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**Abbreviations used in SI**

3D, three-dimensional; ACN, acetonitrile; AGC, automatic gain control; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AUC, area-under-the-curve; Az granule, azurophilic granule; Az-MPO, azurophilic granule-resident MPO; BN, band neutrophil; CD, circular dichroism; CID, collision-induced dissociation; CytB/I, cytochalasin B and ionomycin; Dg-MPO, degranulated MPO; DDA, data-dependent acquisition; DTT, dithiothreitol; EIC, extracted ion chromatogram; Endo H, endoglycosidase H; FA, formic acid; FDR, false discovery rate; Fuc (F), α-L-fucose; FWHM, full width at half maximum; Ge granule, gelatinase granule; Ge-MPO, gelatinase granule-resident MPO; GlcNAc, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; HCD, higher-energy collisional dissociation; Hex, N-acetyl-β-hexosaminidase; HOCl, hypochlorous acid; IAA, iodoacetamide; kDa, kilo Dalton; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LF, lactoferrin; LFQ, label-free quantitation; Man, α/β-D-mannose; MD, molecular dynamics; MM, metamyelocyte; MMP-9, matrix metalloproteinase-9; MOI, multiplicity-of-infection; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NCE, normalised collision energy; nMPO, neutrophil-derived (unfractionated) myeloperoxidase; NRMSD, normalised root mean squared deviation; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; PDB, Protein Data Bank; PGC, porous graphitised carbon; PM, promyelocyte; PMN, polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil); PSM, peptide-to-spectral match; RMSD, root mean squared deviation; RMSF, root mean squared fluctuation; SD, standard deviation; Se/Pl, secretory vesicle and plasma membrane fraction; Se/Pl-MPO, secretory vesicle/plasma membrane-resident MPO; SN, neutrophil with segmented nuclei; Sp granule, specific granule; Sp-MPO, specific granule-resident MPO; SPE, solid phase extraction; TMB, 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine.
**Extended experimental procedures**
This section elaborates on the experimental procedures described in the main text.

**Neutrophil sample processing, handling and protein extraction**

**Neutrophil granule separation**

Granules were separated from resting neutrophils isolated from Donor a-f. For this purpose, the plasma membranes of the isolated neutrophils were gently disrupted without compromising the integrity of the granule membranes using nitrogen cavitation employing scientific grade nitrogen (≥ 99.99% purity, v/v) in a Parr bomb. The released granules from Donor a-b neutrophils were crudely separated using a two-layered Percoll separation method while the released neutrophil granules from Donor c-f were subjected to a three-layered Percoll separation method facilitating high-resolution granule separation as described previously (1,2).

The three-layered Percoll separation method, which enabled the isolation of the azurophilic (Az) granules, specific (Sp) granules, and gelatinase (Ge) granules and a combined fraction containing the secretory vesicles and the plasma membrane (Se/Pl), employed a density gradient generated by 1.12 g/mL, 1.09 g/mL, and 1.05 g/mL aqueous Percoll (catalogue number GE17-0891-01, GE Healthcare, Sweden). Separation was achieved by centrifugation of the released granules loaded on the Percoll density gradient at 37,000 x g, 4°C, 30 min. The relative distribution or activity of four proteins recognised as being robust compartment markers were determined for the resulting density fractions to identify the location of the four neutrophil compartments within the gradient. The enzyme activity of MPO was determined in order to identify the Az granules; the relative abundance of lactoferrin (LF) was determined to identify the Sp granules; the relative abundance of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) was determined to identify the Ge granules; and the enzyme activity of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was determined to identify the Se/Pl fraction. The relative enzyme activities of MPO and ALP were determined as previously described (3). The relative abundances of LF and MMP-9 were assessed by immunoblotting experiments using rabbit polyclonal anti-LF antibodies (1:2500 dilution, Dako, Sweden) and rabbit polyclonal anti-MMP9 antibodies (1:2000 dilution, product number 444236, Calbiochem, Sweden), respectively. Density fractions containing the same marker signatures were combined.

The two-layered Percoll separation method, which facilitated the separation of the Az granules from a fraction containing both the Sp and Ge granules (called Sp/Ge) and a fraction containing the Se/Pl membrane, employed a density gradient generated by 1.05 g/mL and 1.12 g/mL aqueous Percoll (catalogue number GE17-0891-01, GE Healthcare, Sweden). Separation was achieved by centrifugation of the released granules loaded on the density gradient at 37,000 x g, 4°C, 30 min. The relative distribution and/or activity of complementary compartment markers were determined for the resulting density fractions to identify the location of the Az, Sp/Ge and Se/Pl fractions within the gradient. Specifically, the enzyme activity of MPO was determined to identify the Az granule; the relative abundance of LF was determined to identify the Sp/Ge granule fractions and the enzyme activity of ALP was determined to identify the Se/Pl fraction as described above. Density fractions containing the same granule marker signatures were combined.

Debris, Percoll and other insoluble components were removed from the granule fractions isolated using both the two- and three-layered Percoll separation methods by employing ultra-centrifugation at 100,000 x g, 4°C, 1 h, which physically separated the pellet granules from the unwanted debris and Percoll in the bottom of the tubes (1,2). The pelleted granules were resuspended in a homogenisation buffer consisting of 100 mM aqueous potassium chloride, 3 mM aqueous sodium chloride, 3.5 mM aqueous magnesium chloride, 10 mM aqueous 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.4. The granules were then lysed using a tip sonicator at 1/3 power (8 MHz) three times for 30 s on ice. The luminal (soluble) proteins were separated from the membrane proteins of each granule fraction by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 x g, 4°C, 90 min. Only the MPO-containing soluble protein (supernatant) fractions were investigated in this study. The supernatants were carefully collected, the protein concentrations determined using Bradford assays. The protein samples were stored at a concentration of 4–15 μg/μL at –20°C until being used for biochemical characterisation and various functional assays.
Neutrophil activation, MPO degranulation and determination of cell death

*Staphylococcus aureus* from the pathogenic L51 lab strain kindly donated by Dr Tao Jin, Department of Rheumatology and Inflammation Research, Institute of Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Sweden was used for the pathogen-based activation of resting neutrophils. Stimulation was performed at an multiplicity-of-infection (MOI) of 1:5 (bacteria:neutrophil) to simulate low-level infection sufficient to trigger neutrophil activation (and MPO degranulation) while avoiding neutrophil cell death. Approximately 10⁷ neutrophils isolated from Donor g-i were inoculated with approximately 2 x 10⁶ *S. aureus* bacteria. The inoculate was separated into four tubes and subjected to different incubation periods at 37°C: 0 min, 30 min, 60 min and 120 min. For the 0 min incubation, the samples were briefly inoculated with *S. aureus*, but were not incubated for any significant length of time (approximately 2-3 min). As negative infection controls, the same number of isolated neutrophils (10⁷) from the same donors (Donor g-i) were incubated under the same conditions, but without *S. aureus* inoculation for 0 min and 120 min. As a positive activation control, the same number of isolated neutrophils from the same donors were incubated with 5 µg/mL aqueous cytochalasin B for 5 min at 37°C followed by 0.5 µM aqueous ionomycin (hereafter collectively referred to as CytB/I) for 10 min at 37°C. As a positive control for neutrophil cell death, the same number of isolated neutrophils from the same donors were incubated with 1% (w/v) Triton-X 100, 37°C, 5 min.

To investigate the degranulated MPO (Dg-MPO), an MPO ELISA kit (catalogue number E-800PX, ICL LAB, OR, USA) was used as per the manufacturer’s instructions to measure the level of Dg-MPO secreted into the extracellular space across various study conditions. For this purpose, the supernatant fractions of all neutrophil samples were collected after spinning the samples at 2,000 x g, 4°C, 15 min. An MPO standard from the ELISA kit was used to determine the concentration of Dg-MPO in the supernatant fractions. The level of neutrophil cell death was assessed by measuring the level of cytosolic lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) released into the same supernatant fractions using the Cytotoxicity Detection KitPLUS (catalogue number 4744934001, Sigma, Sweden) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Origin, purification, structural integrity, and activity of neutrophil-derived MPO

Enzymatically active human myeloperoxidase (MPO, UniProtKB, P05164) derived from unfractionated resting neutrophils (nMPO) was isolated from pooled donor blood (product number 426-10, Lee BioSolutions Inc, MO, USA). The nMPO was isolated to greater than 95% purity as established by gel electrophoresis using preparation-scale ion exchange and concanavalin A-based chromatography (data not shown). Upon receiving nMPO, the purity of the product was confirmed using reductive SDS-PAGE, which, as expected, showed two characteristic bands corresponding to the MPO light chain (α-chain, ~12.5 kDa) and heavy chain (β-chain, ~65 kDa) after Coomassie Blue staining. No other significant protein bands were observed, e.g. Supplementary Figure S7A. In addition, the enzymatic activity of nMPO was validated using a 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) assay (described below). The concentration of nMPO was determined with a Bradford assays (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) using bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) as a protein standard in the concentration range 0–2.5 mg/mL. nMPO was aliquoted and stored in a soluble form at a concentration of 1 µg/µL in 50 mM aqueous sodium acetate, 100 mM aqueous sodium chloride, pH 6.0 at −20°C until use.

**Glycomics**

Glycan release and clean-up

For glycan profiling, nMPO was reduced using 10 mM aqueous dithiothreitol (DTT), 56°C, 45 min, and alkylated using a freshly prepared solution of 25 mM aqueous iodoacetamide (IAA), 25°C, 30 min in the dark (both final concentrations) and otherwise handled as previously described (4). In short, isolated nMPO (20 µg/replicate) was blotted in triplicates on a primed PVDF membrane (Merck-Millipore, Australia) and stained with Direct Blue (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia). Protein spots were cut out and transferred to a flat bottom polypropylene 96-well plate (Corning Life Science, Australia), blocked with 1% (v/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone in 50% (v/v) aqueous methanol and washed with water. The N-glycans were exhaustively released from nMPO using 2 U recombinant *Elizabethkingia miricola*.
peptide-N-glycosidase F produced in Escherichia coli (Promega, Australia) per 20 μg nMPO in 10 μL water/well, 37° C, 16 h. Subsequently, the N-glycans were hydroxylated in 100 mM aqueous ammonium acetate, pH 5, 25° C, 1 h, and reduced to alditols in 1 M aqueous sodium borohydride in 50 mM aqueous potassium hydroxide, 50° C, 3 h. The reaction was quenched using glacial acetic acid. Desalting was performed using solid phase extraction (SPE) micro-columns packed with strong cation exchange resin (AG 50W-X8, Bio-Rad, Australia) on top of C18 discs serving to remove cationic salts and particulates, followed by porous graphitised carbon (PGC) SPE micro-columns to perform a final desalting of the N-glycans. For the final step, the retained N-glycans were eluted using 40% (v/v) aqueous acetonitrile (ACN) containing 0.1% (v/v) aqueous trifluoroacetic acid, dried and redissolved in 10 μL MilliQ water (Merck-Millipore, Australia) for LC-MS/MS. To assess for O-glycosylation, the de-N-glycosylated nMPO was subsequently treated with 1 M aqueous sodium borohydride in 50 mM aqueous potassium hydroxide, 50° C, 16 h and handled as described (4). For all glycomics experiments, bovine fetuin (Sigma, Australia) was used as a standard glycoprotein to ensure efficient N- and O-glycan release and clean-up, and LC-MS/MS performance.

Profiling and data analysis of nMPO glycans

Glycans were separated and detected by PGC-LC-MS/MS performed on an LTQ Velos Pro ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Australia) coupled to a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC (Thermo Scientific, Australia). The N-glycan samples were loaded on a PGC-LC capillary column (Hypercarb KAPPA, 5 μm particle size, 250 Å pore size, 0.18 mm inner diameter x 1 mm length, Thermo Scientific, Australia) and separated using a linear multi-step gradient consisting of 2.6–64% (v/v) ACN in10 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate over 86 min at a constant flow rate of 3 μL/min and with a post-column make-up flow supplying pure methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) after the analytical column at a constant flow rate of 4 μL/min (5). The MS1 acquisition range was m/z 500–2,000, the resolution was m/z 0.25 full width at half maximum (FWHM, measured at m/z 200), and the source voltage was +2.7 kV. Detection was performed in negative ionisation polarity mode. The automatic gain control (AGC) for the MS1 scans was 5 x 10⁴ with a maximum accumulation time of 50 ms. For MS/MS, the resolution was m/z 0.35 FWHM, the AGC was 2 x 10⁴ and the maximum accumulation time was 300 ms. Using a data-dependent acquisition (DDA) strategy, the six most abundant precursors in each MS1 full scan were selected for resonance activation (ion trap) collision-induced dissociation (CID)-MS/MS employing a 33% normalised collision energy (NCE). The O-glycomics method utilised similar LC-MS/MS settings, but the experimental details and resulting data have been omitted here since no O-glycans were detected for nMPO.

The N-glycan fine structures were manually characterised from the PGC-LC-MS/MS data using Xcalibur v2.2 (Thermo Scientific, Australia) based the monoisotopic precursor mass, the glycan fragmentation pattern arising from the negative-ion CID-MS/MS, and the relative and absolute PGC-LC retention time of each candidate glycan (6-9). RawMeat v2.1 (Vast Scientific, www.vastscientific.com) and GlycoMod (https://web.expasy.org/glycomod/) aided the glycan identification process. The biosynthetic relationship between the observed N-glycans served to further enhance the confidence of the reported structures. The relative abundances of the identified N-glycans were established based on the area-under-the-curve (AUC) measurements of extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) performed for all relevant charge states of the monoisotopic glycan precursors using Skyline 64-bit v20.1.0.76 (10,11).

Glycoproteomics and glycopeptide profiling

Generation of glycopeptide mixtures

Mixtures of peptides and glycopeptides were prepared from i) purified nMPO, ii) the separated monoprotomer (αβ) and diprotomer (ααββ) of nMPO, iii) endoglycosidase H- (Endo H-) treated and untreated nMPO, iv) separated neutrophil granules and v) supernatant fractions of pathogen-activated neutrophils.

i) Isolated nMPO (20 μg) was reduced and alkylated in technical triplicates as described above. The alkylation reactions were quenched using 30 mM aqueous DTT in 100 mM aqueous ammonium
bicarbonate, 25°C, 30 min. The proteins were then exhaustively digested using sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega, Australia) at a 1:30 enzyme:substrate ratio (w/w) in 100 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.4, 37°C, 16 h. Digestions were stopped by acidification with 1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid (FA) (final concentration). The resulting peptide mixtures were desalted using C18-SPE micro-columns (Merck-Millipore, Australia). The desalted peptide mixtures were dried and redissolved in 10 µL 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for LC-MS/MS.

ii) The monoprotomeric (αβ) and diprotomeric (ααββ) forms of nMPO (20 µg protein/replicate) were separated in technical triplicates using non-reductive SDS-PAGE on a pre-cast 4–12% gradient gel (Invitrogen, Australia). Separations were performed using a constant potential of 120 V, 45 min, and was carried out on ice to prevent spontaneous dissociation of diprotomeric nMPO. The gels were stained using Coomassie Blue and the protein bands corresponding to the monoprotomeric (αβ) and diprotomeric (ααββ) nMPO were excised, in-gel digested at 37°C, 16 h using 33.3 ng/µL sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega, Australia). The resulting peptide mixtures were extracted using repeated washing cycles of ACN and water, and then desalted using C18-SPE micro-columns (Merck-Millipore). The desalted peptide mixtures were dried and redissolved in 10 µL 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for LC-MS/MS.

iii) Reducing LDS-PAGE sample loading buffer (4x, pH 8.4, Invitrogen, Australia) and 50 mM aqueous DTT (final concentration) was added to 2 µg Endo H-treated and 2 µg untreated nMPO (see details of Endo H treatment below) and the mixtures were heated at 70°C, 10 min. The samples were then applied to SDS-PAGE on a pre-cast 4–12% gradient gel (Invitrogen, Australia). Following Coomassie Blue staining, the β-chains of nMPO (53-58 kDa) were excised, and in-gel digested at 37°C, 16 h using 33.3 ng/µL sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega, Australia). The resulting peptide mixtures were extracted using repeated washing cycles of ACN and water, and then desalted using C18-SPE micro-columns (Merck-Millipore, Australia). The desalted peptide mixtures were dried and redissolved in 10 µL 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for LC-MS/MS.

iv) Reducing LDS-PAGE sample loading buffer (4x, pH 8.4, Invitrogen, Australia) and 50 mM aqueous DTT (final concentration) was added to each of the isolated granule fractions (~300 µg total protein/replicate). The samples were heated to 95°C for 10 min before the granule protein extracts were introduced briefly into a pre-cast 4–12% gradient gel (Invitrogen, Australia) to form a single protein band on the top of the gel. This approach was chosen since the soluble protein fractions from the isolated granules contained residual Percoll and/or other agents incompatible with the downstream (glyco)proteomics workflow despite the multiple ultracentrifugation steps. The single protein band from each granule sample was excised and in-gel digested at 37°C, 16 h using 100 ng/µL sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega, Australia). The resulting peptide mixtures were extracted using repeated washing cycles of ACN and water, and then desalted using C18-SPE micro-columns (Merck-Millipore, Australia). The desalted peptide mixtures were dried and redissolved in 10 µL 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for LC-MS/MS.

v) Proteins in the supernatant fractions of activated neutrophils (and control samples) were precipitated using acetone at -20°C with overnight incubation. The pelleted proteins were then resuspended in 100 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate to a final protein concentration of 0.1 µg/mL. Proteins were then reduced using 10 mM aqueous DTT, 56°C, 45 min, and alkylated using 25 mM aqueous IAA, 25°C, 30 min in the dark (both final concentrations). The alkylation reactions were quenched using 30 mM aqueous DTT in 100 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate, 25°C, 30 min and the proteins were then exhaustively digested using sequencing-grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega, Australia) at a 1:30 enzyme:substrate ratio (w/w) in 100 mM aqueous ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.4, 37°C, 16 h. Digestions were stopped by acidification with 1% (v/v) aqueous FA (final concentration). The resulting peptide mixtures were desalted using C18-SPE micro-columns (Merck-Millipore, Australia). The desalted peptide mixtures were dried and redissolved in 10 µL 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for LC-MS/MS.

LC-MS/MS (glyco)peptide profiling of neutrophil MPO from various origins and conditions

The generated peptide mixtures were analysed using multiple high-resolution LC-MS/MS strategies as outlined below, see also Supplementary Table S13 for overview and details of all LC-MS/MS-based glycopeptide profiling experiments. For all experiments, the peptides were detected using a Q-Exacte
HF-X Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Australia) coupled to either an UltiMate™ 3000 RSLCnano System (Thermo Scientific, Australia) or an Easy nLC1200 system (Thermo Scientific, Australia). The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion polarity mode. The peptide mixtures were separated using reversed-phase C18 chromatography using custom-made nano-LC columns packed with either C18 HALO resin (2.7 µm particle size, 160 Å pore size, 0.1 mm inner diameter x 300 mm length, Halo, Advanced Materials Technology, Australia) or C18AQ resin (1.9 µm particle size, 120 Å pore size, 0.075 mm inner diameter x 500 mm length, Dr Maisch HPLC GmbH, Germany) or alternatively using a commercial PepMap100 C18 LC column (3 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, 0.075 mm inner diameter x 250 mm length, Thermo Scientific, Australia). The peptide mixtures were loaded onto trap columns with either C18 HALO resin (2.7 µm particle size, 160 Å pore size, 0.1 mm inner diameter x 35 mm length, Halo, Advanced Materials Technology, Australia) or C18 PepMap (5 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, 0.3 mm inner diameter x 5 mm length, Thermo Scientific, Australia) and then separated on the analytical columns (above) using different LC gradients at flow rates of 300–600 nL/min.

The MS1 acquisition range was m/z 350–1,650 or m/z 350–1,800 using a resolution of 60,000 measured at m/z 200. The MS1 AGC target was 3 x 10^6 with a maximum injection time of 50 ms. The MS/MS AGC target was 1 x 10^5 or 2 x 10^5, the maximum injection time was 25–28 ms and the resolution was 15,000 measured at m/z 200. Employing DDA strategies, the 15–20 most abundant precursors in each MS1 scan were selected for MS/MS using higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) at 27%-30% NCE. Precursor isolation windows of m/z 1.2–1.4 were used. Already selected precursors were dynamically excluded for 20–30 s. Charge state unassigned precursors, singly charged precursors and precursors with charge states Z > 7–8 were also excluded for MS/MS.

The resulting HCD-MS/MS data were searched using multiple search strategies, see Supplementary Table S13 for an overview of the search strategies and variables. The data were searched against either the canonical form of human MPO (UniProtKB, P05164) and/or the entire human proteome (UniProtKB, 20,397 reviewed entries, downloaded October 2018 or 20,364 reviewed entries, downloaded December 2019) using Byonic v3.6.0 (Protein Metrics Inc, CA, USA) and MaxQuant v1.6.0.1 or v1.6.10.43 (12). Raw data were used as input for both search engines. The searches employed tryptic, semi-tryptic or non-specific cleavage specificity with up to two missed cleavages allowed, fixed Cys carbamidomethylation and several glycan and non-glycan variable modifications including Met and Trp mono- and di-oxidation and Tyr mono- and di-chlorination. The glycopeptide data were searched against a comprehensive library of 309 mammalian N-glycans to which several non-conventional monosaccharide compositions including phosphorylated paucimannosidic and phosphorylated oligomannosidic-type N-glycans were manually added in Byonic. The precursor and product ion mass tolerance was 4.5–10 ppm and 20 ppm, respectively, and decoy and contaminant databases were included in the searches.

For the Byonic output, only (glyco)peptides identified with high scores (low false discovery rates, FDRs) were considered (PEP-2D scores < 0.001) (13). The Byonic-identified glycopeptides were further validated by manually interrogating the precursor envelope to confirm correct monoisotopic peak picking and charge state assignment, and by manually checking the HCD-MS/MS data and C18-LC elution time to ensure the presence of the expected product ions and similar LC retention time of related glycopeptides. The confidently identified glycopeptides were quantified based on AUC measurements from narrow EICs performed for all relevant charge states of the monoisotopic glycopeptide precursors (MS1 data) using Skyline 64-bit v20.1.0.76 (10,11) or manually using the Qual Browser in Xcalibur v2.2 (Thermo Scientific, Australia). For both the Skyline-based and manual EIC-based label-free quantitation (LFQ), a narrow 5 ppm precursor mass tolerance was applied, and data were manually inspected to ensure correct monoisotopic peak integration and consistent LC retention time across sample runs and an agreement with the glycopeptide identifications provided by Byonic.

For the MaxQuant output, the peptide-to-spectral matches (PSMs) and the protein identifications were filtered to FDRs below 0.01-0.03. The MaxQuant-based LFQ of the non-modified peptides and peptides modified with non-glycan modifications was based on the relative MS1 signal strength of confidently identified precursors (12). The proportion of proMPO of all MPO forms was determined for both the
propeptide region and the hexapeptide based on the intensity of related peptide pairs arising from proMPO and maturely processed MPO.

The granulopoiesis data published by (14) were extracted from the ProteomeXchange consortium via the PRIDE partner (data accession number, PXD013785). The dataset consisted of LC-MS/MS (glyco)peptide data of maturing neutrophils isolated from four different maturation stages obtained by fluorescence-activated cell sorted myeloid progenitor cells derived from the bone marrow of four healthy donors including promyelocytes and myelocytes (collectively referred to as PMs), metamyelocytes (MMs), immature neutrophils with band-formed nuclei (BNs), and mature neutrophils with segmented nuclei (SNs). Circulating (mature) neutrophils (polymorphonuclear cells, PMNs) derived from blood from the same four donors were also investigated. Multiple LC-MS/MS datasets (technical replicates) were provided for each of these conditions. For our study, only a single technical replicate from each one of the four biological replicates from each maturation stage was downloaded via PRIDE and re- interrogated using Proteome Discoverer v2.4 (Thermo Scientific, Australia). Peptides and glycopeptides were identified with Byonic v3.6.0 using a human proteome database (UniProtKB, 20,416 entries, downloaded August 2019) and a custom-made neutrophil-focused N-glycan database (206 N-glycans) as the protein and glycan search space, respectively. For the granulopoiesis data sets, the quantification of (glyco)peptides was performed by Minora Feature Detector with the minimum trace length set to 5 and maximum delta retention time of isotope pattern multiplets of 0.2 min within the Proteome Discoverer v2.4 platform. For this purpose, chromatographic alignment of signals of interest was performed and the quantification based on a retention time match within a 1 min tolerance and an m/z match within a 10 ppm tolerance. Minimum S/N thresholds were enabled. Protein expression data and relative mRNA expression levels of MPO were manually retrieved (14).

Characterisation of intact nMPO using native MS and mass photometry

Top down and native MS of intact nMPO

i) The nMPO was reduced using 10 mM aqueous DTT, 56°C, 45 min. The dissociated α- and β-chains of nMPO were then desalted using C4 SPE ZipTips (Millipore, Australia), dried and resuspended in 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA. Approximately 1 µg (6–7 pmol) protein material was injected on a reversed-phase C4 LC column (Protocel C4Q, 3 µm particle size, 300 Å pore size, 0.3 mm inner diameter x 100 mm length, SGE Analytical Science, Australia) kept at a constant temperature of 25°C and operated at a constant flow rate of 5 µL/min using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system connected directly to a high-resolution Agilent 6538 quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Australia). A multi-step LC gradient was applied comprising the following linear steps: a) 100% solvent A containing 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA for 5 min, b) 0–60% solvent B containing 99% ACN in 0.1% (v/v) aqueous FA ramp over 30 min, c) immediate increase to 100% solvent B, which was kept for 5 min and d) re-equilibration in 100% solvent A for 5 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in high-resolution (4 GHz) positive ion polarity mode using the following settings: The fragmentor potential was +200 V, the MS1 scan range was m/z 400–2,500, the nitrogen drying gas flow rate was 8 L/min at 300°C, the nitrogen nebuliser pressure was 10 psi, the capillary potential was +4.3 kV, and the skimmer potential was +65 V. Mass spectra were viewed, deconvoluted and analysed with MassHunter work station vB.06 (Agilent Technologies, Australia). The intact α-chain of nMPO was profiled by deconvoluting the multi-charged signals into the expected mass range for the MPO α-chain (10–20 kDa) using mass stepping of 0.5. Signals corresponding to the α-chain of nMPO were assigned based on accurate matches better than 2 Da (see Supplementary Table S3A) to the expected α-chain proteoforms predicted based on the obtained LC-MS/MS peptide data of nMPO, see Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary Data S2. Average masses (neutral M, Da) were used to compare the experimental mass of the observed precursors (apex of signals) to the theoretical mass of the expected precursors.

ii) nMPO was desalted and buffer exchanged into 1 M aqueous ammonium acetate, pH 7.0 using Bio-Spin 6 Columns (BioRad, UK). Further, three washes in 1 M aqueous ammonium acetate were performed using 3,000 molecular weight cut-off centrifugal filters (Amicon Ultra-0.5, Merck, UK).
concentration of nMPO was measured using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (DeNovix, DE, USA) and adjusted to a final concentration of 10 µM with 1 M aqueous ammonium acetate immediately prior to analysis. A modified Q-Exactive (Thermo Scientific, UK) was used for the native MS profiling of intact nMPO (15). Ions were generated by static nano-electrospray using gold-coated capillaries prepared in-house as described previously (16). The capillary voltage was +1.3 kV, the source was 200°C, the S-lens RF was 200, the maximum injection time was 50 ms, the HCD potential was off with in-source trapping enabled, and the desolvation potential was −10 V (positive ion polarity mode). MS1 data were acquired in the range m/z 1,000–15,000 with 10 microscans. Data were processed with Xcalibur v2.2 (Thermo Scientific, UK). Resulting spectra were deconvoluted with UniDec (17), and the signals were annotated using software produced in-house.

Mass photometry of intact nMPO

Intact nMPO was also analysed using mass photometry as described (18). The concentration of nMPO was measured using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (DeNovix, DE, USA) and adjusted to a final concentration of 10 nM with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After dilution, nMPO was equilibrated for 15 min prior to analysis. A clean coverslip was assembled for sample delivery using silicone gaskets (CultureWell™ gasket, 3 mm diameter x 1 mm depth, Grace Bio-Labs, OR, USA). For data acquisition, 36 µL sample was injected and data were collected for 60 s after a 10 s initial delay. Data processing and analysis were performed using custom software written in Python (19).

Sequence alignment

The canonical FASTA sequences of human MPO (UniProtKB, P05164), eosinophil peroxidase (EPO, P11678), lactoperoxidase (LPO, P22079) and thyroid peroxidase (TPO, P07202, all downloaded July 2020) were imported into T-Coffee (http://tcoffee.crg.cat/apps/tcoffee/do:regular), a program capable of performing multiple sequence alignment. The fasta_aln output option was selected. All other variables were used as the default setting. The fasta_aln output file was inserted into the Boxshade program (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html) to generate a greyscale representation of the sequence alignment. This same method was then performed with human MPO (P05164), mouse MPO (P11247), macaque MPO (F7BAA9), porcine MPO (K7GRV6) and bovine MPO (A6QPT4) as sequence input. Conserved regions and the five sequons of the human MPO polypeptide chains were highlighted.

Visualisation, modelling, and molecular dynamics

Amongst the 18 three-dimensional (3D) protein structures currently available of human MPO from the Protein Data Bank (PDB, http://www.rcsb.org/pdb), the structure of the intact diprotomer obtained with the highest resolution by X-ray crystallography containing both the heme, bromide substrates and crystallisable fragments of Asn-conjugated glycans (PDBID, 1D2V, 1.7 Å) was used for visualisation, modelling and structural assessments. Importantly, the MPO used to generate this crystal structure was purified from human neutrophils and covered nearly the entire α- and β-chains of the mature diprotomer (20). Visualisation and the initial structural assessments were performed using PyMOL Molecular Graphic System v2.3 (Schrödinger, LLC, MA, USA). Signature N-glycans (typically the most abundant N-glycans identified based on glycane and glycopeptide data, see Figure 1B, Figure 1D, Figure 3D, Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Table S6) were added in-silico to the four highly occupied sequons of each β chain of diprotomeric MPO (Asn323, Asn355, Asn391, Asn483) to mimic key MPO glycoforms of interest to this study including i) nMPO (referred to as WT in the MD simulations), ii) Endo H-treated nMPO (P1), iii) the highly truncated Asn355-/Asn391-glycophenotype elevated in Se/Pl-MPO (P2) and iv) a semi-truncated Asn355-/Asn391-glycophenotype (P3) included to also explore the structure of MPO when intermediate length glycans were positioned at Asn355 and Asn391, see Supplementary Table S10 for details. The Asn729 was consistently left unoccupied based on the glycoprofiling data. The 3D structures of the glycans were generated using the Carbohydrate Builder functionality available at http://glycam.org. The glycans were conjugated to the Asn side chains using in-house code. The side chain angles were initially set to common values (χ1 = 192°, χ2 = 178°, χ3 = 180°, χ4 = 180°).
null
Secondly, to determine the relative solvent accessibilities of N-glycans proximal to the dimerisation-interface of diprotomeric (αββ) MPO in the context of late-stage MPO biosynthesis/processing in the late Golgi, or shortly before reaching or upon arrival in the granules, the five selected PDB structures were deposited and processed in the Glycoprotein Builder in their mono- and diprotomeric forms as detailed above. However, for these experiments FA1-glycans (see Figure 1 for structure) were attached to Asn323, Asn483 and Asn729 carrying a significant level of processed N-glycans as determined using glycopeptide analysis. Of these three sites, Asn323 and Asn483 were proximal while Asn729 was distal to the dimerisation interface. The under-processed Asn355 and Asn391 largely carrying oligomannosidic N-glycans were not considered in this assessment. The PDB output files were applied to NACCESS using a 5 Å radii probe as detailed above, but the accessibility measurements differed slightly by this time considering the hetero-atoms enabling the determination of the relative solvent accessibilities to the conjugated N-glycans rather than the underlying Asn residues. The relative solvent accessibilities to the entire monosaccharide residue of the terminal α1,3-arm-positioned β1,2-N-acetyl-β-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) moiety of the FA1-glycans attached to each site of the mono- and diprotomeric MPO as it would be experienced by the processing glycoside hydrolases i.e. the N-acetyl-β-hexosaminidase isoenzymes were used for ratiometric analyses.

**Endoglycosidase H-treatment of nMPO**

Intact nMPO (0.5–15 μg) was incubated with or without 1 μL recombinant *Streptomyces plicatus* endoglycosidase H (Endo H) expressed in *E. coli*, 500 U/μL (Promega, Australia) in a total volume of 60-150 μL buffer containing PBS or 5 mM aqueous sodium acetate and 10 mM aqueous sodium chloride, 37°C, 16 h, under native conditions. Blanks (water) and samples containing only Endo H and ceruloplasmin (see below) were included as controls. The untreated nMPO, Endo H-treated nMPO and controls were used immediately for functional assays and characterised using circular dichroism (CD), SDS-PAGE and LC-MS/MS.

**Chlorination and oxidation activity of MPO (activity assay 1–3)**

Three independent enzyme activity assays designated activity assay 1–3 were performed to establish the chlorination and oxidation activity of the granule-separated MPO in crude protein mixtures, and the isolated Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO.

**Activity assay 1**

The chlorination activity of MPO was determined by the formation of hypochlorous acid (HOCI) captured via taurote per time as described (33). Firstly, a HOCI standard curve was generated by adding known concentrations of HOCI freshly made from an aqueous sodium hypochlorite solution (catalogue number 425044, Sigma, Australia) to a final concentration of 5 mM aqueous taurote (Sigma, Australia) in PBS, which was kept on ice for 30 min prior to measurements. For the standard curve, the concentrations of hypochlorite (OCl⁻) were determined by measuring its absorbance at 292 nm at pH 12 (ε_{292} = 350 M⁻¹cm⁻¹), and solutions were subsequently neutralised before use. The relative and absolute chlorination activities of granule-fractionated MPO were established using 2 μg total protein extract from each of the Az, Sp/Ge and Se/Pl fractions from Donor a-b in technical triplicates and triplicate experiments using 0.5 μg Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO per replicate, respectively. The protein samples were mixed with 5 mM aqueous taurote (final concentration) and firstly incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Reactions were then initiated by the addition of fresh hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) (catalogue number 516813, Sigma, Australia) to a final concentration of 20 μM. The reactions ran for 20 min at room temperature, before being stopped by the addition of 20 μg/mL aqueous bovine catalase (final concentration, Sigma, Australia). In total, 200 μL of each reaction and the HOCI standards were mixed with 50 μL developing reagent containing 2 mM aqueous TMB in 400 mM aqueous acetate buffer, 10% (v/v) aqueous dimethylformamide and 100 μM aqueous sodium iodide, pH 5.4 in a 96-well plate. After lightly shaking the plate for 5 min, the absorbance of each sample was measured using a plate reader at 650 nm (SPECTROstar Nano, BMG Labtech, Germany). The absolute amount of HOCI produced was determined for Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO based on a pre-
established standard curve after baseline subtraction using water blanks and after subtracting readings of the Endo H only samples from the Endo H-treated nMPO (called “nMPO + Endo H”). The relative chlorination activities of the granule-fractionated MPO were determined after blank correction of absorbance measurements and normalisation to the relative MPO protein levels in each granule fraction determined using LC-MS/MS peptide-based quantitation, see Figure 3B for relative MPO protein levels across granules.

Activity assay 2

The 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) reagent is a chromogenic substrate that can report on the MPO oxidation activity. This assay was used to measure the relative oxidation activities of granule-fractionated MPO in 2 μg total protein extract from each of the Az, Sp/Ge and Se/Pl fractions from Donor a-b (each in technical triplicates), and of 0.05 μg Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO per replicate in technical triplicates. The protein samples were diluted in PBS to a total sample volume of 50 μL and subsequently mixed with 150 μL TMB solution (catalogue number T4444, Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) in a 96-well plate and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 100 μL 1 M aqueous sulphuric acid (Sigma, Australia) and the colour intensity was measured on a plate reader at 450 nm absorbance (SPECTROstar Nano, BMG Labtech, Germany). The relatively oxidation activities of Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO were established after baseline subtraction using water blanks and after subtracting absorbance values of an Endo H only sample from the absorbance values measured of Endo H-treated nMPO (called “nMPO + Endo H”). The relatively oxidation activities of the granule-fractionated MPO were determined after blank correction of absorbance measurements and normalisation to the relative MPO protein levels in each granule fraction determined using LC-MS/MS peptide quantitation, see Figure 3B.

Activity assay 3

The 1,2-phenylenediamine reagent is another chromogenic peroxidase substrate that can be utilised to measure the oxidation activity of MPO. This assay was used to measure the relative oxidation activities of 0.05 μg Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO per replicate in technical triplicates. Insufficient material from the granule-separated MPO in crude protein mixtures from Donor a-b was available to probe these samples with this activity assay. The protein samples were diluted in PBS to a total volume of 50 μL which was mixed with 150 μL aqueous 1,2-phenylenediamine (0.4 mg/mL final concentration, Sigma, Australia) and 0.015% (v/v) aqueous H₂O₂ (Sigma, Australia) solution and incubated for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 100 μL 1 M aqueous sulphuric acid (Sigma, Australia) and the colour intensity was measured on a plate reader at 492 nm absorbance (SPECTROstar Nano, BMG Labtech, Germany). The relatively oxidation activities of Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO were established after baseline subtraction using water blanks and after subtracting absorbance values of an Endo H only sample from the absorbance values measured of the Endo H-treated nMPO (called “nMPO + Endo H”).

**Ceruloplasmin inhibition of MPO**

Glycoform-dependent inhibition of MPO by ceruloplasmin (UniProtKB, P00450) was investigated using Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO. Three enzyme activity assays (activity assay 1-3) carried out as described above were employed, but the Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO were incubated in technical triplicates in the presence or absence of 100 nM ceruloplasmin purified from human serum (final concentration, catalogue number 187-51, Lee BioSolutions Inc, MO, USA) for 30 min at room temperature, prior to the commencement of the MPO enzyme activity measurements. Samples containing only ceruloplasmin were added to the set of other controls included for the three enzyme activity assays (see above). The absolute chlorination activities were determined for Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO with and without ceruloplasmin inhibition by activity assay 1 and displayed as HOCl produced per min as determined by the pre-established standard curve. The relative oxidation activities were determined by activity assays 2 and 3 as established from relative absorbance values. For all assays, baseline subtraction using water blanks and corrections for the absorbance of
ceruloplasmin and Endo H were applied called “nMPO + Cp” and “nMPO + Endo H + Cp” to enable direct comparisons to nMPO and nMPO + Endo H, respectively.

**Circular dichroism profiling, temperature stability and secondary structure prediction**

Circular dichroism (CD) data were collected for two separate preparations of i) 200 µL nMPO (0.1 mg/mL (as determined by the Bradford assay, see above) in 5 mM aqueous sodium acetate and 10 mM aqueous sodium chloride, both final concentrations), ii) 200 µL Endo H-treated nMPO (0.1 mg/mL (as determined by the Bradford assay) in 5 mM aqueous sodium acetate and 10 mM aqueous sodium chloride, both final concentrations and with 500 U Endo H, see above for details of digestion conditions), and iii) 200 µL 500 U Endo H in MilliQ water (Merck-Millipore, Australia) as an enzyme control. The samples were measured separately in 1 mm pathlength cuvettes (Starna Scientific Ltd, UK) in a Jasco J-1500 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Japan) with 2 nm bandwidth and 2 s response time. A thermostatted 6-cell changer was used to collect wavelength scans of all protein samples and water every 5°C. The temperature ramp (0.3°C/min + the time for wavelength scans, response time of 16 s) was monitored at 208 nm for all samples. The wavelength scans were performed in the range 260–190 nm and data were plotted as the average of the spectra from 20–50°C before any of the protein samples melted. All wavelength scan spectra were baseline-corrected by subtracting a water spectrum collected in the same cuvettes used for the protein samples. The spectra obtained from the two independent sample preparations were of the same shape and very similar magnitudes. Thus, only one set of data have been presented. To enable direct comparisons between the nMPO glycoforms for the CD spectral profile and the temperature stability experiments, the readings from the Endo H only sample were subtracted from the measurements performed for the Endo H-treated nMPO (denoted “nMPO + Endo H”), plotted and compared to the CD data of untreated nMPO.

For structure fitting, all spectra data were converted to Δε (mol⁻¹cm⁻¹dm³) per residue based on the Bradford assay concentrations. CD spectra were analysed using a graphical user interface version [GUI to Implement SOMSpec, a CD Secondary Structure Fitting Approach 2018, gitlab.com] of our validated self-organising map approach to secondary structure fitting (34,35). In this approach a reference set, in our case SP175 (36) (truncated to 190 nm) augmented with a constructed 100% helix and 100% random coil peptide data (37) was organised into a map with spectra of similar shape in the same neighbourhood. Another map, which overlays the first, contains the secondary structure contents of the reference proteins. The secondary structures of the intervening nodes were determined by interpolation from five neighbouring references spectra. An unknown spectrum is placed on the map where it minimised the distance (difference) between it and the spectral shape and magnitude of a nodal spectrum. The unknown protein’s secondary structure was then assigned to be that of the node. We ran SOMSpec with a 50 × 50 map, five best matching units, and a wavelength range from 240–190 nm. The goodness of fit of the secondary structure estimates were assessed by considering the overlay of the experimental and predicted spectrum visually and by a normalised RMSD (NRMSD). Visual inspection weights maxima, minima and where a spectrum crosses the zero-line more than other points in the spectrum, whereas the NRMSD approach equally weights all wavelengths. In general, for spectra from 240–190 nm an NRMSD < 0.02 was used as a threshold to indicate a very good fit whereas higher NRMSD indicate more uncertainty in the prediction. Higher values usually require user-interference to choose the best fit.

It was visually apparent from the room temperature spectra that the ratios of the 190 nm:208 nm intensities were smaller than expected for highly helical or sheet proteins. In addition, our measured Δε values were small, suggesting systematic concentration errors due to the use a non-glycosylated reference protein. In accord with this, attempts to use SOMSpec to predict structures from the spectral data were not satisfactory (NRMSD > 0.08). We therefore removed fractions of a random coil spectrum (in 0.025 steps) for the SufI-KK peptide MSLSKKQFIQASGIALCAGAVPLKASA (37), and also scaled the spectra to adjust for overestimates of the protein concentrations (in 10% steps) (38). We then reran SOMSpec to get the best possible fit. The structure-fitting results for best fit derandomised and scaled spectra are provided in **Supplementary Table S11C** as are the secondary structure percentages when the removed random coil was added back in. There were two options for best fit depending
whether one considers the whole wavelength region or emphasises from 190–210 nm as the 222 nm region is unusually small. Consideration of the crystal structures led us to select the rows where the Bradford-determined concentration was twice the protein residue concentration and 12.5–15% random coil removed for the fitting process. Overall the CD data suggest that both Endo H-treated and untreated nMPO in solution are ~34% helix, ~11% sheet, ~16% bonded turns, ~8% bends, and ~31% other structures (including random coil) with each number being indicative not absolute.

Data representation and statistics

Statistical significance was tested using one- or two-tailed paired or unpaired Student’s t-tests as indicated for each experiment. $p \geq 0.05$ was chosen as the universal threshold to reject various null hypotheses (e.g. glycosylation sites are equally solvent accessible or MPO glycoforms display the same enzyme activity). For each test, the statistical confidence has consistently been indicated by *$p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.01$, ****$p < 0.001$ and *****$p < 0.0005$. ns signifies a non-significant test ($p \geq 0.05$). The n values representing either biological replicates or technical (sample handling or analytical) replicates have been indicated for each experiment. Data points have been plotted as the mean, and the error bars consistently represent their standard deviation (SD). The N-glycans were depicted according to the established symbol nomenclature (39), see also Figure 1 for key.
Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure S1

Supplementary Figure S1. Examples of annotated CID-MS/MS (−) spectra of signature \(N\)-glycans decorating nMPO obtained from the PGC-LC-MS/MS glycomics data including A) M2Fa, B) M6 and C) FA1G1S1a. See Figure 1B for overview of the \(N\)-glycan fine structures decorating nMPO and the key to symbols and nomenclature. See Supplementary Data S1 for all annotated spectral evidence.
Supplementary Figure S2. Sequence coverage of nMPO as determined based on LC-MS/MS peptide profiling data. Observed regions have been mapped in bold red, see also Figure 1C for polypeptide termini and truncation variants of the MPO α- and β-chains and non-glycan modifications of nMPO. See Supplementary Data S2A-B for spectral evidence. See key for colour coding.
Supplementary Figure S3. Examples of annotated HCD-MS/MS (+) spectra of prominent N-glycans carried by peptides containing the five MPO sites obtained from the LC-MS/MS peptide data including key glycopeptides spanning A) Asn323, B) Asn355, C) Asn391, D) Asn483, and E) Asn729, see Figure 1D for overview of the site-specific N-glycoprofile of nMPO and key to symbols and nomenclature. See Supplementary Data S3 for all annotated spectral evidence.
Supplementary Figure S4

**A** Cooled non-reductive SDS-PAGE of nMPO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replicates</th>
<th>Diprotomeric nMPO (ααββ, 141-148 kDa)</th>
<th>Monoprotomeric nMPO (αβ, 70-73 kDa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B) LC-MS/MS peptide-based sequence coverage of mono- and diprotomeric nMPO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Monoprotomeric nMPO (74.8% global sequence coverage)</th>
<th>ii) Diprotomeric nMPO (80.5% global sequence coverage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MGVPFFSLRCLMVDAWCAGLTAEMKLALALAGLAILATP</td>
<td>MGVPFFSLRCLMVDAWCAGLTAEMKLALALAGLAILATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPSEGAAAPAVLGEDSVLSSLMEAKQLDVAYKERRSKQKR</td>
<td>QPSEGAAAPAVLGEDSVLSSLMEAKQLDVAYKERRSKQKR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSRSQSMSSMFLYKQPVAAATRAVRAADYLVALDLLERKLS</td>
<td>LSRSQSMSSMFLYKQPVAAATRAVRAADYLVALDLLERKLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWRPFFWTVLTPALQVNLKSSQGCAYQDVTQPCPEQDKYR</td>
<td>LWRPFFWTVLTPALQVNLKSSQGCAYQDVTQPCPEQDKYR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTGMCNRRSRSTLNASRAFVRW/LPALETEDFSLPGWTQ</td>
<td>TTTGMCNRRSRSTLNASRAFVRW/LPALETEDFSLPGWTQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVKRNGFPAVSLARAVSNFEIVRFPDOTLPQSDRLSMFMQW</td>
<td>GVKRNGFPAVSLARAVSNFEIVRFPDOTLPQSDRLSMFMQW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QGGLHDLDFPPEAARASFTVGNCTSCQVPCCPFLKIPP</td>
<td>QGGLHDLDFPPEAARASFTVGNCTSCQVPCCPFLKIPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDRPKQACIPDPRSCACPSGNITNRQINALTSTFVSDAM</td>
<td>NDRPKQACIPDPRSCACPSGNITNRQINALTSTFVSDAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VYGGSEELARLNRINRSQNSLQGLAVNQFDNGAALLFDNL</td>
<td>VYGGSEELARLNRINRSQNSLQGLAVNQFDNGAALLFDNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPFLCTLRQSFPRICSTDASPEMTSMTTLLEHRNR</td>
<td>HDPFLCTLRQSFPRICSTDASPEMTSMTTLLEHRNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATELKLNPQRWGTERLYQAEKIVGAMVQITYRYDPLVLG</td>
<td>LATELKLNPQRWGTERLYQAEKIVGAMVQITYRYDPLVLG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTAMKYLPTYYRSYNSDVPRIANVTNAFRYHTLQIPFMF</td>
<td>PTAMKYLPTYYRSYNSDVPRIANVTNAFRYHTLQIPFMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLDNRQYMEMPNPVRVPLSRVFASWRVVAEGIDMLRGLMA</td>
<td>RLDNRQYMEMPNPVRVPLSRVFASWRVVAEGIDMLRGLMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPAKLNRQIQAVDEIEILFRQVFRQIDQLPDLMPQMRQSRDH</td>
<td>TPAKLNRQIQAVDEIEILFRQVFRQIDQLPDLMPQMRQSRDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLPGYAWARRFCELPQETVPQGQLGTVLNLKQKLMEQYG</td>
<td>GLPGYAWARRFCELPQETVPQGQLGTVLNLKQKLMEQYG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPNINIDWVXGVESEPLK倥VRPGLACIQTQEDQKRFRDQR</td>
<td>TPNINIDWVXGVESEPLK倥VRPGLACIQTQEDQKRFRDQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWENQENEGVFSSMQRQAOAQLQQSLLPRICDNTGITYVSQNNF</td>
<td>FWENQENEGVFSSMQRQAOAQLQQSLLPRICDNTGITYVSQNNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSNSYPDRFVNCSTLPALNLASWREAS</td>
<td>MSNSYPDRFVNCSTLPALNLASWREAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Figure S4.** Structural characterisation of mono- (αβ) and diprotomeric (ααββ) nMPO. **A** Separation of a low abundance form of monoprotomeric nMPO around 70-73 kDa (7%, as determined using mass photometry, see Figure 1G) and the predominant form of diprotomeric nMPO at 141-148 kDa (93%) using non-reductive SDS-PAGE performed on ice to avoid complex dissociation. Gel bands from technical triplicate experiments (broken red boxes) were excised and the extracted tryptic peptide mixtures subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis. **B** Global sequence coverage (including the proMPO polypeptide region) of the i) mono- and ii) diprotomeric nMPO as determined based on LC-MS/MS peptide data (observed regions in bold red). See key for colour coding.
### Supplementary Figure S5

**A** Asn323

#### i) Glycoprofile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycosylation Site</th>
<th>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(2)</th>
<th>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</th>
<th>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(1)</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(2)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(6)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(7)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(8)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(9)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(10)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(5)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ii) Correlation analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycosylation Site</th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PM-MPO’nMPO</th>
<th>Az-MPO</th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th>Ge-MPO</th>
<th>Se/Pl-MPO</th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>BM-MPO</th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th>Dg-MPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.9640</td>
<td>0.9670</td>
<td>0.9639</td>
<td>0.8793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>0.9826</td>
<td>0.9851</td>
<td>0.9559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.9830</td>
<td>0.9627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td>0.9433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(1)NeuAc(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUM**

100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
### Glycoprofile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asn355</th>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.2% 0.5% 0.9% 0.5% 0.3% 1.0% 1.6% 2.0% 6.1% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)</td>
<td>0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)</td>
<td>0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(1)</td>
<td>0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(2)</td>
<td>0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)</td>
<td>0.9% 1.3% 0.8% 1.2% 2.3% 2.4% 1.7% 1.3% 1.2% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)</td>
<td>2.4% 3.5% 3.9% 4.2% 4.4% 7.0% 6.4% 5.3% 5.2% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>27.8% 28.4% 36.2% 39.6% 38.6% 34.2% 35.7% 29.7% 31.8% 11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(6)</td>
<td>46.7% 51.9% 46.8% 45.8% 43.6% 44.4% 44.6% 44.2% 40.4% 79.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(7)</td>
<td>16.3% 12.3% 9.4% 7.6% 9.3% 9.3% 8.3% 12.6% 10.9% 9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(8)</td>
<td>0.7% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(9)</td>
<td>3.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(1)</td>
<td>0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(2)</td>
<td>0.3% 0.7% 0.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)</td>
<td>0.0% 0.0% 1.1% 1.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUM**

| 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

### Correlation analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asn355</th>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9940 0.9795 0.9642 0.9661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9840 0.9698 0.9686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN-MPO</td>
<td>0.9976 0.9966 0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-MPO</td>
<td>0.9976 0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN-nMPO</td>
<td>0.8159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asn355</th>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Az-MPO</td>
<td>0.9992 0.9904 0.9958 0.8525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp-MPO</td>
<td>0.9926 0.9919 0.9972 0.8431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge-MPO</td>
<td>0.9903 0.9922 0.9840 0.8851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se/Pl-MPO</td>
<td>0.9879 0.8424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN-nMPO</td>
<td>0.8159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg-MPO</td>
<td>0.8159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### i) Glycoprofile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-MPO</th>
<th>Az-MPO</th>
<th>Sp-MPO</th>
<th>Ge-MPO</th>
<th>Se-/PI-MPO</th>
<th>Dg-MPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(2)</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(6)</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(7)</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(8)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(5)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(6)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUM** 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%

### ii) Correlation analysis

- **PM-MPO**: 0.9504, 0.9215, 0.9295, 0.8840
- **MM-MPO**: 0.9481, 0.9509, 0.8055
- **BN-MPO**: 0.9803, 0.8375
- **SN-MPO**: 0.9019
- **PMN-MPO**: 0.9749
- **Az-MPO**: 0.9974, 0.9855, 0.9827, 0.9797, 0.9986
- **Sp-MPO**: 0.9754, 0.9705, 0.9759, 0.9944
- **Ge-MPO**: 0.9982, 0.9928, 0.9841
- **Se-/PI-MPO**: 0.9883, 0.9817
- **PMN-MPO**: 0.9749
### Glycoprofile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(6)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(7)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(8)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(9)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(10)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlation analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
<th>Az-MPO</th>
<th>Sp-MPO</th>
<th>Ge-MPO</th>
<th>Se-/Pl-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
<th>Dg-MPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9902</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>0.9944</td>
<td>0.9730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>0.9765</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>0.9765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN-nMPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9959</td>
<td>0.9948</td>
<td>0.9943</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Az-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9959</td>
<td>0.9948</td>
<td>0.9943</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
<td>0.9710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>0.9886</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
<td>0.9637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9909</td>
<td>0.9893</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
<td>0.9702</td>
<td>0.9899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se-/Pl-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9899</td>
<td>0.9769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN-nMPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUM 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
### i) Glycoprofile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprofile</th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PM-MnMPO</th>
<th>Az-MPO</th>
<th>Sp-MPO</th>
<th>Ge-MPO</th>
<th>Se-/Pl-MPO</th>
<th>Dg-MPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(1)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(1)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(1)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(2)</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(2)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(5)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(6)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(8)</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(6)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(3)Hex(8)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(6)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUM:** 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%

### ii) Correlation analysis

![Correlation Analysis Chart]
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**Supplementary Figure S5. A-E**) Glycoprofiling (i) and correlation analysis (ii) of the five N-glycosylation sites of MPO of maturing (PM-, MM-, BN- and SN-MPO), mature/resting (PMN-nMPO), granule-separated (Az-, Sp-, Ge- and Se/Pl-MPO), and activated (Dg-MPO) neutrophils. See Figure 3D for comparison of top 5 glycoforms of each site and Supplementary Table S6 for all quantitative data. **F**) Correlation analysis of all five MPO N-glycosylation sites. The correlation coefficients (r) have consistently been provided. See key for colour coding of the quantitative glycoprofiling data, the correlation analyses, and the used nomenclature.

### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Promyelocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Metamyelocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>Band neutrophil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Neutrophil with segmented nuclei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN</td>
<td>Polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nMPO</td>
<td>Neutrophil MPO (unfractionated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Az</td>
<td>Azurophilic granule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>Specific granule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>Gelatinase granule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se/Pl</td>
<td>Secretory vesicle/plasma membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg</td>
<td>Degranulated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key to colour coding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprofiling heat scale</th>
<th>Correlation analysis heat scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel abundance</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Supplementary Figure S5.**

**F**  All five MPO N-glycosylation sites

**Correlation analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM-MPO</th>
<th>MM-MPO</th>
<th>BN-MPO</th>
<th>SN-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
<th>Az-MPO</th>
<th>Sp-MPO</th>
<th>Ge-MPO</th>
<th>Se/Pl-MPO</th>
<th>PMN-nMPO</th>
<th>Dg-MPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9737</td>
<td>0.9688</td>
<td>0.9531</td>
<td>0.9322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-MPO</td>
<td>0.9854</td>
<td>0.9704</td>
<td>0.9419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN-MPO</td>
<td>0.9885</td>
<td>0.9563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9905</td>
<td>0.9870</td>
<td>0.9715</td>
<td>0.9876</td>
<td>0.9321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMN-nMPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9852</td>
<td>0.9606</td>
<td>0.9882</td>
<td>0.9163</td>
<td>0.9348</td>
<td>0.9141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Key to colour coding**

- Glycoprofiling heat scale
  - Low
  - Rel abundance
  - High
- Correlation analysis heat scale
  - Low
  - Correlation coefficient (r)
  - High
**Supplementary Figure S6**

**A Sequence alignment of human peroxidases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th>EPO</th>
<th>LPO</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MGVFFSSLLRCMVGLPFCWAGGLT</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conserved residue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th>EPO</th>
<th>LPO</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conserved property (basic, acidic...)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th>EPO</th>
<th>LPO</th>
<th>TPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequon (glycosylated Asn highlighted)
Supplementary Figure S6. Sequence alignment of A) the human peroxidases including human MPO (UniProtKB, P05164), human eosinophil peroxidase (EPO, P11678), human lactoperoxidase (LPO, P80025) and human thyroid peroxidase (TPO, P07202) and B) MPO from key mammalian species including mouse MPO (P11247), macaque MPO (F7BA9A), porcine MPO (K7GRV6) and bovine MPO (A6QPT4). Asn355 and Asn391 of human MPO are conserved sequons in both analyses. See key for details.
Supplementary Figure S7. Site-specific manipulation of the Asn355- and Asn391-glycosylation by Endo H treatment of native nMPO as demonstrated using A) SDS-PAGE and B-F) LC-MS/MS glycopeptide data. The extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) confirmed that the processed N-glycans decorating Asn323 (B) and Asn483 (C) were unaffected by the Endo H treatment, whilst the oligomannosidic N-glycans of Asn355 (E) and Asn391 (F) were fully converted to GlcNAcβAsn by Endo H. *Artificial in-source fragment ion. The largely unoccupied Asn729 was not assessed in this analysis. The specific glycopeptides monitored using EICs have been indicated with cartoons, see Supplementary Table S2 for details of the monitored glycopeptides. D) Example of an annotated HCD-MS/MS spectrum of the Endo H-treated Asn355-glycopeptide (m/z 721.04, 3+) demonstrating that Endo H generates GlcNAcβAsn residues at the two oligomannose-rich sites of MPO.
Supplementary Figure S8. Impact of Asn355-/Asn391-glycans on the MPO structure as determined using MD simulations. Differential per-residue accessibility of the WT MPO versus A) P1, B) P2 and C) P3 plotted as the proportional duration (% time) difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed during the 500 ns MD simulation. P1 and P2 (but not P3) show significant enhanced accessibility along most of the polypeptide chain relative to WT. See insert for key to important MPO residues and Supplementary Table S10 for structures and nomenclature of simulated MPO glycoforms. D) Assessment of the global movement of the MPO polypeptide chain using RMSD monitored during the 500 ns MD simulations.
Supplementary Figure S9. Differential accessibility of the WT (resembling nMPO) and A) P1 (Endo H-treated nMPO i.e. Asn355-/Asn391-GlcNAc glycophenotype), B) P2 (non-glycosylated Asn355 and Asn391-GlcNAc glycophenotype, elevated in Se/Pl-MPO), and C) P3 (semi-truncated Asn355/Asn391-glycophenotype of MPO) depicted on diprotomeric MPO (PDBID, 1D2V) as monitored over the 500 ns MD simulation. See Supplementary Table S10 for structures and nomenclature of simulated MPO glycoforms. Unaffected residues are in grey, see also Supplementary Figure S8 for other representation of data. Residues with reduced (blue) or increased (red) accessibilities ($p < 0.05$) are mapped with an intensity shading reflecting the proportional duration of the differential accessibility, see key. Darker residue intensity signifies a longer duration of differential accessibility during the simulations. The glycans are shown as 3D-SNFG symbols. The heme moiety is represented in yellow sticks.
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