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Abstract

Astrocytic excitatory amino acid transporter 2 (EAAT2) plays a major role in removing the excitatory neurotransmitter L-glutamate (L-Glu) from synaptic clefts in the forebrain to prevent excitotoxicity. Polyunsaturated fatty acids such as docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3) enhance synaptic transmission, and their target molecules include EAATs. Here, we aimed to investigate the effect of DHA on EAAT2 and identify the key amino acid for DHA/EAAT2 interaction by electrophysiological recording of L-Glu-induced current in *Xenopus* oocytes transfected with EAATs, their chimeras, and single mutants. DHA transiently increased the amplitude of EAAT2, but tended to decrease that of EAAT1, another astrocytic EAAT. Single mutation of leucine (Leu) 434 to alanine (Ala) completely suppressed the augmentation by DHA, while mutation of EAAT1 Ala 435 (corresponding to EAAT2 Leu434) to Leu changed the effect from suppression to augmentation. Other polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (docosapentaenoic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, arachidonic acid, and α-linolenic acid) similarly augmented the EAAT2 current and suppressed the EAAT1 current. Finally, our docking analysis suggested the most stable docking site is the lipid crevice of EAAT2, in close proximity to the L-Glu and sodium binding sites, suggesting that the DHA/Leu434 interaction might affect the elevator-like slide and/or the shapes of the other binding sites. Collectively, our results highlight a key molecular detail in the DHA-induced regulation of synaptic transmission involving EAATs.
Introduction

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3) is a polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) that is reported to enhance cognition (1,2), memory (3) and synaptic transmission (4,5). These studies suggest DHA and PUFAs have multiple target molecules. Among the most-studied molecules are L-glutamate transporters in the central nervous system (CNS) (EAAT1-5 in human) (6-8). EAATs are a family of excitatory neurotransmitter transporters that take up L-aspartate (L-Asp), D-Asp, and L-glutamate (L-Glu) to enable convergence of synaptic transmission and to prevent excitotoxicity. In an electrophysiological study using Xenopus oocytes, Zarangue et al. found that arachidonic acid (AA) suppresses EAAT1 function and enhances EAAT2 function (9). In contrast, both ARA and DHA enhance EAAT4 currents (10). In a study of D-[3H]aspartate uptake by HEK cells transfected with EAAT1, 2, and 3, DHA enhanced the uptake activities of EAAT2 and 3, but inhibited the activity of EAAT1 (11). Among EAATs, EAAT1 and EAAT2 are astrocytic, and EAAT2 mediates L-Glu uptake in the forebrain (12,13), accounting for ~90 % of L-Glu uptake in the CNS (14,15). However, it is difficult to maintain stable expression of EAAT2 in cultured cells, so in order to examine the effects of PUFAs such as DHA on EAAT2, as well as EAAT1, we chose to employ electrophysiological studies in Xenopus oocytes overexpressing these transporters. The net L-Glu-induced EAAT current is composed of the coupled L-Glu transport current and the uncoupled Cl⁻ (anion) current (16). The coupled L-Glu current is induced by co-transport of 3 Na⁺ and 1 H⁺, followed by the counter-transport of 1 K⁺ (13,17). The amplitudes of the L-Glu-induced currents of EAAT1 and EAAT2 are linearly correlated with L-Glu transport functions (18). In this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of DHA on EAAT2 and to identify the key amino acid for the interaction between DHA and EAAT2 by means of electrophysiological recording of the L-Glu-induced current in Xenopus oocytes transfected with EAATs, their chimeras, and single mutants. We found that Leu434 of EAAT2 has a critical role in the augmenting effect of DHA and the related PUFAs on L-Glu transport.
Results

Characterization of the effect of DHA on EAAT2 current.

We examined the effect of DHA on the L-Glu-induced current in Xenopus oocytes expressing EAAT2 by means of the two-electrode voltage-clamp method. We preliminarily confirmed that DHA alone did not induce any current (data not shown). As shown in the trace of EAAT2 current (Fig. 1A-a1), DHA (100 μM) increased the L-Glu (50 μM, 2 min)-induced EAAT2 current. When we examined the L-Glu concentration-response curve, DHA (100 μM) shifted the curve leftward (Fig. 1A-a2) with a significant decrease in $K_m$ for L-Glu ($K_m$, control: $36.5 \pm 7.5 \mu M$; +DHA: $15.4 \pm 2.5 \mu M$; $N = 6$) without affecting the mean maximal current ($I_{max}$, control: $111.3 \pm 3.9 \mu M$; +DHA: $115.3 \pm 3.2 \mu M$; $N = 6$). $K_m$ is the L-Glu concentration needed to achieve a half-maximum response at equilibrium. We also examined the effect of DHA on EAAT1, because its amino acid sequence is 65% identical to EAAT2 and the degree of relatedness increases to 80% when conservative substitutions are taken into account (6). In contrast to EAAT2, DHA tended to decrease EAAT1 current (Fig. 1A-a3). The effect of DHA (30-300 μM) on L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current was concentration-dependent at -50 mV (Fig. 1B-b1), but was independent of holding potential (-110 mV to +60 mV) ($N = 5$) (Fig. 1B-b2). Subsequent experiments were therefore performed at the holding potential of -50 mV. DHA has been reported to modulate the activities of several ion channels (19,20) that are endogenously expressed in Xenopus oocytes (21-24). We therefore examined whether the effect of DHA was a direct effect on EAAT2 or not. As shown in Fig. 1B-b3, dihydrokainic acid (DHK), a selective inhibitor of EAAT2 (6,25), greatly reduced the L-Glu-induced current ($21.0 \pm 24.5$ % of the control at 100 μM, $N = 5$), showing that the L-Glu-induced current in this experimental system is predominantly due to EAAT2. In the presence of DHK, DHA no longer enhanced the EAAT2 current ($28.6 \pm 33.9$ % control, $N = 5$), indicating that the target of DHA is EAAT2. DHA has two main structural elements, a lipophilic acyl chain and a carboxyl group. A long lipophilic acyl chain might allow DHA to be incorporated into lipid bilayers. We therefore compared the effect of DHA with that of the conjugate of DHA with Coenzyme A (DHA-CoA). Because CoA is a large hydrophilic moiety, DHA-CoA is membrane-impermeable and is unlikely to be incorporated into membranes (Fig. 1C-c3). As shown in Fig. 1C-c1, 100 μM DHA-CoA increased the EAAT2 current to almost the
same extent as DHA at the same concentration. We confirmed that CoA itself had no effect (104.0 ± 14.1 % control, N = 9). These results suggest that DHA does not interact with EAAT2 in membrane lipid bilayers or from the intracellular side, but approaches from the outside of cells. A carboxylate is positioned at one end of DHA’s acyl chain and is deprotonated (negatively charged) at pH 7.5. DHA is reported to tune channel opening via electrostatic interaction between the negatively-charged carboxylate and the channel’s voltage sensor (26-29). To examine whether the negative charge of carboxylate is required for the DHA action, we examined the effect of DHA methyl ester (DHA-Me), an uncharged analogue of DHA (Fig. 1C-c3). DHA-Me (200 µM) had no effect on EAAT2 current (Fig. 1C-c1), indicating that the carboxylate moiety is essential for the effects. When we changed the extracellular pH from 7.5 to 5.5, DHA had no effect on EAAT2 current. Because DHA is protonated and uncharged at pH 5.5, these data indicate the importance of the negative charge of carboxylate (Fig. 1C-c2). Considering that DHA-CoA, which has a negative charge derived from the phosphate group, also increased the EAAT2 current (Fig. 1C-c3), these results suggest that the negative charge is important for the effect of DHA on EAAT2. Arachidonic acid (ARA) is reported to activate H⁺ conductance in EAAT4 (10,30). The current-voltage relationships recorded in the presence of ARA intersected the control EAAT4 relationships and the crossing potential shifted markedly to the right as the extracellular pH was decreased (10,30), meaning that ARA induces additional H⁺ current. However, DHA seems not to activate H⁺ conductance in the case of EAAT2 because the current-voltage relationships recorded in the presence of DHA at pH 7.5 did not intersect the control EAAT2 relationships from -110 mV to +60 mV (Fig. 1B-b2), and DHA had no effect on the EAAT2 current even at pH 5.5 at -50 mV (Fig. 1C-c2).

We also examined whether or not DHA metabolites contribute to the effect of DHA. In the arachidonic acid cascade, DHA is converted to maresin1 or neuroprotectin D1 by lipoxygenase (31). Neither nordihydroguaiaretic acid (NDGA, 100 µM), a lipoxygenase inhibitor, and indomethacin (Indo, 100 µM), a cyclooxygenase inhibitor, affected the DHA-induced enhancement of EAAT2 current (Fig. S1A). To examine the contribution of the hydrophilicity (polarity) of DHA (32), we examined the action of DHA-ethanolamide (DHA-EA), an uncharged hydrophilic analogue of DHA, and DHA-EA (100 µM) had little effect on the EAAT2 current
Identification of the target site of DHA on EAAT2

As shown in Fig. 1A-a2 and a3, DHA significantly increased EAAT2 current, while it slightly decreased EAAT1 current. We next focused on this difference. Transmembrane regions 7b - re-entrant hairpin loops 2a (TM7b-HP2a) in the transport domain (Fig. 2A-a1) was shown to be responsible for the difference in the EC$_{50}$ values of EAAT1 and EAAT2 (33). We therefore speculated that TM7b-HP2a (Val407-Leu434 in EAAT2 numbering) is involved in the difference between the effects of DHA on EAAT1 and EAAT2. We first employed EAAT2(EAAT1 TM7b-HP2a), a chimera in which the TM7b-HP2a region of EAAT2 is substituted by the corresponding region of EAAT1. However, this chimera was nonfunctional. We therefore used an EAAT1-based chimera, EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a), in which the EAAT1 TM7b-HP2a region is substituted by that of EAAT2. In this chimera, the current was enhanced by DHA (198.5 ± 85.1 % control, N = 20) (Fig. 2A-a2 and Fig. S3). The extracellular domain between TM7b and HP2a (the ‘connector’: Gly417-Gly422 in EAAT2 numbering) is the least-conserved motif between EAAT1 and EAAT2 in TM7b-HP2a. However, replacement of the EAAT1 ‘connector’ by the EAAT2 ‘connector’ did not alter the effect of DHA (92.3±10.4 % control, N = 16) (Fig. 2A-a2 and Fig. S3), indicating that the ‘connector’ motif is not involved in the effect of DHA. From TM7b to HP2a but connector region, there are 6 different amino acids between EAAT2 and EAAT1 (Val407, Met415, Val426, Val428, Leu430, and Leu434 in EAAT2) (black arrowheads in Fig. 2B-b1 and Fig. S2). To identify the essential amino acid(s), we constructed EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) containing point mutations (back-mutation to the original EAAT1 amino acid residue) in the 6 amino acids described above (V407L, M415V, V426I, V428I, L430I, and L434A) (Fig. 2B-b2). Among these mutants, only EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) L434A showed complete disappearance of the effect of DHA (Fig. 2B-b2 and S3), indicating that EAAT2 Leu434 is the essential. To confirm this, we mutated Leu434 in EAAT2 to Ala (EAAT2 L434A), because Ala435 is the EAAT1 residue corresponding to Leu434 of EAAT2. Indeed, in EAAT2 L434A, the effect of DHA was completely abolished (from 158.1 ± 37.9% to 96.5 ± 11.2%) (Fig 2B-b3 and S3). On the other hand, when we mutated EAAT1 Ala435
to Leu, DHA enhanced the EAAT1 A435L current (from 87.1 ± 4.8 % to 124.0 ± 15.4 %) (Fig 2B-b4 and S3). These results confirm that EAAT2 Leu434 is responsible for the augmenting effect of DHA on EAAT2 current, and the absence of Leu at the corresponding position of EAAT1 accounts for its lack of response to DHA.

The net L-Glu-induced EAAT currents is composed of the coupled L-Glu transport currents and the uncoupled Cl⁻ (anion) currents (16). EAAT2 is a very effective transporter with predominant L-Glu transport currents, so that anion currents may not have major contributions to the EAAT2 currents (16), while in case of EAAT1, anion currents voltage-dependently contribute to the total EAAT1 currents. We therefore confirmed whether or not DHA regulate L-Glu transport currents and anion currents distinctly focusing in EAAT1, EAAT1-based single mutants and chimeras. When extracellular Cl⁻ is replaced by NO₃⁻, which is about 17 times more permeable than Cl⁻ (34), the anion current becomes pronounced in EAAT1 currents. In NO₃⁻-based buffer, current-voltage relationships for EAAT1 current in the absence or presence of DHA (100 µM) were almost same (Fig. S4A-a1). The measurement at +60 mV in NO₃⁻-based buffer allows us to isolate changes in the anion current. At +60mV, DHA had no effects on the anion current (Fig. S4A-a2). Similar results were obtained in both cases of EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) and EAAT1 A435A (Fig. S4B and C). These results indicates that DHA does not regulate anion currents of EAAT1.

**Identification of PUFAs that also augment EAAT2 current**

Some functional proteins are modulated by multiple PUFAs including DHA (35). We therefore examined the effects of 10 fatty acids with various acyl chain lengths and various numbers of unsaturated bonds (Fig. 3A). Among these fatty acids, docosapentaenoic acid (DPA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), ARA, and α-linolenic acid (ALA) augmented EAAT2 current similarly to DHA (125.3 ± 6.0%, 185.2 ± 18.6%, 232.0 ± 49.4%, and 155.6 ± 26.9%, respectively, vs. the oleic acid-treated group) (Fig. 3B-b1). To examine the involvement of EAAT2 Leu434 in these effects, we applied DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA to EAAT2 L434A (Fig. 3B-b2). Notably, the effects of these PUFAs were completely abrogated by the single mutation. We also examined the effects of DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA on EAAT1 current (Fig. 3C-c1). DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA tended to decrease the EAAT1 current. The
effects of these PUFAs on EAAT1 were also changed to an augmenting effect by single mutation of EAAT1 Ala435 to Leu (Fig. 3C-c2). These data demonstrate the importance of EAAT2 L434 and the corresponding amino acid of EAAT1 in the effects of PUFAs on L-Glu transport.

**Docking simulation of DHA and EAAT2**

To visualize the positional relationships between DHA and EAAT2 Leu434, we performed Induced Fit Docking (IFD) simulation (36-38) (Fig. 4, S5B-b1 and b2). Although the crystal structure of EAAT2 has not been reported yet, that of EAAT1 was clarified in 2017 (protein data bank ID [PDBID]: 5LLM) (39), so we performed the standard IFD simulation of DHA against EAAT2 homology model using EAAT1 as a template (Fig. S5A). In addition to the crevice for allosteric inhibitor UCPH101, the second hydrophobic crevice was found in EAAT1 between TM4 and HP2 (39), which is on the extracellular half of transport/trimerization domain interface. According to our data so far, we assumed this site to be the centroid for interaction with DHA. The structural changes during substrate transport have been studied in detail by modeling Gltph (the EAAT homologue of archaea [Pyrococcus horikoshii]): outward facing state (OFS) (40,41) → intermediate (i) OFS (42) → unlocked inward facing state (IFS) (43) → IFS (44). In OFS of EAAT2, DHA could occupy the hydrophobic crevice (Fig. 4A, a1-3). A standard IFD protocol (Glide, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019; Prime, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019) afforded seven candidate combinations of docking site and DHA pose. The two top-scoring combinations are shown in Fig. 4B-b1 and 2. For methodological details, see “Structural data, presentation and molecular modeling studies” in Experimental procedure. In both cases DHA was located in close proximity to the L-Glu binding site and Na⁺ binding site (Fig. 4B), and the DHA poses were U-shaped (Fig. 4B-b1 and 2, inset).
Discussion

It has been proposed that the lipophilic PUFA tails show non-specific hydrophobic interactions, while the carboxylic head group displayed more specific interactions (45-47). The pH dependence of DHA’s activity suggests that the negative charge of its carboxylate moiety is important for the current action. DHA is reported to modulate voltage-gated Shaker K⁺ channel activity (26-28) via a lipo-electric mechanism. In case of Shaker, carboxylic head group interacts with six positively charged amino acid residues in the voltage sensor domain (29). The lipo-electric mechanism may be involved in the interaction between DHA and EAAT2 as well. Docking simulation indicated the carboxylate moiety of DHA was close to the positively-charged amino acid residues of EAAT2 in OFS. Because the elevator-like motion of EAAT2 transport is dominated by the positive charge of the bound Na⁺ (48-51), it is possible that the negative charge of DHA could stabilize OFS, resulting in efficient binding of Na⁺ and substrate. We also found DHA (22:6), DPA (22:5), EPA (20:5), ARA (20:4), and ALA (18:3) had the same activity as DHA. The effects are not simply correlated with the number of unsaturated bonds in the acyl chain, because among ALA (18:3), eicosatrienoic acid (20:3), and DTriA (22:3), only ALA augmented EAAT2 current. The occupancy of unsaturation bonds in the acyl chain and the resulting conformations may be related to the effects. Our docking simulation between DHA and homology EAAT2 OFS suggests the U-shaped conformation is important to stay in the hydrophobic crevice.

The crystal structure of GltPh (41-43), the EAAT homologue of archaea [Pyrococcus horikoshii] and its structural transition during the transport process have been investigated in detail. Each protomer of homo-trimer is comprised of eight TM helices and two re-entrant HPs (40). The protomer is divided into two distinct functional components: one is a rigid scaffold domain that mediates inter-protomer interactions and is located in the center of the trimer, and the other is a transport domain containing the substrate-binding site (43). There are hydrophobic crevices with strong non-protein electron density in the OFS and unlocked IFS (41,43). In the presences of substrate and Na⁺, the GltPh lipid pocket in this hydrophobic crevice includes 12 amino acid residues (Val86, Leu90, Pro128, Leu130, Ile133, Leu134, Ile137, Leu152, Ile309, Leu347, Ile350, and Gly351, see Fig. S2, pink background) (PDBID: 2NWL) (41). Among these amino acids, GltPh Leu347 is corresponding
to EAAT2 Leu434. Substrate binding induces sliding of the transport domain from the outside to the inside of the membrane, in an ‘elevator-like’ motion \(44,52\) and changes the interface space between the transport domain and the scaffold domain \(53\). Mutant Glt\(_{ph}\) bearing two “humanizing” mutations, R276S/M395R, enhanced ‘elevator-like’ dynamics and substrate transport rate \(43\). These two amino acids locate in the lipid crevice, indicating the importance of unlocking of the transport domain and the elevator-like slide for transport cycle. Docking simulation of Glt\(_{ph}\) and palmitic acid (16:0) shows that palmitic acid enters the lipid pocket in the crevice (PDBID: 2NWX) \(41\). Considering that the augmenting effect of DHA on EAAT2 current was abrogated by L434A substitution, while the effect of DHA was switched from inhibition to augmentation in EAAT1 A435L, the difference between Leu and Ala seems to be important for the augmentative effect of DHA. The side chains of Leu and Ala are isobutyl and methyl, respectively, and the difference in the amino acid surface areas might be critical for the effect. Leu434 is located in HP2, at the surface of the lipid crevice. HP2 is important for the elevator-like slide \(43\), and our docking simulation indicated that DHA is docked to the lipid crevice at the transport/trimerization domain interface, in close proximity to the L-Glu binding site and the Na\(^+\) binding site. These data suggest that the interaction between DHA and the hydrophobic pocket involving Leu434 could affect the elevator-like slide, and also the shapes of the L-Glu binding site and the Na\(^+\) binding site, thereby enhancing transport efficiency. It has been reported that K\(^+\) also binds to Na\(^+\) binding site \(54\) during re-translocation. Since K\(^+\)-bound retranslocation is reported to be rate-limiting for mammalian EAATs \(18,55\), it is possible that DHA may influence the K\(^+\)-bound retranslocation process.

This study show that DHA augments the L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current, and the Leu434 residue in the HP2a is essential for this activity. Furthermore, our data suggest that EAAT2 Leu434 and EAAT1 Ala435 (the amino acid residue corresponding to EAAT2 Leu434) are important for the effects of PUFAs on EAATs. Our findings would become a foothold to investigate the mechanisms underlying the interactions between PUFAs and EAATs.
Experimental procedures

*Molecular biology*

pcDNA3.1 containing cDNA of human brain excitatory amino acid transporter subtype 1 (EAAT1) (GeneBank accession no. D26443), or subtype 2 (EAAT2) (GeneBank accession no. D85884.1) was kindly provided by Dr. Keiko Shimamoto (Bioorganic Research Institute, Suntory Foundation for Life Sciences). The chimeric cDNAs used in the preliminary experiments were kind gifts from Dr. Robert J. Vandenberg (The University of Sydney, Australia). EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a), EAAT2(EAAT1 TM7b-HP2a), and EAAT1(EAAT2 connector) were constructed by means of splicing overlap extension PCR (56). Point mutations were induced in our lab using a KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis kit (Toyobo) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequence was confirmed for each construction (Macrogen-Japan). The cDNAs were digested with BamHI and XbaI, and subcloned into pGEMHE vector, which was a kind gift from Dr. Yoshihiro Kubo (National Institute for Physiological Sciences). The coding sequence was inserted between *Xenopus β*-globulin 5’- and 3’-untranslated regions (57) in the plasmid, which had been linearized by cutting at the SphI site. The capped cRNA was synthesized from the linearized cDNA using a mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

*Expression of EAATs in Xenopus oocytes*

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the principles of the Basel Declaration and the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, the Animal Research Committee of the National Institute of Health Sciences, Japan. The protocol was approved by the Animal Research Committee of the National Institute of Health Sciences, Japan. Oocytes were collected from anaesthetized *Xenopus laevis*. The isolated oocytes were then treated with type1 collagenase (2 mg ml⁻¹, Sigma), and injected with 50 nl of capped cRNA solution (>10 ng), after which they were incubated for 2–4 days at 18 °C in ND96 (NaCl 96, KCl 2, CaCl₂ 1.8, MgCl₂ 1, Heps 5 [mM], pH 7.5) supplemented with 0.01 % gentamycin.
**Electrophysiology**

Two-electrode voltage clamp recordings from the oocytes were performed at room temperature (25°C) using glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl (resistance = 1-4 MΩ) and an Ag/AgCl pellet electrode (EP2; World Precision Instruments). A bath-clamp amplifier (OC-725C; Warner Instruments,) was used with a Digidata 1320A interface (Axon Instruments). The pClamp software suite (ver. 8.2; Axon Instruments) and the Clampfit data acquisition software were used for stimulation control, data acquisition, and data analysis. The oocytes were clamped at -50 mV and L-Glu-induced current was measured under continuous superfusion with ND96. In the pharmacological experiments, fatty acids or antagonists were co-applied with L-Glu. The normalized mean concentration response curves of currents induced by L-Glu at 300 µM were fitted by non-linear regression analysis to the equation \( I = I_{\text{max}}([\text{L-Glu}]/[\text{L-Glu}] + K_m) \), where \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the maximal current and \( K_m \) is the Michaelis constant, which is the L-Glu concentration needed to achieve a half-maximum response at equilibrium. The \( K_m \) value was determined by fitting results from individual saturation response curves. To examine the current-voltage relationship, L-Glu-induced current was calculated by subtraction of the steady-state current from the L-Glu-induced current. The curves were obtained with a holding potential of -60 mV applying 8000 ms ramp pulse from -110 to +60 mV. Data are shown as the values normalized to that obtained with 50 µM L-Glu at -100 mV. In the experiments performed at pH 5.5, HEPES was replaced by 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) to adjust the extracellular pH. In the experiments to substitute nitrate (NO\(_3\)\(^-\)) for Cl\(^-\), 96 mM NaNO\(_3\) was used instead of NaCl and Cl\(^-\) was replaced by an equimolar gluconate ion. NO\(_3\)\(^-\)-based ND96 was contained in NaNO\(_3\) 96, K-gluconate 2, Ca-gluconate 1.8, Mg-gluconate 1, and Hepes 5 [mM] at pH 7.5.

**Structural data, presentation and molecular modeling studies**

Structural data were presented using the graphical user interface in Maestro Suite (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019-2). The homology model of EAAT2 was constructed as a monomer based on the crystal structure of OFS EAAT1 (PDBID: 5LLM) with energy-based loop refinement using the Homology Modeling unit in Maestro Suite (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019-2). The quality of the homology model was checked by PROCHECK as shown by a Ramachandran plot (58,59) (Fig. S5). The red regions are the "most favored"
regions, the yellow regions are the "additionally allowed" regions, the thin yellow regions are the “generously allowed” regions, and the white regions are the "disallowed" regions.

The homology model used for docking contains bound ligand, L-aspartate, which was replaced by the substrate in EAAT2 (L-Glu) before minimization was conducted. The co-crystallized Na⁺ was retained, and the whole complex was minimized locally using Prime (Prime, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019). Possible ligand binding sites were detected by SiteMap (SiteMap, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY), and the docking grid of DHA was determined as the hydrophobic site near the transport/trimerization domain interface. We docked DHA, pre-prepared using LigPrep to obtain its ionized form, to the docking grid of the EAAT2 homology model by using a standard Induced Fit Docking (IFD) protocol (Glide, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019; Prime, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019). As a result, 7 poses were generated, and among them, the two docking poses having the top IFD scores showed similar conformations but with different orientations of the carbonyl group.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 (Ver. 9, GraphPad software). All data are shown as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using Tukey’s test following one-way factorial ANOVA or two-tailed Student’s t-test. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. Statistical test, the number of independent experiments, and P values are indicated in each Figure panel or legend.

**Materials**

All chemical compounds were purchased from Wako unless otherwise stated. Docosahexaenoic acid (cis-4,7,10,13,16,19-docosahexaenoic acid: DHA), DHA methyl ester (DHA-Me), arachidonic acid (ARA), eicosapentaenoic acid (cis-5,8,11,14,17-eicosapentaenoic acid: EPA), dihydrokainic acid (DHK), indomethacin, and Triton X-100 were purchased from Sigma. Docosahexaenoyl Coenzyme A (DHA-CoA) and CoA were purchased from Avanti. Oleic acid, linoleic acid, α-linolenic acid (ALA), cis-11,14-eicosadienoic acid, cis-11,14,17-eicosatrienoic acid, cis-13,16,19-docosatrienoic acid (DTriA), cis-7,10,13,16-docosatetraenoic acid...
(DTetA), cis-7,10,13,16,19-docosapentaenoic acid (DPA), and N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4Z,7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z,19Z-docosahexaenamide (DHA-EA) were purchased from Cayman Chemical. L-Glu stock solution (20 mM) in purified water (DIRECT-Q; Millipore) was diluted to the required final concentration with ND96 just before application. Stock solutions of fatty acids (100 mM) in ethanol was diluted to the required final concentration with ND96 on demand and used within 2 hours to avoid precipitation. We confirmed that the vehicle had no effect prior to each experiment.
Data availability

All data other than those in the following public data base are contained within the manuscript.

GenBank No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transporter</th>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAAT1</td>
<td>D26443.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAAT2</td>
<td>D85884.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gltph</td>
<td>NP_143181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Protein Data Bank (PDB) No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transporter</th>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAAT1</td>
<td>5LLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gltph</td>
<td>2NWX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure legends

Fig.1. Characterization of the effect of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) on EAAT2 current.

A a1. Representative traces of L-glutamate (L-Glu, 50 µM for 2min, black bar)-induced current obtained from *Xenopus* oocytes over-expressing EAAT2 clamped at -50 mV in the absence or presence of DHA (100 µM for 2min, grey bar). When co-applied, DHA increased the L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current and the effect disappeared after washout. The structure of DHA is also shown.

a2. Effect of DHA (100 µM) on the L-Glu concentration-response curves of EAAT2 current at -50 mV. DHA caused a significant decrease of $K_m$ for L-Glu, without significantly affecting the mean maximal current, $I_{max}$. $K_m$ is the Michaelis constant, which is L-Glu concentration needed to achieve a half-maximum binding at equilibrium.

a3. Representative traces of L-Glu (50 µM for 2min, black bars)-induced EAAT1 current in the absence or presence of DHA (100 µM for 2min, grey bars). When the compounds were co-applied, DHA tended to decrease EAAT1 current and the effect disappeared after washout. Effects of DHA (100 µM) on the L-Glu-induced current amplitudes of EAAT1. The amplitudes were normalized to those just before the application of DHA.

B b1. Concentration-dependence of the effect of DHA on L-Glu induced EAAT2 current.

b2. Current-voltage relationship for L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current in the absence or presence of DHA (100 µM). The effect of DHA was independent of holding potential.

b3. In the presence of dihydrokainic acid (DHK, 100 µM), a selective inhibitor of EAAT2, DHA no longer enhanced the L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current.

C c1. Comparison of the effects of DHA and that of DHA analogue. The conjugate of DHA with Coenzyme A (DHA-CoA, 100 µM), membrane-impermeable analogue of DHA, increased the EAAT2 current to almost the same extent as DHA. DHA methyl ester (DHA-ME, 200 µM), an uncharged analogue of DHA, had no effect on L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current.

c2. The effect of DHA disappeared when the pH was changed from 7.5 to 5.5.

c3. The structures of DHA and the analogues used in these experiments.

Error bars represent mean ± SD. The numbers written within parentheses in each Figure represent the number of
independent experiments. Statistical differences between groups were determined by two-tailed paired Student’s \( t \) test (a2, b3, c1, c2) (denoted by *). \( P \)-values are indicated in each Figure panel.

**Fig. 2.** Leu434 residue in re-entrant hairpin loops HP2a is essential for the augmenting effect of DHA on L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current.

**A a1.** Topology of EAAT1, EAAT2 and EAAT1-EAAT2 hybrid chimeras: EAAT2(EAAT1 TM7b-HP2a), EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a), and EAAT1(EAAT2 connector).

**a2.** The effect of DHA on L-Glu-induced currents of EAAT1, EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a), EAAT1(EAAT2 connector), and EAAT2. Data are shown as rates of increase by DHA.

**B b1.** Amino acid alignment from TM7b to HP2a of EAAT2 and EAAT1. The common amino acids are shown on a black background. Single amino acid back-mutations were performed at the sites indicated by black arrowheads in EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) chimera.

**b2.** Comparison of the effects of DHA on EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) chimera and a series of EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a)s with point back-mutations to the original amino acid of EAAT1 for the 6 amino acids shown in b1. Only EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) L434A shows complete loss of the augmenting effect of DHA. Data are shown as rates of increase by DHA. Exact \( P \)-values were 0.7809 for V407L, 0.1898 for M415V, 0.1575 for V426L, 0.4775 for V428I, 0.3042 for L430I and 0.0006 for L434A. vs. EAAT1(EAAT2 TM7b-HP2a) group.

**b3.** Top. Topology of EAAT2 L434A. Bottom. Comparison of the effects of DHA on EAAT2 and EAAT2 L434A. Data are shown as rates of increase by DHA.

**b4.** Top. Topology of EAAT1 A435L. Bottom. Comparison of the effects of DHA on EAAT1 and EAAT1 A435L. Data are shown as rates of increase by DHA.

Error bars represent mean ± SD. The numbers written within parentheses in each Figure represent the number of independent experiments. Statistical differences between groups were determined by two-tailed unpaired Student’s \( t \) test (b3 and b4), and Tukey’s test following one-way factorial ANOVA (a2 and b2) (denoted by *). \( P \)-values are indicated in each Figure panel.
Fig.3. Identification of other PUFAs that augment L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current.

A. Structures of fatty acids used in this experiment. DHA: docosahexaenoic acid; DPA: docosapentaenoic acid, DTetA: docosatetraenoic acid, DTriA: docosatrienoic acid, EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid, ARA: arachidonic acid, ALA: α-linolenic acid; OLE: oleic acid.

B b1. Effects of fatty acids (100 µM) shown in A on the L-Glu-induced EAAT2 current. DHA, DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA significantly increased the current.

b2. Loss of the augmenting effects of DPA, EPA, ARA and ALA in EAAT2 L434A.

C c1. Effects of DHA, DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA (100 µM) on the L-Glu-induced EAAT1 current. DHA significantly decreased the current, while DPA, EPA, ARA, and ALA tended to decrease the current.

c2. The effects of DPA, EPA, ARA and ALA on L-Glu-induced EAAT1 A435L current. These PUFAs augmented the L-Glu-induced EAAT1 A435L current.

Error bars represent mean ± SD. The numbers written within parentheses in each Figure represent the number of independent experiments. Statistical differences between groups were determined by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test (b2 and c2), and Tukey’s test following one-way factorial ANOVA (b1 and c1, vs OLE-treated group) (denoted by *). P-values are indicated in each Figure panel.

Fig.4. Proposed binding conformation for DHA in the transport/trimerization domain interface of EAAT2 homology model in the outward facing state OFS

A a1. Extracellular view of trimerized EAAT2 OFS homology model based on EAAT1 crystal structure. Trimerization domain is shown in green ribbon. Transporter domain is shown in grey surface. Structural data were presented using graphical user interface in Maestro Suite. The homology model of EAAT2 was constructed as a monomer based on the crystal structure of OFS EAAT1 (PDBID: 5LLM) with energy-based loop refinement using Homology Modeling unit in Maestro Suite. The quality of homology model was checked by PROCHECK.

a2 and a3 Magnified monomer in the hatched square in a1 in the absence (a2) or presence (a3) of DHA.
lipid crevice calculated by SiteMap exists at the interface between trimerization domains and transport domains (yellow space) (a2). DHA is docked to the lipid crevice (carbon: purple spheres; hydrogen: white spheres) (a3).

**B b1 and b2.** Docking poses of DHA to the lipid pocket in the vicinity of HP2 according to Induced Fit Docking protocol. The trimerization domain and transport domain are shown in green and grey ribbons, respectively. Carbons in DHA and EAAT2 L434 are represented by purple and yellow sticks, respectively. The atoms in L-Glu are shown as follows: carbon: blue sphere; hydrogen: white sphere, oxygen: red sphere; nitrogen: hiding. Na⁺ is shown as a pink sphere. Two types of the DHA conformations could be visualized according to the position of the carboxylic group, i.e., one is with carboxyl group on upper side (b1) and the other is with carboxyl group on lower side (b2). Both of them have similar U-shaped conformation. Inset is the DHA conformations in each case. Three-dimensional position of DHA is in close proximity to L-Glu binding site and Na⁺ binding site.
**Fig. 1**

**A**

- **a1**
  - EAAT2
  - L-Glu
  - DHA
  - 1 min
  - 20 nA

- **a2**
  - Normalized EAAT2 current
  - L-Glu alone
  - + DHA 100 μM
  - [L-Glu] μM
  - 150 nA

- **a3**
  - EAAT1
  - L-Glu
  - DHA
  - 1 min
  - 50 nA

**B**

- **b1**
  - % control
  - cont
  - 30
  - 100
  - 300
  - DHA (μM)

- **b2**
  - L-Glu alone (5)
  - + DHA (5)

- **b3**
  - % control
  - DHA
  - DHA-CoA
  - DHA-Me

**C**

- **c1**
  - % control
  - control
  - DHA
  - DHA-CoA
  - DHA-Me

- **c2**
  - 100 μM DHA
  - % control
  - pH 7.5
  - pH 5.5

- **c3**
  - DHA (ANION FORM)
  - DHA-CoA
  - DHA-Me

---

Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>control</th>
<th>+ 100 μM DHA</th>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_m ) (μM)</td>
<td>36.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{max} ) (%)</td>
<td>111.3 ± 3.9</td>
<td>115.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 4


Author contributions:

K.S. designed the research framework; K.T. performed experiments and analyzed the data; M.S., M.W., and L.C. performed molecular docking simulation of DHA and EAATs; M.K.H. collected the structural information about EAATs from databases; T.I. contributed to the subcloning of the chimeric EAATs; K.T., T.O., and K.S. wrote the paper.
Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: