fhod] + K_{d2}}{K_{d2} R_0} + 1 
\end{align*}
\]
where $[be]$ is the normalized elongation rate, $K_{d1}$ is the affinity of capping protein for barbed ends (0.4 nM, measured in a seeded elongation assay in the absence of Fhod), $K_{d2}$ is the affinity of Fhod for barbed ends, and $R_0$ is the concentration of free barbed ends when $[Fhod] = 0$. The total concentration of barbed ends was calculated from the initial slope of the polymerization trace for actin alone as described above.

**TIRF microscopy**

In nucleation assays, assembly of 2 μM actin was initiated by the addition of KMEH with or without Fhod. After 5 min, actin was removed from the sample and stabilized by diluting 10-fold in 1× KMEH containing Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin. Actin was incubated with phalloidin for 10 min, diluted 20-fold in 1× KMEH containing 100 mM DTT, spotted on a polyl-lysine-coated coverslip, and imaged. All steps were performed as delicately as possible with cut pipette tips to minimize shearing.

For elongation experiments, biotinylated coverslips were prepared as follows. Coverslips were rinsed three times in MilliQ water, placed in 2% Hellmanex (Hellma Analytics) at 60–65°C for 2 h, and then rinsed another five times in MilliQ water. Once dry, the coverslips were silanized with (3-glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane for 1 h in a hybridization oven. Unreacted (3-glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane was removed by rinsing three times with acetone. Coverslips were then PEGylated with a mixture of methoxy-PEG-NHS and biotin-PEG-NHS as described (47).

Flow chambers of ~15 μl were assembled on the slide using strips of double-sided tape. Flow chambers were prepared with the following steps: 1) block with 25 μl of 1% Pluronic F-127 (Sigma), 50 μg/ml casein, in PBS, for 2 min; 2) 25 μl of 1× KMEH; 3) 25 μl of 40 mM streptavidin in 1× KMEH; 4) 25 μl of 1× TIRF buffer (1× KMEH, 0.5% methylcellulose (400 cP, Sigma), 50 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ATP, 20 mM glucose); 5) 50 μl of magnesium-actin and additional proteins to be assayed, in 1× TIRF buffer supplemented with 5 nm F-actin seeds (1% biotinylated, stabilized with Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin), 250 μg/ml glucose oxidase, 50 μg/ml catalase, and 50 μg/ml casein. Fhod was incubated with seeds for at least 30 s prior to addition of magnesium-actin; in experiments with both Fhod and capping protein, Fhod was incubated with seeds for 15 s prior to addition of capping protein, and magnesium-actin was added after an additional 30 s.

To determine the characteristic run length of Fhod on barbed ends in the presence of capping protein, 1 − cumulative frequency was treated as the fraction of filaments that were still elongating at a particular length. The data were fit to the exponential equation, $1 - cf = e^{-\lambda t} + a + b$, where $cf$ is the cumulative frequency, $t$ is the filament length, and $\lambda$ is the characteristic run length.

Reannealing assays were conducted essentially as described (50) using Alexa Fluor 488- or rhodamine-labeled phalloidin-actin, sheared by passing three times through a 27-gauge needle. The final concentrations were 250 nM F-actin and 10 nM Fhod. The samples were diluted 50-fold, spotted on polyl-lysine-coated coverslips, and imaged.

In all experiments, actin filaments were visualized on a DMI6000 TIRF microscope (Leica) with an HCX PL APO
objective (100× magnification, N.A. = 1.47), and an Andor DU-897 camera, using the Leica application suite advanced fluorescence software. The experiments were conducted at room temperature. The images were obtained at 10-s intervals for 10 min. The images were processed by applying rolling ball background subtraction and a Gaussian filter. Filament lengths were quantified using the JFilament plugin for Fiji (51).

Cosedimentation

For high-speed cosedimentation, 250 nM Fhod was incubated with varying concentrations of phalloidin-stabilized F-actin for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged at 90,000 rpm for 25 min in a TLA-100 rotor. Pellets were concentrated by resuspending in one-fourth the original volume. The amount of actin in the supernatants and pellets were analyzed with SDS-PAGE, and the gels were stained with SyproRed. The amount of Fhod in each fraction was quantified using QuantityOne software, dividing the intensities of pellet bands by four to correct for the 4-fold concentration of pellets with resuspension. The fraction of Fhod bound to F-actin was calculated by adjusting for the ground subtraction and a Gaussian filter. Filament lengths were quantified using the JFilament plugin for Fiji (51).

For low-speed cosedimentation, Fhod was incubated with phalloidin-stabilized actin filaments (final concentration, 5 μM) for 1 h at room temperature and then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min. The amount of actin in the supernatants and pellets was quantified by Coomassie-staining SDS-PAGE gels.
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