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Abstract

HIF1α, a main transcriptional regulator of the cellular response to hypoxia, also plays important roles in oxygen homeostasis of aerobic organisms, which is regulated by multiple mechanisms. However, the full cellular response to hypoxia has not been elucidated. In this study, we found that expression of SMYD3, a methyltransferase, augments hypoxia signaling independent of its enzymatic activity. We demonstrated SMYD3 binds to and stabilizes HIF1α via co-immunoprecipitation and Western blot assays, leading to the enhancement of HIF1α transcriptional activity under hypoxia conditions. In addition, the stabilization of HIF1α by SMYD3 is independent of HIF1α hydroxylation by prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs) and the intactness of the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) ubiquitin ligase complex. Furthermore, we showed SMYD3 induces ROS accumulation and promotes hypoxia-induced cell apoptosis. Consistent with these results, we found smyd3-null zebrafish exhibit higher hypoxia tolerance compared to their wildtype siblings. Together, these findings define a novel role of SMYD3 in affecting hypoxia signaling and demonstrate that SMYD3-mediated HIF1α stabilization augments hypoxia signaling, leading to the impairment of hypoxia tolerance.
**Introduction**

It is well-known that oxygen profoundly affects physiology of aerobic organisms through multiple mechanisms. Molecular oxygen not only acts as the terminal electron acceptor at complex IV of the respiratory chain that yields energy during aerobic respiration and builds metabolites, but also promotes to change the configuration and function of nucleic acids, sugars, lipids, proteins, and metabolites. Inadequate oxygen availability can lead to cellular dysfunction and even cell death. Under low oxygen (hypoxic) conditions, aerobic organisms utilize their cardiovascular system and respiratory system, to ensure adequate oxygen delivery to cells and tissues. In addition, cells undergo adaptive changes to initiate gene expression that either enhance oxygen delivery or promote survival (1). In addition, hypoxic conditions can also trigger oxidative stress by generating uncontrolled reactive oxygen species (ROS) in mitochondria, which may pose a threat to cell survival. ROS, a generic term for a large family of oxidants derived from molecular oxygen, can be neutralized by catalase, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase. However, under hypoxic conditions, disturbances in electron transport are associated with electron leakage from the respiratory chain, giving rise to increased ROS, which may be toxic to cells if ROS levels are not attenuated (2-5).

In the process of hypoxia adaptation, the hypoxia signaling pathway mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) plays a pivotal role (6-11). As a key modulator of the transcriptional response to hypoxic stress, HIF is a heterodimer of bHLH-PAS proteins consisting of an O$_2$-labile alpha subunit (HIF$\alpha$) and a stable beta subunit (HIF1$\beta$)/(ARNT) that binds hypoxia response element (HREs). Aerobic organisms possess three HIF$\alpha$ proteins, of which HIF1$\alpha$ and HIF2$\alpha$ are the most structurally similar containing two transactivation domain (N-terminal transactivation domain [NTAD] and C-terminal transactivation domain [CTAD]) (6,10).

Under well oxygenated (normoxic) conditions, HIF$\alpha$ subunit is hydroxylated at two highly conserved prolyl residues by the prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs) (also called EglNs), whose activity is regulated by O$_2$ availability (6,12,13). Hydroxylated HIF$\alpha$ generates a binding site for being recognized by the von Hippel-Lindau (pVHL) tumor suppressor protein complex, which is an ubiquitin ligase complex. As a result, HIF$\alpha$ is polyubiquitinated and subjected to proteasomal degradation. Under hypoxic conditions, PHDs activity is diminished, leading to stabilization and accumulation of HIF$\alpha$ proteins. Stabilized HIF$\alpha$ proteins dimerize with HIF1$\beta$, translocate to the nucleus, and induce transcription of genes involved in hypoxia adaptation or tolerance (6,7). The factors affecting hypoxia signaling pathway mainly impact on HIF$\alpha$ protein stability (14-16). In addition, FIH (factor that inhibits HIF) mediated asparagine hydroxylation impairs the transcriptional activity of HIF by interrupting
the interaction between HIF and the transcriptional cofactor CBP/p300 (17).

In addition to oxygen-dependent hydroxylation, HIFα is also regulated by other post-translational modifications, including ubiquitination/deubiquitination, phosphorylation, acetylation/deacetylation, SUMOylation, methylation, S-Nitrosylation, Glycosylation and neddylation (16) (18). Most of these modifications are enzymatically driven, leading to either increased or decreased HIFα stability (19-30). Notably, some binding partners with enzymatic activity can also affect HIFα stability or activity independent of their enzymatic activity (31,32).

SMYD3 is a member of the SMYD lysine methylase family containing two conserved structural domains: the catalytic Su (var) 3–9, Enhancer-of-zeste and N-terminal Trithorax (SET) domain, which is split by a Myeloid-Nervy-DEAF1 (MYND) domain(33). The SET domain of SMYD3 is comprised of two sections: the S-sequence, which may function as a cofactor binder as well as for protein–protein interactions, and the core SET domain, which functions as the primary catalytic location domain, and the C-Terminal Domain (CTD) (33-35). SMYD3 plays an important role in the methylation of various histone and non-histone targets involved in tumorigenesis and affecting transcriptional regulation (36-42) In addition, it was reported previously that the oncogenic function of SMYD3 is partially independent on its methyltransferase activity (43,44).

Whether or not SMYD3 involved in hypoxia signaling is still not understood. In this study, we show that SMYD3 interacts with HIF1α and stabilizes HIF1α independent of its methyltransferase activity, leading to the augment of the hypoxia signaling, the accumulation of ROS, and the enhancement of hypoxia-induced cell apoptosis. By zebrafish model, we found that disruption of smyd3 facilities zebrafish hypoxia tolerance, which might be resulted from the impact of smyd3 on hypoxia signaling.

**Results**

**SMYD3 augments hypoxia signaling**

We have previously identified that the monomethyltransferase, SET7, represses hypoxia signaling by catalyzing HIF-α methylation (30). To determine whether other methyltransferases also involved in hypoxia signaling, initially, we examined expression of a series of methyltransferases in HEK293T cells under hypoxia. As shown in Figure 1A, the typical hypoxia responsive genes, including GLUT1, BNIP3, PDK, PGK1, and VEGF (30,31,45), were greatly induced under hypoxia, suggesting the hypoxic condition was achieved expectedly. Among the methyltransferase genes tested, SMYD2, SMYD4, SETD1A, EZH1, EZH2, and SUV420H1 were
upregulated under hypoxia, but, only SMYD3 was significantly suppressed (Figure 1A), which provoked us to further test the impact of SMYD3 in affecting hypoxia signaling. Subsequently, we examined whether the effect of hypoxia on SMYD3 expression is dependent of HIF signaling. In H1299 cells, the expression of SMYD3 was significantly suppressed under hypoxia (Fig. S1A). However, in ARNT-deficient H1299 cells (ARNT<sup>−/−</sup>) (Fig. S1B), hypoxia failed to induce expression of PGK1, a typical HIF1α target gene (Fig. S1C), but could still suppress expression of SMYD3 (Fig. S1D). In addition, we added PX478 to inhibit HIF1α activity and then checked the effect of hypoxia on SMYD3 expression (46). When PX478 (100 μM) was added, hypoxia failed to induce expression of PGK1 (Fig. S1E), but could still suppress expression of SMYD3 (Fig. S1F). These results suggest that the effect of hypoxia on SMYD3 is independent of HIF signaling.

To determine the effect of SMYD3 on hypoxia signaling, we overexpressed SMYD3 in HEK293T cells and examined expression of hypoxia-responsive genes under normoxia or hypoxia. Ectopic expression of SMYD3 promoted expression of typical hypoxia responsive genes, including GLUT1, PGK1, and VEGF, under hypoxia (Figure 1B-D). To further confirm these observations, we changed direct-hypoxia treatment to the addition of DFX or CoCl<sub>2</sub>, two widely-used hypoxia mimic conditions (47,48), and then examined the effect of SMYD3 on hypoxia responsive gene expression. Consistently, overexpression of SMYD3 also enhanced expression of GLUT1, PDK1, PGK1 and BNIP3 (Figure 1E-J). SMYD3 is reported to downregulate the protein level of p53 (49) and p53 plays vital roles in hypoxia signaling (50). To exclude whether the effect of SMYD3 on hypoxia signaling was mediated by p53, we examined the effect of SMYD3 on hypoxia signaling in p53-deficient H1299 cells. Similar results were obtained by H1299 cells (Figure S1G-I). In contrast, knockout of SMYD3 in HEK293T cell resulted in a reduction of expression of GLUT1, PGK1, PDK1 or BNIP3 under hypoxia or CoCl<sub>2</sub> treatment (Figure 2A-F). Moreover, expression of Glut1 and Pgtk1 was also reduced in Smyd3-deficient (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup>) mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEF) compared to the wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) (Figure 2G-I). However, reconstitution of Smyd3 by lentivirus infection in Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> MEF cells recovered the induction of expression of Pgtk1 and Vegf compared to the empty virus control (pHAGE) (Figure 2J-L). HIF1α expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Figure S2A-D). In addition, knockdown of SMYD3 by shRNAs in HEK293T cell resulted in a reduction of expression of GLUT1, PDK1 or PGK1 under hypoxia (Figure S2E-H). Moreover, SMYD3 had similar effect on HIF2α as that on HIF1α in HEK293T cells (Figure S3A-F). These data suggest that SMYD3 augments hypoxia signaling.
SMYD3 binds to and stabilizes HIF1α, leading to an increase of nuclear HIF1α and enhancement of HIF1α-mediated target genes expression.

Given that HIF1α is one of the master regulators of hypoxia signaling, the enhancement of SMYD3 on hypoxia responsive gene expression promoted us to test whether this effect is mediated by HIF1α. Co-expression of SMYD3 together with HIF1α caused an induction of expression of GLUT1, PGK1 and VEGF mediated by ectopic expression of HIF1α in HEK293T cells (Figure 3A-C). HIF1α expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Figure S4A).

We next examined whether SMYD3 interacted with HIF1α. Co-immunoprecipitation assays indicated that ectopic-expressed HA-SMYD3 interacted with ectopic-expressed Myc-HIF1α (Figure 3D). Semi-endogenous co-immunoprecipitation assays indicated that ectopic-expressed HA-SMYD3 interacted with endogenous HIF1α under hypoxia (Figure S4B). Endogenous interaction between SMYD3 and HIF1α was further confirmed in HEK293T cells under hypoxia (Figure S4C). In Smyd3+/+ MEF cells, but not in Smyd3−/− MEF cells, endogenous Smyd3 interacted with endogenous HIF1α (Figure 3E). Furthermore, we examined whether the protein stability of HIF1α is affected by SMYD3. Co-expression of SMYD3 together with HIF1α caused HIF1α protein level was increased steadily (Figure 3F). Overexpression of SMYD3 upregulated endogenous HIF1α protein level under hypoxia (Figure S4D). By contrast, the endogenous Hif1α protein level was lower in Smyd3-null MEF cells (Smyd3−/−) compared to that in Smyd3-intact MEF cells (Smyd3+/+) under hypoxia (Figure 3G). Consistently, reconstitution of Smyd3 in Smyd3−/− MEF cells caused an increase of Hif1α protein under hypoxia (Figure 3H).

Since stabilized HIF1α needs to translocate into the nucleus to function as a transcription factor; therefore, we investigated the effect of SMYD3 on the nuclear HIF1α levels. Notably, overexpression of SMYD3 enhanced HIF1α protein in the nuclei of HEK293T cells (Figure S4E). In agreement, Hif1α protein level was higher in the nuclei of Smyd3+/+ MEF cells compared to the nuclei of Smyd3−/− MEF cells, which was further confirmed by confocal microscopy (Figure 3I-J). Consistently, in cycloheximide pulse chase assay, overexpression of SMYD3 attenuated degradation of co-expressed HIF1α in HEK293T cells (Fig. S4F).

These data suggest that SMYD3 interacts with and stabilizes HIF1α, leading to an increase of nuclear HIF1α and enhanced HIF1α-mediated expression of target genes.

The induction of HIF1α target gene expression and stabilization of HIF1α by SMYD3 are independent of
HIF1α hydroxylation and pVHL intactness.

Hydroxylation of HIF1α and subsequent proteasomal degradation mediated by pVHL E3 ubiquitin ligase complex plays a central role in HIF1α regulation. We further investigated whether regulation of HIF1α by SMYD3 relies on this way. Ectopic expression of SMYD3 enhanced HIF1α protein level (Figure S5A) and expression of GLUT1, PGK1 and PDK1 induced by addition of FG4592, a specific inhibitor of prolyl hydroxylases (Figure 4A-C) (51). These data suggest that the induction of HIF1α target genes expression by SMYD3 might not be dependent of HIF1α hydroxylation. Furthermore, we knocked out VHL in HEK293T cells and then examined the effect of SMYD3 on hypoxia signaling (Figure S5B). As expected, in VHL+/− HEK293T cells, the hypoxia responsive genes, including GLUT1, PGK1, PDK1, LDHA, BNIP3, PHD3 and PKM2, were increased compared to those in VHL+/+ HEK293T cells (Figure S5C), indicating that VHL was disrupted in HEK293T cells efficiently. Ectopic expression of SMYD3 in VHL+/− HEK293T cells enhanced HIF1α protein level (Figure S5D) and hypoxia responsive gene expression (Figure 4D-F) in a dose-dependent manner. These data suggest that the induction of HIF1α target genes expression by SMYD3 is independent of pVHL intactness.

In addition, co-expression of SMYD3 together with HIF1α caused HIF1α protein level was increased steadily, which was not affected when the two prolyl residues (P402/P564) were mutated (HA-HIF1α-DM) (P402A/P564A) (Figure S5E-F). Furthermore, when FG4592 was added either in an increase of dose or in an extended time cause, the protein level of endogenous Hif1α in Smyd3+/− MEF cells kept higher than that in Smyd3−/− MEF cells (Figure 4G-J).

Taken together, these data suggest that the induction of HIF1α target gene expression and stabilization of HIF1α by SMYD3 is independent of HIF1α hydroxylation and pVHL intactness.

The stabilization and activation HIF1α by SMYD3 are independent of its methyltransferase activity.

Given that SMYD3 serves as a methyltransferase, we sought to determine whether the modulation of HIF1α by SMYD3 was mediated by the enzymatic activity of SMYD3. Under hypoxia, ectopic expression of enzymatic-inactive mutant of SMYD3 (SMYD3-F183A) still enhanced expression of PGK1 and PDK1 in HEK293T cells, similar to its wildtype form (Figure 5A-B).

In addition, the enzymatic-inactive mutant of SMYD3 (SMYD3-F183A) still interacted with co-expressed HIF1α under normoxia (Figure 5C) and endogenous HIF1α under hypoxia (Figure S6A). Consistently, overexpression of SMYD3-F183A had similar effect on co-expressed HIF1α protein stability as that of wildtype
SMYD3 in either HEK293T cells or H1299 cells (Figure 5D-E). In addition, overexpression of SMYD3-F183A still enhanced HIF1α protein stability in H1299 cells under hypoxia (Figure S6B).

Taken together, these data suggest that SMYD3 stabilizes and activates HIF1α independent of its methyltransferase activity.

**SMYD3 induces ROS accumulation and enhances hypoxia-induced cell apoptosis.**

Many studies have reported that reduction of the cytotoxic ROS level is associated with cell survival during hypoxia adaptation (52), and that aberrant control of mitochondrial ROS levels is a major factor resulting in cell apoptosis with long-term exposure to hypoxic environments (53). We examined the effect of SMYD3 on ROS accumulation. Hypoxia treatment significantly induced ROS accumulation, while much lower levels of intracellular and mitochondrial ROS were detected in Smyd3−/− MEF cells compared to Smyd3+/+ MEF cells by flow cytometry assay (Figure 6A-D).

To determine the biological consequences of the transcriptional activity enhancement of HIF1α by SMYD3, we compared cell apoptosis between Smyd3+/+ and Smyd3−/− MEF cells under hypoxia. More apoptotic cells were detected in Smyd3+/+ MEF cells compared to Smyd3−/− MEF cells by flow cytometry assay, which was further confirmed by confocal microscopy (Figure 7A-B).

Subsequently, we examined the effect of overexpression of Smyd3 on cell apoptosis. In contrast, overexpression of Smyd3 enhanced cell apoptosis under hypoxia as detected by flow cytometry assay, which was further confirmed by confocal microscopy (Figure 8A-B).

These data suggest that Smyd3 enhanced hypoxia-induced apoptosis, which might be mediated by HIF1α.

**Disruption of smyd3 in zebrafish facilitates hypoxia tolerance.**

*SMYD3* is evolutionary conserved among human, mouse and zebrafish (Figure 9A). In zebrafish ZFL cells, ectopic expression of zebrafish *smyd3* caused an increase of expression of hypoxia responsive genes under hypoxia, including *pdk1, vegf* and *phd3* (Figure 9B-D), suggesting that the function of *SMYD3* might be conserved between mammals and zebrafish. To determine the physiological role of the transcriptional activity enhancement of HIF1α by *SMYD3*, we took advantage of zebrafish model. We knocked out *smyd3* in zebrafish via CRISPR/Cas9 and obtained one mutant line (Figure 10A). Heteroduplex mobility assay (HMA) and qPCR assay indicated that *smyd3* was disrupted efficiently in zebrafish (Figure 10B-C). One predicted peptide with
176 amino acids might be produced in smyd3-null zebrafish (Figure 10D). By crossing smyd3 \(^{+/+}\) (♀) \(\times\) smyd3 \(^{+/+}\) (♂), the offspring with smyd3 \(^{+/+}\), smyd3 \(^{+/-}\) and smyd3 \(^{-/-}\) genetic backgrounds were born at a Mendelian ratio (1:2:1) and no obvious defects in growth rate and reproduction capability were detected in smyd3 \(^{-/-}\) zebrafish under normal conditions.

In agreement with the observations by cell culture system, under hypoxia, expression of hypoxia-responsive genes, including pdk1, vegf and phd3 was significantly lower in smyd3 \(^{-/-}\) zebrafish compared to those in smyd3 \(^{+/+}\) zebrafish (Figure 10E-G). We simultaneously put smyd3-null zebrafish (smyd3\(^{-/-}\); KO) and their wildtype siblings (smyd3\(^{+/+}\); WT) into a hypoxia workstation (5%) and compared their hypoxia tolerance. At the beginning (1 h), no difference in behaviors was observed between smyd3\(^{-/-}\) and smyd3\(^{+/+}\) zebrafish. However, 2 hours later in the hypoxia workstation (5%), smyd3\(^{+/+}\) zebrafish, but not smyd3\(^{-/-}\) zebrafish, exhibited abnormal swimming behavior (Video S1; Figure 10H, left panel). After 4 hours in the hypoxia workstation (5%), smyd3\(^{+/+}\) zebrafish started to die (Figure 10H, middle panel). After 5-6 hours in the hypoxia workstation (5%), all of smyd3\(^{+/+}\) zebrafish were dead, but smyd3\(^{-/-}\) zebrafish were still alive (Video S2; Figure 10H, right panel). It appeared that smyd3\(^{-/-}\) zebrafish were more resistant to hypoxic condition.

These data suggest that smyd3 impairs hypoxia tolerance, which might be mediated by its enhancement role on HIF1\(\alpha\) transcriptional activity.

**Discussion**

The modulation of HIF1\(\alpha\) activity by its binding partners has been widely recognized, particularly, the most of these binding partners with enzymatic activity can regulate HIF1\(\alpha\) activity through multiple post-translational modifications, leading to the impacts on HIF1\(\alpha\) activity in hypoxia signaling pathway (16,30,31,45,54-58). Among them, lysine methylation of HIF1\(\alpha\) have been widely investigated. SET7-mediated monomethylation and LSD1-mediated demethylation of HIF1\(\alpha\) at lysine 32 synergistically regulates the stability and activity of HIF1\(\alpha\) (30,59,60), while mono- and di-methylation of HIF1\(\alpha\) at lysine 674 by G9a/GLP inhibits its transcriptional activity and expression of its downstream target genes (61). However, whether other methyltransferases also involved in hypoxia signaling remains largely unknown. SMYD3 is a well-defined methyltransferase (34-36). Here, we identify that SMYD3 binds to and enhances HIF1\(\alpha\) activity, leading to the impairment of hypoxia tolerance, which is independent of its enzymatic activity. Of note, some binding partners with enzymatic activity also can affect HIF1\(\alpha\) function independent of their enzymatic activity (31,62-64).
Therefore, it might be a common phenomenon that the proteins can affect HIF1α activity only through protein-protein interaction. However, due to the lack of structure data about the interaction between SMYD3 and HIF1α, we cannot provide more information for understanding the process and the underlying mechanisms of HIF1α activity enhancement by SMYD3.

SMYD3 contains two conserved structural domains: the MYND domain and the SET domain; the SET domain is consisted of the S-sequence, the core SET domain and the C-terminus domain. The S-sequence is responsible for cofactor binding, while the core SET domain is responsible for the catalytic activity of the methyltransferase (33). Here, we find that SMYD3 binds and stabilizes HIF1α, leading to enhanced hypoxic signaling independent of its enzymatic activity. To further identify which structural domain of SMYD3 interacts HIF1α might give insights into the detailed mechanisms of SMYD3 for acting its roles in hypoxic signaling.

Given an importance of hypoxia signaling in tumor progression and cell metabolism, the present studies are mainly focused on investigating the effects of HIF1α binding partners in affecting these processes (65) (19,58,66-76). In fact, the roles of hypoxia signaling in hypoxia adaptation and tolerance have been noticed, particularly for high-altitude adaptation (77-81). High altitude is defined as areas over 2500 meters above sea level, in which the ambient oxygen is much lower than low altitude area. Humans living in these areas often face great challenges due to low oxygen. Genetic evidences indicate that some human genes have gone through adaptive mutation for high altitude adaptation, and the most of them are the core components of hypoxia signaling pathway (78). In this study, by cell culture system and zebrafish model, we found that disruption of Smyd3 impairs hypoxia-induced cell apoptosis, leading to the facilitation of hypoxia tolerance. These observations not only support an important contribution of HIF1α in hypoxia tolerance, but also provide a practical research model for testing hypoxia tolerance by zebrafish model. To further use zebrafish as a model to investigate the factors involved in the regulation of hypoxia signaling as well as their impacts on hypoxia tolerance might open a new window for understanding the mechanisms of high-altitude adaptation.

In this study, we show that SMYD3 enhances hypoxia-induced cell apoptosis, resulting in the impairment of hypoxia tolerance. However, the multiple functions of HIF1α have been identified, SMYD3 may also affect HIF1α functions other than hypoxia tolerance, such as tumorigenesis, cell metabolism, etc. To further figure out the other effects of SMYD3 mediated through HIF1α will help us to fully understand the physiological role of SMYD3 in hypoxia signaling and the underlying mechanisms.
Experimental procedures

Cell line and culture conditions
HEK293T and H1299 cells originally obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbeccos’ modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (VivaCell Biosciences) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. RCC4 cells were provided by Peter J. Ratcliffe and maintained as described previously (30). Zebrafish liver (ZFL) cells were provided by Dr. Shun Li and maintained as described previously (82). Smyd3-deficient or wildtype mouse embryo fibroblast cells (MEFs) (Smyd3⁻/⁻ or Smyd3⁺/⁺) were established as described previously (83) and cultured in DMEM supplemented with sodium pyruvate (110 mg/L), 10% FBS, 1 × nonessential amino acids (Sigma) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. During hypoxia treatment, the cells were cultured under hypoxic condition (1% O₂, 5% CO₂, and balanced with N₂) by using the NBS Galaxy 48R incubator. The cells were transfected with various amounts of plasmids as indicated by VigoFect (Vigorous Biotech, Beijing, China).

Quantitative real-time PCR assay
Total RNAs were extracted using RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa Bio., Beijing, China). cDNAs were synthesized using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Quantitative RT–PCR assays (qPCR) were conducted with MonAmp™ SYBR® Green qPCR Mix (high Rox) (Monad Bio., Shanghai, China). The procedure was done according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The primers for quantitative RT-PCR assays are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Antibodies and chemical reagents
Anti-SMYD3 (#ab199361) antibody was purchased from Abcam. Antibodies including anti-HIF1α (#36169), anti-VHL (#68547), anti-Histone H3 (#4499), anti-HIF2α (#7096), anti-ARNT (#5537), and normal rabbit IgG (#2729) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-ACTB (#AC026) antibody was purchased from ABclonal. Anti-HA (#901515) antibody was purchased from Covance. Anti-Myc (#SC-40) and anti-GAPDH (#SC-477242) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-α-tubulin (#62204), Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (#A11008), Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-mouse IgG (#A11005), CM-H₂DCFDA (#C6827) and MitoSOX™ Red (#M36008) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. CoCl₂ (#C8661) and Deferoxamine mesylate salt (DFX) (#D9533) were purchased from Sigma. FG4592 (#S1007) and PX478
(#S7612) were purchased from Selleck. Cycloheximide (#HY-12320) was purchased from MCE.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western blot**

Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis were performed as described previously (45). Anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose beads (#A2095) was purchased from Sigma. Protein G Sepharose (#17-0618-01) was purchased from GE HealthCare Company. The blots were photographed with the Fuji Film LAS4000 mini-luminescent image analyzer. The protein levels were quantified with Image J software (National Institutes of Health) based on the band density obtained by Western blot analysis.

**CRISPR-Cas9 knockout cell lines**

To generate HEK293T knocked-out cell lines of indicated genes, sgRNA sequence were ligated into Lenti-CRISPRv2 plasmid and then co-transfected with viral packaging plasmids (psPAX2 and pMD2.G) into HEK293T cells. Six hours after transfection, medium was changed, and viral supernatant was collected and filtered through 0.45-μm strainer. Targeted cells were infected by viral supernatant and selected by 1 μg/ml puromycin for 2 weeks. The sgRNA sequence targeting *VHL* was described as previously (84). The sgRNA sequence targeting *SMYD3* is: 5’-CCAAGAAGTCGAACGGAGTC-3’. The sgRNA sequence targeting *ARNT* is: GTGCagogtcTCTATAGCCCT.

**Lentivirus-mediated gene transfer**

HEK293T cells were transfected with pHAGE-Smyd3 or pHAGE empty vector with the packaging vectors psPAX2 and pMD2.G. Eight hours later, the medium was changed with fresh medium containing 10% FBS, 1% streptomycin–penicillin, and 10 μM β-mercaptoethanol. Forty hours later, supernatants were harvested and filtered through 0.45-μm strainer, and then used to infect *Smyd3*-deficient MEF cells (*Smyd3*−/−).

**Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy**

Immunofluorescence staining was conducted as previously described (83). Cells were seeded on glass coverslips and cultured as indicated. Then the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at 25 °C. After washing three times by PBS, the slides were blocked in the blocking buffer (5% goat serum, 2 mg/mL BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, followed by
incubation with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG or Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-mouse IgG for 1 h at 25 °C. Subsequently, the slides were mounted with VECTASHIELD® mounting medium containing DAPI, and photographed with Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscope.

**Nucleus and cytoplasm separation**

Nucleus and cytoplasm separation was conducted with the Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit (#78833, Thermo Scientific) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The extracts were analyzed by western blot analysis. To ensure the efficiency of fraction separation, anti-α-tubulin antibody was employed to monitor cytoplasmic proteins and anti-Histone H3 antibody was used to monitor nuclear proteins.

**Measurement of intracellular ROS level**

MEF cells were cultured under hypoxia as indicated. After treatment, MEF cells were collected and counted. Cells (1 × 10⁶) were incubated in PBS solution containing 1 μM of CM-H₂DCFDA (#C6827, Thermo Fisher) at 37 °C for 60 min, and then washed with PBS three times, followed by flow-cytometric analysis.

**Measurement of mitochondrial ROS level**

MEF cells were cultured under hypoxia as indicated. After treatment, MEF cells were collected and washed with PBS. Then the cells were incubated in PBS solution containing 5 μM of MitoSOX™ Red (# M36008, Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at 37 °C, and then washed gently three times with PBS, followed by flow-cytometric analysis.

**Detection of Apoptotic Cells**

MEF cells were cultured under hypoxia or treated with DFX as indicated. For flow cytometry analysis, the cells were harvested and stained with FITC-Annexin V and PI with FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I (#556547, BD Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Apoptotic cells were detected using Beckman CytoFLEXS and the data were analyzed with CytExpert software. Besides, the cells were stained with Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (#C1062, Beyotime) according to the manufacturer’s instructions in 6-well plate, and imaged under a fluorescent microscope Nikon TE2000-U.
Generation of smyd3-null Zebrafish

Disruption of smyd3 in zebrafish was accomplished via CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Zebrafish smyd3 sgRNA was designed using the tools provided in the CRISPR Design web site (http://crispr.mit.edu). The sgRNA sequence targeting smyd3 is: 5’-TCTGCCGTCCGGCCTCGAC-3’ and sgRNA was synthesized using the Transcript Aid T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Fermentas). Cas9 RNA and sgRNA were prepared as described previously (82), and then mixed and injected into embryos at the one-cell stage. Mutant detection was followed by HMA as described previously (30). If the results were positive, the remaining embryos were raised to adulthood and treated as F0. The F0 zebrafish were backcrossed with the wildtype zebrafish to generate F1, which were genotyped by HMA and then confirmed by sequencing of target sites. The F1 zebrafish harboring the mutations were backcrossed with the wild-type zebrafish to obtain F2. The F2 adult zebrafish with the same genotype (+/-) were intercrossed to generate F3 offspring, which should contain wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/-), and homozygous (-/-) offspring. The primers for detecting mutants were 5’-ATCTCGACATGAGTGAG-3’ (forward) and 5’-CACCAGTCAGACGCAGCAGACAGCAG-3’ (reverse). The zebrafish smyd3 mutant was named smyd3<sup>ihbsm3</sup> (https://zfin.org/ZDB-ALT-220302-1) following zebrafish nomenclature guidelines (zfin.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/general/pages/1818394635/ZFIN+Zebrafish+Nomenclature+Conventions).

Hypoxia Treatments of zebrafish

Hypoxia treatments of zebrafish were conducted in the hypoxia workstation (Ruskinn INVIVO2 I-400) as described previously (85). For zebrafish larvae (3 days post-fertilization [dpf]) experiment, two dish were filled with 10 ml of water. Smyd3-null larvae (3 dpf, n = 30) (sm<sup>-/-</sup>) were put into one dish, and their wild-type siblings (3 dpf, n = 30) (sm<sup>+/+</sup>) were put in the second dish. The oxygen concentration in the hypoxia workstation was adjusted to 2% ahead of time. Then, two dishes were put into the hypoxia workstation simultaneously. 4 hour later, the samples were harvested for qPCR analysis. This experiment was repeated three times. For the adult zebrafish (3-month post-fertilization [mpf]) experiment, zebrafish of similar weight were chosen for further experiments. Two flasks were filled with 200 ml of water. Three smyd3-null zebrafish (sm<sup>-/-</sup>) were put into one flask, and three wildtype siblings (sm<sup>+/+</sup>) were put into the second flask. The oxygen concentration in the hypoxia workstation was adjusted to 5% ahead of time. After putting the flasks containing zebrafish into the hypoxia workstation, the behavior of the zebrafish was closely monitored. All animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Institute of
Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism software (7.0) was used for all statistical analysis. Results with error bars express mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed by using Student’s two-tailed t test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical significance is represented as follows: * p< 0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001.

Data availability

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Xing Liu and Wuhan Xiao.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. SMYD3 augments hypoxia signaling.

(A) Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis of mRNA levels of indicated lysine methyltransferase genes and hypoxia signaling target genes in HEK293T cells under normoxia (21% O\textsubscript{2}) or hypoxia (1% O\textsubscript{2}) for 24 h.

(B-D) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (B), PGK1 (C) and VEGF (D) mRNA in HEK293T cells transfected with or without pCMV-SMYD3 under normoxia (21% O\textsubscript{2}) or hypoxia (1% O\textsubscript{2}) for 24 h.

(E-G) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (E), PDK1 (F) and BNIP3 (G) mRNA in HEK293T cells transfected with or without pCMV-SMYD3 and treated with DFX (150μM) or DMSO as a control for 8 h.

(H-J) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (H), PGK1 (I) and BNIP3 (J) mRNA in HEK293T cells transfected with or without pCMV-SMYD3 and treated with or without CoCl\textsubscript{2} (200 μM) for 8 h.

EV, pCMV empty vector (control). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

Figure 2. Loss of SMYD3 diminishes hypoxia signaling.

(A) Immunoblotting of indicated proteins in SMYD3-deficient or wildtype HEK293T cells (SMYD3\textsuperscript{−/−} or SMYD3\textsuperscript{+/+}).

(B, C) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (B) and PGK1 (C) mRNA in SMYD3-deficient or wildtype HEK293T cells (SMYD3\textsuperscript{−/−} or SMYD3\textsuperscript{+/+}) under normoxia (21% O\textsubscript{2}) or hypoxia (1% O\textsubscript{2}) for 24 h. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

(D-F) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (D), PDK1 (E) and BNIP3 (F) mRNA in SMYD3-deficient or wildtype HEK293T cells (SMYD3\textsuperscript{−/−} or SMYD3\textsuperscript{+/+}) treated with or without CoCl\textsubscript{2} (200 μM) for 8 h. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

(G) Immunoblotting of indicated proteins in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3\textsuperscript{−/−} or Smyd3\textsuperscript{+/+}).

(H, I) qPCR analysis of Glut1 (H) and Pgtk1 (I) mRNA in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3\textsuperscript{−/−} or Smyd3\textsuperscript{+/+}) under normoxia (21% O\textsubscript{2}) or hypoxia (1% O\textsubscript{2}) for 24 h. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ***p<0.001. Data from three independent experiments.

(J) Immunoblotting of indicated proteins in Smyd3-deficient MEF cells reconstituted with or without wildtype Smyd3 by lentivirus.

(K, L) qPCR analysis of Pgtk1 (K) and Vegf (L) mRNA in Smyd3-deficient MEF cells reconstituted with or without wildtype Smyd3 by lentivirus under normoxia (21% O\textsubscript{2}) or hypoxia (1% O\textsubscript{2}) for 24 h. Data show mean
± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05. Data from three independent experiments.

Figure 3. SMYD3 binds to and stabilizes HIF1α, leading to an increase of nuclear HIF1α and enhancement of HIF1α-mediated target genes expression.

(A-C) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (A), PGK1 (B) and VEGF (C) mRNA in HEK293T cells co-transfected with Myc-HIF1α or Myc empty vector (control) together with pCMV-SMYD3 or pCMV empty vector (EV) (control) for 24 h. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Data from three independent experiments.

(D) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-SMYD3 with Myc-HIF1α. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with indicated plasmids for 24 h. Anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose beads were used for immunoprecipitation, and the interaction was detected by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

(E) Endogenous interaction between Smyd3 and Hif1α. Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under hypoxia for 4 h and anti-HIF1α antibody was used for immunoprecipitation.

(F) Immunoblotting of exogenous Myc-HIF1α expression in H1299 cells transfected with an increasing amount of HA-SMYD3 expression plasmid (HA empty vector [-] was used as a control).

(G) Immunoblotting of endogenous HIF1α expression in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under normoxia (21% O<sub>2</sub>) or hypoxia (1% O<sub>2</sub>) for 4 h. The relative intensities of Hif1α were determined by normalizing the intensities of Hif1α to the intensities of Gapdh. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05. Data from three independent experiments.

(H) Immunoblotting of endogenous Hif1α expression in Smyd3-deficient MEF cells reconstituted with or without wildtype Smyd3 by lentivirus under normoxia (21% O<sub>2</sub>) or hypoxia (1% O<sub>2</sub>) for 4 h. The relative intensities of Hif1α were determined by normalizing the intensities of Hif1α to the intensities of Gapdh. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

(I) Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) were cultured under hypoxia for 4 h. Western blot analysis was used to detect Smyd3 and Hif1α in cytosol and nuclear fractions.

(J) Confocal microscopy image of endogenous Hif1α in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under hypoxia for 4 h. Scale bar = 50 μm.

Figure 4. The induction of HIF1α target genes expression and stabilization of HIF1α by SMYD3 are
independent of HIF1α hydroxylation and pVHL intactness.

(A-C) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (A), PGK1 (B) and PDK1 (C) mRNA in HEK293T cells transfected with or without pCMV-SMYD3 for 24 h, followed by treatment with DMSO or FG4592 (100μM) for 8 h. EV, pCMV empty vector (control). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

(D-F) qPCR analysis of GLUT1 (D), PDK1 (E) and VEGF (F) mRNA in VHL-deficient HEK293T cells (VHL−/−) transfected with an increasing amount of pCMV-SMYD3 expression plasmid. pCMV empty vector was used as a control (-). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

(G) Immunoblotting of endogenous Hif1α expression in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3−/− or Smyd3+/+) treated with an increasing amount of FG4592 for 6 h.

(H) The relative intensities of Hif1α in (G) determined by normalizing the intensities of Hif1α to the intensities of Gapdh.

(I) Immunoblotting of endogenous Hif1α expression in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3−/− or Smyd3+/+) treated with an increasing time of FG4592 (100μM) for 0-6 h.

(J) The relative intensities of Hif1α in (I) determined by normalizing the intensities of Hif1α to the intensities of Gapdh.

Figure 5. SMYD3 stabilizes and activates HIF1α independent of its methyltransferase activity.

(A, B) qPCR analysis of PGK1 (A) and PDK1 (B) mRNA in HEK293T cells transfected with expression plasmids encoding wildtype SMYD3 or its enzymatically dead mutant SMYD3-F183A (HA empty vector [EV] was used as a control) under normoxia (21% O2) or hypoxia (1% O2) for 24 h. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ns, not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

(C) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-SMYD3-F183A with Myc-HIF1α. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with indicated plasmids for 24 h. Anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose beads were used for immunoprecipitation, and the interaction was detected by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

(D, E) Immunoblotting of exogenous Myc-HIF1α expression in HEK293T (D) or H1299 (E) cells transfected with expression plasmids encoding wildtype SMYD3 or its enzymatically dead mutant SMYD3-F183A (HA empty vector [-] was used as a control). The relative intensities of HIF1α were determined by normalizing the
intensities of HIF1α to the intensities of GAPDH. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ns, not significant, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Data from three independent experiments.

**Figure 6. Deficiency of SMYD3 alleviates ROS accumulation.**

(A-B) Intracellular ROS levels in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under normoxia or hypoxia detected by flow cytometry analysis. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

(B) Mitochondrial ROS levels in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under normoxia or hypoxia detected by flow cytometry analysis. Data show mean + SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

**Figure 7. Disruption of SMYD3 protects cells against hypoxia induced apoptosis.**

(A) Apoptotic cells in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under normoxia or hypoxia detected by flow cytometry analysis. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

(B) Apoptotic cells in Smyd3-deficient or wildtype MEF cells (Smyd3<sup>−/−</sup> or Smyd3<sup>+/+</sup>) under normoxia or hypoxia detected by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar = 100 μm.

**Figure 8. Reconstitution of Smyd3 in Smyd3-deficient cells promotes hypoxia induced apoptosis.**

(A) Apoptotic cells in Smyd3-deficient MEF cells reconstituted with or without wildtype Smyd3 by lentivirus under normoxia or hypoxia detected by flow cytometry analysis. Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ***p<0.001. Data from three independent experiments.

(B) Smyd3-deficient MEF cells were reconstituted with or without wildtype Smyd3 by lentivirus and treated with DFX (150μM) or DMSO as a control for 24 h. Apoptotic cells were detected by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar = 100 μm.

**Figure 9. Zebrafish smyd3 augments hypoxia signaling.**

(A) Alignment of smyd3 amino acid sequences from human, mouse and zebrafish and the consensus sequence is shown in the below.
(B-D) qPCR analysis of pdk1 (B), vegf (C) and phd3 (D) mRNA in ZFL cells transfected with or without pCMV-smyd3 and cultured under normoxia (21% O2) or hypoxia (1% O2) for 24 h. EV, pCMV empty vector (control). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Data from three independent experiments.

Figure 10. Disruption of smyd3 in zebrafish facilitates hypoxia tolerance.

(A) Scheme of the sequence information in smyd3-null zebrafish. Seven–base pair nucleotides (5’-TGCCGTC-3’) were deleted in exon 5 of smyd3 in the mutant, resulting in a reading frame shift.

(B) Verification of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated zebrafish smyd3 disruption by HMA (heteroduplex mobility assay).

(C) QPCR analysis of smyd3 mRNA in smyd3-deficient or wildtype zebrafish larvae (smyd3−/− or smyd3+/+) (3dpf). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. ****p<0.0001. Data from three independent experiments.

(D) The predicted protein products of smyd3 in the mutants (176 aa) and their wild-type (429 aa) siblings. aa, amino acids.

(E-G) qPCR analysis of pdk1 (E), vegf (F) and phd3 (G) mRNA in smyd3-deficient or wildtype zebrafish larvae (smyd3−/− or smyd3+/+) (3dpf) under normoxia (21% O2) or hypoxia (2% O2). Data show mean ± SD; Student’s two tailed t-test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Data from three independent experiments.

(H) The survival of wildtype (smyd3+/+; left flask) and smyd3-null (smyd3−/−; right flask) adult zebrafish (3mpf) after 2h, 4h, 6h under hypoxia (5% O2). Red arrows, dying zebrafish.
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