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ABSTRACT

The poisonous metalloid arsenite induces widespread misfolding and aggregation of nascent proteins in vivo, and this mode of toxic action might underlie its suspected role in the pathology of certain protein misfolding diseases. Evolutionarily conserved protein quality-control systems protect cells against arsenite-mediated proteotoxicity and herein, we systematically assessed the contribution of the ubiquitin-proteasome system, the autophagy-vacuole pathway, and chaperone-mediated disaggregation to the clearance of arsenite-induced protein aggregates in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. We show that the ubiquitin-proteasome system is the main pathway that clears aggregates formed during arsenite stress and that cells depend on this pathway for optimal growth. The autophagy-vacuole pathway and chaperone-mediated disaggregation both contribute to clearance, but their roles appear less prominent than the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Our in vitro assays with purified components of the yeast disaggregating machinery demonstrated that chaperone binding to aggregates formed in the presence of arsenite is impaired. Hsp104 and Hsp70 chaperone activity was unaffected by arsenite, suggesting that this metalloid influences aggregate structure, making them less accessible for chaperone-mediated disaggregation. We further show that the defect in chaperone-mediated refolding of a model protein was abrogated in a cysteine-free version of the substrate, suggesting that arsenite directly modifies cysteines in non-native target proteins. In conclusion, our study sheds novel light on the differential contributions of protein quality-control systems to aggregate clearance and cell proliferation, and extends our understanding of how these systems operate during arsenite stress.
INTRODUCTION

Cells maintain a functional proteome (protein homeostasis or proteostasis) using protein quality-control (PQC) systems that regulate protein synthesis, folding, localization, abundance and degradation. The PQC systems include molecular chaperones that promote folding of nascent proteins into their functional conformation, help misfolded proteins to refold into their native structure, or facilitate the degradation of misfolded and damaged proteins. Cellular PQC also comprise degradation pathways such as the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and the autophagy-lysosome system that eliminate damaged, misfolded and aggregated proteins. The accumulation of misfolded and aggregated proteins is detrimental for cells and organisms, and occurs when the activity of PQC systems decline, e.g. during many age-related diseases, or when cells are exposed to environmental stress that causes extensive protein misfolding which overwhelms the PQC systems (1,2).

Arsenic is prevalent in the environment and this toxic metalloid poses a substantial threat to human health with 100-200 million people estimated to be at risk (3). Exposure to arsenic can cause cancers of the skin, bladder, lung, liver and kidney as well as cardiovascular, respiratory, dermatological, endocrine and neurological disorders (4,5). Chronic exposure is also associated with neurodegenerative and age-related disorders that are characterized by the accumulation of protein aggregates, including Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease (6,7). Arsenic manifests its toxicity through multiple mechanisms. At the cellular level, arsenic interferes with redox metabolism and induces oxidative stress, impairs DNA repair mechanisms, and inhibits protein function and activity. Trivalent arsenite [As(III)] has high reactivity with sulfhydryl groups, and binding to proteins with vicinal cysteine residues can alter protein conformation, function and interactions (5,8,9).

It is becoming clear that arsenic also has a profound impact on protein homeostasis and PQC (8,10). Studies in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* demonstrated that As(III) induces widespread protein misfolding and aggregation *in vivo* by targeting nascent or non-folded proteins (11). Proteome-wide studies showed that abundant proteins with high translation rates and extensive physical interactions are primarily susceptible to aggregation during As(III) stress (12). These aggregation-prone proteins are also characterized by multiple chaperone interactions. Additionally, As(III)-aggregated protein species form seeds that increase the misfolding and aggregation of other proteins (11). Consequently, yeast cells allocate a substantial part of their genome to PQC during As(III) stress (13) and respond to
As(III)-induced proteotoxicity through multiple mechanisms. Expression of genes involved in protein folding, protein degradation, As(III) chelation, and As(III) detoxification are induced while expression of genes encoding aggregation-prone proteins and protein biosynthesis-related genes are repressed (12,14-16). In addition, cells repress translation to ensure proteostasis and cell viability during As(III) stress (13). These responses mitigate the proteotoxic effects of As(III) by restricting intracellular arsenic levels, protecting the proteome from harmful arsenic interactions, and preventing the detrimental accumulation of misfolded and aggregated proteins (11,13-15,17). The impact on protein homeostasis and PQC contributes to the toxicity of arsenic and may underlie its suspected role in the etiology of protein misfolding disorders (8,10-13,18). Nevertheless, much remains to be understood about the mechanistic details of how As(III)-induced protein aggregates are formed in vivo and how cells regulate PQC systems to protect against toxic aggregates.

In this work, we systematically assessed the contribution of PQC systems to the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates focussing on the UPS, the autophagy-vacuole pathway and chaperone-mediated disaggregation. Our data show the differential contribution of these PQC systems to aggregate clearance and proliferation during As(III) stress where the UPS is predominant while the other pathways have auxiliary roles. We also demonstrate that chaperone binding to aggregates formed during As(III) stress is impaired, possibly due to As(III)-induced changes in aggregate structure.
RESULTS

Intracellular ATP levels are maintained during As(III) exposure.

Cellular ATP levels are critical for PQC because many proteins involved in protein folding and degradation require ATP hydrolysis for function (1). Moreover, a decline in ATP has been shown to be accompanied by increased protein aggregation (19), high and stable ATP levels prevent protein aggregation in vivo (20) and ATP by itself can keep unstructured proteins soluble (21). Thus, alterations in cellular ATP concentrations during As(III) exposure could potentially affect protein solubility and PQC. Therefore, we measured the intracellular ATP concentration in exponentially growing yeast cells exposed to As(III) using a concentration (0.5 mM) that triggers widespread protein aggregation (11,13) but only impacts growth to a moderate extent (Fig. 1A). We found that the ATP levels were similar in unexposed and As(III)-exposed yeast cells (Fig. 1B). In a control experiment, we starved cells for glucose, a condition known to deplete cellular ATP (22), in the absence and presence of As(III). The addition of As(III) to glucose-starved cells resulted in a faster decline in ATP concentration (Fig. 1C). This was expected since As(III) has been shown to inhibit glycolysis in human cells by targeting hexokinase 2 (23). These results suggest that exponentially growing yeast cells maintain intracellular ATP levels during As(III) exposure.

To address whether maintaining ATP levels during As(III) stress is important for proteostasis, we used green fluorescence protein-tagged Sis1 (Sis1-GFP), an essential Hsp40 co-chaperone (24) that associates with aggregation-prone proteins during proteotoxic stress (25-27) including As(III) (11,13), as an aggregate marker. Exponentially growing cells were exposed to 0.5 mM As(III) and the percentage of cells containing Sis1-GFP foci/protein aggregates was scored. After 1 h of exposure, nearly all wild type cells contained Sis1-GFP foci (Figs. 1D, S1A). The fraction of wild type cells with Sis1-GFP foci/aggregates declined during sustained As(III) exposure (Figs. 1D, S1A) and this decline was approximately 2-fold faster than the increase in cell number (Fig. S1B), suggesting an active aggregate dissolution or clearing mechanism. It was recently shown that the AMP-activated protein kinase Snf1 and the adenylate kinase Adk1 prevent protein aggregation in unstressed yeast cells by maintaining intracellular ATP levels (20). The adk1Δ mutant, that has 25-40% lower ATP levels than the wild type (20), had higher protein aggregation levels than the wild type at the 3 h and 5 h time-points (Fig. 1D), indicating defective aggregate clearance during As(III) stress. The snf1Δ mutant, that has 10-25% lower ATP levels than the wild type (20), showed a minor clearance defect (Fig. 1D). The adk1Δ and
snf1Δ mutants were As(III) sensitive, and the degree of sensitivity correlated with their ATP levels and clearance defects with adk1Δ showing a strong sensitivity whilst snf1Δ was mildly sensitive (Fig. 1E). Taken together, these results imply that cells maintain ATP levels during As(III) stress to ensure proteostasis. Thus, ATP-dependent PQC systems are likely to have sufficient ATP available for proper functioning during As(III) stress.

The UPS plays a major role in the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates.

To evaluate the contribution of the UPS to aggregate clearance during As(III) stress, we used the S. cerevisiae disaggregase Hsp104-GFP as an aggregate marker (11,13). As with Sis1-GFP, nearly all wild type cells had Hsp104-GFP foci/protein aggregates after 1 h of exposure whereafter the fraction of cells with aggregates declined (Figs. 2A, S1A). In contrast to wild type cells, the rpn4Δ mutant that lacks the Rpn4 transcriptional regulator of UPS-encoding genes (28) and has reduced proteasomal activity (11), was clearly defective in clearance: the fraction of cells with aggregates was significantly higher in rpn4Δ than in the wild type at all time-points (Fig 2A). Notably, after 5 h of incubation with As(III), 80-90% of rpn4Δ cells contained aggregates. Chemical inhibition of UPS activity with MG132, added at the same time as As(III), resulted in a higher fraction of cells with aggregates at the 3 h and 5 h time-points (Fig. 2B), indicative of defective clearance. Similarly, aggregate clearance was affected in the pre1-1 pre4-1 mutant (Fig. 2C) that has reduced proteasome activity due to mutations in the β-type subunits of the catalytic 20S core of the proteasome (29). Note that the WCG4 strain background of the pre1-1 pre4-1 mutant is highly As(III) sensitive (11), explaining the slow clearance in the WCG4 wild type compared to the BY4741 background used for the other experiments in this study. Thus, genetic or chemical inhibition of UPS activity results in defective clearance. In a reciprocal assay, we found that a strain lacking Ubr2 (ubr2Δ) that has elevated proteasomal activity due to Rpn4 stabilization (30) showed a significantly faster clearance than the wild type (Fig. 2A).

These results suggested that the UPS plays a major role in the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates, in agreement with earlier observations (11). To substantiate this, we isolated total and aggregated proteins by differential centrifugation and separated the proteins in each fraction using SDS-PAGE followed by quantification. This biochemical assay largely recapitulated the results from the Hsp104-GFP assay above: the amount of aggregated proteins first increased in response to As(III) and then declined over time in wild type cells
whereas the $rpn4\Delta$ mutant contained more aggregated proteins compared to the wild type during exposure (Fig. 2D). We noted that the aggregates persisted longer using the biochemical isolation method than detected by GFP-tagged chaperones: aggregate levels decreased after 3 h, as indicated by Hsp104-GFP (Fig. 2A) or Sis1-GFP (Fig. 1D) foci, whereas the decrease was evident after 5 h using the biochemical isolation method (Fig. 2D).

Since polyubiquitin chains involving lysine-48 (K48) in ubiquitin (Ub) signals the degradation of target proteins by the 26S proteasome (31), we subjected the samples above to immunoblotting using an antibody that specifically recognizes proteins with K48-linked Ub chains followed by signal quantification. As(III) exposure resulted in a clear increase in K48-linked ubiquitination of the proteins in the aggregate fraction (Fig. 2E). After an initial increase, wild type cells showed a decline in aggregated proteins with K48-linked Ub chains during the time-course of the experiment. In contrast, the amount of aggregated proteins with K48-linked ubiquitination remained high in $rpn4\Delta$ (Fig. 2E). Thus, $rpn4\Delta$ cells accumulate aggregated proteins with K48-linked Ub chains during As(III) stress, likely due to the lower proteasomal activity in this mutant (11).

The UPS pathway is important for optimal growth in the presence of As(III): cells with low UPS activity ($rpn4\Delta$ and $pre1\Delta pre4\Delta$ cells) were highly sensitive to As(III) (Fig. 2F) (11) whilst cells with high UPS activity ($ubr2\Delta$) were clearly As(III) resistant (Fig. 2F).

The autophagy-vacuole pathway plays an auxiliary role in the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates.

Besides the UPS, aggregated proteins may be targeted for degradation by the autophagy-vacuole system and previous studies implicated this pathway in proteostasis and resistance during As(III) stress (13,15). Indeed, mutants that lack key components of autophagy Atg1 ($atg1\Delta$) and Atg8 ($atg8\Delta$) (32), were less efficient in aggregate clearance compared to the wild type (Fig. 3A). Likewise, clearance was affected in the $pep4\Delta$ mutant lacking the vacuolar peptidase Pep4 (33,34) (Fig. 3B). Notably, the impact of $ATG1$, $ATG8$ or $PEP4$ deletion on aggregate clearance was smaller than that of $RPN4$ deletion. In contrast to $rpn4\Delta$ and $pre1\Delta pre4\Delta$ cells, As(III) did not affect growth of $atg1\Delta$ or $atg8\Delta$ whilst growth of $pep4\Delta$ was modestly affected (Fig. 3C). Additional deletion of $ATG8$ in $rpn4\Delta$ cells ($atg8\Delta rpn4\Delta$) has previously been shown to exacerbate the As(III) sensitivity of $rpn4\Delta$ (15) pointing to a role of
the autophagy-vacuole system in resistance. Altogether, these results indicate that the majority of the protein aggregates formed during As(III) exposure are marked with K48-linked Ub chains and cleared by the UPS and that this clearance pathway is critical for mitigating As(III) toxicity. The autophagy-vacuole system is less important and may have an auxiliary role.

**Chaperone-mediated disaggregation contributes to aggregate clearance during As(III) stress.**

In *S. cerevisiae*, the chaperone Hsp104 (disaggregase) acts together with cytoplasmic Hsp70 (Ssa1-Ssa4) and Hsp40 co-chaperones (Ydj1 or Sis1) in the disaggregation and reactivation of proteins that have misfolded and aggregated (35). To assess the role of Hsp104-mediated disaggregation in clearance, we exposed wild type cells expressing Hsp104-GFP to As(III) in the absence and presence of guanidium hydrochloride (GuHCl), an inhibitor of Hsp104 activity (36,37). The addition of GuHCl at the same time as As(III) caused a delay in aggregate clearance (Fig. 4A), suggesting that Hsp104 activity may be important for this process. To substantiate this, we next used a mutant version of Hsp104 (Hsp104-Y662A) that can bind to but not disassemble protein aggregates (38). Cells expressing GFP-tagged Hsp104-Y662A were defective in clearance compared to cells expressing wild type Hsp104 (Fig. 4A). To assess clearance in the *hsp104Δ* mutant, we used Sis1-GFP as an aggregate marker. In contrast to the wild type, a higher fraction of *hsp104Δ* cells accumulated Sis1-GFP foci/aggregates in the absence of As(III) and the *hsp104Δ* mutant was defective in aggregate clearance during As(III) exposure (Fig. 4B). Similarly, cells lacking the cytoplasmic Hsp70s Ssa1 and Ssa2 (*ssa1Δ ssa2Δ*) and the Hsp40 co-chaperone Ydj1 (*ydj1Δ*) accumulated more Sis1-GFP foci/protein aggregates in the absence of stress compared to the wild type, and showed delayed clearance during As(III) exposure (Fig. 4C).

The data above implicate Hsp104-mediated disaggregation in the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates. Despite this, growth of the *hsp104Δ* mutant was not affected by As(III) (Fig. 4D) and we asked whether the contribution of Hsp104 to resistance might be masked by the action of the major arsenic detoxification genes *YAP8* and *ACR3* (39). However, additional deletion of *HSP104* in *yap8Δ (hsp104Δ yap8Δ)* or *acr3Δ (hsp104Δ acr3Δ)* cells only marginally increased the As(III) sensitivity of the double mutants (Fig. 4D). Likewise, the As(III) sensitivity of the double *hsp104Δ rpn4Δ* mutant was not exacerbated compared to the single *rpn4Δ*
mutant (Fig. 4E). Similar to hsp104Δ, growth of ssa1Δ ssa2Δ was not affected by As(III) whereas ydj1Δ was highly sensitive (Fig. 4F). Inhibition of Hsp104 by addition of GuHCl marginally increased the As(III) sensitivity of ydj1Δ and ssa1Δ ssa2Δ cells (Fig. 4G). We conclude that Hsp104-dependent disaggregation contributes to aggregate clearance but is largely dispensable for growth during As(III) stress.

**Hsp104 overexpression is toxic during As(III) stress.**

Since Hsp104 contributes to clearance, we asked whether an increase in Hsp104 activity would be beneficial for aggregate clearance and As(III) resistance. For this, we overexpressed Hsp104 from the inducible GAL1 promoter in wild type cells harbouring a genomic copy of Hsp104-GFP. We also expressed a so-called ‘potentiated’ version of Hsp104 (Hsp104-A503V) that has elevated ATPase, disaggregate, and unfoldase activities (40). Overexpression of wild type Hsp104 did not affect aggregate clearance during As(III) exposure whereas expression of Hsp104-A503V resulted in less efficient clearance (Fig. 5A). This finding was unexpected given the fact that Hsp104-A503V mitigates the aggregation and toxicity of the neurodegenerative disease-associated proteins α-synuclein and FUS in yeast (40).

Growth assays showed that overexpression of Hsp104 sensitized cells to As(III) (Fig. 5B). Similarly, overexpression of Hsp104-A503V was toxic to cells (40) and the presence of As(III) aggravated its toxicity (Fig. 5B). To address whether the observed toxicity is dependent on Hsp104 activity, we performed the same growth assays in the presence of GuHCl. Indeed, the addition of GuHCl clearly mitigated the toxicity caused by Hsp104 and Hsp104-A503V overexpression, both in the absence and presence of As(III) (Fig. 5C). These findings suggest that a tight control of Hsp104 activity is important for optimal growth during As(III) stress. To test whether Hsp104-induced toxicity is affected by the UPS, we scored growth of rpn4Δ (low proteasomal activity) and ubr2Δ (high proteasomal activity) cells overexpressing Hsp104 or Hsp104-A503V. Albeit being As(III) sensitive, rpn4Δ cells were similarly affected by Hsp104 or Hsp104-A503V overexpression as the wild type. Likewise, the As(III) resistant ubr2Δ mutant was similarly affected by Hsp104 or Hsp104-A503V overexpression as the wild type (Fig. 5B). The absence of phenotypic exacerbation or improvement in the rpn4Δ and ubr2Δ backgrounds respectively, suggests that Hsp104-mediated toxicity is independent of the UPS. We speculate that high protein-unfolding activity is detrimental during As(III) stress, possibly
by the accumulation of misfolded protein species generated by the protein unfolding activity of Hsp104 (41).

**Chaperone binding to aggregates generated in the presence of As(III) is impaired.**
To address how As(III) affects the recovery of proteins from aggregates, we purified chaperones constituting the yeast disaggregating machinery: Hsp104 (disaggregase), Ssa1 (Hsp70), and Ydj1/Sis1 (Hsp40s). Previous *in vitro* studies with the bacterial Hsp70 chaperone system (DnaK, DnaJ, GrpE) demonstrated that As(III) inhibits chaperone-assisted refolding of the denatured and heat-aggregated model protein firefly luciferase (11). With the same experimental setup, we observed a similar degree of inhibition by As(III) of luciferase disaggregation by the yeast Hsp70 (Fig. S2A) and by the bichaperone Hsp70-Hsp104 system (Fig. S2B). Likewise, when we heat-aggregated another protein substrate, GFPuv (a GFP variant optimized for maximal fluorescence when excited by UV light (42)), chaperone-mediated fluorescence recovery was strongly inhibited when As(III) was present throughout the experiment (Fig. 6A).

We next investigated the effect of As(III) on each individual step in the disaggregation cycle: substrate aggregation, chaperone binding, disaggregation, and refolding. First, we tested if As(III) modulates aggregation in a way that would hamper subsequent aggregate dissolution and protein recovery. When GFPuv was heat-aggregated in the presence of increasing concentrations of As(III) and then diluted into the buffer with chaperones and without As(III), the disaggregation rate was negatively correlated with the As(III) concentration present during aggregation (Fig. 6B). This indicates that aggregates generated in the presence of As(III) are worse substrates for chaperones than those formed in its absence. To assess chaperone binding to such aggregates, we used Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI), a technique that allows monitoring the formation of chaperone-aggregate complexes (43). We heat-aggregated luciferase at the tip of a sensor in the presence or absence of As(III). After washing, sensors with aggregates were immersed into buffer containing Ssa1 and Ydj1 and without As(III). We found that Ssa1 and Ydj1 binding to these aggregates was inhibited proportionally to the As(III) concentration present during substrate aggregation (Fig. 6C). Similar results were obtained with aggregated GFPuv (Fig. S3A).
To test if the inhibition is specific to a particular chaperone, we monitored binding to aggregates sequentially: by Ydj1 followed by Ssa1 (Fig. S3B) and by Ydj1-Ssa1 followed by Hsp104 (Fig. S3C) and observed inhibition at each step. As(III) is known to bind to cysteine residues in target proteins and this binding may alter protein function and activity (9). Since Ydj1 contains 11 cysteine residues, As(III) might bind to and inhibit Ydj1 function. To address whether diminished binding of Ssa1 and Hsp104 to aggregates formed in the presence of As(III) is a result of Ydj1 inhibition, we replaced Ydj1 with Sis1 that does not contain any cysteines. Interestingly, with Sis1, both disaggregation (Figs. S4A-D) and binding to aggregates formed in the presence of As(III) (Fig. 6D) were similarly affected as with Ydj1. We also used the highly hyperactive Hsp104 variant Hsp104-D484K, which allows assessing Hsp104 activity independently of Hsp70 (44). Binding of Hsp104-D484K (Figs. S5A, S5B) and all the other analysed chaperones to aggregates generated in the presence of 1 mM As(III) was reduced by approximately 30% (Figs. 6C, 6D, S3A), suggesting that aggregates formed in the presence of As(III) are characterized by limited availability of different chaperone-binding sites.

**Hsp70 and Hsp104 chaperone activity is not directly inhibited by As(III).**

The presence of cysteine residues in Hsp104 (6 cysteines), Ssa1 (3 cysteines) and Ydj1 (11 cysteines) make them potential targets for As(III) binding and inhibition. To address whether As(III) directly inhibits the activity of yeast chaperones, we used cysteine-free SGFP (cfSGFP) (45) as a protein substrate as its folding should not be influenced by As(III) due to the absence of thiol groups. Interestingly, when cfSGFP was heat-aggregated without As(III) and disaggregated by Ydj1-Ssa1-Hsp104 or Sis1-Ssa1-Hsp104 in the presence of increasing As(III) concentration, the rate of fluorescence recovery did not change significantly up to 4 mM As(III) (Figs. 7A, 7B). This observation suggests two things. Firstly, the finding that the defect in chaperone-mediated refolding of the model protein GFPuv (Fig. 6) is abrogated in the cysteine-free version of the substrate cfSGFP (Fig. 7) strongly suggests that the proteotoxic effect of As(III) is due to direct modification of cysteines in non-native target proteins. Secondly, our data also suggest that the activity of the tested chaperones is not affected by As(III). To corroborate this, we performed a BLI experiment with luciferase aggregates generated without As(III) and with the metalloid present only at the chaperone-binding step. This assay could not be performed with Ydj1, which, contrary to Sis1, requires a thiol-
containing reducing agent to avoid unspecific interaction with the sensor. Consistent with the result above, the presence of 1 mM As(III) did not diminish binding of Sis1-Ssa1 (Fig. 7C) or Hsp104-D484K (Fig. S5C) to the sensor-bound luciferase aggregates. This implies that As(III) does not inactivate the yeast disaggregating machinery by direct binding to the chaperones. Instead, As(III) might inhibit disaggregation by affecting aggregate structure in a way that aggregate-trapped polypeptides are less chaperone-exposed and harder to extract from the main body. To explore the latter possibility, we tested if As(III) presence during aggregation hampers subsequent aggregate dissolution by the detergent sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). The thickness of aggregates at the surface of the BLI sensor was not affected by As(III) presence during their formation (Fig. S6). However, upon subsequent aggregate incubation with 50 mM SDS, their thickness was reduced and the remaining aggregate layer was significantly thicker when As(III) had been present at the aggregation step (Fig. S6). This suggests that As(III) makes aggregating proteins harder to solubilise, regardless of whether by a chaperone or a chemical agent.

**De novo protein synthesis is required for efficient aggregate clearance.**

Our data above show that aggregate clearance during As(III) stress depends predominantly on the UPS, but also on Hsp104-Hsp70 chaperones and autophagy. Since exposure to As(III) increases the abundance of components of the above PQC systems (11,14,15), we tested whether such increase is important for aggregate clearance. Cells expressing Hsp104-GFP were exposed to As(III) and after 1 h the culture was divided where the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) was added to one half of the culture. The addition of CHX strongly attenuated clearance and the fraction of cells with aggregates remained high throughout the time-course of the experiment (Fig. 8). Hence, *de novo* protein synthesis is required for efficient aggregate clearance during As(III) exposure.
DISCUSSION

Arsenic is a global health hazard and a risk factor for pathological conditions associated with protein misfolding and aggregation, including neurodegeneration and cancer (4-7). Despite of this, our understanding of the underlying mechanisms and cellular responses is limited. Here, we provided novel insights into mechanisms that safeguard proteostasis and cell growth during As(III) exposure.

Chaperone-mediated protein folding and UPS-dependent protein degradation require ATP and a failure in maintaining high ATP levels was recently shown to result in increased protein aggregation (20). Thus, As(III) could potentially cause protein aggregation or hamper aggregate clearance by ATP depletion. Here, we showed that exponentially growing yeast cells maintain ATP levels during As(III) stress to ensure proteostasis and growth: As(III) concentrations that caused substantial protein aggregation did not impact cellular ATP levels in vivo whereas mutants with reduced intracellular ATP levels (snf1Δ, adk1Δ) were clearance defective and grew poorly during As(III) stress (Fig. 1). Hence, actively growing cells appear to have sufficient ATP available to power PQC during As(III) stress. In contrast, exposing glucose-starved cells to As(III) resulted in rapid ATP depletion (Fig. 1), and it is reasonable to assume a rapid collapse of proteostasis and reduced cell viability under these conditions.

Several lines of evidence point to the UPS being the main pathway that clears aggregates generated during As(III) exposure. As(III)-treated cells accumulate aggregated proteins with K48-linked Ub chains (Fig. 2), the abundance of proteasomal components and proteasomal activity increases (11,15), rpn4Δ cells have lower proteasomal activity (11) and increased amounts of aggregated proteins with K48-linked Ub chains (Fig. 2), and rpn4Δ is As(III) sensitive (11,15) (Fig. 2). Similarly, chemical inhibition of proteasomal activity affected clearance (Fig. 2) and several mutants defective in proteasomal function and Ub-mediated protein degradation, including pre1-1 pre4-1 cells (Fig. 2), have been shown to accumulate aggregates and to be As(III) sensitive (11,13,46). Conversely, ubr2Δ cells that have enhanced UPS activity (30), showed improved clearance and As(III) resistance (Fig. 2).

Studies in yeast have shown that autophagy is activated (as measured by Atg8-GFP fusion cleavage) after 2-4 h of exposure to high concentrations of As(III) (15) and that several autophagy-related mutants accumulate more aggregates than wild type cells (13). The mutants in the autophagy-vacuole pathway tested here (atg1Δ, atg8Δ, pep4Δ) showed a delay in aggregate clearance (Fig. 3), suggesting that autophagy targets As(III)–aggregated proteins
for vacuolar degradation. While these mutants were not particularly As(III) sensitive (Fig. 3), additional deletion of ATG8 in rpn4Δ cells (atg8Δ rpn4Δ) produced a strong synthetic growth defect on As(III) (15). Altogether, these data indicate that the autophagy-vacuole pathway and UPS each contribute to clearance and resistance, and that the autophagy-vacuole pathway is less prominent than the UPS. Whether the UPS and autophagy pathways are differently regulated during As(III) stress or whether the aggregates targeted have distinct properties, remains to be investigated.

Using genetic and biochemical approaches, we showed that chaperone-mediated disaggregation contributes to aggregate clearance (Fig. 4). The observations that Hsp104, Ssa1 and Ydj1 co-sediment with aggregated proteins during As(III) stress in vivo (11) and that Hsp104, Ssa1/Ssa2 and Ydj1 are required for aggregate clearance (Fig. 4), suggest that these chaperones functionally associate with and actively engage in the disaggregation and refolding (35) and/or the degradation (47) of substrate proteins. The cellular concentration of molecular chaperones, including Hsp104, increases during As(III) exposure (11,13,14), probably to meet the increased demand for protein folding and disaggregation. We were therefore surprised to find that Hsp104 overexpression was toxic in the presence of this metalloid (Fig. 5). This toxicity was suppressed by GuHCl, raising the possibility that high protein-unfolding activity promiscuously targets native proteins resulting in toxic protein species (41,43,44). This might be particularly problematic when cells experience proteotoxic stress caused by As(III). Consistently, the toxicity of potentiated Hsp104-A503V was exacerbated in the presence of As(III) and accompanied with increased protein aggregation. Collectively, these findings suggest that Hsp104 activity must be under strict control during proteotoxic stress, tuned to the cellular need for protein refolding or degradation if the protein damage is irreversible.

As(III) may inhibit protein folding by binding to nascent polypeptide chains and thereby prevent formation of the native protein structure (8,11). We showed here that the defect in chaperone-mediated refolding of the model protein GFPuv was abrogated in the cysteine-free version of the substrate cfSGFP (Figs. 6, 7). This finding provides strong support for the notion that the proteotoxic effect of As(III) is primarily caused by direct modification of cysteines in non-native target proteins. As(III) may also target molecular chaperones and inhibit chaperone-assisted protein folding (8,11). Here, we showed that Hsp104 and the Hsp70 system are not susceptible to As(III) in vitro (Fig. 7) even though these chaperones contain multiple cysteine residues. The disaggregation activity was unaffected throughout an hour-
long experiment even at 4 mM As(III), suggesting durable insensitivity. This is in contrast to the TRiC chaperonin (48) and many studied thiol-containing enzymes (11,49-51) that show gradual or immediate decline in activity at much lower As(III) concentrations. However, since As(III) can target nascent proteins for aggregation (11), we cannot exclude a negative influence of As(III) on chaperones during their synthesis or folding.

Despite the lack of direct inhibition of the chaperones, our in vitro data revealed that As(III) does impair protein disaggregation. When unfolded proteins aggregated in the presence of As(III), chaperone interaction with the aggregated substrates and the resultant protein reactivation were reduced, even when As(III) was absent in the latter steps (Fig. 6). Thus, the previously observed failure of yeast cells to clear heat-induced aggregates in the presence of As(III) in vivo (11) could be a result of reduced ability of chaperones to bind to aggregated substrates and to solubilize them, rather than by inhibition of chaperone activity. Reduced chaperone binding to aggregates may also explain why aggregates were detected for a longer time in As(III)-exposed cells using the biochemical isolation method (Fig. 2D) versus GFP-tagged chaperones (Figs. 1D, 2A). Hence, the dynamics of aggregate formation and clearance in vivo might be underestimated using GFP-tagged chaperones as marker proteins. The fact that the observed inhibition is not chaperone-specific suggests a general change in aggregate properties in the presence of As(III), which may also limit their processing by other PQC systems. We hypothesize that As(III), which interacts with up to three thiols of the polypeptides trapped in aggregates, may act as a non-covalent cross-linker, limiting their disentanglement. This would explain the reduced solubilisation of aggregates that had been generated in the presence of As(III) by SDS (Fig. S6). Consistently, previous in vitro studies indicated that As(III) binding can influence the structure of aggregated model proteins: As(III) interacted with and modulated the amyloid fibre structure of the Parkinson’s disease-associated protein α-synuclein (18) and monomethylated arsenite (monomethylarsenous acid) induced the formation of amyloid-like fibrils of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A (52). Constrained dynamics of polypeptides within the aggregate might limit the ability of chaperones to penetrate the surface and to access their binding sites. This would be in line with the previously observed inhibition of Hsp70 system binding to chemically cross-linked aggregates (53).

The impaired capacity to bind to aggregates might also explain why Hsp104 is largely dispensable for growth and survival during As(III) stress (Fig. 4) (54). Earlier data suggested
that intracellular As(III) is predominantly protein-bound during acute stress (17). Hence, survival might be linked to the acute phase when target proteins are vulnerable for As(III) interactions and the As(III)-containing aggregates are not (fully) accessible for Hsp104-mediated disaggregation. These aggregates are probably marked by Ub for subsequent proteasomal degradation or targeted by the autophagy pathway. The dispensability of Hsp104 for survival under As(III) stress is in sharp contrast to the importance of chaperone-mediated protein rescue for thermotolerance of yeast and bacterial cells (55,56). Although our data indicate that As(III) can influence the structure of aggregated model proteins, more work is required to firmly establish whether As(III)-induced aggregates have distinct properties, particularly in the context of their in vivo toxicity. Likewise, it will be important to elucidate whether aggregates formed in the presence of As(III) are processed differently from other aggregates. To this end, we recently identified genes and processes that impinge on proteostasis during As(III) stress (13). It remains to be determined whether the identified factors act specifically on As(III)-induced protein aggregates or whether they represent general proteotoxic stress factors.

Protein misfolding and aggregation is not the only mechanism by which As(III) causes toxicity but acts in parallel with other well-described toxicity mechanisms such as oxidative stress-induced damage to DNA, lipids and proteins, inhibition of DNA repair and disruption of enzyme function (5,8,9). Indeed, we noted that the As(III) sensitivity of a given mutant was not always correlated with aggregate levels, as previously observed (13). The lack of correlation might be a result of a specific function of a gene product in an arsenic resistance pathway that is not linked to protein misfolding and aggregation. Alternatively, the gene product might affect multiple processes that impinge on proteostasis. For example, the lack of sensitivity of hsp104Δ versus the sensitivity of ydj1Δ (Fig. 4) might be a consequence of Hsp104 targeting aggregated proteins to refolding whereas Ydj1 is involved in protein degradation in addition to its role in protein refolding (41,47). Like Ydj1, Ssa1 and Ssa2 are involved in protein degradation in addition to protein refolding; but in contrast to ydj1Δ, ssa1Δ ssa2Δ cells were not As(III) sensitive (Fig. 4). Depletion of Ssa is accompanied by decreased translation in yeast (57). Since decreased translation protects cells from As(III) toxicity (11,13,15), the lack of sensitivity of ssa1Δ ssa2Δ cells might be a consequence of decreased translation in this mutant.
Lastly, we showed that inhibition of *de novo* protein synthesis strongly impaired aggregate clearance during As(III) stress (Fig. 8). This finding suggests that increased abundance of the PQC systems is necessary for clearance and illustrates the dilemma cells face during proteotoxic stress that targets nascent protein folding: by inhibiting translation, as observed in response to As(III) (11,13,15), cells can reduce the amounts of nascent proteins that aggregate; however, by doing so, they also prevent efficient clearance of these potentially toxic aggregates. Thus, cells must perform a delicate balancing act between the need to prevent aggregation and the need to deal with the consequences of aggregation.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Yeast strains, plasmids and culturing conditions. *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study (Table S1) are based on BY4741 (58), the yeast deletion collection (59) and WCG4 (60). Double mutants were generated by crossing haploid single mutants using standard procedures. To create the *hsp104Δ rpn4Δ* double mutant, the hygromycin selection cassette was amplified via PCR from the vector pFA6-hphNT1 (61) with primers carrying 50 bp of homology on either side to the *RPN4* gene. The resulting PCR product was purified and transformed into the *hsp104Δ* strain followed by selection of colonies on YPD plates containing 200 µg/ml of hygromycin. All double mutants were confirmed by PCR. Yeast cells were grown in rich YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose) or in minimal SC (synthetic complete) medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base YNB) supplemented with auxotrophic requirements and 2% glucose or 2% galactose as a carbon source. Growth in liquid cultures was measured by OD (optical density) at 600 nm and growth assays on solid agar were carried out as previously described (62) with sodium arsenite (NaAsO₂) (Sigma-Aldrich) added to the cultures at the indicated concentrations. The plasmids used have been described previously and include Sis1-GFP (25), Hsp104, and potentiated Hsp104-A503V behind the GAL1 promoter (40).

Measurements of intracellular ATP concentration. Measurements of the average intracellular ATP concentration were made by first quenching the cells with boiling buffered ethanol and subsequently extracting the metabolites as described previously (63). The ATP concentration in the extract was then measured using a Biaffin ATP luciferase bioluminescence kit. The sample (standard or extract) was prepared as recommended by the manufacturer and poured into a 0.4 ml quartz cuvette. The cuvette with the sample was then placed in a temperature-controlled cuvette holder (Quantum Northwest, Liberty Lake, WA, USA) mounted in a SPEX Fluorolog spectrofluorometer (Edison, NJ, USA). The spectrofluorometer was operated in the dark mode and the temperature of the sample was maintained at 25°C. Bioluminescence from oxyluciferin was measured at 560 nm and recorded for a period of 150-300 s. The luminescence signals obtained from the extracts were converted to ATP concentration using a standard curve prepared each day of measurement. Next, the protein content of the extract was determined using the Bradford method. Intracellular ATP concentration was then calculated from the ATP concentration determined in the extract and the corresponding protein concentration assuming an intracellular volume of 3.75 µl per mg.
protein (64). All ATP and protein measurements were performed in triplicate. All reagents including the Bradford reagent for protein determination and the Biaffin kit for ATP determination were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

**Fluorescence microscopy.** Yeast cells expressing Hsp104–GFP or Sis1–GFP fusion proteins were grown to mid-log phase in SC medium and exposed to 0.5 mM As(III). Where indicated, 3 mM guanidinium hydrochloride (CH₃N₃ HCl) (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mg/ml of cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) or 100 µM MG132 (AH Diagnostic) were added to the cell cultures. At the indicated time-points, cell samples were fixed with formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and washed with PBS. The GFP signals were observed using a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging) fluorescence microscope equipped with Plan-Apochromat 1.40 objectives and appropriate fluorescence light filter sets. Images were taken with a digital camera (AxioCamMR3) and processed with Zeiss Zen software. To quantify protein aggregation, the total fraction of cells with aggregates (Hsp104–GFP or Sis1-GFP foci) was determined using Image J software.

**Protein aggregate isolation and analysis.** Protein aggregates were isolated as described previously (65). Briefly, cells were grown to log phase in SC medium and exposed to 0.5 mM As(III). At the indicated time-points, 50 ml of cell culture was harvested by centrifugation, washed and resuspended in 300 µl of lysis buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche Diagnostics). Cells were lysed during incubation (30°C for 30 min) with 100 µl lyticase (10 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich), disrupted by sonication (Sonifier 150, Branson, 8 x 5 sec, 50% amplitude) and the samples were adjusted to equal protein concentrations before the isolation of protein aggregates. The insoluble fractions were isolated by centrifugation and resuspended in detergent washes (lysis buffer with 2% NP-40 (Sigma-Aldrich)) by sonication (4 x 5 sec, 50% amplitude). Samples of total protein lysate and insoluble protein aggregates were resuspended in 2X SDS loading buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.7, 6% SDS, 2% glycerol, 10% β-mercaptoethanol and Bromophenol blue) and boiled for 5 min at 95°C. Protein samples were loaded on 10% TGX Stain-Free Gels and visualized using Chemidoc (both from Bio-Rad) with UV-activation. For western blot analysis, protein extracts were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
Membranes were blocked with blocking buffer (5% non-fat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.05% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature, incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody (anti-polyUb K48 linkage at 1:2000 dilution (rabbit, ab1900061, Abcam)), washed with TBS-T, followed by incubation for 2 h at room temperature with the secondary antibody (Starbright700 anti-rabbit-IgG at 1:5000 dilution (10000068187, Bio-Rad)). Membranes were washed with TBS-T and the signal was detected using Chemidoc (Bio-Rad). Images were analyzed using ImageJ. To quantify the levels of protein aggregation, the signal in the aggregate fraction was first normalized to the signal in the corresponding total lysate input and then to the total signal over all samples to allow comparison between gels. To quantify K48 Ub levels in the aggregate fraction, the signal in the aggregate fraction was first normalized to the corresponding total lysate input, and then normalized to the total signal over all samples to allow comparison between blots.

**Proteins.** We used previously published protocols to purify Hsp104 (44), Ssa1 (66), Sis1 (67), Ydj1 (44), His-tagged Luciferase (43), and GFPuv (68). Creatine Kinase was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (10127566001). Untagged Luciferase was purchased from Promega (E1701). cfSGFP gene (45) was synthesized and cloned by Genescript to pET3a plasmid and expressed in the *E. coli* BL21(DE3) codon+ strain. The soluble fraction of the lysate was mixed 1:1 with 96% ethanol and centrifuged. Supernatant was loaded on a Q-Sepharose fast flow (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB) column in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 50 mM NaCl and eluted with 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 300 mM NaCl. Fractions with cfSGFP were dialysed into 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 20% glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, heated for 15 min at 73.2°C and rapidly cooled down to precipitate protein impurities. The soluble fraction was stored at -80°C. Protein concentrations are indicated in the figures. All the protein concentrations refer to monomer.

**GFP disaggregation.** Heat-aggregated GFPuv and cfSGFP renaturation assays were performed as previously described (68) with modifications. The buffer contained sodium arsenite (Sigma-Aldrich, S7400) when indicated in the Figures, and it did not contain reducing agents. GFPuv was aggregated at 85°C and cfSGFP at 77.8°C for 15 min. Fluorescence was measured using the Beckman Coulter DTX 880 Plate Reader. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software.
**Biolayer interferometry (BLI) experiments.** Aggregate-binding experiments were performed as previously described (43,53) with modifications. The hydrated Ni-NTA sensor (ForteBio) was incubated in buffer A (25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8, 15 mM magnesium acetate, 75 mM KCl) with 6 M urea and 8.2 µM His-tagged Luciferase for 10 min and washed with buffer A for 5 min. Next, the sensor was transferred to buffer A containing 1.6 µM of the native His-tagged Luciferase and sodium arsenite at the concentrations indicated in the Figures. After incubation for 10 min at 44°C, the sensor was equilibrated for 10 min with buffer A. As(III) did not affect Luciferase binding to the Ni-NTA sensor nor change the final aggregate thickness. The baseline, chaperone binding, and dissociation steps were performed in buffer A with 10 mM ATP and the indicated concentrations of sodium arsenite. Experimental steps involving Ydj1 were performed in the presence of 2 mM DTT, which was necessary to prevent an unspecific interaction with the sensor. Binding of Hsp104 D484K was performed in the presence of an ATP regeneration system comprising 1.2 µM Creatine Kinase and 20 mM creatine phosphate. The BLI signal was detected using the BLItz and Octet K2 instruments (ForteBio). If not stated otherwise, all the steps were carried out at 25°C.

**Supporting information.** This article contains supporting information (11,38,60,69,70).

**Data availability.** All the data described are contained within the manuscript.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Intracellular ATP levels are maintained during As(III) exposure.

1A, 1B) The impact of As(III) on growth (A) and intracellular concentration of ATP (B) in wild type cells. Sodium arsenite to a final concentration of 0.5 mM was added to exponentially growing yeast cells as indicated by the arrow. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.) from three independent replicates. * indicates a significant difference ($p<0.05$) compared with cells without As(III) (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

1C) Intracellular ATP concentration during glucose starvation. Stationary phase cells were washed and resuspended in phosphate buffer in the presence of 1 mM As(III) or an equivalent amount of NaCl (control). Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent replicates. * indicates a significant difference ($p<0.05$) compared with cells without As(III) (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

1D) Sis1–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy in cells before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Sis1–GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 98-190 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference ($p<0.05$) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

1E) 10-fold serial dilutions of the indicated strains were placed onto agar plates with or without As(III). Growth was recorded after 2–3 days at 30°C. Growth assays were performed with at least two biological replicates and a representative image is shown.

Figure 2. The UPS plays a major role in the clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates.

2A) Hsp104–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy in cells before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Hsp104–GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 101-244 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference ($p<0.05$) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

2B) Protein aggregation (Hsp104–GFP foci) was scored as above (2A) by visual inspection of 105-290 cells per condition and time-point in the absence and presence of 100 µM MG132 added at the same time as 0.5 mM As(III). Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three
independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with cells without MG132 (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

2C) Protein aggregation (Sis1–GFP foci) was scored as above (2A) by visual inspection of 63-204 cells per condition and time-point before and after As(III) exposure. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

2D, 2E) Protein aggregation (D) and K48-linked ubiquitination (E). Wild type (WT) and rpn4Δ (Δ) were exposed to 0.5 mM As(III) and the proteins in the total lysate and aggregate fractions were isolated at the indicated time-points, separated on SDS-PAGE and visualized as described in Experimental procedures. Immunoblotting was performed using an antibody recognizing K48-linked Ub chains. Shown is a representative gel and an immunoblot (upper panel) from at least three independent biological replicates. For quantification, images were analyzed using ImageJ. The signals given by the stain-free gels and the antibody from a blot were first normalized to the total signal per sample and then to the total signal over all samples. Data shown (lower panel) represent the average of 3-5 independent biological replicates with S.D. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

2F) 10-fold serial dilutions of the indicated strains were placed onto agar plates with or without As(III). Growth was recorded after 2–3 days at 30°C. Growth assays were performed with at least two biological replicates and a representative image is shown.

Figure 3. Clearance of As(III)-induced protein aggregates involves the autophagy-vacuolar degradation pathway.

3A, 3B) Hsp104–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy in cells before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Hsp104–GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 98-301 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from two independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

3C) 10-fold serial dilutions of the indicated strains were placed onto agar plates with or without As(III). Growth was recorded after 2–3 days at 30°C. Growth assays were performed with at least two biological replicates and a representative image is shown.
Figure 4. Chaperone-mediated disaggregation contributes to aggregate clearance.

4A) Hsp104–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy in cells before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). Where indicated, Hsp104-Y662A-GFP was used or 3 mM GuHCl was added simultaneously with As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Hsp104–GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 89-236 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from at least two independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with the control (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

4B) Sis1–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Sis1–GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 67-206 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from at least two independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

4C) Protein aggregation (Sis1–GFP foci) was scored as above (4B) by visual inspection of 108-278 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

4D-4G) 10-fold serial dilutions of the indicated strains were plated onto agar plates with or without As(III). GuHCl was added as indicated. Growth was recorded after 2–3 days at 30°C or at 37°C as a control. Growth assays were performed with at least two biological replicates and a representative image is shown.

Figure 5. Hsp104 overexpression is toxic during As(III) stress.

5A) Cells harbouring a genomic copy of Hsp104-GFP and the indicated plasmids were grown on galactose for 3 h to induce expression of the indicated plasmid-encoded genes. Hsp104–GFP distribution was scored by fluorescence microscopy before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Hsp104-GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 123-339 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference (p<0.05) compared with wild type (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

5B) 10-fold serial dilutions of cells harbouring a genomic copy of Hsp104-GFP and the indicated plasmids were plated onto agar plates with or without As(III). The presence of
glucose (Glc) keeps expression from the GAL1 promoter off whilst the presence of galactose (Gal) induces expression of Hsp104 and Hsp104-A503V. Growth was recorded after 2–3 days at 30°C. Growth assays were performed with at least two biological replicates and a representative image is shown.

5C) Cells were grown to log phase in medium containing raffinose and 10-fold serial dilutions of the cultures were plated on agar plates with or without glucose (Glc), galactose (Gal), As(III) or GuHCl. Growth was monitored after 2-3 days at 30°C. Growth assays were performed with three biological replicates and a representative image is shown.

Figure 6. The presence of As(III) during aggregation inhibits chaperone-mediated protein recovery.

6A) Disaggregation and refolding of heat-aggregated GFPuv (0.3 µM) by Ssa1 (1 µM), Ydj1 (1 µM) and Hsp104 (1 µM) chaperones. As(III) was present at both heat-aggregation and disaggregation steps at the indicated concentrations. Shown is mean fluorescence normalized to native GFPuv. Error bars represent S.D. from three repeats.

6B) Disaggregation of aggregated GFPuv by chaperones as in 6A with modification: As(III) was present at the indicated concentrations only at the heat-aggregation step. During disaggregation, As(III) was diluted 100-fold.

6C) Binding of Ssa1 (1.5 µM) and Ydj1 (1 µM) to Luciferase aggregates. The upper panel shows a scheme of the BLI experiment. The chaperone binding and dissociation steps are indicated with dashed lines. As(III) was present only at the aggregation step at the indicated concentrations. The plot shows mean values of the BLI signal with S.D. from three experiments.

6D) Binding of Ssa1 (1.5 µM) and Sis1 (1 µM) to Luciferase aggregates, performed as in 6C.

Figure 7. As(III) does not directly inhibit chaperones involved in disaggregation.

7A, 7B) Left panels: cfSGFP (0.3 µM) was heat-aggregated in the absence of As(III) and disaggregated by Hsp104 (1 µM), Ssa1 (1 µM) with Ydj1 (1 µM) (A) or Sis1 (1 µM) (B) in the absence or presence of 4 mM As(III). Shown is mean fluorescence normalized to native cfSGFP with S.D. from three experiments. Right panels: Disaggregation activity of Hsp104-Ssa1-Ydj1 (A) and Hsp104-Ssa1-Sis1 (B), calculated from the maximal slopes of fluorescence curves shown in left and analogous experiments performed at the indicated As(III) concentrations.
and normalized to the activity of each chaperone system at 0 mM As(III). Error bars represent S.D. from three repeats (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test, ns, non-significant $p>0.05$).

7C) Binding of Ssa1 (1.5 μM) and Sis1 (1 μM) to aggregated Luciferase. As(III) was present only at the binding and dissociation steps at 1 mM (red) or was absent (blue). Shown is mean BLI signal with S.D. from three repeats.

**Figure 8. Protein synthesis is required for efficient aggregate clearance.**

Hsp104-GFP distribution was scored in wild type cells by fluorescence microscopy before and after exposure to 0.5 mM As(III). After 1 h of exposure, the cell culture was divided where one half was treated with 0.1 mg/ml of cycloheximide (CHX) and the other half was left untreated. The fraction of cells containing aggregates/Hsp104-GFP foci was determined by visual inspection of 318-516 cells per condition and time-point. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. from three independent biological replicates. * indicates a significant difference ($p<0.05$) compared with cells without CHX (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
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