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Abstract

Chemo-resistance remains a major challenge in the current treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). The bone marrow microenvironment (BMM) plays a complex role in protecting leukemia cells from chemotherapeutics, and the mechanisms involved are not fully understood. Anti-leukemia drugs kill AML cells directly but also damage the BMM. Here, we determined anti-leukemia drugs induce DNA damage in bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs), resulting in resistance of AML cell lines to adriamycin and idarubicin killing. Damaged BMSCs induced an inflammatory microenvironment through NF-κB; suppressing NF-κB with small molecule inhibitor Bay11-7082 attenuated the pro-survival effects of BMSCs on AML cell lines. Furthermore, we used an ex vivo functional screen of 507 chemokines and cytokines to identify 44 proteins secreted from damaged BMSCs. Fibroblast growth factor-10 (FGF10) was most strongly associated with chemo-resistance in AML cell lines. Additionally, expression of FGF10 and its receptors, FGFR1 and FGFR2, was increased in AML patients after chemotherapy. FGFR1 and FGFR2 were also widely expressed by AML cell lines. FGF10-induced FGFR2 activation in AML cell lines operates by increasing P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2, and STAT3 phosphorylation. FGFR2 inhibition with small molecules, or gene silencing of FGFR2 inhibited proliferation and reverses drug-resistance of AML cells by inhibiting P38 MAPK, AKT, and ERK1/2 signaling pathways. Finally, release of FGF10 was mediated by β-catenin signaling in damaged BMSCs. Our data indicate FGF10-FGFR2 signaling acts as an effector of damaged BMSC-mediated chemo-resistance in AML cells, and FGFR2 inhibition can reverse stromal protection and AML cell chemo-resistance in the BMM.
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Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a highly aggressive and heterogeneous hematologic malignancy characterized by clonal expansion, arrested differentiation of myeloid precursors in the bone marrow (BM), along with the inhibition of normal hematopoiesis (1). The prognosis of AML patients depends on cytogenetic alterations, molecular variants and immunophenotypic factors (2,3). Despite a diverse range of treatment options for AML have been introduced over the past several decades, a substantial proportion of patients with AML relapse or are refractory to the primary therapy, leading to higher mortality and shorter overall survival. The inherent and/or acquired drug resistance and recurrence of leukemia are still more difficult issues for current treatment. Therefore, it is imperative to identify additional therapeutic targets for AML treatment improvement.

The bone marrow microenvironment (BMM), which is comprised of immune and stromal cell types and extracellular components (e.g., cytokines, growth factors, hormones, and extracellular matrix), provides a permissive niche for leukemia cell survival and plays critical roles in the pathogenesis and progression of AML (4-6). The chemo-resistance of AML is closely linked to the crosstalk between leukemic cells and BMM (7,8). In particular, BM stromal cells (BMSCs) and leukemia cells interactions activate secretion of soluble factors, such as IL-6, cysteine-rich 61 (CYR61), and X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 8, and protective signaling pathways including the PI3K/protein kinase B (Akt), which thus promote survival and chemo-resistance of AML cells (9-11). However, the molecular mechanisms of stroma-induced chemoresistance in AML cells remain poorly elucidated.

Recent data indicates anti-cancer drugs induce genotoxic stress in microenvironmental cells initiating a DNA damage response (DDR) and secretion of downstream cytokines important in mediating acquired drug resistance (12). Sun et al. reported that mitoxantrone and docetaxel damage DNA in fibroblasts from patients with prostate cancer resulting in the release of diverse proteins which promote proliferation of prostate cancer cells. These proteins also mediate drug resistance through secretion of Wnt family member wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 16B (WNT16B) and activation of Wnt signaling (13). Acharyya et al. demonstrated that adriamycin (ADR) causes DNA damage in mice thymus endothelial cells initiating a stress response and release of IL-6 and TIMP-1, molecules which promote survival of lymphoma cells (14). Another study showed that drug-induced damage to endothelial and stromal cells reduces sensitivity of breast cancer cells to anti-cancer drugs via secretion of TNFα, activation of NF-κB signaling and increasing CXCL1/2 expression (15). Recent studies report anti-leukemia drugs damage BMM type cells and promote drug resistance of leukaemic cells (16,17), the mechanism of which is unclear.

In the present study, we tested the research hypothesis drug-induced DNA damage responses in BMSCs promotes resistance of AML cell lines to anti-leukemia drugs. Our results support the notion anti-leukemia drug-induced alterations in BMSCs including changes in the NF-κB P65 and P38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling
pathways and release of DNA damage proteins which affect survival of AML cell lines. We also determined release of fibroblast growth factor-10 (FGF10) is mediated by β-catenin signaling in damaged BMSCs and promotes AML drug resistance in a paracrine manner by activating FGFR2, P38 MAPK, AKT, extracellular-signal regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) and STAT3 signaling pathways. FGFR2 inhibition or gene silencing reverses drug-resistance by inhibiting P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 signaling pathways. Targeting FGF10-FGFR2 signaling could be a strategy to overcome drug resistance in patients with AML.
Results

Anti-leukemia drugs induce DNA damage in BMSCs.

To assess for chemotherapy-induced damage responses in BMM, we first treated the human BMSCs line HS-5 with ADR, Idarubicin (IDA), Cytarabine (Ara-C) or normal saline (NS) and determined phosphorylation of histone H2AX on Ser139 (γ-H2AX) by immune fluorescence and Western blotting. We found each chemotherapy drug substantially increased numbers of γ-H2AX foci in HS-5 cells compared with controls (Fig. 1, A and B). HS-5 cells also had higher levels of the DNA damage marker Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1; Fig. 1D). Similar to our observations in HS-5 cells described above, we also detected increased of γ-H2AX in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (Fig. 1C). In order to further analyze the regulatory mechanisms of DNA damage in BMSCs, we performed high-throughput RNA sequencing using HS-5 cells after IDA exposure. The results showed a total of 864 gene associated with DDR, of which 716 genes were up-regulated and 148 genes were down-regulated (Fig. S1, A and B). The hierarchical bi-clustering analysis indicated significant DNA damage-associated genes in IDA-treated HS-5 cells (Fig. S1C). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the transcriptome from damaged HS-5 cells indicated that many differential genes fell into DNA replication, DNA repair and cellular response to DNA damage stimulus (Fig. S1D). Additionally, by conducting Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis, we demonstrated that the changed genes were related to several pathways related to DNA damage, such as P53 and FOXO signaling pathway (Fig. S1E). Thus, our results suggest that BMSCs undergo considerable signaling rewiring of DNA damage after drug exposure.

DNA damage of BMSCs confers chemo-resistance and inhibits apoptosis in AML cell lines.

The effects of damaged BMSCs on the survival of AML cell lines THP-1, NB4, Kasumi-1 and HL-60 were studied after 72 h of culture in conditioned medium (CM) from HS-5 cells treated with ADR or IDA. Controls were CM from HS-5 cells treated with NS or RPMI-1640 with 0.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). CM from HS-5 cells treated with ADR significantly increased proportions of surviving AML cells exposed to ADR compared with HS-5 CM or RPMI-1640 Medium (Fig. 2, A-D). Similar results were found in CM from HS-5 cells treated with IDA (Fig. 2, E-H). However, CM from HS-5 cells had little impact on the survival of THP-1 and Kasumi-1 cells compared with RPMI-1640 medium except for HL-60 and NB4 cells (Fig. 2, D and F). Besides, CM from IDA or ADR-treated HS-5 cells had little effect on proliferation of the lymphoid cell lines THP1 and NB4 cells compared with controls (Fig. S2). Next, we developed an in vitro model in which Kasumi-1 cells were directly co-cultured with HS-5 cells to determine if there was protection from apoptosis induced by ADR. As shown in Fig. 2I, HS-5-contact significantly decreased the proportion of apoptotic cells comparatively to culture alone. Altogether, these results support a pro-survival and anti-apoptosis effect of damaged BMSCs on AML cells related to some secreted factors and cell-cell interactions.
Cytotoxic damage induced a spectrum of secretory proteins in BMSCs.

Recent work has shown that DNA damage induces a secretory phenotype in cultured cells(18). Genotoxic cancer therapy induces DNA damage in benign cells and initiate DDR, resulting in the secretion of damage-associated proteins, including IL-6 and IL-8(19). In addition, CYR61 has been recently considered as a secreted matricellular protein and is associated with cell-intrinsic chemo-resistance in other malignancies(10). In the present study, we first examined whether anti-leukemia drugs exposure increased the expression of IL-6, IL-8 and CYR61 in damaged HS-5 cells. Consistent with the previous reports, the mRNA expressions of IL-6 and IL-8 were significantly increased in HS-5 cells after treated with ADR or IDA compared with controls, but no significant change of CYR61 was found (Fig. S3). Furthermore, we used a human chemokine and cytokine antibody array including 507 specific antibodies (Supplementary Data 1 and 2; Fig. 3A) to determine through which factors CM from damaged HS-5 cells conferred drug resistance to AML cell lines. As shown in Fig. 3B and Table 1. Levels of 44 proteins were significantly increased in CM from ADR-treated HS-5 cells compared with control. Among 44 proteins, 23 proteins were induced >1.5-fold change. Next, we analyzed transcript levels in HS-5 cells after treatment with ADR, IDA or Ara-C by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The mRNA levels of activin A, endocrine gland-derived vascular endothelial growth factor (EG-VEGF), FGF10, 6Ckine, betacellulin (BTC) and growth hormone (GH) were increased consistent with data from the protein micro-arrays (Fig. 3C).

We then performed signaling pathway and Go Term analyses of the chemokine and cytokine antibody array data through http://amigo.geneontology.org/ and http://www.genome.jp/ networks (Supplementary Data 3 and 4). This pathway analysis showed proteins up-regulated in response to DNA damage operated through cytokine-cytokine receptors interactions and the ALK1, FGFR2, TGF-β and PI3K/AKT pathways (Fig. 3D). Enrichment analysis revealed these differential proteins were significantly enriched in top 20 GO terms, mainly associated with growth factor activity, receptor binding and regulation of cell proliferation (Fig. 3E). The cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction pathway was the dominant pathway influenced by CCL27, GH, and TNFS8. Activin involved in cell functions was presented in Activin R-Smad signaling pathway and growth factor (GF) in the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) and downstream effectors including Ras, Raf and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways (Fig. 3F). These results suggest proteins increased by DNA damage activate the downstream signaling pathways including MAPK, PI3K-AKT, FGFR2.

DNA damage induce inflammatory microenvironment in BM through NF-κB signaling and suppressing NF-κB attenuate the pro-proliferation effects of BMSCs.

Previous report suggests the key role of NF-κB in DNA damage with apoptosis and senescence mechanisms (20-22). NF-κB and P38 MAPK signaling pathways are also involved in stress-associated induction of inflammatory networks including up-regulation of IL-6 and IL-8 (23-25). We therefore analyzed changes of the NF-κB and P38 MAPK signaling pathways in HS-5 and HUVEC cells treated with ADR, IDA or
Ara-C. We found the phosphorylation levels of NF-κB P65 and P38 MAPK were significantly increased compared with controls (Fig. 4A). To determine whether DNA damage secretory proteins are associated with activated NF-κB, we further inhibited NF-κB with Bay11-7082 (Fig. 4B) and measured mRNA levels of inflammatory factors encoding the relevant proteins in HS-5 cells. Interestingly, suppressing NF-κB in HS-5 cells with Bay11-7082 significantly decreased IL-6, IL-8 and activin A mRNA levels, but no significant change in CYR61 mRNA levels (Fig. 4C, up). However, the mRNA levels of 6Ckine, EG-VEGF, FGF10 and GH were markedly increased (Fig. 4C, down) suggesting these factors might not be directly regulated by NF-κB response to DNA damage. These data present a probable role of NF-κB signaling in IL-6 and IL-8 inflammatory networks in damaged BMSCs.

To precisely determine the effect of NF-κB on the survival of AML cells, HS-5 cells were treated with Bay11-7082 or Bay11-7082 combined with ADR prior to collection of CM. Adding these CM to THP-1 and Kasumi-1 cells significantly reduced the proportion of surviving cells compared with CM from an equal number of untreated HS-5 cells (Fig. 4, D and E). These data indicate that NF-κB inhibition in BMSCs reduces the pro-survival effect of AML cells.

**FGF10-FGFR2 signaling promotes survival of AML cell lines by activating P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2 and STAT3 signaling pathways.**

To validate factors contributing to AML cell line survival after DNA damage of HS-5 cells, we used human recombinant proteins and/or neutralizing antibodies in vitro to test their effect on apoptosis, proliferation or drug-sensitivity of AML cell lines. Interestingly, among several factors studied, adding FGF10 resulted in a significant increase of surviving THP-1 cells following IDA treatment for 72 h (Fig. 5A). Besides, proliferation of THP-1 cells was slightly increased by increased concentrations of BTC but apoptosis was not significantly decreased (Fig. S4, A and B). The proportion of surviving THP-1 cells was slightly increased at increased concentrations of BTC after treatment with IDA compared with controls (Fig. S4C). Additionally, Activin A stimulation significantly increased the sensitivity of Kasumi-1 cells to ADR and IDA (Fig. S5, B and C). However, Activin A had no effect on proliferation of Kasumi-1 cells (Fig. S5A) and neutralization of Activin A with antibody did not inhibit the protective role of damaged HS-5 cells (Fig. S5, D-F). The similar results were found in Kasumi-1 cells after incubation with EG-VEGF (Fig. S6, C and D). EG-VEGF had no effect on the apoptosis and proliferation of THP-1 cells (Fig. S6, A and B). These data suggest a primary role of FGF10 in resistance of AML cells to anti-leukemia drugs induced by damaged BMSCs.

Recent data suggest FGF10 promotes neoplastic cell proliferation, migration and invasion by activating fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) and triggering the STAT1/P21, MAPK, PLC-γ and PI3K pathways (26,27). In the present study, we found that the mRNA levels of FGF10 were increased in newly-diagnosed (ND) AML and chemo-treated AML patients, more obviously in the latter (Fig. 5B). Using Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA), we compared the mRNA
expressions of FGFR1 and FGFR2 between AML patients and normal controls. As shown in Fig. 5C and D, the mRNA levels of FGFR1 and FGFR2 were higher in AML patients than in controls. Besides, the mRNA levels of FGFR1 and FGFR2 were significantly increased in AML patients after chemotherapy (Fig. 5, E and F). We then detected FGFRs mRNA levels in five AML cell lines. Highest levels of FGFR1 transcript were found in THP-1 cells (Fig. 5G). FGFR2 transcript levels were high in Kasumi-1, HL60 and THP-1 cells (Fig. 5H). To determine whether FGF10 increased survival of AML cell lines through cognate receptors, we assessed effects of exogenous FGF10 stimulation on FGFR1 and FGFR2 expressions in THP-1 and U937 cells by qRT-PCR and Western blotting. We found a small increase in mRNA levels of FGFR2 (Fig. 5K). No significant increase of FGFR1 mRNA and proteins was found (Fig. 5J). The protein levels of FGFR2 and FGFR1 were significantly increased in THP-1 and U937 cells, especially after exposure to 100 ng/ml FGF10 (Fig. 5L). Phosphorylation of FRS2α, a downstream substrate of the FGFR2, was also increased by adding FGF10 indicating FGF10 secreted by damaged HS-5 cells activates FGFR2-signaling. In FGF10-stimulated conditions, the levels of FGFR2 downstream molecules including P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2 and STAT3 phosphorylation were significantly increased in THP-1 and U937 cells (Fig. 5, M and N). Moreover, CM from HS-5 cells representing all the secretory proteins also significantly up-regulated FGFR2 mRNA levels in THP-1 and U937 cells, more significantly after culture with CM from IDA-treated HS-5 cells (Fig. 5I). These data suggest FGF10 exposure increases FGFR2 and activates downstream signaling pathways which increase survival of AML cell lines.

**FGFR inhibition or Genetic knock-down of FGFR2 attenuates FGF10-induced promotion of survival of AML cells.**

FGF10 is an autocrine signaling protein for stroma, but also promotes tumor growth through paracrine signaling (28-30). We hypothesized that FGFR inhibition blocks FGF10-mediated paracrine protection of leukemia cells in BMM. To evaluate the relative effect of FGFR inhibition on the growth of AML cells, THP-1 and U937 cells were pre-treated with selective FGFR inhibitors BGJ398 and PD173074 prior to exposure to ADR, IDA and Ara-C for 72 h. Inhibition of FGFR significantly increased killing of THP-1 and U937 cells by ADR, IDA and Ara-C (Fig. 6A). Adding FGF10 to the medium containing BGJ398 or PD173074 did not reverse IDA-resistance of these AML cell lines (Fig. 6B). Treatment of THP-1 cells with BGJ398 or PD173074 decreased phosphorylation levels of FRS2α, P38 MAPK, ERK1/2 and AKT (Fig. 6, C and D).

To confirm the decreased protection of AML cells is specific for FGFR2 inhibition, we generated a THP-1 clone expressing shRNA specific to FGFR2 (THP-1-shRNA<sub>FGFR2</sub>; Fig. 6E). Data from qRT-PCR and Western blotting showed mRNA and protein levels of FGFR2 were significantly decreased in THP-1-shRNA<sub>FGFR2</sub> compared with THP-1-shRNA<sub>Ctr</sub> (Fig. 6, F and G). FGFR2 silencing significantly inhibited THP-
1 cell growth (Fig. 6H) and increased sensitivity of THP-1 cells to ADR (Fig. 6I).

Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) is an important negative feedback regulator of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway blocking activation of the MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling pathway (31,32). When we studied effects of FGFR inhibition on protein levels of PTEN in THP-1 cells we found the phosphorylation level of PTEN was slightly increased in PD173074-treated group and THP-1-shRNA<sup>FGR2</sup> compared with controls (Fig. 6, J and K).

Cytotoxic stress induces FGF10 secretion through β-catenin in damaged BMSCs.

To determine the mechanistic link between DNA damage and FGF10 in BMSCs, we then measured the protein level of FGF10 in damaged HS-5 cells. The result indicated that FGF10 transcription levels were significantly elevated in HUVECs and HS-5 cells after drug exposure (Fig. S7, A and B). Additionally, the immunofluorescence images confirmed that higher FGF10 levels were associated with higher γ-H2AX in HS-5 cells after drug treatment (Fig. S7C). These results suggest that cytotoxic damage induces the expression of FGF10 protein in BMSCs. Recent data suggest β-catenin or mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathways regulate FGF10 expression (33). We further tested whether DNA damage-induced FGF10 in HS-5 cells is mediated by β-catenin or mTOR signaling pathways. Levels of β-catenin were significantly increased in cytoplasm and nuclei of HS-5 cells treated with ADR, IDA or Ara-C compared with controls (Fig. 7A). However, there was no significant change of total and phosphorylated mTOR protein (Fig. 7B). We next investigated whether activated β-catenin is the pivotal regulator of FGF10 in damaged HS-5 cells. Interestingly, levels of FGF10, β-catenin and downstream target CyclinD1 and CD44 proteins were significantly increased in LiCl-treated HS-5 cells compared controls by Western blotting (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, levels of β-catenin protein were also significantly increased in LiCl-treated HS-5 cells detected by immune fluorescence (Fig. 7E). The mRNA levels of Trib2 and FGF10 were slightly increased in HS-5 cells after treated with LiCl by qRT-PCR. However, no significant change of c-Myc and CyclinD1 mRNA expressions was found (Fig. 7D). Next, we examined the effect of β-catenin inhibition on FGF10 expression in HS-5 cells using Wnt signaling inhibitor XAV-939. Treatment of HS-5 cells with 1 μM XAV-939 resulted in significant reduction of FGF10 protein levels (Fig. 7F). However, adding these medium to THP-1 cells did not significantly reduce the proportion of surviving cells compared with controls (Fig. 7G).
Discussion

The BMM has been reported to protect leukemia cells form the effects of both anti-leukemia drugs and targeted kinase inhibitors (34,35), but their mechanisms are poorly understood. Until recently, stromal protection of leukemia cells was thought to be largely mediated by secreted cytokines or through direct contact. In solid tumor, nonspecific treatments involving ionizing radiation and genotoxic drugs are not entirely restricted to neoplastic cells and can also induce genotoxic stress in benign cells (23). In the present study, we demonstrate that anti-leukemia drugs result in an obvious highly expression of γ-H2AX and PARP1 in HS-5 cells and HS-5 cells undergo substantial transcriptional rewiring of DNA damage after drug exposure, revealing a damaged microenvironment induced by chemotherapy in AML. In a HS-5 CM culture and direct co-culture model, we show an increase in AML cells survival and a reduction in cells apoptosis. This data highlights a pro-survival effect of damaged BMSCs on leukemia cells related to involvement of secreted factors and cell to cell interactions.

As reported, genotoxic induced damage to the tumor microenvironment elicits a secretory response and subsequent senescence-related secretory phenotypes (18,19). The composition of damage response program is complex and mainly includes a diverse spectrum of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8, extracellular matrix-altering protease, proneurogenic factors, angiogenic growth factors and epithelial mitogens, including agonists for the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (36-38). In a mouse model of lymphoma, Luke A, et al demonstrated that the thymus released IL-6 and Timp-1, creating a “chemo-resistant niche” that promotes the survival of residual tumor (14). Yu Sun, et al identified a spectrum of secreted proteins derived from fibroblasts including WNT16B (13). Here, using an ex vivo functional screen we show ADR-damaged HS-5 cells produce several of these proteins, including Activin A, BTC, FGF10, EG-VEGF, 6Ckine and GH rather than IL-6, IL-8, CYR61. Exactly how these released proteins protect AML cell lines is uncertain.

Recent studies have identified FGFs as an important mediator in organogenesis, development and homeostasis (39). FGF10, a member of FGF7/10/22 subfamily, which is specially expressed in the mesenchymal cells, mediates mesenchymal to epithelial signaling and induces migration and invasion of cancer cells by binding and activating to their specific receptor FGFRs (40,41). FGFRs are tyrosine kinase receptors including FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3 and FGFR4. FGFR2 has the highest affinity for FGF10 (42,43). FGF10-FGFR2 signaling promotes proliferation and inhibit apoptosis of tumor cells through activation of STAT1/P21, MAPK, PLC-γ and PI3K pathways (26,27). Recently, over expression of FGF10 has been found to be associated with poor prognosis and related to FLT3 and NPM1 mutations in AML (44). Consistent with this report, we found significant increased FGF10 expression in primary AML marrow samples and identified a central role of FGF10 in AML chemo-resistance among detected secreted proteins in damaged BMSCs. By analyzing the data of ND AML patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, we found FGFR1 and FGFR2 are significantly elevated in AML patients. Similar to these observations, FGFR1 and FGFR2 were elevated in primary AML marrow samples after
chemotherapy and differentially expressed in AML cell lines. We also showed that FGFR2 was activated in AML cells in the CM from damaged HS-5 cells. Moreover, exposure of AML cells to recombinant FGF10 also induced FGFR2 expression. FGFRs inhibition by small-molecule inhibitors or knockdown of FGFR2 in AML cells significantly increase the sensitivity to anti-leukemia drugs, which was even not regained after exposure to recombinant FGF10. Mechanistically, FGF10-FGFR2 signaling exert its effect through activation of several downstream pathways, including P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 pathways. Thus, our work reveals that FGF10 secreted by damaged BMSCs mediated AML chemo-resistance in a paracrine manner through activation of FGFR2, P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 signaling and blockage of FGF10-FGFR2 signaling in AML cells can reverse chemo-resistance.

The FGF10-FGFR2 is a receptor tyrosine kinase signaling pathway that has been shown to drive tumor growth and mediate resistance to anticancer therapies. Little is known about function and prognostic value of FGF10-FGFR2 signaling in AML. Currently, numerous FGFR inhibitors, including PD173074, BGJ398, dovitinib, erdafitinib, cand ponatinib blocking the tyrosine kinase domain of FGFRs are undergoing clinical trials for cancer treatment (45-49). A recent phase I clinical study by Funda Meric-Bernstam et al. reported that futibatinib, a highly selective FGFR inhibitor, showed clinical activity and a tolerable safety profile in patients with advanced solid tumors (50). Another phase II pivotal study revealed that FGFR inhibitor erdafitinib significantly increased the objective response rate (ORR) of patients with FGFR2- and FGFR3-altered urothelial cancer (51). The oncogenic role of aberrant FGFR signaling and its sensitivity to FGFR inhibition in preclinical trials have provided a strong confidence to discover and develop FGFR-targeted therapies in cancer. The FGF10-FGFR2 signaling transduction relies on MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR, and blockade of FGFR2 signaling results in inhibition P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 in this study. Recently, a high degree of synergism between FGFR inhibitors and PI3K has been reported in preclinical models (52). So far, several phase I or II trials of MAPK/ERK inhibition and AKT inhibitor have also been reported in AML (53,54). Based on the recent reports, we believe that inhibition of FGF10-FGFR2 signaling pathway could be a promising therapeutic avenue to delay or stop leukemia progression.

Canonical WNT signaling activation in the BMM is implicated in the pathogenesis of AML (55). Here, we identify an increased levels of β-catenin protein in damaged HS-5 cells and high levels of FGF10 produced by damaged BMSCs might be regulated by β-catenin consistent with its role as an up-stream mediator of FGF10 (33,56).

There are several important limitations to our study. For example, the BMM is complex and we studied only two components represented by cell lines. Moreover, we studied these cells in liquid culture rather than the complex 3-dimensional structural context of the BMM. Another limitation is that we studied AML cell lines rather than AML cells from patients. Also, we did not validate our observations in patients with AML resistant to the anti-leukemia drugs. As in all laboratory experiments we report associations and correlations which suggest which should not be assumed to be cause-and-effect.
Conclusions

In summary, our studies explore mechanisms by which DNA damage to BMSCs promote resistance of AML cell lines to killing by anti-leukemia drugs. This is a novel way to view how resistance to anti-leukemia drugs develops. Targeting pathways we identified could be a strategy to overcome drug resistance in patients with AML (Fig. 8).
Experimental Procedures

Drugs, antibodies and reagents

ADR, IDA and Ara-C were dissolved in NS and diluted in RPMI-1640 medium immediately before use. Primary antibodies to β-actin, phospho-Histone H2AX (Ser139) (clone JBW301), NF-κB P65, p-P65, IKBα, STAT3, p-STAT3 (Tyr705), PTEN, p-PTEN, ERK1/2, p-ERK1/2 (T202/Y204), P38, p-P38, AKT, p-AKT (S473), mTOR, p-mTOR, FGFR1, FGFR2, pFRS2α, CyclinD1, CD44 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). PARP1 and FGF10 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). β-catenin were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), β-tubulin was from Abways (Shanghai, China), and histone H3 and c-MYC were from Immunoway® (Plano, TX, USA). All secondary antibodies were obtained from ZSGB-BIO (Beijing, China). The NF-κB inhibitor Bay11-7082 was purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA), and solubilized at 100 mM stock solution in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and stored at -20°C. The selective FGFRs inhibitor (BGJ398 and PD173074) and Wnt signaling inhibitor (XAV-939) were also purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA) and dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 10 mM and 50 mM, respectively and diluted in RPMI-1640 medium to a working concentration immediately before use. The β-catenin activator LiCl was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Recombinant human BTC, Activina A and Activin A βA subunit antibody were purchased from R&D System (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Recombinant human EG-VEGF and FGF10 were purchased from Peprotech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA).

Cell cultures

Human AML cell lines THP-1, NB4, Kasumi-1, HL-60 and U937, and HUVECs were obtained from Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences of China. The human BMSCs line HS-5 was kindly provided by Dr. Feiyang Liu (High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences) and Dr. Ellen Weisberg (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute) (57). HL-60 cells were cultured under IMDM medium containing 20% FBS (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. Other cells were all maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Patient Samples

BM samples were collected from eighty-one ND AML and fifty-nine AML patients after chemotherapy at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University. Normal BM samples were obtained from thirty-five healthy honors at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University. Mononuclear cells were obtained from BM by density-gradient centrifugation with Ficoll-Hypaque (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The studies in this work are abide by the Declaration of Helsinki principles. All patients and subjects gave their written informed consent for use of BM, and study protocols were approved by the medical ethics committee of the Affiliated Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (Jinan, China).
Treatment with DNA damaging agents

For DNA damage, HS-5 cell or HUVECs were grown until 80% confluent and treated with NS, 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA, or 400 μg/L Ara-C for 24 h. After treatment, cells were rinsed thrice with PBS and incubated for 3 d in RPMI-1640 with 0.5% FBS medium. The supernatants from cells were harvested, concentrated and either stored frozen at -80°C or applied immediately.

Co-culture HS-5 cells with AML cell lines

HS-5 cells were mixed with Kasumi-1 cells at ratios of 0:1, 0.2:1, 0.5:1, 1:1, 2:1 and 4:1 pre-seeded 1 d before and treated with 100 μg/L ADR. The cultures were incubated for 48 h after which co-cultured Kasumi-1 cells were harvested for further apoptosis analysis. Kasumi-1 cells were cultured alone and treated with ADR in parallel as a control.

Human chemokine and cytokine antibody arrays

Human antibody array L-507 (AAH-BLG-1, RayBiotech, Norcross, GA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, supernatants from NS-treated and ADR-treated HS-5 cells were collected and incubated on antibody-coated membranes for 24 h at 4°C with gentle shaking. Detection of cytokines was performed and visualized with a CCD camera system (FUSION SL, Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). Mean chemoluminescence of all positive-control spots per membrane was calculated and the intensity of the chemoluminescent signal was normalized to the internal positive control.

Treatment of recombinant cytokines or neutralizing antibodies

AML cell lines were starved in serum-free medium overnight to synchronize them and culture medium containing different concentrations of candidate cytokines or neutralizing antibodies then added. Cultures were continued for 6 d and cell proliferation was analyzed. After overnight starvation AML cell lines were cultured in medium with different concentrations of candidate cytokines or neutralizing antibodies combined with anti-leukemia drugs for 48 or 72 h. Cell apoptosis and sensitivity to drugs were assayed.

Treatment of small molecule inhibitor

HS-5 cells were treated with 10 μmol/L Bay11-7082 for 1, 3 and 6 h and Western blotting was used to quantify protein levels of NF-κB P65, p-P65 and IκBa. THP-1 and U937 cells were treated with BGY398 and PD173074 for 1 h, cell lysates were prepared and changes in FGFR1, FGFR2, downstream molecules pFRS2α and p-P38 MAPK, p-AKT, p-ERK1/2 and p-STAT3 were quantified. XAV-939 was used to inhibit β-catenin. HS-5 cells were treated for 1 h and Western blotting was used to quantify protein levels of β-catenin and downstream targets CyclinD1, CD44 and FGF10.

Lentivirus infection

The FGFR2-shRNA (shFGFR2) and control-shRNA (shCtrl) lentivirus were purchased
from Genechem Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). The target sequences of FGFR2-RNAi were as followed: shFGF2-1: GAA TGA AGA ACA CGA CCA A; shFGFR2-2: CCC TGT TTG ATA GAG TAT A. THP-1 cells were transfected with shFGFR2 and shCtrl lentivirus and infection efficiency was quantified using a fluorescence microscope after 72 h. Puromycin was used to stabilize infected cells. Western blotting and qRT-PCR were performed to quantify protein and mRNA levels of FGFR2 to verify efficiency of infection.

**Cell proliferation and viability assays**

For cell proliferation assays, THP-1 and NB4 cells were cultured with CM from ADR-treated and NS-treated HS-5 cells for 6 d and RPMI-1640 medium containing 0.5% FBS was a control. THP-1 cells transfected with shFGFR2 and shCtrl lentivirus were cultures with complete medium for 3 d. For cell viability assays, THP-1, NB4, Kasumi-1 and HL-60 cells were seeded in CM from ADR-treated and NS-treated HS-5 cells or RPMI-1640 medium with 0.5% FBS followed by treatment with ADR or IDA and cultured for 72 h. THP-1 and Kasumi-1 cells were cultured in CM from HS-5 cells treated with Bay11-7082 or Bay11-7082 combined with ADR and treated with a certain concentration of ADR, IDA or Ara-C for 72 h. THP-1 and U937 cells were treated with BGJ398 or PD173074 for 1 h followed by treatment with ADR, IDA and Ara-C for 72 h. THP-1 cells transfected with shFGFR2 and shCtrl lentivirus were cultured in complete medium and treated with indicated concentrations of ADR for 72 h. THP-1 cells were cultured in CM from HS-5 cells treated with XAV-939 or XAV-939 combined with ADR and treated with ADR, IDA or Ara-C for 72 h. Cell lines were incubated with 10 μL CCK8 (Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) at 37°C for 4 h. Absorbance measured at 450 and 650 nm was used reference wavelength. Cell viability was expressed by the relative optical density value in treated samples compared to controls after correcting for background absorbance. Samples were performed in triplicates.

**Apoptosis assay**

The apoptosis assay was performed using Annexin V-APC/PI apoptosis detection kit (BestBio, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence of at least 10,000 cells was determined on a flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA) to determine percent apoptotic cells.

**TCGA RNA Sequencing**

The publicly available RNA sequencing data of 173 AML patients were downloaded from TCGA database. This database contains patients with previously untreated AML and all patients had been diagnosed according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines. The RNA-seq was analyzed using GEPIA.

**qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara). Quantitative PCR was
done in triplicate using SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix kit (Toyobo, Japan) on LightCycler 480 II Real-time PCR system (Hoffmann-La Roche, USA) using standard settings: 95°C (10 min) and 40 cycles of 95°C (20 sec) and 60°C (1 min). The primers for real-time RT-PCR are displayed in Table 2. Melting curve analyses was applied to guarantee amplification specificity. mRNA levels were expressed relative to GAPDH levels. Relative expression ratio was calculated as the fold-change relative to control (2−ΔΔCT).

**RNA sequencing and bioinformatic analysis**

The data set included six HS-5 cell samples, treated with NS and 20 μg/L IDA for 24 h in three biological replicates. Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed by sample integrity, quality, and purity examination. Library construction and sequencing were performed by LC-BIO Technology company (Hangzhou, China). The sequencing was detected by Illumina Novaseq™ 6000. Differentially expressed mRNAs were selected according to fold change >2 or fold change <0.5 and p-value <0.05 by edge R or DESeq2. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were subjected to GO enrichment and KEGG enrichment analysis.

**Western blotting**

Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed 2X with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and solubilized in RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) containing protease inhibitor compound (Beyotime). Cell lysates (30 μg) were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk protein for 1 h and incubated in appropriate primary antibodies overnight at 4°C followed by horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse, anti-rabbit or anti-goat IgG at 24°C for 1 h. After washing, signals were detected and analyzed by FluorChem E Chemiluminescent imaging system (ProteinSimple, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Immunofluorescence analysis**

For detection of DNA damage, HS-5 cells grown on coverslips (Marienfeld-Superior, Thuringia, Germany) were treated with NS, 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA and 400 μg/L Ara-C for 24 h. HS-5 cells were treated with different concentrations of LiCl for 24 h to investigate effects of β-catenin pathway on FGF10 level. Treated cells were rinsed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100 before immune staining, and then incubated in normal goat serum. Primary rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-Histone H2AX (Ser139), anti-FGF10, anti-β-catenin and secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) were sequentially applied. Nuclei were counter-stained with 2 μg/ml of 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and coverslips mounted onto glass slides. Image was acquired by laser scanning confocal microscope (PerkinElmer, UltraVIEW Vox, Fremont, CA, USA).

**Statistical analysis**
The SAS Version 8 and Graphpad Prism 8.0 software were used to analyze data which is reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed on raw data for each group by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), two-tailed Student’s t or Kruskal-Wallis tests. P-values <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Anti-leukemia drugs induce DNA damage in bone marrow stromal cells in vitro. HS-5 and HUVECs cells were exposed to 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA, 400 μg/L Ara-C or NS as a vehicle control for 24 h. A, Cells were probed with antibodies recognizing γ-H2AX foci (red and pink signals) by immune fluorescence and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 μm. Quantitative analysis of γ-H2AX-TRITC fluorescence intensity were performed and data was shown normalized to cells treated with NS as a control. Western blotting analysis of γ-H2AX protein levels in HS-5 cells (B) and HUVECs (C) after drug exposures. β-actin was the loading control. D, DNA damage marker PARP1 was analyzed in HS-5 cells lysates after drug exposures by Western blotting. Western blots were quantified using β-actin or Histone H3 as a loading control and data normalized to 1.0 using samples treated with NS as a negative control. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P< 0.001 and **** P< 0.0001.

Figure 2. Damaged bone marrow stromal cells confers resistance to anti-leukemia drugs and inhibits apoptosis in AML cell lines. THP-1, NB4, Kasumi-1 and HL-60 cells were cultured with CM from 200 μg/L ADR-treated, 20 μg/L IDA-treated and NS-treated HS-5 cells. RPMI-1640 with 0.5% FBS was chose as control. Each group was treated with ADR or IDA at the indicated concentrations for 72 h. CCK8 assay was performed to determine percent viable cells. A-D, CM from ADR-treated HS-5 cells. E-H, CM from IDA-treated HS-5 cells. The difference between CM from ADR-treated HS-5 cells and RPMI Medium was marked with asterisk and pound sign was represented the comparison between ADR-treated HS-5 CM and HS-5 CM. I, Kasumi-1 cells were directly co-cultured with HS-5 cells in the indicated proportions and treated with 100 μg/L ADR for 48 h. Cells were stained with Annexin V/ PI and viability assayed by flow cytometry. Percent cells are shown in each quadrant. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P< 0.001 and **** P< 0.0001. # P < 0.05, ## P < 0.01 and ### P< 0.001.

Figure 3. Drug-induced damage to bone marrow stromal cells produces diverse proteins. A, A human chemokine and cytokine antibody array was used to detect proteins in CM from ADR-treated HS-5 cells. CM from NS-treated HS-5 cells was a control. B, Quantitative results of significantly increased proteins are represented in the bar chart. C, qRT-PCR analysis of Activin A, BTC, FGF10, EG-VEGF, 6Ckine and GH mRNA levels in HS-5 cells after 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA and 400 μg/L Ara-C exposures relative to pretreatment transcript amounts. D, Analysis of signaling pathway. Top 20 most significantly enriched KEGG pathways from data of chemokine and cytokine antibody array. E, GO functional enrichment of the differential proteins. F, CCL27, GH and TNFS8 of the differentially secreted proteins are presented in their cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction pathway (GPR2, GH and SF8) according to geneontology database. Activin is shown in Activin R-Smad signaling pathway and GF in RTK and downstream effectors including Ras, Raf and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways.

Figure 4. Drug resistance associated with damaged bone marrow stromal cells is mediated by NF-κB signaling. A, HS-5 and HUVECs cells were exposed to 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA and 400 μg/L Ara-C for 24 h and cell lysates prepared and analyzed for phosphorylation of NF-κB P65 and p-P38 through Western blotting. Quantification of three replicate experiments is shown. B, HS-5 cells were treated with NF-κB inhibitor Bay11-7082 or dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as a vehicle control for the indicated intervals and cell lysates were prepared to determine protein levels of p-P65 and IKBa.
Representative images are shown and blots were quantified using β-actin as a loading control. C, HS-5 cells were treated with 10 μmol/L Bay11-7082 combined with 200 μg/L ADR, 20 μg/L IDA and 400 μg/L Ara-C for 24 h. mRNA levels of IL-6, IL-8, Activin A, CYR61, 6Ckine, EG-VEGF, FGF10 and GH were assayed by qRT-PCR. D, THP-1 and Kasumi-1 cells were cultured with CM from HS-5 cells treated with Bay11-7082 and treated with 100 μg/L ADR, 5 μg/L IDA and 200 μg/L Ara-C for 72 h. CM from NS-treated HS-5 was a control. E, THP-1 and Kasumi-1 cells were cultured with CM from HS-5 cells treated with Bay11-7082 combined with ADR and treated with 100 μg/L ADR, 5 μg/L IDA and 200 μg/L Ara-C for 72 h. CM from 200μg/L ADR-treated HS-5 was a control. CCK8 analysis was performed to assess percent viable cells. Values are the mean ± SD. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P< 0.001 and **** P< 0.0001.

Figure 5. FGF10-FGFR2 signaling promotes AML cell lines survival by activating P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2 and STAT3 signaling pathways. A, THP-1 cells were treated with different concentrations of FGF10 followed by 5 μg/L IDA for 72 h. CCK8 was used to detect percent viable cells. B, Quantitative mRNA level of FGF10 in newly diagnosed (ND) AML patients, AML patients after chemotherapy and Controls (Ctr). (C and D), The expression levels of FGFR1 ad FGFR2 in AML patients (n=173) and Ctr (n=70) from TCGA database. Quantitative mRNA levels of FGFR1 (E) and FGFR2 (F) in ND AML, AML patients after chemotherapy and Controls. Quantitative mRNA levels of FGFR1 (G) and FGFR2 (H) in AML cell lines. I, THP-1 and U937 cells were cultured with CM from 20 μg/L IDA-treated or NS-treated HS-5 cells for 48 h, and FGFR2 mRNA levels were determined by qRT-PCR. (J and K) qRT-PCR analyses of FGFR1 and FGFR2 mRNA expressions in THP-1 cells after stimulated with different concentrations of FGF10 for 24 h. L, THP-1 and U937 cells were starved in serum-free media overnight and treated with 1, 10 or 100 ng/ml FGF10 for 1 h. Cell lysates were prepared to detect levels of FGF10, FGFR1, FGFR2 and pFRS2α proteins by Western blotting. β-actin is a loading control. M, Western blotting was used to detect p-P38, p-AKT (S473), p-ERK1/2 (T202/Y204) and p-STAT3 (Tyr705) in THP-1 cells after stimulation with FGF10 for 1 h. N, Western blotting was used to detect p-P38, p-AKT (S473) and p-ERK1/2 (T202/Y204) in U937 cells after stimulation with FGF10 for 1 h. O, THP-1 and U937 cells were cultured with CM from 20 μg/L IDA-treated and NS-treated HS-5 cells for 6 h followed by Western blotting analyses of phosphorylation levels of P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2 and STAT3 in cell lysates. Blots from (L-O) were quantified by measuring the density of all bands. And anti-total-antibody and anti-β-actin were loading controls. * P<0.05, ** P < 0.01 and *** P< 0.001.

Figure 6. Inhibition of paracrine FGF10-FGFR2 signaling blocks FGF10-promoted survival of AML cell lines. A, THP-1 and U937 cells were treated with FGFR inhibitors BGJ398 and PD173074 at the indicated concentration for 1 h followed by treated with 100 μg/L ADR, 5 μg/L IDA and 200 μg/L for 72 h and percent viable cells analyzed by CCK8 assay. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 and *** P< 0.001 versus DMSO. B, THP-1 and U937 cells were incubated with 10ng/ml FGF10 for 1 h before treated with 10 μmol/L BGJ398 or 20 μmol/L PD173074 combined with indicated concentrations of IDA. CCK8 analysis was used to detect percent surviving cells. C, Western blotting was used to quantify levels of P38 MAPK, AKT, ERK1/2 and STAT3 in cell lysates of THP-1 cells after treatment with BGJ398 and PD173074 at the indicated concentration for 1 h. D, Representative blots of FGFR2 protein and phosphorylation of FRS2α were shown. Quantitative analysis were performed and relativized to β-actin. E, THP-1 cells were transfected with FGFR2 shRNA and shCtrl lentivirus for 72 h. Efficiency of infection was determined by fluorescence microscope.
Scale bar: 150 μm. Cells were then collected for qRT-PCR and Western blotting analyses to detect FGFR2 protein (F) and mRNA levels (G). CCK8 analysis was performed to detect the proliferation (H) and percent viable THP-1-shRNA\(^{\text{FGFR2}}\) after treatment with ADR at the indicated concentrations (I). \(* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01\) and *** \(P < 0.001\) versus THP-1-shCtrl. Western blotting analysis of PTEN protein and phosphorylation levels in cell lysates of THP-1 cells treated with 20 μmol/L PD173074 (J) or transfected with shFGFR2 (K). Anti-total-PTEN and anti-β-actin were loading controls.

**Figure 7.** Cytotoxic stress induces FGF10 secretion by damaged bone marrow stromal cells through β-catenin. A and B, HS-5 cells were respectively treated with 200 μg/L ADR, 10 μg/L IDA and 400 μg/L for 24 h and protein levels of β-catenin and p-mTOR in cytoplasm and nuclei lysates were assessed by Western blotting. Western blots from (A and B) were quantified and data is shown normalized to cells treated with NS. C, HS-5 cells were exposed to β-catenin activator (LiCl) at the indicated concentrations for 24 h. Western blotting was used to detect protein levels of FGF10, β-catenin and downstream target CyclinD1, CD44. Qualitative analysis of three replicate experiments were performed and shown relativized to β-actin. \(* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01\) and *** \(P < 0.001\) versus control. D, The mRNA levels of FGF10 and β-catenin downstream target c-Myc, CyclinD1 and Trib2 in LiCl-treated HS-5 cells were assessed by qRT-PCR. \(* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01\) and *** \(P < 0.001\) versus control. E, LiCl-treated HS-5 cells were probed with antibodies recognizing β-catenin (red and pink signals) by immunofluorescence and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 μm. F, HS-5 cells were treated with Wnt signaling inhibitor XAV-939 at the indicated concentration for 1 h and levels of β-catenin and FGF10 protein quantified by Western blotting. G, CM was collected from HS-5 cells after treatment with 200 μg/L ADR or 1μM XAV-939 combined with 200 μg/L ADR and percent viable THP-1 cells in the CM after treated with 100 μg/L ADR, 5 μg/L IDA and 200 μg/L for 72 h was determined by CCK8 analysis.

**Figure 8.** Model of drug-resistance effects of bone marrow stromal cells in response to anti-leukemia drugs. Anti-leukemia drugs activate a DNA damage response (DDR) in bone marrow stromal cells and induce diverse proteins which promote survival of AML cell lines via pro-growth signaling pathways. Drug-induced β-catenin activation in damaged bone marrow stromal cells regulates FGF10 secretion promoting survival of AML cell lines by activating FGFR2, P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 signaling pathways. Blocking FGF10-FGFR2 signaling with PD173074 and BGJ398 reverse the pro-survival effects of bone marrow stromal cells on AML cell lines by inhibiting P38 MAPK, AKT and ERK1/2 signaling pathways.
Table 1. The fold-change of differential proteins from damaged HS-5 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein symbol</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6Ckine</td>
<td>1.566</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activin A</td>
<td>3.631</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activin C</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activin RII A/B</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activin RIIA</td>
<td>1.590</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgRP</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALCAM</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiopoietin-like 1</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiostatin</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (Amphiregulin)</td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP-3</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP-15</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTC</td>
<td>1.527</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiotrophin-1/CT-1</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR9</td>
<td>1.558</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD30 Ligand/TNFSF8</td>
<td>2.011</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40/TNFRSF5</td>
<td>1.466</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chordin-Like 1</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTF R alpha</td>
<td>1.728</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation Factor III/Tissue Factor</td>
<td>1.779</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIM 1</td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cripto-1</td>
<td>1.641</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTACK/CCL27</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLA-4/CD152</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein/Molecule</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCR2/IL-8 RB</td>
<td>1.489</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR6/TNFRSF21</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-VEGF/PK1</td>
<td>1.556</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENA-78</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoglin/CD105</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelin</td>
<td>1.489</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythropoietin</td>
<td>1.640</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-BP</td>
<td>1.473</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF R5</td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-10/KGF-2</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-11</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-13 1B</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-16</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF-20</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frizzled-1</td>
<td>1.666</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Hormone (GH)</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVEM/TNFRSF14</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12 p70</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NrCAM</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. The primers for qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Forward primer (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>ACTCACCTCTTCAGAAGCTTG</td>
<td>CCATCTTTGGAAGGTCAGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>ACTGAGAGTGATTGAGTGGAC</td>
<td>AACCCTCTGCACCAAGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>GGTCAAAGTTACCGGGCAGT</td>
<td>GGAGGATCGATCCAGCCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activin A</td>
<td>AGCCATATAGCCAGCCAGTC</td>
<td>GAGTTGGAAGGGGCTAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Ckine</td>
<td>CCTTGCCACACTCTTTTCTCC</td>
<td>CAAGGAAGGTCGGGGGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-VEGF</td>
<td>AGGTCCCCTTCTTCAGGAAACG</td>
<td>TCCAGGCTGCTCAGGAAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF10</td>
<td>CAGTAGAAATCGGAGTTGCTCC</td>
<td>TGAGGCATAGGAAGTTCCCCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>AGCAACGTCTATGACCTCCTAA</td>
<td>CAGGAATGTCTCGACCTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTC</td>
<td>GCCCCAAGCAGTACAAGCAT</td>
<td>GCCCAGCATAGCCCTCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR1</td>
<td>CCCGTAGCTCCATATTGGACA</td>
<td>TTTGGAATTCTCAACCAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR2</td>
<td>CGCTGGTGAGGATAACACAGC</td>
<td>TGGAGGTCATCTCGGAGACCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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