Comparative analysis defines a broader FMRFamide-gated sodium channel family and determinants of neuropeptide sensitivity
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FMRFamide (Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-amide, FMRFa) and similar neuropeptides are important physiological modulators in most invertebrates, but the molecular basis of FMRFa activity at its receptors is unknown. We therefore sought to identify the molecular determinants of FMRFa potency against one of its native targets, the excitatory FMRFa-gated sodium channel (FaNaC) from gastropod mollusks. Using molecular phylogenetics and electrophysiological measurement of neuropeptide activity, we identified a broad FaNaC family that includes mollusk and annelid channels gated by FMRFa, FVRIamides, and/or Wamides (or myoinhibitory peptides). A comparative analysis of this broader FaNaC family and other channels from the overarching degenerin (DEG)/epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) superfamily, incorporating mutagenesis and experimental dissection of channel function, identified a pocket of amino acid residues that determines activation of FaNaCs by neuropeptides. Although this pocket has diverged in distantly related DEG/ENaC channels that are activated by other ligands but enhanced by FMRFa, such as mammalian acid-sensing ion channels, we show that it nonetheless contains residues that determine enhancement of those channels by similar peptides. This study thus identifies amino acid residues that determine FMRFa neuropeptide activity at FaNaC receptor channels and illuminates the evolution of ligand recognition in one branch of the DEG/ENaC superfamily of ion channels.

Neuropeptides are a large, diverse group of intercellular signaling molecules found in most animals (1). They commonly range in length from 4 to 40 aa residues and are generally the cleavage product of a long propeptide containing multiple shorter peptides between peptidase cleavage sites. By diffusing to and binding their receptor targets on other cells, which are most often G-protein coupled receptors, neuropeptides modulate numerous physiological functions. These include neuronal excitability, ciliary beating and locomotion, and muscle contraction, in both cnidarians (e.g., sea anemones and jellyfish) and bilaterians (e.g., worms, flies, and mammals) (2–5).

The 4-mer Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-amide (FMRFa) is a notable example. It is broadly expressed in neurons of invertebrate bilaterians, making it a commonly used marker of the nervous system in immunohistochemical experiments (6–8). Work in selected model bilaterians such as the ecdysozoans Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans and the spiralian Platynereis dumerilii (an annelid) has begun identifying physiological responses mediated by FMRFa, cognate peptide/receptor pairs, and how these differ across different animals (9–12). Gastropod mollusks (slugs and snails—another group of spiralians) additionally possess an FMRFa-gated sodium channel (FaNaC), a receptor that mediates rapid neuronal and cardiac excitation upon FMRFa binding (13–15).

FaNaCs are members of the degenerin/epithelial sodium channel (DEG/ENaC) superfamily of trimeric sodium channels (14). In contrast to FaNaCs, the DEG/ENaC channels found in mammals are gated by increased proton concentrations (acid-sensing ion channels, ASICs), gated by bile acid (bile acid–gated channels), or are constitutively active (ENaCs) (16, 17). However, the binding of FMRFa or similar RFamides to ASICs has been shown to enhance proton-gated currents (18), perhaps offering a glimpse of their shared evolutionary history with FaNaCs and also raising interest in peptide-based pharmacological modulators of mammalian channels (15, 19).

Knowledge of the molecular basis for FMRFa activity at its receptors would therefore offer insight into the role of neuropeptides and receptors in the evolution of bilaterian physiology and also enable the rational design of novel pharmacological modulators of mammalian receptors (20–22). Although previous studies showed that transplanting Helisoma trivolvis FaNaC (midmicromolar FMRFa potency) segments into Helix aspersa FaNaC (low-micromolar FMRFa potency) and vice versa alter FMRFa potency (23, 24), the molecular basis for FMRFa activity at its receptors is unknown.

We therefore sought to establish the molecular determinants of FMRFa activity in FaNaCs. Although previously described in only gastropod mollusks, we sought to characterize the FaNaC family in more depth and therefore considered closely related genes from other spiralians, such as annelids and platyhelminths, using phylogenetics, heterologous expression, and electrophysiological experiments. The resulting picture of the FaNaC family allowed us to
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experimentally probe the molecular basis of FMRFa sensitivity in the broader family using site-directed mutagenesis. Together, our results identify key determinants of channel activation and modulation by FMRFa and other neuropeptides and offer insight into the evolution of the FaNaC family.

**Results**

**Phylogenetic and experimental characterization of the FaNaC family**

To identify the amino acid residues that determine FMRFa activity at FaNaCs, we considered comparing the amino acid sequences of previously characterized gastropod FaNaCs with other closely related channels of different function. However, gastropod FaNaCs comprise a narrow branch of the overarching DEG/ENaC superfamily, and sequence differences between this small number of channels and other DEG/ENaCs would be numerous, making the list of candidate amino acid residues long and impractical. We therefore sought a broader view of the FaNaC family and questioned if it extends beyond gastropods. To better estimate the breadth of the FaNaC family within the DEG/ENaC superfamily, we assembled DEG/ENaC sequences from numerous bilaterians and several other lineages and generated a maximum likelihood phylogeny of 544 nonredundant sequences (Fig. S1). Previously characterized FaNaCs from gastropods such as *H. aspersa* (14) appear in a well-supported clade, hereafter the “FaNaC branch” (Fig. 1A, blue). Notably, this branch also includes closely related sequences from other mollusks and from annelids and brachiopods, tentatively suggesting that FaNaCs occur in numerous spiralian animals. But the only nongastropod sequences from this branch that have been previously characterized are four from the annelid *Platynereis dumerilii*, and when heterologously expressed, these did not respond to FMRFa, although one was activated by larger “Wamides,” such as GWKQGASYSWa (25) (“MGIC Platynereis dumerilii,” pink in Fig. 1A).

We next sought experimental evaluation of the broader FaNaC family seen in our phylogeny. To this end, we injected *Xenopus laevis* oocytes with cRNA of 20 uncharacterized genes from this or closely related branches (asterisks in Fig. 1A) and measured electrophysiological responses to FMRFa and a selection of neuropeptides encoded by the RFamide, FVRIamide, and Wamide propeptides from these animals (Fig. S2). To compare these with canonical gastropod FaNaCs, we performed similar experiments on *Aplysia kurodai* FaNaC (26). Genes from the cephalopod *Octopus bimaculoides*, the bivalve *Crassostrea gigas*, and the gastropod *Lottia gigantea* encoded FaNaCs similar to the gastropod *Aplysia* FaNaC, evident in large inward currents in response to both FMRFa and closely related peptides (Fig. 1, B and C). FMKFa and FLRFa, which in addition to FMRFa are encoded by the *Octopus* FMRFa propeptide (Fig. S2), activated larger currents than FMRFa at *Octopus* FaNaC, and FLRFa also activated slightly larger currents than FMRFa at *Crassostrea* FaNaC (Fig. 1, B and C).

Interestingly, we observed that two genes from the annelid

---

**Figure 1. Phylogenetic and experimental characterization of the FaNaC family.** A, FaNaC branch from DEG/ENaC phylogeny (full phylogeny in Fig. S1). *Genes tested here.* ∞ Genes tested elsewhere. Genes in bold encode peptide-gated channels. LRT support for key branches is shown. Blue background, broader FaNaC family; green background, annelid-specific branch of FaNaCs with diverse ligand sensitivity; pink background, Wamide-activated channels (WaNaCs). B, example peptide-gated currents at *Xenopus* oocytes expressing indicated genes. All peptide applications 100 μM, except for some at *Capitella* FaNaC. FMRFa, FLRFa, and KFMFa (3 μM); LFRFa (30 μM). The scale bars represent x, 10 s; y, 5 μA. C, mean (± SEM) normalized current response to different peptides (concentrations as in (B)) at oocytes expressing indicated channels. Lighter symbols are individual data points, n = 4 to 7. FaNaC, FMRFa-gated sodium channel; FMRFa, Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-amide.
Capitella teleta, 52833 and 212912, also encoded channels gated by RFamides, FVRlamides, and/or Wamides (Fig. 1, B and C). This indicates that annelid genes from the FaNaC branch also encode FMRFα-gated and other peptide-gated channels. One of these, Capitella 52833, also showed small currents in response to LFRYa, a product of the RYamide propeptide from Capitella (Fig. 1, B and C). Together, these data describe a broader FaNaC family than previously realized and show that neuropeptides from FMRFα and/or other propeptides activate FaNaCs in various mollusks and in annelids.

We also tested three platyhelminth (Macrostomum lignano) and two phoronid (Phoronis australis) genes that appear in a small branch closely related to the FaNaC branch (lower clade in Fig. 1A). We injected oocytes with these RNAs either alone or in combination, in case of obligate heteromerization, but with these oocytes, we observed no response to FMRFα or YMRFα (from Macrostomum propeptide Mlig001796.g3), FVRla (from Phoronis propeptide DN252244_c0_g1_i1), or GRTNNKVNFRWa or AYGSMWPα (both from Macrostomum propeptide Mlig032062.g1; n = 4–6). Consistent with the phylogenetic relationships we observe, this tentatively suggests that this branch is functionally distinct from the FaNaC branch. Whether this reflects the emergence of FaNaCs after the lineage to Annelida + Brachiopoda + Molluska split from the lineage to Platyhelminthes (and were lost in Phoronida) or whether FaNaCs emerged earlier and FMRFα sensitivity was lost in these platyhelminth and phoronid channels would require experiments on more channels. Furthermore, we cannot rule out that certain channels simply express poorly in our heterologous system, which could also relate to one mollusk and six annelid genes from the FaNaC branch itself, which showed no currents in response to FMRFα and other RFamides or to LFRYa, FVRlamides, or Wamides (n = 3–7; asterisks/nonbold font in Fig. 1A).

Activation of annelid FaNaCs by RFamides, FVRlamides, and Wamides contrasts with activation of mollusk FaNaCs by only RFamides (14, 25, 26) (Fig. 1C). We investigated this further by testing additional channels from this clade of annelid genes (green in Fig. 1A), also utilizing transcripts from another annelid, Malacoceros fuliginosus. Capitella 52833 and Malacoceros ON156825 and ON156826 were more potently activated by FMRFα than cognate Wamides and FVRlamides (Fig. 2, A and B), and we therefore refer to these channels as FaNaCs. The EC50 of FMRFα was 350 ± 150 nM (n = 7) at Capitella FaNaC52833 and 230 ± 60 nM (n = 5) and 11 ± 3 μM (n = 8) at Malacoceros FaNaCON156825 and FaNaCON156826, respectively. In contrast, Capitella 212912 and Malacoceros ON156824 were more potently activated by cognate Wamides than by FMRFα and FVRlamides (Fig. 2, A and B), much like the previously characterized Platynereis MGIC from the same clade (pink in Fig. 1A, (25)), and we therefore refer to these as Wamide-gated sodium channels (WaNaCs). The AWVGDKLSWa EC50 at Capitella WaNaC212912 was 2 ± 0.2 μM (n = 8) and the KWGGNSRMWa EC50 at Malacoceros WaNaCON156824 was 340 ± 100 nM (n = 9). These results identify within the FaNaC branch a clade of annelid genes that evolved sensitivity to neuropeptides from other propeptides.

**Figure 2. Neuropeptide sensitivity of different members of the FaNaC family.** A, example currents in response to increasing concentrations of FMRFα, ARSGFVRIα, or KWGGNSRMWa at oocytes expressing indicated annelid (Malacoceros fuliginosus) channels. The scale bars represent x, 10 s; y, 1 μA. B, mean (± SEM, n = 4–5) normalized current responses to increasing concentrations of neuropeptides (as indicated) at FaNaCs and WaNaCs. FaNaC, FMRFα-gated sodium channel; FMRFα, Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-amide; WaNaC, Wamide-gated sodium channel.

**Comparison of FaNaCs with other DEG/ENaCs identifies molecular determinants of FMRFα sensitivity**

With this broader view of FaNaC sequence and function, we returned to the aim of comparing amino acid sequences to identify amino acid residues that determine activation by FMRFα. An amino acid sequence alignment comparing the FaNaC family with other DEG/ENaCs, focusing on the putative extracellular and upper transmembrane domains of the protein, where ligand binding is likely to occur, identified 43
amino acid residues that are reasonably conserved in FMRFa-gated channels but divergent in other DEG/ENaCs (Figs. 3A and S3). These 43 FaNaC-specific residues are spread throughout the extracellular domain, according to a homology-based structural model of A. kurodai FaNaC (Fig. 3B). We took *Aplysia* FaNaC as a representative FaNaC, and these 43 residues were individually (or together with one or two vicinal residues, for efficiency) mutated to an equivalent residue from channels not gated by FMRFa or, in two cases, to alanine if the equivalent position differed greatly among non-FMRFa-gated channels. This generated 30 *Aplysia* FaNaC mutants (e.g., A319Q in Fig. 3A). FMRFa potency at WT and mutant channels was then measured in electrophysiological experiments. Current responses to 3 μM and 30 μM FMRFa were analyzed, giving an “I3 μM/I30 μM ratio” of FMRFa potency, which was 0.64 ± 0.04 for WT (n = 14, Fig. 3, C and D), consistent with the FMRFa EC50 of 3.4 ± 0.4 μM (n = 5) from Figure 2B. Fifteen mutants showed unchanged or slightly increased FMRFa potency (dark blue in Fig. 3D), and eight mutants showed slightly decreased potency (light blue in Fig. 3D). The remaining seven mutants were not significantly activated by 3 or 30 μM FMRFa (Fig. 3C, white in Fig. 3D), indicating substantial decreases in potency and highlighting these seven positions as potential determinants of FMRFa activity at FaNaCs.

Of the seven mutants that showed a loss of FMRFa potency, two involved single substitutions (S184A and R324N), and the other five involved multiple substitutions (G270D/Y272L, I281T/S284D, S314F/G316T, S447V/W449F, and R529Q/L530V/N531S). We therefore clarified which of the substitutions underlay the functional effect in these five mutants by making additional single-substitution *Aplysia* FaNaC mutants. We did the same for Y230V/F231G, as this double-mutant showed the greatest decrease in FMRFa potency among the “moderate” mutants in Figure 3D (light blue). In five of these six cases, this clearly identified a single residue whose mutation decreases FMRFa potency (Fig. 4, A and B), namely F231G, I281T, G316T, S447V, and R529Q. In contrast, the single G270D and Y272L mutations only moderately decreased FMRFa potency (Fig. 4B), and we did not analyze these further. Full FMRFa concentration-response experiments on the loss-of-function single mutants revealed greater

![Figure 3. Comparative analysis of amino acid residues determining FMRFa sensitivity.](image-url)

A, part of amino acid sequence alignment comparing verified FMRFa-gated channels with other channels (complete alignment in Fig. S3). Examples of FaNaC-specific amino acid residues, that is, those that are conserved—either completely or in terms of physicochemical properties—in FaNaCs but different in other channels, are indicated by arrowheads. B, left, *Aplysia kurodai* FaNaC homology model. Different subunits in different shades of blue, approximate position of cell membrane in gray. Right, 43 FaNaC-specific amino acid residues (dark blue spheres) in a single subunit of model. C, example current responses to FMRFa at oocytes expressing WT or mutant *Aplysia kurodai* FaNaC channels. The scale bars represent x, 5 s; y, 1 μA. D, mean (± SEM) FMRFa potency (3 μM FMRFa-gated current amplitude/30 μM FMRFa-gated current amplitude: “I3 μM/I30 μM”) was compared by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test: dark blue, not significantly different to WT or significantly greater than WT; light blue, significantly less than WT (p < 0.05); white, not significantly different from zero. Individual data points shown as faint symbols, n = 3 to 14. FaNaC, FMRFa-gated sodium channel; FMRFa, Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-amide.
decreases in FMRFa potency caused by F231G (small currents activated by 100 μM), I281T, G316T, R324N, S447V, and R529Q (negligible, if any, current activated by 100 μM) than by S184A (EC50 ≈ 30 μM, Fig. 4, C and D).

Seeking a potential explanation for the large effects of these mutations on FMRFa potency, we examined their location in a homology-based structural model of Aplysia FaNaC. Remarkably, F231, I281, G316, S447, and R529 all line a pocket at the interface of adjacent subunits in the extracellular domain of the channel (Fig. 4E). As the side chain of an additional residue, R288, auspiciously orients directly into this pocket, we also generated an R288Q mutant and observed that this mutation also abolished FMRFa potency (Fig. 4, B and D). We thus arrived at a list of seven major determinants of FMRFa activity in Aplysia FaNaC, F231, I281, R288, G316, S447, and R529, which line the extracellular pocket at the interface of adjacent subunits, and R324, which is in a loop immediately downstream of the β-strand containing G316 (Fig. 4E).

**Molecular determinants of neuropeptide activity in mollusk and annelid FaNaCs and WaNaCs**

We next tested if the close phylogenetic relationship of mollusk and annelid FaNaCs and WaNaCs is reflected in similar molecular determinants of neuropeptide activity at these channels. We mutated Capitella FaNaC and Capitella WaNaC at positions equivalent to the seven crucial residues identified in Aplysia FaNaC and tested mutant channels for responses to FMRFa or AWVGDKSLSWa (Fig. 5A). In Capitella FaNaC, only R278Q and G306T mutations, equivalent to Aplysia FaNaC R288 and G316, abolished FMRFa-gated currents (green in Fig. 5B). In Capitella WaNaC, R255Q, R291N, and R472Q mutations, equivalent to Aplysia FaNaC R288, R324, and R529, abolished AWVGDKSLSWa-gated currents (pink in Fig. 5B). Thus, the glycine residue in β-strand 9 (G316 in Aplysia FaNaC) seems crucial for FMRFa activation of FaNaCs; the arginine residue in β-strand 7 (R288 in Aplysia FaNaC) is crucial for neuropeptide activation of both FaNaCs and WaNaCs, and generally, arginine residues make a substantial contribution to channel sensitivity to neuropeptides.

**Conserved determinants of neuropeptide sensitivity in distantly related DEG/EnaC channels**

ASICs are members of the DEG/EnaC superfamily that are distantly related to FaNaCs (Fig. S1). ASICs are found in numerous bilaterians, including annelids, but are best described in mammals (27). Mammalian ASICs are closed at pH ≥ 7.4 and transiently activated by, for example, pH 5, but if resting channels are exposed to very small drops in pH, for example to pH 7, they enter a desensitized state and
Figure 6. Determinants of FRRFa modulation of rat ASIC1a. A, pH 5.3 (filled black bars)-gated currents after preincubation in desensitizing pH (unfilled bars) alone or in 50 μM FRRFa (blue bars) at oocytes expressing WT or mutant rat ASIC1a (rASIC1a). The scale bars represent x, 10 s; y, 200 nA. Desensitizing pH described in Figure S4. B, mean (± SEM, n = 4–7) enhancement (currentb/currenta from (A)) of desensitized current amplitude by 50 μM FRRFa at indicated rat ASIC1a mutants. ASIC, acid-sensing ion channel.

Discussion

We sought to establish the molecular determinants of FRRFa activity at FaNaCs by a comparative analysis of the FaNaC family. Our results lead to two major conclusions. Firstly, FaNaCs are found in multiple spiralian lineages, and in certain lineages, they have evolved sensitivity to other peptides. Secondly, a pocket in the extracellular domain of FaNaCs and other DEG/ENaCs contains several amino acid residues whose mutation abolishes FRRFa and/or other neuropeptide activity.

FaNaC evolution

Our combined phylogenetic and experimental analysis offers good evidence for a broader FaNaC family including mollusk, brachiopod, and annelid genes (blue in Fig. 1A). Within the FaNaC family, we see a well-supported clade of annelid genes encoding channels gated by FRRFa and/or other peptides from RFamide, FVRamide, RYamide, and Wamide propeptides (green in Fig. 1A) and a well-supported clade of mollusk and brachiopod genes encoding channels gated by FRRFa and similar peptides from only the RFamide propeptide (upper clade in Fig. 1A). This suggests that the last common ancestor of annelids and mollusks had at least one gene encoding a FaNaC. At least two rounds of FaNaC gene duplications are evident in annelids, with one of the copies gaining sensitivity also to other neuropeptides such as Wamides, FVRlamides, and LFRYamide. Some of these genes, such as Malacoceros WaNaC\textsuperscript{156824}, have lost sensitivity to FRRFa.

More precise conclusions, especially on the early diversification of FaNaCs in annelids and mollusks, would need more extensive phylogenetic sampling and functional tests of the annelid and brachiopod genes (toward the top of Fig. 1A) that we were unable to characterize and that did not fall into well-supported clades. The fact that the closest relatives of the FaNaC family in our tree, genes from platyhelminths and phoronids, do not appear to form FRRFa-gated channels, tentatively suggests that FaNaCs as we know them emerged in an early ancestor of annelids + brachiopods + mollusks, after this lineage split from the lineage to platyhelminths. However, this interpretation suffers from (a) poor branch support and
(b) the possibility that genes we have not tested—in the platyhelminth/phoronid clade and in various other clades—could form FMRFa-gated channels. The fact that such diverse DEG/ENaCs as FaNaCs, ASICs, and hydrozoan peptide-gated sodium channels are sensitive to RFamides, whether activated or only modulated, also suggests that peptide-sensitive channels may be “hiding” in other branches of the DEG/ENaC tree.

**Molecular determinants of FMRFα sensitivity in FaNaCs and other DEG/ENaCs**

Our results identify a conserved arginine residue in FaNaCs and WaNaCs that is required for channel activation by FMRFα and Wamides. Six other conserved FaNaC/WaNaC residues also contribute to FMRFα or Wamide potency but only in certain channels. According to our homology-based structural model of *Aplysia* FaNaC, six of the seven determinants of potency line a pocket formed by the interface of adjacent subunits in the channel’s extracellular domain. The crucial arginine side chain (R288 in *Aplysia* FaNaC) orients from the left subunit into the middle of the pocket.

Knowledge of the structure of DEG/ENaCs derives mostly from X-ray crystallography of chick ASIC1 (28), on which our *Aplysia* FaNaC model is based. Our model suffers from low-sequence identity with the template (21%) and long loops between extracellular β-strands relative to the template. Therefore, the location and orientation of F231 and I281 in our model is questionable. However, the location of R288, G316, S447, and R529 in β-strands forming the interfacial pocket, and even R324 in a “thumb domain” loop downstream of G316 (28), seems more reliable: throughout the DEG/ENaC family these segments are more conserved, have less indels, and are also close to conserved cysteine residues that stabilize structure via disulfide bonds (Fig. S3). The crucial arginine, in particular, may have a similar orientation and functional role in neuropeptide sensitivity in diverse DEG/ENaCs. It was not identified in our initial comparative analysis because rather than being FaNaC-specific, a basic arginine or lysine residue occurs at this position in numerous DEG/ENaCs (Fig. S3). Our observation that FRFRα enhancement of rat ASIC1a was abolished by the K246A mutation is consistent with a conserved role of this basic residue in neuropeptide sensitivity (but see later).

The few previous studies that probed the determinants of FMRFα sensitivity in FaNaCs were limited by our understanding of the FaNaC family at the time. These mutagenesis studies addressed the relatively small difference between two snail FaNaCs, from *Helix aspersa* (EC$_{50}$ = 3 μM) and *Helisoma trivolvis* (EC$_{50}$ = 100 μM). Although the substitution of a 32 aa segment (including the residue equivalent to *Aplysia* FaNaC F231) from *Helix* FaNaC into *Helisoma* FaNaC conferred 3 μM FMRFα sensitivity on the latter (23), and several *Helisoma*-oriented substitutions in *Helix* FaNaC caused moderate decreases in FMRFα potency (24), such *Helix*-specific amino acid residues are not necessarily correlated with FMRFα sensitivity throughout the broader FaNaC family and are unlikely to account for the substantial functional differences between FaNaCs and other channels. These functional differences instead seem to derive from the seven determinants identified presently. Indeed, with knowledge of these seven determinants, we revisited the sequences of genes that did not respond to neuropeptides when expressed in oocytes in our experiments (asterisks/nonbold font in Fig. 1A). The mollusk *Pinctada fucata* 4392.1_09318.t1 sequence differs from *bona fide* FaNaCs at most of the key positions, with (*Aplysia* FaNaC numbering) F231L, I281Y, R288T, G316A, and R324Y differences. Certain annelid sequences from both major branches of the FaNaC family (Fig. 1A, blue and green) that did not form peptide-gated channels also differed at some of these key positions, including *Malacoceros fuliginosus* ON156821 (R288V, G316A, and R324K) and ON156823 (F231L and I281S). This tentatively suggests that those channels express but do not respond to FMRFα or similar peptides due to divergence at these amino acid positions.

Several studies have considered RFamide modulation of ASICs, given the therapeutic potential of peptide modulators of vertebrate ASICs. Rat ASIC1a S274 (equivalent to *Aplysia* FaNaC G316), whose mutation to alanine abolished FRFRα enhancement of ASIC1a in our hands, was previously implicated in the different levels of FMRFα enhancement of rodent compared to human ASIC1a (19). Rat ASIC1a S274 is close to several other residues whose mutation in human ASIC1a decreases FRFRα enhancement, although these other residues line a vestibule formed just above the channel pore, enclosed by the three subunits of the trimeric channel (29), rather than the more external pocket we describe presently. Similarly, FRFRα enhancement of rat ASIC3 is drastically reduced by mutations in this same vestibule, also called the nonproton ligand-sensing domain (30). This raises the possibility that the rat ASIC1a K246A mutation, which abolished FRFRα enhancement in our hands, could do so due to an indirect involvement of K246 in enhancement of ASIC1a upon RFamide binding to a different site. This would also mean that distinct RFamide-binding sites occur throughout the DEG/ENaC family. Whether hydrozoan peptide-gated sodium channels (31), which are more closely related to ASICs than FaNaCs in sequence (Fig. S1), depend more on the external extracellular pocket or on the central vestibule for their activation by hydrozoan RFamides remains to be explored.

**Potential roles of extracellular pocket in neuropeptide activity**

Residues in the extracellular pocket of FaNaC could determine peptide activity by forming the binding site for peptides or by mediating conformational changes required for channel opening in response to peptide binding elsewhere. Our experiments do not distinguish between these possibilities, but the interfacial, extracellular location of the pocket is reminiscent of binding sites in other ligand-gated ion channel families. In trimeric ATP-gated channels, phylogenetically distinct from DEG/ENaCs but structurally similar and with a similarly sized agonist, ATP binds in the extracellular domain at the interface of adjacent subunits, causing conformational changes that lead to channel opening (32). Similarly, in pentameric ligand-gated
ion channels, such as excitatory nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, agonist binding to the interface of adjacent subunits causes the left subunit to close around the agonist pulling the lower parts of the receptor into an open channel state (33). The remaining ligand-gated ion channel superfamily of tetrameric ionotropic glutamate receptors is distinct, in that their structure consists of an extracellular agonist-binding domain contained within each subunit, derived from a soluble substrate-binding protein in bacteria (34).

We speculate that RFamides and Wamides bind to the interfacial pocket, where the two aromatics of the neuropeptide could form hydrophobic interactions with F231 or I281 or cation–π interactions with R288 or R529. Similarly, the basic arginine or lysine side chain in most of these neuropeptides could engage with hydrophilic moieties in the channel pocket, such as the S447 side chain or main chain carbonyls from the loops at the top of the pocket, or form cation–π interactions with the receptor or within the neuropeptide itself. Such interactions are exemplified by the binding mode at other channels of certain peptide toxins and synthetic peptides, some of which, like FMRFa, contain arginine and aromatic side chains in close proximity (35–37). Foreseeably, conformational changes in this part of the extracellular domain of FaNaCs could be mechanically coupled to channel gating via the β-strand containing G316 and, slightly downstream, the loop containing R324, a loop previously implicated in channel gating of diverse DEG/ENaCs (38, 39).

**Outlook**

As the molecular basis for FMRFa activity at its receptors was previously unknown, we employed a combination of phylogenetics and experimental dissection to investigate FMRFa activity at FaNaCs. In addition to identifying the molecular determinants of FMRFa activity at both gastropod and annelid FaNaCs and WaNaCs, this approach also disentangled evolutionary relationships between these FaNaC family members. Furthermore, it uncovered a molecular and functional link between FaNaCs and more distantly related ASICs. These results enable better prediction of function from sequence, offer numerous spiralian RFamide-sensitive channels for investigation as potential targets for marine predators employing RFamide venoms (40), and offer a springboard for future studies addressing DEG/ENaC evolution and neuropeptide-binding sites in detail.

**Experimental procedures**

**Sequence assembly and phylogenetic analysis**

To investigate the presence of FMRFa-sensitive channels throughout the metazoans, DEG/ENaC amino acid sequences were sought from four mollusks (C. gigas, O. bimaculoides, P. fucata, and L. gigantea), four cnidarians ( Aurelia aurita, Hydra vulgaris, Nematostella vectensis, and Stylophora pistillata), four annelids (C. teleta, Platynereis dumerilii, M. fuliginosus, and Helobdella robusta), one phoronid (P. australis), one brachiopod ( Lingula anatina), one nemertean (Notopterus geniculatus), one rotifer ( Branchionus plicatilis), one platyhelminth (M. lignano), one arthropod ( Araneus ventricosus), one priapulid ( Priapulus caudatus), two chordates ( Branchiostoma belcheri and Homo sapiens), two echnodermes (Acanthaster planci and Stronglylocentrotus purpuratus), two poriferae ( Amphipholus queenslandica and Ocarsella carmela), one ctenophore ( Mnemiopsis leidyi), and one hemichordate ( Ptychodera flava). Sequences were obtained through BlastP using the A. kurodai FaNaC amino acid sequence (NCBI BAE07082.1) in JGI Capca1 genome (C. teleta, https://mycosom.jgi.doe.gov/pages/blast-query.jsf?db=Capca1 (41)), OIST Marine Genomics Unit (P. fucata, P. australis, N. genitalis, and A. aurita, https://marinogenomics.oist.jp/gallery), M. lignano genome initiative (M. lignano, http://www.macgenome.org/blast/index.html), Campagen Japan (O. carmela, http://203.181.243.155/blat.html), our own M. fuliginosus transcriptome resources (42), or NCBI (for all others, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). After an initial alignment using MAFFT v 7.450 in Geneious (Geneious), removal of >90% identical, redundant sequences and removal of obviously incomplete sequences, sequences were realigned with MAFFT and poor-aligning segments and sequences larger than 1000 aa or shorter than 300 aa were removed. The result was an alignment of 544 sequences with 9829 amino acid positions (or gaps). This alignment was used to generate a maximum likelihood tree with PhyML (http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/phylm/ (43)) using a VT + G substitution model chosen by Smart Model Selection in PhyML (44). Branch support was estimated through aLRT SH-like based method (43).

**Molecular biology, chemicals, and peptides**

Sequences chosen for complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, cDNA transcription and expression were C. gigas XM_011442205.2, O. bimaculoides XM_014930938.1, L. gigantea XM_00905314.1_160261, P. fucata pfu_aug2.0_4392.1_09318.11, C. teleta Capca1-207658, Capca1_52833 (alternative splice variant, Capca1_191096, using fgenesh ab initio models was used), Capca1_185559, and Capca1_212912, M. fuliginosus ON156821, ON156822, ON156823, ON156824, ON156825, ON156826, and ON156827, M. lignano Mlig049925.g2, Mlig051885.g1, and Mlig041003.g1, and P. australis g6004.t1 and g5063.t1. These were selected based on their position in the FaNaC or adjacent clade (Fig. 1A) and to a lesser extent, which animal lineage they represent. A. kurodai BAE07082.1 (Aplysia FaNaC) cDNA in a modified pSP64 vector was provided by Prof. Yasuo Furukawa, Hiroshima University, Japan. Rattus norvegicus NP_077068.1 (rat ASIC1a) in the pRSP6009 vector was provided by Prof. Stefan Gründer, RWTH Aachen University. cDNA for the other sequences was commercially synthesized and subcloned (GenScript) between Sall and BamHI sites in a pSP64 (polyA) vector (Promega) modified based on (45) and containing a C-terminal cMyc tag (Supporting Text).

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed with custom designed primers (Merck) and PCR with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the supplier’s PCR protocol and using primers designed according
to (46). All sequences—mutant and WT—were confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the full insert (Genewiz), cDNAs were linearized with EcoRI, Pdil, or XbaI (ThermoFisher Scientific), and cRNA was transcribed with the mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Peptides were custom synthesized by Genscript, mass was confirmed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, ≥95% purity confirmed by reversed-phase HPLC, and TFA replaced with acetic acid. Salts and other standard chemicals were purchased from Merck.

Heterologous expression and electrophysiology

Defolliculated stage V/VI oocytes from X. laevis were commercially acquired (Ecocyte Bioscience) and stored at 18°C in 50% (in water) Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Gibco) supplemented with additional 0.25 mg/ml gentamicin, 1 mM L-glutamine, and 15 mM Hepes, pH 7.6. Oocytes were injected with 2 ng cRNA (WT or mutant Aplysia FaNaC) and 2 to 60 ng cRNA (WT C. gigas XM_011442205.2, O. bimaculoides XM_014930938.1, L. gigantea XM_009055314.1, L. fucata pfu_aug2.0_4392.1_09318.t1, C. teleta Capca1_207658, Capca1_52833, Capca1_185559, and Capca1_212912, M. lignano Mlig049925.g2, Mlig051885.g1, and Mlig041003.g1, P. fucata g6004.t1 and g5063.t1 and R. norvegicus NP_077068.1 (rat ASIC1a) and respective mutants). Two-electrode voltage clamp experiments were performed 1 to 3 days after injection. The oocyte was placed in an RC-32 bath (Warner Instruments) and bath solution (NaCl: 96 mM, KCl: 2 mM, CaCl₂: 1.8 mM, MgCl₂: 1 mM, Hepes: 5 mM; pH: 7.5) was perfused continuously and rapidly switched to bath solution containing peptide ligands via the VCS-8 pinch valve control perfusion system (Warner Instruments). In initially determining peptide sensitivity of various channels, all peptides were applied at 100 μM, except at Capitella FaNaC52833, which showed slow washout of FMRFa-gated current and was therefore exposed to 3 μM FMRFa, FLRFa, and FMKFa, 30 μM LFYa, and 100 μM PSSFVRIa, GWKNNMRFVa, and AWVGDKSLSWa. Peptides were applied at varying concentrations between 30 nM and 100 μM for concentration-response experiments. The oocytes were clamped at −40 mV for rat ASIC1a experiments and −60 mV for all others with an Oocyte Clamp OC-725C amplifier (Warner Instruments), LIH 8 × 8 digitizer (HEKA Elektronik) at 0.5 or 1 KHz sampling and 100 Hz filtering. Current recordings were analyzed in Clampfit 11.1 (Molecular Devices).

After retrieving current amplitude from pClamp, all data analyses were performed in Prism v9 (GraphPad Software). For peptide or proton concentration-response data, peak current amplitude was plotted against peptide concentrations or pH and fitted with the Hill equation for each recording. These were averaged to give the reported means ± SEM in the main text. For display in figures, a single fit to the average normalized responses (±SEM) is shown. Multiple comparisons were made with one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s comparison to a control value (e.g., comparing with WT) or with Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons.

FaNaC structural model

A rudimentary structural model of A. kurodai FaNaC was generated by uploading its protein sequence to the Swiss model server (47), which suggested chick ASIC1a structure PDB 4NYK (48) as an appropriate template and generated a pdb file, which is visualized in Figures 3 and 4 using PyMOL software (Schrödinger).

Data availability

DNA sequences are included in supporting information. Amino acid sequence alignment and maximum likelihood tree are available at lynaghlab.com/resources. Electrophysiological data points are shown where possible and are available from the corresponding author on request.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information (42, 45).
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