Structural basis of the TAM domain of BAZ2A in binding to DNA or RNA independent of methylation status
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Structural basis of the TAM domain of BAZ2A in binding to DNA or RNA independent of methylation status

Bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain protein 2A (BAZ2A) (also called transcription termination factor-1 interacting protein 5), a key component of the nucleolar remodeling complex, recruits the nucleolar remodeling complex to ribosomal RNA genes, leading to their transcriptional repression. In addition to its tandem plant homeodomain–bromodomain that is involved in binding to acetylated histone H4, BAZ2A also contains a methyl-CpG–binding domain (MBD)-like Tip5/ARBP/MBD (TAM) domain that shares sequence homology with the MBD. In contrast with the methyl-CpG–binding ability of the canonical MBD, the BAZ2A TAM domain has been shown to bind to promoter-associated RNAs of ribosomal RNA genes and promoter DNAs of other genes independent of DNA methylation. Nevertheless, how the TAM domain binds to RNA/DNA mechanistically remains elusive. Here, we characterized the DNA-/RNA-binding basis of the BAZ2A TAM domain by EMSAs, isothermal titration calorimetry binding assays, mutagenesis analysis, and X-ray crystallography. Our results showed that the TAM domain of BAZ2A selectively binds to dsDNA and dsRNA and that it binds to the backbone of dsDNA in a sequence nonspecific manner, which is distinct from the base-specific binding of the canonical MBD. Thus, our results explain why the TAM domain of BAZ2A does not specifically bind to mCG or TG dsDNA like the canonical MBD and also provides insights for further biological study of BAZ2A acting as a transcription factor in the future.

Benzodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain protein 2A (BAZ2A) (or transcription termination factor-1 interacting protein 5) belongs to the bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger proteins (BAZ) family of chromatin remodeling factors, which function in chromatin remodeling, DNA replication, and DNA repair (1–3). BAZ2A was first identified as a partner of transcription termination factor 1, a transcription factor regulating transcription of RNA polymerase I–mediated ribosomal RNA genes (rDNAs) (4). As the largest component of the nucleolar remodeling complex (NoRC), BAZ2A targets the NoRC to rDNAs by binding to promoter-associated RNAs (pRNAs) and acetylated H4K16 and represses transcription of rDNAs by establishing repressive heterochromatric structures at rDNA promoters (5–10). BAZ2A can also regulate expression of other genes by recruiting the NoRC-mediated DNA methylation and EZH2-mediated histone H3K27me3 methylation activities to the target loci (11, 12). Overexpression of BAZ2A has been reported in patients with prostate cancer and contributes to poor prognosis and cancer recurrence (11, 13). BAZ2A is also upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (14) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (15), and an FSIP1–BAZ2A fusion gene has been identified in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A (16).

BAZ2A contains multiple functional domains, including DNA-binding homeobox and different transcription factors, WHIM (WSTF, HB1, Itc1p, MBD9), plant homeodomain–bromodomain PHD-BRD, and Tip5/ARBP/MBD (TAM) domains (Fig. 1A). Most of these functional domains have also been found in other chromatin-modifying complexes, such as ATP-utilizing chromatin assembly and remodeling factor complex, chromatin-accessibility complex, and WSTF-ISW1 chromatin remodeling complex (17–22), suggesting that they might share similar functions. The tandem PHD finger and BRD domain of BAZ2A is the most extensively studied domain. The PHD-BRD tandem domain of BAZ2A is essential for rDNA silencing with its abilities in histone H3/H4 binding and chromatin-modifying enzyme recruitment (10, 23). The TAM domain of BAZ2A is a methyl-CpG–binding domain (MBD)-like domain. In comparison with the canonical MBD, the TAM domain contains an additional C-terminal extension of two β-strands and one α-helix (Fig. 1, A and B). Methyl-CpG binding protein 2 is the first identified MBD-containing protein, and its MBD was first shown to bind to unmethylated matrix/scaffold attachment regions through a consensus sequence of 5′-GGTGT-3′ and was also shown at almost the same time to recognize methylated CpG DNA (24–26). This domain is also found in other MBD-containing proteins, including MBD1-6 and histone-lysine N-methyltransferase SETDB1/2. However, different from specific mCG and TG DNA binding of the canonical MBD, such as those of MBD2 and methyl-CpG binding protein 2, previous data have suggested that the TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to the stem–loop structure of RNA to mediate the chromatin localization of...
the NoRC (9, 27) and also binds to DNA weakly in a methylation-independent manner (4). Considering that the TAM domain of BAZ2A is required and sufficient to mediate the nuclear matrix DNA binding (28) and mutations of the pRNA-binding residues, such as W531G and Y532A in mouse BAZ2A, do not influence the DNA-binding ability of mouse BAZ2A (9), it is plausible that the TAM domain of BAZ2A bound to DNA in a mode different than that of pRNA (9).

To investigate the DNA-/RNA-binding ability of the TAM domain of BAZ2A and its structural basis, we carried out in vitro DNA-/RNA-binding assays and determined crystal structures of the BAZ2A TAM domain in an apo-state and complex with two 12mer dsDNAs. Our binding results showed that the TAM domain of BAZ2A selectively binds to dsDNA and dsRNA. Our structural data demonstrated that the TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to the backbone phosphate groups of dsDNA with no sequence selectivity, which sheds light on the molecular basis for the recruitment of chromatin by the BAZ2A TAM domain.

Results

The TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to dsDNA without sequence selectivity

The DNA-binding selectivity of canonical MBDs, including those of methyl-CpG binding protein 2 and MBD1-4, has been investigated by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) binding assays and structural analysis systematically by us (29–31) and the others (32–35). The TAM domain of BAZ2A shares sequence homology with the MBD, and its NMR structure reveals that the major difference between the TAM domain and MBD is that the TAM domain contains an extra small α/β motif (named as BAZ-specific moiety here) at its C terminus (27). NMR titration experiments show that the C-terminal BAZ-specific moiety of the BAZ2A TAM domain interacts with the double-stranded region of the stem–loop structure of pRNA in a sequence nonspecific manner (27). EMSAs in another study show that the TAM domain of BAZ2A binds weakly to dsDNA in a methylation-independent manner (4). To further investigate the binding selectivity of the BAZ2A TAM domain toward DNA and RNA, we carried out ITC and EMSA binding assays.

Our ITC and EMSA results showed that the TAM domain of BAZ2A bound to dsDNA and dsRNA, but not to ssDNA and ssRNA (Figs. 2 and S1), consistent with the report that the TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to the double-stranded hairpin structure of pRNA (27). Our binding results also showed that, unlike the canonical MBDs, BAZ2A binding to dsDNA is not dependent on cytosine methylation (Figs. 2A and S1). However, we found that the binding affinity for BAZ2A to dsRNA and dsDNA is weaker than that of pRNA previously measured by a filter binding assay (5 μM via 5 nM) (27). Because the filter binding assay was carried out using a longer construct including both the TAM domain and the following AT-hook and the latter is a putative DNA-/RNA-binding motif (27, 36), we proposed that the inclusion of the AT-hook might increase the binding affinity of BAZ2A to pRNA as well as the dsRNA and dsDNA.

Crystal structure of the TAM domain of BAZ2A in complex with dsDNA

To illustrate the binding mechanism of the TAM domain of BAZ2A to dsDNA or dsRNA, we tried to cocrystallize the TAM domain protein of BAZ2A with dsDNA or dsRNA and determined the crystal structures of the TAM domain of BAZ2A in its apo-form as well as in complex with two different 12mer
Figure 2. The TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to dsDNA and dsRNA without sequence selectivity. A, ITC binding curves for the TAM domain of BAZ2A to dsDNA, ssDNA, and ssRNA. The nucleotide sequences are shown below the ITC binding curves. B, the EMSAs of the BAZ2A TAM domain binding to DNA and RNA. In the EMSA, the concentration of DNA and RNA is 10 μM, and the concentrations of the protein in each set of four lanes are 0, 4, 16, and 32 μM, respectively. The DNA-/RNA-bound complex is highlighted by a black asterisk. ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; TAM, Tip5/ARBP/MBD.
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dsDNAs (named as mCG and mCA DNA here, Table 1). Consistent with the apo NMR structure, the apo crystal structure of the TAM domain of BAZ2A contains a twisted β-sheet comprised of five antiparallel β-strands, packing against four α-helices on one side of the β-sheet, which forms an MBD-like moiety and an additional BAZ-specific moiety (Figs. 3A and S2A). Two TAM molecules are found to bind antiparallelly to a single dsDNA duplex in both complex structures (Fig. 3, B and C). Superposition of the apo TAM structure with that of the TAM–dsDNA complexes reveals an RMSD value of approximately 0.35 Å over all the Ca atoms aligned, suggesting that the interactions with dsDNA do not lead to significant conformational changes of the TAM domain.

The canonical MBD binds to methylated CG or non-methylated TG DNA through both electrostatic interactions and arginine finger–mediated base-specific protein–DNA interactions using its central β-sheet (29–35). In contrast, in the BAZ2A TAM–dsDNA complex structures, the two TAM molecules provide a continuous positively charged surface to bind the backbone of dsDNA (Fig. 3, D and F). Our gel-filtration experiment showed that, when we increased the NaCl concentration of the buffer from 150 mM to 450 mM (Figs. 3F and S2B), the TAM–dsDNA complexes were disassembled, indicating that the salt-sensitive electrostatic interactions are essential for the BAZ2A–dsDNA complex formation.

Structural comparison of the BAZ2A–dsDNA complex with that of the MBD2–mCG complex reveals that the TAM domain of BAZ2A mainly interacts with dsDNA via β3 and α2 from its MBD-like moiety, which is different from the DNA-binding mode in the MBD2–mCG complex where MBD2 uses β2, β3, the loop linking β1 and β2, and α1 to recognize dsDNA (Fig. 3G). The corresponding MBD2–mCG DNA-binding region in the TAM domain is neutral or even negatively charged, which explains why dsDNA could not bind to the TAM domain in a similar binding mode as observed in the MBD2–mCG complex (Fig. 3H). The previous NMR titrations have shown that the TAM domain of BAZ2A binds to pRNA mainly through β4, β5, and α3 from the BAZ-specific moiety (27), which is different from the dsDNA binding in our TAM–dsDNA structures, that is, the dsDNA binding region is far away from the BAZ-specific moiety in our complex structures (Fig. 3, G and H). We then generated a complex model of the TAM domain of BAZ2A bound to dsRNA and found that the dsRNA could be recognized using the same positively charged surface by the TAM domain (Fig. S2C). Thus, dsRNA might bind to the TAM domain of BAZ2A in a similar mode to that of dsDNA.

One BAZ2A TAM molecule is sufficient to bind to dsDNA

Because two TAM molecules are found to bind the same dsDNA duplex in the BAZ2A–dsDNA complex structure, we asked whether the dsDNA binding requires two BAZ2A TAM molecules. We first carried out gel-filtration chromatography analysis, which showed that the TAM protein alone behaved as a monomer in solution and the mixture of the TAM domain protein and dsDNA eluted at a volume corresponding to a molecular weight of ~25 kDa (Figs. 3F and S2B). Then, we quantified the molecular weight of the TAM–dsDNA complex by multianalyte light scattering coupled with size-exclusion chromatography (SEC-MALS) and obtained a molecular weight around 21 kDa for the mixture of the TAM domain and dsDNA (Fig. S3A), which is close to a theoretical molecular weight of the sum of a BAZ2A TAM molecule (13.9 kDa) and a dsDNA molecule (7.5 kDa). This finding is also consistent with our ITC data that the N values are approximately 1 when fitted with one set of site model (Fig. S1). Further analysis of the TAM structures revealed that the BAZ2A TAM molecule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection and refinement statistics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>BAZ2A (aa 536–653)</th>
<th>BAZ2A (aa 536–653)</th>
<th>BAZ2A (aa 536–653)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB ID</td>
<td>7MW1</td>
<td>7FHJ</td>
<td>7MWL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA sequence</td>
<td>5′-GCCTAmCATTCG-3′</td>
<td>5′-GCCTAmCATTCG-3′</td>
<td>5′-GCCTAmCATTCG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization buffer</td>
<td>2.0 M Sodium formate, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5</td>
<td>2.0 M Sodium formate, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5</td>
<td>2.0 M Sodium formate, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 61, 2 2</td>
<td>P 61, 2 2</td>
<td>P 61, 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.75)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.75)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>72.08 (71.90)</td>
<td>72.08 (71.90)</td>
<td>72.08 (71.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rsymmetry</td>
<td>90.12 (90.12)</td>
<td>90.12 (90.12)</td>
<td>90.12 (90.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>1.00 (0.939)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.939)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.939)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>2.01 (2.01)</td>
<td>2.01 (2.01)</td>
<td>2.01 (2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>1.84 (1.83)</td>
<td>1.84 (1.83)</td>
<td>1.84 (1.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections used</td>
<td>41.45–1.80</td>
<td>41.45–1.80</td>
<td>41.45–1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms/B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>15.299/805</td>
<td>15.299/805</td>
<td>15.299/805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>993/33.58</td>
<td>993/33.58</td>
<td>993/33.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>24/32.63</td>
<td>24/32.63</td>
<td>24/32.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R work/free</td>
<td>0.185/0.213</td>
<td>0.185/0.213</td>
<td>0.185/0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD bonds (Å)/angles (º)</td>
<td>0.019/1.93</td>
<td>0.019/1.93</td>
<td>0.019/1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.
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Figure 3. Crystal structures of the BAZ2A TAM domain in complex with dsDNA. A, the apo structure of the BAZ2A TAM domain in a cartoon representation. The BAZ-specific moiety and MBD-like moiety of the TAM domain are colored in gray and blue, respectively. B and C, overall structures of the BAZ2A TAM domain in complex with mCG and mCA dsDNA in a cartoon representation, respectively. The two BAZ2A TAM domain molecules are shown in green and blue, respectively. D and E, electrostatic surface representation of the BAZ2A TAM domain in complex with mCG and mCA dsDNA, respectively. F, size-exclusion chromatograms of the BAZ2A TAM domain in complex with mCA DNA in a buffer containing 150 mM or 450 mM NaCl. The molecular weights for the single TAM protein, TAM–dsDNA complex, and protein standards are labeled for each peak. G, superposition of the BAZ2A–mCG (blue) structure with that of the MBD2–mCG complex (pink, PDB code: 6CNP) in a cartoon representation. The BAZ-specific moiety of the TAM domain is colored in gray. H, superposition of the BAZ2A–mCG (blue) structure with that of the MBD2–mCG complex (pink, PDB code: 6CNP) in an electrostatic surface representation. MBD, methyl-CpG–binding domain; TAM, Tip5/ARBP/MBD.
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packs with a symmetry-related TAM molecule in the apo crystal structure in a similar way to that of the two TAM molecules in the TAM–dsDNA complex structure, implying that the two TAM molecules observed in the complex are due to crystallization packing (Fig. S3B). Taken together, our data suggest that the TAM domain protein and dsDNA form a 1:1 complex in solution, and one BAZ2A TAM molecule is sufficient to bind to dsDNA.

Detailed interactions between the BAZ2A TAM domain and dsDNA

Detailed structural analysis showed that the TAM domain of BAZ2A mainly interacts with the backbone phosphate of dsDNA. The positively charged residues K585, R586, K588, K595, and R599 from β3 and α2 of each TAM domain simultaneously make electrostatic interactions with the dsDNA backbone, where the two TAM molecules are fixed at one side of the DNA duplex and form a dimeric complex (Figs. 3, D and E and 4). In addition to electrostatic interactions, numerous hydrogen bonds also contribute to the stabilization of the BAZ2A TAM–dsDNA complex (Fig. 4).

In the BAZ2A TAM–mCG DNA structure, the residues K585 and R586 in the first TAM molecule together with the residue R586 from the second TAM molecule form water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the backbone phosphates of dsDNA (Fig. 4A). In the TAM–mCA structure, similar to the TAM–mCG complex, K585, R586, K588, K595, and R599 contribute to the electrostatic interactions with the backbone phosphates, but less water-mediated hydrogen bonds between the TAM domain and backbone phosphates of dsDNA were observed because of its lower resolution of around 2.3 Å (Fig. 4B). In addition, a weak hydrogen bond was found between the side chain of K585 from the second TAM molecule and backbone phosphates (Fig. 4B). Taken together, electrostatic interactions and water-mediated hydrogen-bonding interactions between the backbone of dsDNA and the BAZ2A TAM domain are the major driving forces for the BAZ2A TAM–dsDNA binding, which also explains why the BAZ2A TAM domain binds to dsDNA independent of the cytosine methylation and DNA sequences.

Structural basis of the TAM domain of BAZ2A in lacking the specific binding ability to mCG DNA like that of the canonical MBD

Previous studies have shown that two conserved arginine fingers of the canonical MBD specifically interact with the guanine base and simultaneously form cation–π interactions with the pyrimidine ring of methylated cytosine or thymine (29, 31, 33, 35, 37). Sequence alignment showed that the conserved arginine residues, that is, the R166 and R188 of MBD2, are replaced with the same positively charged lysine residues K567 and K588 in the TAM domain of BAZ2A (Fig. 1B). To investigate the importance of the conserved arginine fingers in DNA-binding specificity, we mutated the two arginine fingers of MBD2 to lysine and measured their DNA-binding affinities by ITC. The WT MBD of MBD2 binds to mCG DNA with a $K_d$ value around 0.9 μM (30), whereas replacement of R166 or R188 with lysine in MBD2 reduces the binding affinity by about 30-fold and 8-fold, respectively (Fig. 5A and B). The double point mutant with both R166 and R188 mutated to lysine does not show detectable binding to mCG DNA (Fig. 5C). On the other hand, when we mutated both K567 and K588 in the TAM domain of BAZ2A to arginine and carried out ITC binding assay, we found that the BAZ2A K567R/K588R mutant displays a similar methylated DNA-binding ability to that of BAZ2A WT (Figs. 2A and 5D), suggesting that the two K567R/K588R arginine residues of the BAZ2A mutant are not essential for the mCG DNA recognition as the canonical MBD does.

Remarkably, superposition of the BAZ2A TAM domain structure with that of MBD2–mCG complex revealed that the side chain of K567, corresponding to R166 in MBD2, does not point to the mCG base pair as observed in the canonical MBD structures and clashes with the backbone of DNA (Fig. 6). Further structural analysis revealed that the K567 harboring β1 tilts toward β4 of the BAZ-specific moiety and the two β strands (β4 and β5) from the BAZ-specific moiety integrate with the three β strands from the MBD-like moiety to form a 5-strand β-sheet (Fig. 6). This finding is consistent with the report that the MBD-like moiety and the BAZ-specific moiety of the TAM domain form a stable and integral structural fold (27) and also in agreement with our findings that the BAZ2A TAM protein with the BAZ-specific moiety truncated was not stable or soluble. The formation of the 5-strand β-sheet in the TAM domain restricts the side-chain orientation of K587 from binding methylated DNA as the arginine finger in the canonical MBD does. Taken together, our binding data and structural analysis explain why the MBD-like TAM domain of BAZ2A does not specifically bind to mCG or TG dsDNA like the canonical MBD.

Discussion

To date, the canonical MBD has been studied extensively. As an MBD-like domain, the DNA–RNA-binding mechanism of the TAM domain is still unclear. In this study, we demonstrated by binding assays that the TAM domain of BAZ2A does not bind to ssRNA/ssDNA but binds to dsRNA/dsDNA in a sequence nonspecific mode. Our structural studies also revealed how the TAM domain binds to dsDNA in a sequence-independent and DNA methylation–independent manner. Very likely, the TAM domain also binds to dsRNA in a similar mode. Although it was proposed to regulate rDNA transcription via binding to rRNA, BAZ2A has been found to regulate gene expression of non-rRNA genes in embryonic stem cells as a transcription factor (12). Consistent with its DNA sequence nonspecific binding ability, BAZ2A is enriched over large chromatin regions that extends up to several hundred kilobases of DNA without high levels of DNA methylation or distinct
Given that BAZ2A can recruit chromatin-modifying enzymes, such as HDAC1, DNMT1, DNMT3B, EZH2, as well as TOP2A and RNA helicase DHX9, to its target genes and regulate the chromatin conformation and epigenetic patterns at these loci, we speculated that the nonspecific binding of the TAM domain of BAZ2A to chromosomal DNA might play an important role in recruiting these enzymes (10, 12, 38).
The sequence nonspecific protein–DNA interactions have also been found for other proteins, such as the protein involved in DNA replication and repair. For example, vaccinia virus uracil-DNA glycosylase (also called D4), acting as a DNA repair enzyme, can remove the uracil bases of DNA through hydrolyzing the N-glycosidic bond of deoxyuridine (39). In addition, D4 also serves as one component of the processive DNA polymerase complex in DNA replication, where A20 protein tethers D4 to DNA polymerase E9 (40). Although the glycosylase activity of D4 is not essential for the processive DNA synthesis (41), only short stretches of DNA are synthesized by polymerase E9 in the absence of D4 (40). It was reported that D4 slides on DNA and repairs the damaged bases during DNA replication (40). The structure of poxvirus D4 in complex with an undamaged dsDNA revealed that two D4 molecules bind simultaneously to a DNA double helix, and each D4 molecule binds to one DNA strand (Fig. S4) (42). Electrostatic potential distribution analysis showed that D4 provides a positively charged surface to accommodate the negatively charged phosphate backbone of the dsDNA, which together with the hydrogen bonds formed by the protein residues and backbone phosphates stabilize the D4–dsDNA complex (Fig. S4) (42). This nonspecific DNA-binding mode of D4 might facilitate the DNA sliding and base repair during DNA replication.

**Experimental procedures**

**Protein expression and purification**

Human BAZ2A (aa 536–653) and MB2D (aa 143–220) were cloned into the pET28-MHL vector to generate N-terminal His-tagged fusion proteins with a tobacco etch virus cleavage site. The BAZ2A and MB2D mutants were obtained by QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent Technologies) using the WT BAZ2A (aa 536–653) and MB2D (aa 143–220) expression constructs as templates, respectively. The plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3), and the cells were induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at 14 °C overnight. The harvested cells were resuspended in the buffer containing 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol, which was then sonicated to break the cells. The supernatant of the cell lysis was collected after centrifugation at 10,000 rpm and further purified using the Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen). Purified proteins were treated with Tobacco etch virus protease to remove the His-tag followed by affinity chromatography, anion-exchange chromatography, and gel-filtration column chromatography (GE Healthcare). Finally, the purified proteins were concentrated to 10 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, with or without 1 mM DTT.

**ITC assays**

The ITC assays were performed by MicroCal iTC200 (Malvern) at 25 °C. All the DNA oligos used in this study were synthesized by IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies). The DNA was dissolved in a buffer of 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl, which is identical to the protein buffer. After adjusting the pH of DNA solution to around 7.5 using NaOH, the ssDNAs were annealed into a DNA duplex as described before (43). The protein and DNA oligo concentrations for the ITC experiment range from 30 to 60 μM and 0.5 mM to 1 mM, respectively, which were determined using UV absorbance (ND-1000 spectrophotometer). The $K_d$ values were determined using a one-site binding fitting model by Origin 7.0 (MicroCal Inc).

**EMSA**

The palindromic dsDNA oligo 5′-GCCAA(mC)GTGGGC-3′ and RNA oligo 5′-GCCAACCCCAACCG-3′ and 5′-CGGU UGGGGUUGGC-3′ were synthesized with fluorescent FAM and CY3 at their 5′ ends (Integrated DNA Technologies), respectively. The DNA and RNA oligos were dissolved in a buffer...
containing 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl, with or without diethyl pyrocarbonate. They were then annealed to form duplexes by heating at 95 °C for 5 min and slow cooling to 16 °C.

For EMSAs, proteins were incubated with FAM-labeled DNA or CY3-labeled RNA probe for 30 min at 4 °C. The samples were then analyzed on 6% native acrylamide gels in 0.5× TBE buffer under an electric field of 100 V for 90 min. Gels were visualized in the ethidium bromide mode on FluorChem R (Li-Cor).

SEC-MALS
We carried out the SEC shift assay to analyze the protein–DNA interactions. The BAZ2A (2 mg/ml) protein was mixed with dsDNA in a molar ratio of 1:1.1 and incubated on ice for 30 min. The samples and the protein molecular weight standard were analyzed by size-exclusion chromatograms (Superdex 75 10/300GL, GE) using the buffer containing 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, and 150 mM or 450 mM NaCl. The SEC elution fractions corresponding to each peak were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

For molar weight determination of the protein–DNA complex, the SEC-MALS experiment was performed using an HPLC-MALS system. A DAWN TREOS multiangle light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology) and an Optilab T-Rex refractometer (Wyatt Technology) were used in-line with WTC-015S5 hydrophilic film bonded silica column (Wyatt Technology) pre-equilibrated in a PBS buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4, 50 mM NaH2PO4, and 50 mM NaCl, pH 6.8, at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Molecular weights of proteins were calculated with a dn/dc value (refractive index increment) of 0.185 ml/g using the Astra 6.1 program (Wyatt Technology).

Crystallization
For crystallization, 10 mg/ml BAZ2A TAM domain protein was used. To obtain the complex, BAZ2A TAM protein was mixed with dsDNA in a molar ratio of 1:1.1. The TAM domain protein of BAZ2A and the TAM–dsDNA complexes were crystallized using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 18 °C by mixing 0.5 μl of the samples with 0.5 μl of the reservoir solution. We obtained crystals for the apo-state of BAZ2A TAM domain, and its complexes with two 12mer dsDNAs, including the mCG DNA (5′-GCCAAmCGTTGGC-3′) and the mCA DNA (5′-GCCTAmCATTCCG-3′/5′-CGGAATG TAGGC-3′), respectively. The detailed crystallization conditions for each crystal are summarized in Table 1.

Data collection and structure determination
All the crystals were fast-frozen in liquid nitrogen with a cryoprotectant containing their respective reservoir solution with an additional 15%–20% (v/v) glycerol. Diffraction images were collected at synchrotron or using rotating anode x-ray sources at 100 K and processed with the HKL suite (44) or XDS (45), and AIMLESS (46). Structures were solved by molecular replacement with PHASER (47) using coordinates from PDB entries 3C2I for the apo and complex structures of BAZ2A. Coot was used for model building (48), and model refinement was performed using PHENIX.REFINE (49) and REFMAC (50). The crystal data collection and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Data availability
Experimental data and coordinates for structures have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with identifiers 7MWI, 7FHJ, and 7MWL.
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