Engineering a Monolignol 4-O-Methyltransferase with High Selectivity for the Condensed Lignin Precursor Coniferyl Alcohol*
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Lignin, a rigid biopolymer in plant cell walls, is derived from the oxidative polymerization of three monolignols. The composition of monolignol monomers dictates the degree of lignin condensation, reactivity, and thus the degradability of plant cell walls. Guaiacyl lignin is regarded as the condensed structural unit. Polymerization of lignin is initiated through the deprotonation of the para-hydroxyl group of monolignols. Therefore, preferentially modifying the para-hydroxyl of a specific monolignol to deprive its dehydrogenation propensity would disturb the formation of particular lignin subunits. Here, we test the hypothesis that specific remodeling the active site of a monolignol 4-O-methyltransferase would create an enzyme that specifically methylates the condensed guaiacyl lignin precursor coniferyl alcohol. Combining crystal structural information with combinatorial active site saturation mutagenesis and subsequent function testing of the engineered promiscuous enzyme, MOMT5 (T133L/E165I/F175I/F166W/H169F), we incrementally remodeled its substrate binding pocket by the addition of four substitutions, i.e. M26H, S30R, V33S, and T319M, yielding a mutant enzyme capable of discriminatingly etherifying the para-hydroxyl of coniferyl alcohol even in the presence of excess sinapyl alcohol. The engineered enzyme variant has a substantially reduced subunit binding pocket that imposes a clear steric hindrance thereby excluding bulkier lignin precursors. The resulting enzyme variant represents an excellent candidate for modulating lignin composition and/or structure in planta.

**Background:** Guaiacyl lignin dominates the polymer’s condensation, therefore, negatively affecting plant cell wall’s digestibility.

**Results:** A promiscuous O-methyltransferase was incrementally evolved to constrain its substrate specificity to guaiacyl lignin precursors.

**Conclusion:** The enzyme with nine amino acid substitutions is functionally specialized for selectively methylating the condensed lignin precursors.

**Significance:** The obtained enzyme is a candidate for specifically altering lignin structure to improve plant cell wall digestibility.

Ligninocelluloses or the biomass of plant cell walls represent the most abundant renewable feedstock for producing liquid biofuels or high value bio-based chemicals (1, 2). Nonetheless, the recalcitrance of lignin to break down has largely impeded the applications of cell wall biomass. Lignin, one of the major structural components in lignocellulosic materials, is a complex aromatic biopolymer composed of polymerized hydroxycinnamyl alcohols, known as monolignols. There are three major monolignols, namely p-coumaryl alcohol, coniferyl alcohol, and sinapyl alcohol, that differ in the number of methoxy groups on their aromatic rings and that lead to correspondingly three distinct structural subunits, the hydroxylphenyl (H), guaiacyl (G), and sinapyl (S) units when they are incorporated into lignin polymer (Fig. 1A). In gymnosperms lignin mainly contains G and H subunits; in dicotyledonous species lignin consists of primarily G and S, with only trace amounts of H subunits; grass lignin contains relatively higher H lignin units compared with dicotyledonous plants. Lignin monomers link to each other through an oxidative coupling process initiated by the deprotonation of the phenolic para-hydroxy group (Fig. 1) (3). Because of the difference in the degrees of methoxylation of the phenyl rings, G and S lignin subunits can form different types and numbers of subunit cross-linkages. The G monomer can be incorporated into lignin by biphenyl and other carbon-carbon linkages, giving rise to highly condensed polymers, whereas S subunits usually form relatively more labile ether bonds through the 4-hydroxy group (4). Therefore, the composition of the monolignol monomers determines the degree of lignin condensation, the complexity of the lignin structure, and thereby the degradability of plant cell walls (4). In general, the high S/G ratio of lignin is often associated with increased pitting yields (5, 6) and enzymatic sugar release (7), whereas a high content of G-units is associated with poor lignin degradation due to the presence of C-C bonds at the free C5 position (8).

* The abbreviations used are: H, hydroxylphenyl; G, guaiacyl; S, sinapyl; MOMT, monolignol 4-O-methyltransferase variant; SAM, S-adenosyl-L-methionine; CAST, combinatorial active site saturation test; NDT, D: adenine/guanine/thymine; SAH, S-adenosyl homocysteine; COMT, caffeic acid/5-hydroxy ferulic acid 3/5-O-methyltransferases; IEMT, (iso)eugenol 4-O-methyltransferase.
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Lignin engineering has emerged as an efficient tool to produce biomass with better digestibility (9). Because the growth and development of the plants usually is compromised by a dramatically reduced overall lignin content whereas plants seem to tolerate a wide range of lignin structure alteration (10), perceivable interest in lignin engineering has centered on tuning the monomeric composition of lignin. In particular, engineering plants with high S to G ratio, i.e., enriched in syringyl monomers, has been targeted for efficient utilization of plant cell wall biomass.

Previously, we evolved a number of monolignol 4-\(O\)-methyltransferase variants (MOMTs) that can trans-methylate the \(p\)-coumaryl alcohol. We thus hypothesized that if a variant enzyme could preferentially 4-\(O\)-methylate coniferyl alcohol with respect to sinapyl alcohol, it should specifically interfere with the incorporation of G monomers into lignin and limit the amount of the condensed subunits. This could potentially lead to improvement in cell wall digestibility.

Protein engineering is an effective tool to modify protein functionality by mimicking and accelerating natural evolution at a laboratory scale (13). The difference between S- and G-lignin monomer results from the numbers of methoxyl groups in their phenyl rings. S-monomer possesses two methoxyl moieties at its 3- and 5-position of the phenyl ring and thus is structurally bulkier (Fig. 1A). Based on such structural disparity, we hypothesized that remodeling the substrate binding pockets of the previously obtained nonspecific MOMTs would allow the enzyme to discriminate between lignin monomers, thereby constraining its substrate specificity to coniferyl alcohol. We tested this hypothesis by using a structure-guided combinatorial saturation mutagenesis approach to reshape the binding pocket of a substrate promiscuous MOMT in a stepwise fashion and successfully obtained a functionally specialized variant, MOMT9, that shows a substantially altered substrate preference for coniferyl alcohol. Crystallization and structural determination revealed that MOMT9 possesses a significantly smaller substrate binding pocket compared with its parent enzyme, which impedes the binding of the bulkier sinapyl alcohol, explaining its strong specificity for coniferyl alcohol.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Chemicals**—S-adenosyl-L-[methyl-\(^{14}\)C] methionine (SAM) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. The BugBuster and Bradford solution, respectively, were from Novagen (Madison, WI) and Bio-Rad. All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma.

**Library Construction**—The MOMT5 coding sequence was cloned into a pET28a(+) vector using NdeI and BamHI sites to generate pET28AMOMT5 plasmid. The plasmid was then used as the template for constructing the mutant library. To identify the residues that potentially are involved in substrate recognition and discrimination, we inspected the residues within a 10 Å distance around the bound monolignols in the crystal structures of MOMT5-monolignol complexes. Four groups of sites of mutagenesis, i.e., Met-26–Ser-30–Val-33, Pro-129–Leu-133, Ala-134–Leu-139, and Trp-166–Phe-169, were initially identified according to their geometrical proximity to the bound monolignol and the principles of CAST (combinatorial active-site saturation test) library construction, i.e., if one member of the pair of amino acids at position \(n\) then the second one being chosen should be at (\(n + 1\)) in a loop, (\(n + 2\)) in a \(\beta\) sheet, (\(n + 3\)) in a \(3_1\) helix, and (\(n + 4\)) in a \(\alpha\) helix (14).

To construct the defined four CAST libraries and two additional saturated-mutagenesis libraries at sites of Phe-179 and Thr-319, we adopted the strategy of QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis using the primers listed in Table 1. NDT (D: adenine/guanine/thymine) degenerate codon was used, which encodes for the reduced 12-amino acid alphabet, so that we could generate focused, yet highly enriched libraries (15).

For additional construction of a Trp-143–Tyr-326 CAST library, we employed a dual-tube megaprimmer strategy (16). Briefly, megaprimer randomized at sites 143 and 326 were first PCR-amplified using the primer pair MOMT_r4D3W143XF...
and IEMT-326R (Table 1). Then the megaprimer PCR products were purified and subsequently applied in constructing the CAST library using the QuickChange strategy.

Library Screening and Enzyme Assay—For screening enzyme activity, we used an established 96-well plate radioactivity assay (11) with minor modifications. Briefly, the Escherichia coli cells harboring the library plasmids were cultured, induced, and lysed with BugBuster solution as previously described (11). The lysate was directly used for initial functional screening. In the screening of MOMT5 mutant variants, equal amounts of coniferyl alcohol or sinapyl alcohol (at 500 μM) together with [14C]SAM (at 500 μM) were used in the assays, whereas in the screening of MOMT8 variants we used 20 μM coniferyl alcohol only. Diffraction data were collected at the X29 and X25 beamlines of the National Synchrotron Light Source. The initial phase was determined by molecular replacement using the MOMT3 crystal structure (PDB code 3TKY) (12) with the CCP4i program suite (17). The model building and refinement, respectively, were done with coat (18) and refmac5 (19).

The geometry of the substrate binding pocket was analyzed with the channel finding feature of the 3V website (20). The radii of the outer and inner probes were set at 3.5 and 0.9 Å, respectively.

Homology Modeling and Substrate Docking Analysis—Homology modeling of the mutant MOMT8 was accomplished by using the Swiss-model web server (21–23) and employing the crystal structure of MOMT5-C as a template. The docking

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>5' 3' Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT166–169F</td>
<td>ggaatgaatattcndtgatctttctggaagaccc</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT166–169R</td>
<td>gttgctcctccaaahngacctctttgctgg</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT134–139F</td>
<td>ttttgcctccntctccgacaggctcttttggg</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT129–133F</td>
<td>gtcttctgctcttctgctctttgtttggtctgggg</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT26–30–33R</td>
<td>ggcctattgcttctgttttgggctctcctgcgggg</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT26–30–33F</td>
<td>aacctcttgcgcctccgctctttcttctttcttttctct</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT_r4D3W143RF</td>
<td>ACCAGGTTCACTCATNITKACCCCTCTAATGTTG</td>
<td>Ref. 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEMT-319R</td>
<td>GCCAAACATTGGGCCGCTCMNATQATGACTACCTT</td>
<td>Ref. 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEMT-326R</td>
<td>GCCCTCATOTTGGCCNRAAACCAGGCUGCAAAGAA</td>
<td>Ref. 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>MOMT3*</th>
<th>MOMT4*</th>
<th>MOMT5</th>
<th>MOMT8</th>
<th>MOMT9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coniferyl alcohol</td>
<td>346.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>66.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>80.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>51.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>68.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapyl alcohol</td>
<td>192.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumaryl alcohol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeoyl alcohol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coniferyl aldehyde</td>
<td>127.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapyl aldehyde</td>
<td>58.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumaryl aldehyde</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Data were from previous reports (11,12).
*b Data were obtained based on radioactivity assays.
of coniferyl alcohol to its active site was inspected manually to fit the orientation that monolignol bound in the substrate binding pocket. The residues within 10 Å distance from the substrate were selected, and potential hot spots were analyzed further and grouped according to CAST rules (14).

Results

**Evolving MOMT5 Variant and Its Crystal Structure Determination**—Previously, we have evolved a monolignol 4-O-methyltransferase (MOMT3, T133L/E165I/F175I) from an isoeugenol 4-O-methyltransferase (11) (Tables 1 and 2). The engineered MOMT3 confers regiospecific methylation activity on the para-hydroxyls of both coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols (11). Further optimizing MOMT3 activity via iterative saturation mutagenesis generated a set of tetra- and pentamutant variants that includes the one carrying two additional substitutions (F166W/H169F). Enzyme activity assay and kinetic analysis revealed that MOMT5 differs from the reported MOMT3 and MOMT4 mutants (11, 12). It not only possesses a considerable 4-O-methyltransfer activity to both coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols (Table 2) but also exhibited a discernible substrate preference toward coniferyl alcohol (Table 3). Its specificity constant ($k_{cat}/K_m$) for coniferyl alcohol is ~2.7-fold higher than that for sinapyl alcohol (Table 3).

To gain insights into its substrate binding, catalysis, and the emerging substrate specificity, we co-crystallized MOMT5 with both coniferyl alcohol (MOMT5-C) and sinapyl alcohol (MOMT5-S) in the presence of SAH, the de-methylation product of the methyl donor, SAM. Crystallization of the MOMT5-phenoic substrate generated diffracted crystals with monoclinic space-group $P2_1$ (Table 4). The diffraction data were phased by molecular replacement using the previously determined crystal structure of MOMT3 (PDB code 3TKY). After refinement, the structures of the MOMT5-substrate complexes were solved to 1.8 Å and 1.7 Å, respectively, for the coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol complexes.

The overall tertiary and quaternary structures of MOMT5 are almost identical to the MOMT3 structures (12) and are reminiscent of classic plant phenolic O-methyltransferases, particularly the caffeic acid/5-hydroxy ferulic acid 3/5 O-methyltransferases (COMT) (24, 25) (Fig. 2). In each asymmetric unit cell, the crystal contains four MOMT5 molecules. Each two monomers form a biological dimer along a non-crystallographic 2-fold axis in which each monomer comprises a small N-terminal domain that is involved in mediating dimerization and contributes to the building of a portion of the substrate binding pocket and a larger Rossmann-fold containing a C-terminal domain that is mainly responsible for substrate binding and catalysis (Fig. 2A).

In both the MOMT5-coniferyl alcohol (MOMT5-C) and -sinapyl alcohol (MOMT5-S) complexes, the monolignols are bound to the substrate binding pocket in an almost identical manner, except that the end group of propene tail is positioned slightly variable. This positioning, however, substantially differs from that of coniferyl alcohol bound in the structure of MOMT3 (Fig. 3, A–C). Compared with the monolignol bound in MOMT3 (Fig. 3A), the 3-methoxyl moiety of the bound monolignol in either MOMT5-C and MOMT5-S complexes fits more snugly into a hydrophobic core formed by an array of nonpolar residues, including Ile-165, Trp-166, Phe-169, Phe-179, and Tyr-326 (Fig. 3, B and C). This optimized positioning most likely is attained by the increased hydrophobicity and the corresponding geometric alteration of the nonpolar core with respect to the substitutions of Phe-166 to Trp and His-169 to Phe, the two additional mutations leading to MOMT5 from MOMT3. This orients the entire rigid hydroxycinnamyl alcohol in an ~30-degree rotation in the binding pocket relative to that in MOMT3 structure (Fig. 3, A–C). Under this binding mode, the plane of phenyl ring is sandwiched by the side chains of a pair of Met residues (Met-183 and Met-323), a feature commonly found in other phenolic O-methyltransferase structures (24–26). The 5-methoxyl of sinapyl alcohol in MOMT5-S complex protrudes to a hydrophobic cavity flanked by Trp-269, Phe-130, Thr-319, and the Met-26 from the dimerized MOMT molecule (Fig. 3C). The propene tail of monolignol occupies a hydrophobic pocket bordered by residues Phe-130, Leu-133, Leu-139, Leu-322, and Tyr-326. Because of the rigid body rotation, the 9-hydroxyl moiety of the tail does not form hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Ser-30 (from the dyad molecule) seen in the MOMT3-coniferyl alcohol complex (Fig. 3, A–C). This altered binding mode promotes the close proximity of the 4-hydroxyl of the bound monolignol to the catalytic base, His-272, favoring its deprotonation. In addition, the proximity of 4-hydroxyl of monolignol to the side chain of Asp-273 may permit the formation of a hydrogen bond to further stabilize its
positioning to enable efficient nucleophile formation and the subsequent trans-methylation.

**Directed Evolution of MOMT5 to Alter Its Substrate Preference**—Sinapyl alcohol bears both 3- and 5-methoxyl moieties on its phenyl ring and thus is bulkier than coniferyl alcohol (Fig. 3D). The structure elucidation of the complexes of MOMT5-C and MOMT5-S suggests that although both monolignols bind identically in the active site, the potential hindrance of the binding pocket to 5-methoxyl moiety of sinapyl alcohol might explain the slight preference of MOMT5 for coniferyl alcohol over sinapyl alcohol. Therefore, we hypothesized that enhancing such steric hindrance may result in more profound substrate discrimination. Site-directed saturated mutagenesis has been proven to be effective in protein directed evolution (27), and we successfully adopted it in our previous mutagenesis studies to evolve and enhance MOMT activity (11, 12). However, using this approach is difficult to explore the synergistic effect of two or more point mutations. To circumvent this obstacle, we implemented a CAST library construction method that allows simultaneous mutation of a few explicitly defined geometrically correlated amino acid sites (14, 28). Our previous work in engineering MOMT3 and -4 mainly focused on individually substituting the residues involved in binding the phenyl rings of monolignols (11, 12), whereas residues contributing to building the hydrophobic cores accommodating the propene tail and the 5-methoxyl moiety of monolignol were not investigated. Therefore, based on their structural proximity to the phenolic substrate and the principles of CAST design, we defined three groups of residues, the Met-26–Ser-30–Val-33, Pro-129–Leu-133, and Ala-134–Leu-139, that directly or indirectly participate in the interaction with 5-methoxyl and the propanoid tail of sinapyl alcohol. In addition, the pair residues of Trp-166–Phe-169 that are involved in constituting a hydrophobic core for holding the 3-methoxyl of the phenolic compound was revisited based on CAST strategy (Fig. 4).

| TABLE 4 |
| Structure statistics of MOMT5 and MOMT9 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOMT5-C</th>
<th>MOMT5-S</th>
<th>MOMT9-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>P2₁</td>
<td>P 2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>65.94-1.68 (1.77-1.68)</td>
<td>50.81-1.60 (1.69-1.60)</td>
<td>49.9-1.73 (1.82-1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>66.07, 150.36, 67.93</td>
<td>66.54, 151.63, 68.39</td>
<td>68.29, 67.48, 74.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>93.19</td>
<td>92.55</td>
<td>93.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed reflections*</td>
<td>838,684 (73,068)</td>
<td>877,559 (73,869)</td>
<td>410,628 (56,591)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections*</td>
<td>146,779 (18,641)</td>
<td>156,919 (17,226)</td>
<td>70,249 (10,169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average I/Io (%)</td>
<td>14.6 (2.86)</td>
<td>13.7 (3.11)</td>
<td>11.3 (3.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>97.8 (85.1)</td>
<td>88.6 (67.2)</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>7.2 (36.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (35.1)</td>
<td>8.0 (34.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R factor</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.2152</td>
<td>0.2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;free&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.2075</td>
<td>0.2415</td>
<td>0.2415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of amino acid residues</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of water molecules</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers in parentheses refer to the highest shell.
R<sub>merge</sub> = \( I_o - I \) / \( I_o \), where \( I_o \) is the average intensity over symmetry equivalent reflections.
R factor = \( \sum |F_{o}-F_{c}|/\sum |F_{o}| \), where summation is over the data used for refinement, and R<sub>free</sub> was calculated using 5% of data excluded from refinement.

**FIGURE 2.** Crystal structures of MOMTs with the substrate monolignol and the methyl donor product SAH. A, overall structure of dimerized MOMT5-SAH-coniferyl alcohol complex. The two molecular chains are in green and magenta; the phenolic substrate (coniferyl alcohol) bound in the active site is depicted as a stick-ball representation, and the methyl donor product SAH is color-coded as carbon in yellow, nitrogen in blue, and oxygen in red. N, N termini; C, C termini. B, superimposition of the tertiary structures of MOMT5 (magenta), MOMT9 (orange), MOMT3 (PDB code 3TKY, cyan), and the closed form of LpCOMT1 (PDB code 3P9I, green).

Adopting the NDT degeneracy strategy (where N = A, T, G, or C and D = A, T, or T), we constructed four saturated mutant
libraries corresponding to each group of defined amino acid residues. Using \(^{14}\)C-labeled SAM as the co-substrate, the mutant variants were functionally screened with a 96-well plate format. After screening ~500 colonies for each library, one mutant carrying substitutions M26H/S30R/V33S, designated as MOMT8, was identified with a substantial improvement in substrate preference toward coniferyl alcohol. With the purified proteins, we found that the MOMT8 mutant variant exhibited the highest specific activity to coniferyl alcohol among the range of phenolic substrates we examined (Table 2). Kinetically, it displayed a >8-fold higher conversion rate to coniferyl alcohol than to sinapyl alcohol with a \(k_{\text{cat}}\) of 0.049 s\(^{-1}\) versus 0.006 s\(^{-1}\). In addition, the binding affinity of MOMT8 to coniferyl alcohol was also increased by 60% in comparison with that to sinapyl alcohol. Overall, the MOMT8 mutant exhibited a 13.4-fold increased specificity constant to coniferyl alcohol with respect to sinapyl alcohol (Table 3).

Homology modeling of MOMT8 revealed that simultaneous substitutions of Met-26 to His, Ser-30 to Arg, and Val-33 to Ser substantially altered the size and shape of the substrate binding site; in particular, the substitution of Ser-30 to Arg offers a longer, bulkier side chain potentially protruding into the substrate binding pocket that imposes further steric constraints to the space that positions the propene tail and 5-methoxyl substituent of sinapyl alcohol (Fig. 5). This change further impairs the binding of sinapyl alcohol compared with the MOMT5 structure (Fig. 5, A and B).

Because coniferyl alcohol lacks a 5-methoxy substituent, we rationalized that further reducing or shaping the substrate binding pocket at the sites directly interacting with this moiety, e.g. Thr-319 (Figs. 4 and 5) or modulating the residues interfering with the positioning of the phenyl ring of the substrate, e.g. Phe-179, Trp-143–Tyr-326 (Fig. 4), might further eliminate the potential in binding sinapyl alcohol, thus enhancing the enzyme’s discrimination to two predominant monolignols. Therefore, the mutant libraries were created at the sites of Thr-319, Phe-179, and Trp-143–Tyr-326. In screening the generated saturation mutation libraries, we used a 15 times lower concentration of coniferyl alcohol than sinapyl alcohol, i.e. 20 \(\mu\)M versus 300 \(\mu\)M in the assays, respectively, to enable a more
stringent screening condition to identify variants with preference for coniferyl alcohol. A new variant, MOMT9, was identified that has an additional mutation, T319M. The MOMT9 variant exhibited greater substrate preference for coniferyl alcohol among a set of hydroxycinnamyl alcohols and aldehydes that we examined (Table 2). Kinetically, MOMT9 showed nearly a 7-fold tighter binding affinity and >25-fold higher specificity constant for coniferyl alcohol than to sinapyl alcohol (Table 3).

To verify MOMT9 effectiveness in selectively methylating monolignols, we incubated it with a mixture of coniferyl and sinapyl alcohol substrates at three ratios that mimic the ratios of G and S lignin monomers reportedly presented in Arabidopsis stems (29), poplar stems (30), and leaves (31) (Fig. 6). When both monolignols were presented to the bifunctional control MOMT5, the correspondingly 4-O-methylated products accumulated in proportion to the substrate mixing ratios (Fig. 6, A–C). This finding demonstrates that MOMT5 is functionally unable to discriminate between the two monolignols. In contrast, under the same assay conditions, for all the ratios of substrates, MOMT9 exclusively yielded only one methylated product, the 4-O-methylated coniferyl alcohol, even when the amount of the sinapyl alcohol substrate was twice that of coniferyl alcohol (Fig. 6, D–E). These data demonstrate that MOMT9 essentially recognizes coniferyl alcohol as the sole substrate even when sinapyl alcohol is in excess.

Structural Determination of MOMT9—To visualize the structural basis underlying the significantly increased substrate specificity of MOMT9, we co-crystallized it with coniferyl alcohol (MOMT9-C) in the presence of SAH, the demethylation product of the methyl donor SAM. The crystal structure was solved to 1.82 Å (Table 4).

As seen in Fig. 5, the most significant structural difference between MOMT5 and MOMT9 is the size of their substrate binding pockets. In MOMT5, the set of hydrophobic residues form a spacious substrate binding pocket large enough to accommodate bulky substrates such as sinapyl alcohol with substituent of the 3- and 5-methoxy groups (Fig. 5, A and C). In contrast, in MOMT9, the corresponding binding pocket is largely occluded due to the protruding bulky side chains of Arg-30 and Met-319. Together with the geometric coordina-
tion of the mutations of His-26 and Ser-33, these four additional substitutions, relative to MOMT5, preclude the binding of bulky phenolic substrates, thus shifting the enzyme preference to solely act on coniferyl alcohol (Fig. 5, C and D). Meas-
uring the volume and surface area of the substrate binding pockets of the complexes MOMT3, MOMT5-C, MOMT5-S, and MOMT9-C revealed that the volume of the pocket changed significantly from 661 Å³ in MOMT5-S complex to 478.5 Å³ in MOMT9, which corresponds to an ~30% volume reduction (Table 5).

Discussion

Directed evolution of a functionally specialized enzyme with a new substrate often proceeds via generalist intermediates, mimicking Darwinian evolution, in which a progenitor enzyme with broad substrate specificity often serves as the starting point to elaborate new functionality (32). Previously a phenyl-
propene 4-O-methyltransferase from a wild flower Clarkia breweri (Fairy fans) (denoted IEMT) (33, 34) was chosen for evolving monolignol 4-O-methyltransferase activity (11). IEMT specifically recognizes allylphenol eugenol and isoeugenol as the substrates. It arose from a gene duplication and the subsequent accumulation of mutations within its ancestor, the COMT that is involved in monolignol biosynthesis (Fig. 7). During this process distinct substrate specificity and regiospecific methylation properties evolved (34). As Fig. 7
illustrates, our previous engineering MOMT from IEMT essentially invoked a “reverse evolution” path in which IEMT substrate-recognition constraint was re-invoked within the evolutionarily plastic active sites. The resulting variants (i.e. MOMTs) regained the ability to bind lignin biosynthetic precursors, coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols, while retaining the ability to perform 4-O-methylation (11). This directed evolution effort essentially broadened IEMT substrate recognition. The promiscuous MOMT variants represent an ideal starting point for evolving the functional specialization. As guaiacyl lignin has been a target for modulating lignin condensation, an enzyme variant with the capacity to specifically modify G-lignin precursors represents an interesting candidate for perturbing G-lignin synthesis. In the present study a monolignol 4-O-methyltransferase variant, MOMT5, was incrementally evolved with focusing on the sites lining its binding pocket, which yielded a new variant that effectively discriminates the major lignin precursors and preferentially etherifies guaiacyl lignin precursor coniferyl alcohol (Fig. 7).

In evolving MOMT from IEMT, increased hydrophobicity of the binding pocket such as the replacement of Glu-165 with Ile, Phe, or Val correlates with the gain of monolignol methylating activity (11). In contrast, when tuning MOMT5 into a more specialized enzyme for G-lignin precursors, steric hindrance of the binding pocket determines its substrate preference. The substitutions of Ser-30 and Thr-319 for the bulkier residues Arg and Met, respectively, prevented the binding of bulkier sinapyl alcohol, making enzyme in favor of coniferyl alcohol (Table 6). Evolving a specific enzyme with a constrained substrate specificity or a novel function is more difficult than to relax the enzyme’s substrate constraint (35). In contrast to our previous single-site iterative saturation mutagenesis, we created mutant libraries based on the CAST principle. CAST was designed to exploit the synergistic geometric effects of saturating small por-

TABLE 5
Substrate binding pocket geometry of MOMT variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOMT3-C</th>
<th>MOMT5-C</th>
<th>MOMT5-S</th>
<th>MOMT9-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP volume (Å³)</td>
<td>624.3 ± 99.1</td>
<td>578.3 ± 42.9</td>
<td>661.0 ± 40.1</td>
<td>478.5 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP surface area (Å²)</td>
<td>656.8 ± 115.1</td>
<td>627.3 ± 16.8</td>
<td>661.5 ± 22.0</td>
<td>551.5 ± 53.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 6. *In vitro* reaction of MOMT5 (A–C) and MOMT9 (D–F) with mixed monolignol coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol substrate at G to S ratios of 60.8:37.8 (A and D), 31.23:68.4 (B and E), and 76.2:17.8 (C and F). 4OMECA, 4-O-methylated coniferyl alcohol; 4OMeSA, 4-O-methylated sinapyl alcohol. AU, absorbance units.

FIGURE 7. Scheme of directed evolution path for coniferyl alcohol 4-O-methyltransferase, MOMT9. The dotted line box indicates a nature evolution of IEMT from COMT.
affinities ($K_m$) for both monolignols are comparable with those of the parent enzyme MOMT5 (Table 3) because no substrate availability pressure was imposed in the functional screening. In contrast, during evolving MOMT9 from MOMT8, a strategy was designed to maintain an order-of-magnitude higher concentration of the non-desired substrate sinapyl alcohol in the assay than that of the desired substrate coniferyl alcohol. As a consequence, the new variant MOMT9 displays a 7-fold higher binding affinity for coniferyl alcohol than for sinapyl alcohol, and its catalytic efficiency for coniferyl alcohol is 25-fold higher than in using sinapyl alcohol as the substrate.

Determination of the crystal structure of the evolved variant enzymes MOMT5 and MOMT9 clearly illustrates the structural basis for the incremental evolution of the substrate binding pocket. Reduction in size of the substrate binding pocket of MOMT9 confirms that steric hindrance on the binding and catalysis of bulkier lignin precursor sinapyl alcohol underlies the enzyme’s substrate preference.

In conclusion, with the judicious use of structural information along with carefully designed laboratory evolution, we successfully engineered an enzyme variant, MOMT9, that exhibited the desired substrate specificity and reaction selectivity toward etherifying the para-hydroxyl of the guaiacyl lignin precursor, coniferyl alcohol. Para-etherification would efficiently block the oxidative coupling of those modified precursors, thereby impeding their incorporation into lignin. The engineered unique biochemical characteristic of MOMT9 makes it a potential candidate to interfere the synthesis of more condensed guaiacyl lignin subunits in the cell walls of plants and thus may be useful for biotechnological improvement of cellulosic biomass.
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**TABLE 6**

Summary of amino acid substitutions in the obtained MOMT variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEMT</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT1</td>
<td>E166I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT3</td>
<td>T133L/E166I/F175I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT4</td>
<td>T133L/E166I/F175I/H169F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT5</td>
<td>T133L/E166I/F175I/F166W/H169F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT8</td>
<td>T133L/E166I/F175I/F166W/H169F/M26H/S30R/V33S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOMT9</td>
<td>T133L/E166I/F175I/F166W/H169F/M26H/S30R/V33S/T319M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The evolution of a specialized enzyme from a generalist can be accomplished in different ways, either with positive selection of high activity on one substrate or negative selection to remove those variants having the undesired activity (35). Designing proper screening procedure is essential to attain the desired enzyme properties. This was particularly exemplified in our study. In our first round mutagenesis, we screened the mutant variants of MOMT5 for activity preferring for coniferyl alcohol by using the same concentration of coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol in the assays. Although the resulting enzyme MOMT8 catalytically distinguished two monolignol substrates, its discrimination was the result of a compromised turnover rate ($k_{cat}$) for sinapyl alcohol, whereas the binding...
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