The intracellular domain of homomeric glycine receptors modulates agonist efficacy
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Like other pentameric ligand-gated channels, glycine receptors (GlyRs) contain long intracellular domains (ICDs) between transmembrane helices 3 and 4. Structurally characterized GlyRs are generally engineered to have a very short ICD. We show here that for one such construct, zebrafish GlyREM, the agonists glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA have high efficacy and produce maximum single-channel open probabilities greater than 0.9. In contrast, for full-length human α1 GlyR, taurine and GABA were clearly partial agonists, with maximum open probabilities of 0.46 and 0.09, respectively. We found that the elevated open probabilities in GlyREM are not due to the limited sequence differences between the human and zebrafish orthologs, but rather to replacement of the native ICD with a short tripeptide ICD. Consistent with this interpretation, shortening the ICD in the human GlyR increased the maximum open probability produced by taurine and GABA to 0.90 and 0.70, respectively, but further engineering it to resemble GlyREM (by introducing the zebrafish transmembrane helix 4 and C terminus) had no effect. Furthermore, reinstating the native ICD to GlyREM converted taurine and GABA to partial agonists, with maximum open probabilities of 0.66 and 0.40, respectively. Structural comparison of transmembrane helices 3 and 4 in short- and long-ICD GlyR subunits revealed that ICD shortening does not distort the orientation of these helices within each subunit. This suggests that the effects of shortening the ICD stem from removing a modulatory effect of the native ICD on GlyR gating, revealing a new role for the ICD in pentameric ligand-gated channels.

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) belong to the superfamily of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGIC). Like another member of this group, GABA receptors, GlyRs are permeable to anions and mediate inhibitory synaptic currents, but these are faster than those mediated by GABA and are particularly important in the spinal cord and brainstem. In heterologous expression systems, functional GlyRs can be assembled as homomers of α subunits or as heteromers of α and β subunits and these heteromeric receptors are thought to be the synaptic form of GlyRs in the adult mammalian central nervous system (1).

GlyR subunits follow the general fold of the pLGIC family and each subunit has an extracellular domain (ECD), a transmembrane domain (TMD) formed by four α-helices (TM1–TM4) and a large intracellular domain (ICD) between the TM3 and TM4 helices. The neurotransmitter/agonist-binding sites are at the interfaces between the ECDs of adjacent subunits, and the pore, with its activation and desensitization gates, is formed by the TM2 helices. These features were confirmed specifically for GlyR by the solution of crystal structures of homomeric human α3 GlyR and cryo-EM structures of zebrafish α1 GlyR (2–4). Neither of these sets of data gives us information about the ICD, because both were obtained from constructs where the ICD (which is up to ~80 amino acids long in GlyR) had been replaced by an AGT tripeptide linker.

Ablation of the ICD is an almost universal feature in structural work on pLGICs, and the first high resolution structures of channels in this superfamily were obtained from prokaryotic pLGICs, such as ELIC and GLIC (5, 6), where the TM3–TM4 linker is naturally very short.

The main functional features of pLGICs are thought to survive the replacement of the native ICD with the heptapeptide TM3–TM4 linker found in GLIC or with an AGT tripeptide, and this has been shown in 5-HT3 receptors, GABA ρ channels (7), GluCl (8), and GlyRs (2, 3, 9).

As structural work is undertaken to explore the determinants of agonist efficacy in pLGICs, we tried to identify GlyR agonists that are reliably partial on GlyR constructs that could be characterized in structural investigations, and started with GlyREM, the zebrafish α1 construct used by Du et al. (2).

Our single-channel measurements of maximum open channel probability for a set of four agonists, from the full agonist Gly to the weak partial agonist GABA, show that the efficacy of partial agonists is much higher in the ICD-less GlyREM construct than in the human WT α1 homomeric
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GlyR. Although the sequences of the human and zebrafish GlyR subunits have a few differences, we show that most of the increase in agonist efficacy was due to the drastic shortening of the ICD. This increase could be reproduced in the human GlyR by ICD excision and reversed in the zebrafish receptor by ICD re-insertion, pointing out to a role for the ICD in modulating the efficacy of agonist gating.

Results

Partial agonists are more efficacious in zebrafish recombinant α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub>, a construct with a shortened ICD, than in human α1 GlyRs

Fig. 1 shows whole cell responses to agonists of recombinant homomeric α1 GlyR expressed in HEK293 cells. The data in panel A are from human WT GlyR, and those in panel B are from zebrafish GlyR<sub>EM</sub>, a receptor construct for cryoEM structure determination that is engineered to have a tripeptide linker in place of the ICD. We tested as agonists glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, and applied them by U-tube at concentrations up to 300 mM. The time course of the current responses (top panels) was similar for the two receptors and the different agonists and showed the features expected of agonist responses from a pLGIC. As agonist concentrations increased, responses increased in amplitude, reaching their peak more quickly and also declining from this peak more quickly because of faster desensitization.

Peak current responses were normalized to the response to a 10 mM glycine standard in each cell (last response in each set of traces) and plotted as dose-response curves in the bottom two panels (see Table 1 for the parameters of Hill equation fits.

Figure 1. GlyR agonist efficacy is lower in human α1 GlyR than in zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub>, a channel from which the ICD has been excised. A and B, upper panels, whole cell current responses to the application of glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA by U-tube to HEK 293 cells expressing human α1 GlyR (A) or zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> (B). A and B, lower panels, averaged concentration-response curves of glycine (black), β-alanine (blue), taurine (red), and GABA (green) in human α1 GlyR (A) and zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> (B). Each dose-response curve is constructed by pooling individual concentration-response curves obtained in different cells (n = 4–14, see Table 1). Error bars represent S.E. Responses were normalized to the response to 10 mM glycine in each cell.
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Partial agonists produce a higher single-channel maximum open probability in zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> than in human α1 GlyRs

To obtain better estimates of agonist efficacy in the two GlyRs, we switched to single-channel experiments, recording the effect of saturating concentrations of each agonist. Fig. 2A shows a typical cell-attached single-channel trace at high glycine concentration. Most of the time the patch is silent because all the channels in the patch are desensitized (dashed line under the trace). Every now and again a channel emerges from desensitization, gives rise to a “cluster,” a group of openings (the upwards deflections in the trace) separated by short shut times, and desensitizes again. During a cluster, the channel open probability is high enough that we can be sure that only one channel is active (no double openings are seen) and we can measure the maximum open probability produced by the agonist on a single channel molecule (cf. values marked on top of each cluster). This is a true equilibrium measurement in the absence of desensitization, it is an absolute measurement and can be obtained for all agonists, including glycine. This is a considerable advantage, cf. whole cell experiments, where peak currents are the expression of concurrent activation and desensitization (to an extent that depends on actual rate of agonist exchange at the receptor) and the responses have to be normalized to a glycine standard. Another advantage of single-channel recording is that very small amounts of agonist are needed for each cell-attached experiment. This made it possible to use our limited supply of purified agonists, which contain less than 1 part contaminant glycine in 600,000.

Fig. 2B shows representative single channel cluster openings produced by saturating concentrations of agonists on the human α1 GlyR (on the left) and on the zebrafish GlyR<sub>EM</sub> (on the right). In both GlyRs, the clusters produced by glycine (top two traces and Fig. 2A) have a high open probability, with relatively few short shuttings, as expected for a full agonist. Effectively, receptors bound to glycine are either open or desensitized. The situation is different for the other agonists. Traces from the human α1 GlyR show a clear gradient in open probability and agonist efficacy: there is a visible increase in the proportion of shut time in the cluster, as we move from glycine to β-alanine to taurine and efficacy decreases. The clusters look darker in line with the literature for human GlyR and zebrafish receptors, with glycine (10–13%). However, the two receptors were strikingly different when the size of the agonist maximum responses was compared. Thus, in human WT α1 GlyR, β-alanine is clearly a partial agonist that elicits a maximum response that is 73% of that of glycine, taurine is even weaker (25%), and GABA is a partial agonist that elicits a maximum response that is 73% of that of glycine in human receptors (10–13). In contrast to that, on the zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub>, β-alanine is almost a full agonist, eliciting a maximum response that is 93% of that of glycine, and both taurine and GABA are much more efficacious (74 and 62%) than on human receptors (p < 0.005 for all agonists; see Table 1 and Table S1).

Partial agonists produce a higher single-channel maximum open probability in zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> than in human α1 GlyRs
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Figure 2. Single-channel recordings show that agonists are more efficacious on zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> compared with human GlyR α1. A, example of a cell-attached single-channel recording from human α1 GlyR activated by 10 mM glycine in the recording electrode. Three clusters of single-channel activity are separated by long desensitized intervals (dashed lines under the trace). <i>P</i><sub>open</sub> values shown above each cluster were obtained as ratios between cluster open time and cluster duration. Long desensitized intervals were not included in the analysis. B, single-channel activity evoked by saturating concentrations of glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA for human α1 GlyR (left panel) and zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> (right panel). C, boxplot showing maximum <i>P</i><sub>open</sub> of glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA for human α1 GlyR (black, left hand side in each pair) and zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub> (red, right hand side). Each point is a <i>P</i><sub>open</sub> value obtained from a cluster of single-channel activity in the presence of 10 mM glycine, 100 mM β-alanine, 100 mM taurine, or 100 mM GABA. Boxes show the 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers the furthest points that fall within 1.5 times of the interquartile range from the 25th to 75th percentiles. The horizontal line in each box shows the median. Asterisks denote significant differences in randomization tests, two-tail, unpaired; 10,000 iterations <i>p</i> < 0.005.

Excising the ICD from the human α1 GlyR increases agonist efficacy

These differences seemed surprising, given that the two receptors have a high degree of sequence similarity. Apart from the ICD, the human α1 GlyR and zebrafish α1 GlyR<sub>EM</sub>
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Table 2
Single channel parameters measured for five GlyRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GlyR</th>
<th>Glycine</th>
<th>β-Alanine</th>
<th>Taurine</th>
<th>GABA</th>
<th>Amplitude (pA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human α1 GlyR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.08</td>
<td>5.96 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>5 (47)</td>
<td>5 (124)</td>
<td>11 (144)</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zebrafish α1 GlyR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.25</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>7 (30)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>11 (83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human α1 GlyR ΔICD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.21</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>6 (26)</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
<td>4 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human α1 GlyR ΔICD + TM4 zebrafish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>7 (29)</td>
<td>6 (41)</td>
<td>4 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zebrafish α1 GlyR ΔICD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.13</td>
<td>4.74 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>5 (32)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zebrafish α1 GlyREM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max (P_{\text{open}})</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.54 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n_{\text{patches}}) ((n_{\text{clusters}}))</td>
<td>10 (42)</td>
<td>9 (54)</td>
<td>17 (55)</td>
<td>7 (77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are presented as mean ± S.D.

differ only in 25 amino acids (12 are in ECD, one in TM1, 2 in TM3, and 10 in TM4, and none of the differences are in the residues involved in binding glycine (14) (see Fig. S1). The most obvious difference between the two GlyRs is the ICD, more specifically its absence in GlyREM. Thus we generated a new GlyR construct by replacing the large ICD from the human GlyR α1 with a short tripeptide linker (AGT), the same that was used as TM3–TM4 linker in GlyREM (see Fig. S1). Fig. 3 shows our characterization of this human α1 GlyR ΔICD receptor.

ICD excision had no apparent effect on the time course of agonist whole-cell current responses (Fig. 3A). However, there was an obvious change in the concentration-response curves, where the maximum responses to taurine and GABA were increased, to 55 and 7% of the glycine maximum (cf. 25 and 1.7% in WT, \(p < 0.005\), respectively; Table 1). Maximum glycine responses for the human α1 GlyR ΔICD were significantly smaller (\(p < 0.005\)) than for WT, suggesting that constructs with a short ICD either have a low expression (15) or have a toxic effect for cells that express them at high levels. Experiments with single-channel recordings proved to be challenging with the human α1 GlyR ΔICD constructs, because in the majority of patches no channel activity was detected. We hypothesized that the presence of glycine (400 μM) in our standard (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)) culture medium reduced the survival of high expressing cells. To increase the success rate of the single-channel recordings, we switched the growth media to MEM (Gibco, 11095080), which does not contain glycine in its formulation.

The increase in agonist efficacy produced by ICD excision was confirmed by single-channel recordings: the traces in Fig. 3B show that in the human α1 GlyR ΔICD all agonists produced long, high open probability clusters, where openings were separated by short unresolved shuttles. These clusters were similar to those observed with glycine in WT receptors and to those observed with all agonists in zebrafish GlyREM. The boxplots in Fig. 3C provide an overall view, and compare cluster \(P_{\text{open}}\) values for the WT GlyR (black) and GlyR ΔICD (green). The increase in open probability after ICD excision are very clear for all agonists except glycine (\(p = 0.34\)), which was already a very efficacious agonist on WT GlyR, with a \(P_{\text{open}}\) of 0.97 and 0.99 in WT GlyR and GlyR ΔICD, respectively. The increase in maximum \(P_{\text{open}}\) was large for the two agonists with lower efficacy in WT, taurine, and GABA whose maximum \(P_{\text{open}}\) increased from 0.46 to 0.90 (\(p < 0.005\)) and from 0.09 to 0.70 (\(p < 0.005\)), respectively (Fig. 2C, Table 2). These higher values approach, but do not quite reach, those observed in zebrafish α1 GlyREM where GABA produced a maximum \(P_{\text{open}}\) of 0.90.

Reinstating the native intracellular domain lowers agonist efficacy in zebrafish GlyR

If excising the ICD was responsible for much of increased agonist efficacy observed in GlyREM, we would expect partial agonists to be less efficacious in WT zebrafish receptors that carry a normal amino acid ICD (almost 70 residues long). Fig. 4 shows that this is exactly what we found. In the zebrafish WT α1 GlyR, the time course of agonist-evoked current responses was the same as in the other receptors we examined (top panel of Fig. 4A). However, the dose-response curves (bottom panel of Fig. 4A) show that in zebrafish WT α1 GlyR the maximum responses to partial agonists (relative to glycine) were smaller, particularly for taurine and GABA (compare with Fig. 1B). This decrease in efficacy was associated with a consistent decrease in agonist sensitivity, producing \(EC_{50}\) values ~2-fold higher (see results of the Hill equation fits, Table 1, Fig. S1). As we observed for human GlyRs with and without ICD, the absolute amplitude of maximum glycine currents (\(I_{\text{max}}\)) was higher in the zebrafish GlyR with its native ICD (Table 1), again suggesting that the native ICD supports receptor trafficking and expression levels.
Results from single-channel recordings (Fig. 4B) confirmed the whole cell data. The clusters of openings at high agonist concentrations appear different for the different agonists and reach mean maximum $P_{\text{open}}$ values of 0.97, 0.91, 0.66, and 0.40, for glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, respectively. The boxplots in Fig. 4C show markedly lower ($p < 0.005$) efficacy for taurine and GABA in the WT GlyR Δ ICD by saturating agonist concentrations (10 mM glycine, 30 mM β-alanine, 100 mM taurine, 100 mM GABA). Thus, the presence or absence of a long intracellular domain affects agonist efficacy in the GlyRs from both species.

As the sequences in the alignment in Fig. S1 show, GlyRΔEM differs from the WT zebrafish GlyR not only in the absence of the ICD, but also in the presence of a thrombin cleavage site at the C terminus. As a further control, we have measured agonist maximum $P_{\text{open}}$ in a zebrafish receptor in which we have replaced the ICD with the AGT tripeptide. The values we recorded in this receptor (dark gray in Fig. 4C) were indistinguishable from those measured in GlyRΔEM ($p < 0.005$).

Although the pattern of agonist efficacy in the full-length zebrafish GlyR resembles that in the human α1 GlyR, it is not identical, and partial agonists remain somewhat more efficacious in zebrafish GlyRs (Table 2). The biggest difference is seen for GABA, which in zebrafish α1 GlyR produced a maximum $P_{\text{open}}$ ~4 times higher than in human α1 GlyR ($p <
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0.005; Figs. 2C and 4C; similar results were obtained at whole-cell level, Figs. 1A and 4A, and for receptors in which the ICD was excised, see Figs. 2C and 3C. This is not surprising, as we would expect agonist efficacy to be affected also by receptor differences in domains other than the ICD.

Exchanging the TM4 domain between zebrafish and human a1 GlyRs

As shown in Fig. S1, many of the differences between zebrafish and human GlyR outside the ICD are in the TM4 helix (10 residues) and in the short C terminus at its end (4 residues).

The TM4 helix is relatively poorly conserved across different isoforms of GlyR within the same species, and differences in TM4 have been proposed to be responsible for the much lower efficacy of partial agonists β-alanine and taurine on α3 versus α1 GlyR (16).

Rather than selecting specific point mutations, we spliced the whole of the TM4 together with the C terminus from WT zebrafish GlyR into the human a1 GlyR ΔICD to produce a chimeric GlyR (human a1 GlyR ΔICD + zebrafish TM4). Whole-cell dose-response curves for our standard group of agonists glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, are shown in Fig. 5A. Maximum currents produced by 10 mM glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA (green) on zebrafish a1 GlyR. Each curve is constructed from pooling individual concentration-response curves obtained in different cells (n = 6–10). Error bars represent S.E. Responses are normalized to the response to 10 mM glycine in each cell. B, cell-attached recordings of clusters of zebrafish a1 single-channel activity evoked by saturating agonist concentrations (10 mM glycine, 30 mM β-alanine, 100 mM taurine, 100 mM GABA). C, boxplot of the maximum Popen values produced by saturating concentrations of different agonists for zebrafish a1 GlyREM (black, left hand side), zebrafish a1 GlyR ΔICD (dark gray in the middle), and zebrafish a1 GlyR (blue, right hand side). Each point is a Popen value from a cluster of single-channel activity. Boxes and whiskers show the 25th and 75th percentiles and the furthest points that fall within 1.5 times of the interquartile range from the 25th to 75th percentiles, respectively. The horizontal line in the box is the median. Asterisks and brackets denote differences that reached statistical significance (randomization test, two tail, unpaired; 10,000 iterations; p < 0.005).

Figure 4. Reinstating the WT ICD in zebrafish GlyREM decreases agonist efficacy. A, upper panel, whole cell current responses to glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA of zebrafish WT a1 GlyR. A, lower panel, averaged concentration-response curves to glycine (black), β-alanine (blue), taurine (red), and GABA (green) on zebrafish a1 GlyR. Each curve is constructed from pooling individual concentration-response curves obtained in different cells (n = 6–10). Error bars represent S.E. Responses are normalized to the response to 10 mM glycine in each cell. B, cell-attached recordings of clusters of zebrafish a1 single-channel activity evoked by saturating agonist concentrations (10 mM glycine, 30 mM β-alanine, 100 mM taurine, 100 mM GABA). C, boxplot of the maximum Popen values produced by saturating concentrations of different agonists for zebrafish a1 GlyREM (black, left hand side), zebrafish a1 GlyR ΔICD (dark gray in the middle), and zebrafish a1 GlyR (blue, right hand side). Each point is a Popen value from a cluster of single-channel activity. Boxes and whiskers show the 25th and 75th percentiles and the furthest points that fall within 1.5 times of the interquartile range from the 25th to 75th percentiles, respectively. The horizontal line in the box is the median. Asterisks and brackets denote differences that reached statistical significance (randomization test, two tail, unpaired; 10,000 iterations; p < 0.005).
glycine for the chimera were the smallest of all the constructs, suggesting particularly low levels of expression (Table 1), and it was difficult to obtain well-determined dose-response curves for the weakest partial agonist, GABA. With this limitation, insertion of the zebrafish TM4 and C terminus did not change appreciably the apparent efficacy of partial agonists, as the maximum currents for the partial agonists relative to glycine were very similar in the chimeric GlyR and in human α1 GlyR ΔICD, with 0.86, 0.56, and 0.1 for β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, respectively (cf. 0.85, 0.55, and 0.07 in human α1 GlyR ΔICD, Table 2; see Figs. 5A and 3A). The cell-attached single-channel measurements in the chimera (orange) and human α1 GlyR ΔICD (black; Fig. 5C) confirm this finding is robust as they gave maximum P_open values that were indistinguishable, at 0.98, 0.88, 0.89, and 0.73, for the chimera and 0.99, 0.92, 0.90, and 0.70 for the α1 GlyR ΔICD for glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, respectively (differences did not reach statistical significance). Thus, on the background of an ICD-less receptor, splicing the zebrafish TM4 and C terminus into the human GlyR had no clear effect on efficacy.

In the zebrafish GlyR ΔICD we had found the maximum P_open to GABA to be similar to that of the other agonists at about 0.90, but this was not the case for the human α1 GlyR

Figure 5. Replacing the TM4 domain of human GlyR α1 ΔICD with that of zebrafish GlyR does not increase agonist efficacy. A, upper panel, whole-cell current responses to U-tube application of glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA to HEK 293 cells expressing human α1 GlyR ΔICD + zf TM4. A, lower panel, averaged concentration-response curves to glycine (black), β-alanine (blue), taurine (red), and GABA (green) on human α1 GlyR ΔICD + zf TM4. Each curve is constructed from pooling 5 to 8 curves obtained in different cells. Error bars represent S.E. Responses are normalized to the response to 10 mM glycine in each cell. B, cell-attached recordings of clusters of openings of human α1 GlyR ΔICD + zf TM4 evoked by saturating agonist concentrations (10 mM glycine, 30 mM β-alanine, 100 mM taurine, 100 mM GABA). C, boxplot showing maximum P_open values obtained at saturating concentrations of four different agonists (as in panel B) for human α1 GlyR ΔICD (black, left hand side in each pair) and human α1 GlyR ΔICD +zf TM4 (orange, right hand side in each pair). Each point is the P_open value from a cluster of single-channel activity. Boxes and whiskers show the 25th and 75th percentiles and the furthest points that fall within 1.5 times of the interquartile range from the 25th to 75th percentiles, respectively. The horizontal line in the box is the median. None of the differences in open probability between constructs reached significance.
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ΔICD + zebrafish TM4, where it remains well below the value for taurine. The two receptors differ from one another in the extracellular domain, 1 amino acid in TM1 and in 2 amino acids at the cytoplasmic end of TM3.

Discussion

Our study shows that drastic shortening of the ICD in GlyRs greatly increases agonist efficacy. To our knowledge, this effect has not been reported before, and our data show that it is a robust finding that is not confined to or specific for a single agonist. The enhancement is most conspicuous for partial agonists, is seen in two GlyRs from different species, human and zebrafish, and is consistently detected by two different methods for estimating efficacy, *i.e.* the amplitude of maximum whole cell currents relative to the full agonist glycine and the maximum single channel *P* _open_.

The gating enhancement is more detectable with partial agonists

We tested a panel of four agonists, glycine, β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, whose efficacy values range from very high, for the full agonist glycine, to very low, for the weak partial agonist GABA. ICD shortening in the human GlyR had little or no effect on glycine (+2%), whose maximum *P* _open_ was 0.97 or more in all GlyR tested, but caused pronounced changes for taurine and GABA (+96 and +678%, from 0.46 to 0.90 and 0.09 to 0.70; human GlyR numbers). Reinstating the native ICD in the zebrafish GlyR had little or no effect on glycine responses (-1%), but reduced the maximum *P* _open_ for taurine (-31%) and GABA (-44%, respectively), from 0.95 to 0.66 and from 0.90 to 0.40. This difference in the size of the *P* _open_ effects with agonists of different efficacy is precisely what is expected if our ICD manipulations simply alter the ability of all agonists to gate the receptor, by enhancing channel opening.

In current models of pLGIC activation (17), agonist-bound channels enter an intermediate state (“flip,” closed, but with higher agonist affinity) before opening. Thus, the maximum single channel *P* _open_ depends both on *E*, the opening equilibrium constant and *F*, the “flipping” equilibrium constant.

\[
\text{Maximum } P_{\text{open}} = \frac{EF}{EF + F + 1} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})
\]

Agonists with different efficacy differ mostly in their ability to evoke the transition to the intermediate state described by the equilibrium constant *F* (the opening equilibrium constant *E* is similar for different agonists) (18).

Equation 1 can be simplified to,

\[
\text{Maximum } P_{\text{open}} = \frac{Eff}{Eff + 1} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})
\]

where *Eff* is the overall gating constant for each agonist, *Eff* = (*EF)/(F+1).

Equation 2 is the same as the relationship predicted by the simple delCastillo-Katz mechanism (where no activation intermediate is present). Maximum *P* _open_ expressed as a function of log *Eff* gives a sigmoidal curve, and agonists with a maximum *P* _open_ of 0.5 are in the steepest part of the curve, and therefore the most sensitive to changes in *E*.

Global mechanism fits to single-channel data from rat α1 GlyR (99% amino acid sequence identity with human α1 GlyR) gave estimates for glycine of 8 and 38 for *F* and *E*, respectively, yielding an *Eff* of 34, and a maximum open probability of 0.97 (17, 19). Increasing *Eff* by 10-fold (for instance by increasing *E* by 10-fold) barely shifts this value (by 3% to 0.997).

We do not have *E* and *F* estimates for the other agonists, but we can estimate their *Eff* from the human GlyR single-channel data here, obtaining *Eff* values of 3.5, 0.85, and 0.1 for β-alanine, taurine, and GABA, respectively, from the maximum *P* _open_ they produce (0.78, 0.46, and 0.09). If removing the ICD simply increases the opening equilibrium constant *E* for all agonists by 10-fold, it will increase the maximum *P* _open_ for β-alanine, taurine, and GABA to 0.97, 0.89, and 0.50, values that are roughly in line with those measured in human GlyR ΔICD (0.92, 0.90, and 0.70). In conclusion, shortening the ICD to a tripeptide linker produced an enhancement in GlyR gating that is likely to be general to all agonists, and is most detectable in those agonists that are not fully efficacious.

We can rank the different channel constructs according to how easy it is for agonists to open them, going from the easiest to open to the most difficult, GlyR _WT_ human α1 ΔICD > human α1 ΔICD + zebrafish TM4 > zebrafish α1 ΔICD > WT zebrafish α1 > WT human α1. For the same arguments discussed above, increases in efficacy will be most detectable in the channels that are the most difficult to open.

The established roles of the GlyR ICD: localization and conductance

Our work confirms the consensus that GlyRs and other pLGICs remain functional and respond to agonists after drastic shortening of the ICD. However, whereas the basic features of channel activation are robust to the loss of the ICD, this domain is known to have multiple effects on pLGIC function. Thus, the ICD provides sites for post-translational modifications (such as ubiquitination and phosphorylation) and directs receptor assembly and trafficking (20–26). The ICD isolated from the 5-HT3 receptor can assemble into stable pentamers (27). For GlyRs, a binding motif in the β subunit ICD mediates the receptor interaction with the cytoskeleton via the scaffolding protein gephyrin and ensures the postsynaptic localization and clustering of heteromeric GlyRs (20, 28).

The best recognized direct role of the ICD on channel function is its effect on ion permeation and conductance. This was discovered in the 5-HT3 receptor, a cationic pLGIC, where the 20-fold conductance difference between isoforms is due to the presence in the A isoform of three positively charged Arg residues in the amphipathic segment of the ICD that is just before TM4 (29). It was recognized that these residues were likely to line the cytoplasmic portals imaged in the early
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Torpedo nicotinic receptor structures (30, 31) and that they could affect conductance and rectification because they are exposed to the permeation pathway. This effect is general to all pLGICs, and all pLGICs have ICDs that are long enough to form the portals (32), but the effect on conductance is most prominent in cationic channels (33). GlyRs are permeant to anions, and have 8 positively charged residues between the end of the ICD and the early TM4. Mutating up to 7 of these residues to glutamate reduces homomeric GlyR conductance by one-third and does not affect the EC50 of glycine (34). Our constructs preserve 5 of the 8 positive charges (see alignment in Fig. S1).

We have previously measured the slope conductance of rat GlyR bearing the heptapeptide ICD from GLIC and found it unchanged (9), a finding confirmed more recently in GlyR–GLIC chimeras with and without the GlyR ICD (35). This is to be contrasted with data from 5-HT3A receptors, where ICD shortening increased conductance by more than 50-fold (7).

The roles of the ICD: modulation of gating

Our data show that shortening the ICD affects the maximum open probability of GlyRs across a panel of partial agonists. This general increase in efficacy has not been reported before, but other manipulations of the ICD can affect GlyR gating. In particular, phosphorylation of the ICD can change desensitization kinetics, agonist potency, and internalization of pLGICs (36–39). For GlyR, there is a substantial body of work on the effects of phosphorylation, but the picture that emerges is complex and contradictory. Depending on the type of kinase and the neuronal type involved, phosphorylation can enhance or reduce the effects of submaximal concentrations of glycine. However, there are no data on the effects of phosphorylation on agonist efficacy, i.e. maximum responses to partial agonists.

What type of phosphorylation can we expect in our constructs? The WT α1 subunits expressed for our recordings are the short isoforms. By analogy to the rat GlyR (40), this human subunit should contain only a PKC site at Ser-391. PKC phosphorylation of this site in mammalian GlyR has been reported to depress (36, 37) or enhance (41–43) glycine responses. However, Ser residues are not conserved in the zebrafish vs. human ICD (see Fig. S1), so it is difficult to see how the gating enhancement that we observed after shortening the ICD in both the human and zebrafish receptors could be due simply to the removal of phosphorylation sites.

Relatively little is known of how shortening the ICD affects subtler aspects of α1 GlyR function. The presence of a native ICD has been reported to be important to the action of positive allosteric modulators on α1 GlyRs (44, 45) or GLIC–α1 GlyR chimeras (Lily) (35), but the mechanism of this effect is not known. Papke and Grossman (46) showed that mutating the ICD of the human α1 GlyR (short isoform) or replacing it with the heptapeptide GLIC linker SQPARAA had pronounced effects on the kinetics of desensitization. In 5-HT3A receptors, Baptista-Hon et al. (32) have shown that one of the several ICD partial truncation constructs tested introduced a phosphorylation site and that phosphorylation of this new site markedly slowed desensitization. In our work we failed to detect marked changes in desensitization, but our whole-cell experiments were not designed to address desensitization kinetics. Experiments with faster concentration jump techniques are needed to measure changes in desensitization robustly. However, it is very unlikely that changes in desensitization can explain the general increase in efficacy we saw after ablating the ICD, because this effect is clearly visible as an increase in single channel maximum Popen, a measurement that factors out desensitization, by excluding desensitized times from the analysis (see Fig. 2A).

If a short ICD increases the channel opening equilibrium constant, it must destabilize the resting conformation of the transmembrane gate or stabilize the open conformation of the transmembrane domain. How can that occur? The first possibility is that a drastically shorter ICD introduces an artificial tension between TM3 and TM4 and that this results in a change in the position of TM3 and its interactions with TM2, the helix that lines the pore and contains the gate. The other possibility is that the native ICD exerts a modulatory effect on pLGIC gating, and by taking different conformations in the open and closed states reduces gating efficacy. In this hypothesis, receptors that lack the native ICD would lack also this modulatory effect on gating. Formulating a precise hypothesis is difficult, because of the lack of structural information on the ICD (see below).

ICD changes can have long range effects on the channel molecule: for instance, PKA phosphorylation of α3 GlyR affects the fluorescence signal reported by fluorophores at the top of TM2 and at the tip of the C-loop of the binding site in the extracellular domain (47). In addition to that, the native ICD may also interact with the lipid bilayer, as it contains hot spots for cholesterol binding, but we do not know whether these interactions change with gating (48).

Most of the few structures that have been solved in channel constructs that still contain an ICD are from cationic pLGICs, such as the nicotinic ACh receptor from Torpedo (49) and the 5-HT3 receptor (50–53). The information from this work is confined to the sections of the ICD that abut the TM3 at one end and continue into the TM4 at the other. The 5-HT3 data support the hypothesis that the ICD takes different conformations in the open and closed states of the channel, as the intracellular ion portals are occluded in the closed configuration by the post-TM3 segment and open as the channel opens (50, 51). It is hard to know whether these findings can be extrapolated to anionic pLGICs, which may lack the amphipathic α helix that precedes TM4 (according to secondary structure algorithm predictions (54)). In recent structures of full-length anionic pLGICs such as GABA_A receptors (55, 56) and GlyRs (57), densities are still too weak to allow reliable modeling of the ICD.

However, the recent GlyR structural data of Yu et al. (57) allow us to test the first hypothesis, namely whether shortening the ICD introduces tension between TM3 and TM4 and causes them to reorient their relative position. Fig. 6
shows a comparison between the transmembrane domain of full-length GlyR (purple and cyan) with that of GlyR ΔICD (ICD replaced with an AGT linker, blue and gray), in both the closed and open states. Some differences are visible, particularly in the open state structures (cf. relative position of the adjacent subunits and the TM2 position), but the positions of the TM3 and TM4 helices within a subunit are likely not affected by the introduction of the shorter ICD linker.

Our work shows that the ICD plays an important role in another key aspect of the α1 GlyR function, its maximum response to agonists. When the large native ICD was replaced with a short tripeptide linker, the efficacy of agonists on the α1 GlyR dramatically increased. Recent structural information from GlyR suggests that enhanced gating in the receptors with a shortened ICD is likely to stem from the removal of the modulatory action of the native ICD, rather than from an artifact of protein engineering. This opens the possibility that this action of the native ICD can be regulated in neurons by physiological and pathological factors, including phosphorylation and links to the cytoskeleton via gephyrin. Future work will need to explore these possibilities and test the effect of ICD manipulations on the amplitude and time course of glycine-mediated synaptic currents.

**Experimental procedures**

**Glycine receptor constructs and expression in HEK 293 cells**

The human α1 GlyR (accession number P23415-2, e.g. short), zebrafish α1 GlyR, and zebrafish α1 GlyR were subcloned in the pcDNA3 vector.

We generated a new construct, human α1 GlyR ΔICD, by replacing 68 amino acids between Arg-337 and Lys-406 from human α1 GlyR (Uniprot accession number P23415-2) with a AGT tripeptide, the same linker used to replace the ICD in the zebrafish α1 GlyR (Fig. S1). The construct was made by PCR overlap extension. Similarly, the zebrafish α1 GlyR ΔICD was constructed by replacing 67 amino acids between Arg-333 and
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Lys-401 from zebrafish α1 GlyR (Uniprot accession number O93430) with AGT tripeptide. The final construct, human GlyR α1 + zf TM4, was generated by replacing the ICD from human α1 GlyR with AGT tripeptide after which we added the TM4 and C terminus (from Lys-401–Gln-444) from the zebrafish α1 WT GlyR. The predicted protein sequence for all constructs used is shown in Fig. S1. The sequence of the reading frame in all constructs was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the full open frame by Source BioScience Life-Sciences (Nottingham, UK).

Cell culture and transfection

HEK 293 cells (from American Type Culture Collection) were grown at 37 °C in a humidified 95% air, 5% CO2 incubator in DMEM (Gibco, 41966029) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml of penicillin G, 100 μg/ml of streptomycin sulfate (all from Invitrogen). Cells were passaged after reaching 70–80% confluence every 2–3 days, up to 25 times.

For expression, cells were plated on poly-L-lysine–coated glass coverslips (Sigma-Aldrich and VWR, respectively) in 35-mm culture dishes (Scientific Laboratory Supplies) containing 2 ml of DMEM, and then transfected via the calcium phosphate-precipitation method (58) with pcDNA3 plasmids coding for the above mentioned GlyRs.

A plasmid coding for the enhanced green fluorescent protein was added to allow detection of transfected cells. The final DNA mixture contained 2% GlyR cDNA, 20% enhanced green fluorescent protein cDNA, and 78% empty pcDNA3 plasmid. The total amount of the final DNA mixture was 3 μg/plate. The transfection medium was washed off and replaced by fresh medium 4–8 h after transfection. Electrophysiological experiments were performed 1–2 days after transfection.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell recording—Patch clamp pipettes were pulled from thick-walled borosilicate capillaries (with filament; Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, UK) with a Sutter P-97 pipette puller (Sutter Instruments Co.). Pipette tips were fire-polished to obtain a final pipette resistance of 3–5 MΩ. Currents were recorded with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices). Recordings were pre-filtered at 5 kHz with a 4-pole low-pass Bessel filter (built in the amplifier), digitized at a sampling rate of 20 kHz with a Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices) and stored on the computer hard drive via the Clampex 10.5 software (Molecular Devices). The bath solution contained (in mM): 20 Na gluconate, 112.7 NaCl, 2 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1.2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 10 tetraethylammonium chloride, and 30 glucose; the pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH.

The pipettes for whole-cell recording were filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): 101.1 K gluconate, 11 EGTA, 1 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 20 TEA-Cl, 2 MgATP, 40 sucrose, and 6 KCl; the pH was adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH. The whole cell macroscopic currents were evoked by U-tube application (59) at the holding potential of −40 mV except in experiments to record GABA responses, where the holding potential was −60 mV to increase the size of the responses. The duration of agonist application was controlled manually and sustained until the response peaked (usually in less than half a second). The position of the U-tube was optimized by applying a diluted bath solution (e.g. 50:50, distilled water:bath solution) to the open tip of the recording pipette and measuring the 20–80% rise time of the signal generated by the diluted bath solution. The position of the U-tube was considered acceptable if the response time was less than 20 ms (2–20 ms range). Access resistance for the whole-cell recordings was never higher than 7 MΩ and was compensated by at least 60% and up to 80%.

To monitor run-down/run-up of agonist response, the saturating concentration of agonist was applied every third or fourth application. The recording was accepted for analysis if the run-down/run-up was less than 30%. To normalize responses to β-alanine, taurine and GABA to the glycine maximum current (I_{ag}/I_{gly}), a saturating concentration of glycine (10 mM) was applied at the beginning and end of the experiment. For the analysis, whole cell recordings were filtered at 1 kHz, and the peak of response was determined in Clampfit 10.5 software (Molecular Devices). The data were analyzed by custom made analysis software (CVFIT version 1.0.0-alpha; https://github.com/DCPROGS/CVFIT/releases/tag/v1.0.0-alpha) and fitted with the Hill equation,

\[
y = y_{\text{max}} \frac{|A|^{n_\text{H}}}{|A|^{n_\text{H}} + EC_{50}^{n_\text{H}}}
\]

where \( y_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum response current, \( n_\text{H} \) is the Hill coefficient, and \( EC_{50} \) is the agonist concentration required to evoke 50% of the maximum response.

A full dose-response curve was obtained in each cell. The responses were normalized to the fitted maximum in each cell and subsequently pooled and refitted with the Hill equation for display.

Single-channel recording—Pipette tips were coated with Sylgard (Dow Corning) and heat polished to a final resistance of 8–12 MΩ. Pipettes were filled with extracellular solution (the same as the bath solution used for whole cell recordings) to which agonists were added from stock solutions to the desired concentration. Cell-attached recordings were obtained with an Axopatch 200B (Molecular Devices) amplifier at the +100 mV holding voltage, prefiltered at 10 kHz with the built-in 4-pole Bessel filter, and digitized at 100 kHz with a Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices). For the purpose of analysis, recordings were resampled at 33.3 kHz and filtered at 3 kHz Gaussian filter by using Clampex 10.5 software.

Clusters of GlyR activity were accepted for analysis only if they were longer than 100 ms and separated by at least 100 ms of shut time. Openings were idealized by threshold crossing in Clampfit 10.5 and the \( P_{\text{open}} \) was calculated as the ratio between the time during which the channel was open and the total length of the cluster. In the cluster \( P_{\text{open}} \) plots (OriginPro 2019; OriginLab), the box shows the 25th and 75th percentiles, and

1 Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.
the whiskers extend to the furthest point that falls within 1.5 times of the interquartile range from the 25th to 75th percentile.

In channels with shortened ICDs, we observed transitions to a subconductance level with an amplitude of ~1 pA (cf. 4–6 pA for the full openings; Fig. S2 shows an example for the human GlyR ΔICD). Dwell times in these subconductance levels were treated as closures because of the threshold-crossing analysis. These events are not very frequent; treating them as open would increase the open probability measured in GlyR with engineered ICD by 5–6% and would not change the gist of our results.

All solutions were prepared from bi-distilled water to reduce contaminant glycine and filtered through a 0.2-μm Cyclopore track-etched membrane (GE Healthcare) to remove impurities. Agonists were purchased from Fluka-Sigma and tested for glycine contamination by HPLC assay at a concentration of 300 mM. The GABA and taurine samples were found to contain contaminant glycine at 0.5 and 0.4 μM, respectively. These concentrations of glycine are well below those able to open would increase the open probability measured in GlyR with engineered ICD by 5–6% and would not change the gist of our results.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information.
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